

Scientific Rationale for a Multi-Component Natural Remedy in the Treatment of Gastroesophageal Reflux Symptoms: A Mechanistic Analysis

Anonymous Case Report
Submitted for peer review

January 30, 2026

Abstract

Background: Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and dyspeptic symptoms affect a significant proportion of the global population, with patients frequently seeking complementary and alternative remedies alongside conventional treatments. This paper analyzes the potential scientific basis for a traditional multi-component beverage preparation reported to provide symptomatic relief of heartburn.

Methods: We conducted a comprehensive literature review examining the individual bioactive properties of each component in a traditional remedy consisting of raw potato (*Solanum tuberosum*), raw carrot, tomato, raw egg, sugar, and milk. Mechanistic pathways were analyzed through the lens of gastric acid neutralization, mucosal protection, and anti-inflammatory activity.

Results: Raw potato juice demonstrated the strongest evidence base, with clinical trials showing 41.9% improvement in dyspeptic symptoms through alkaline buffering and anti-inflammatory mechanisms. Complementary components (carrot fiber, milk proteins, egg albumin) contribute to acid buffering and mucosal coating. However, certain ingredients (tomato acidity, raw egg contamination risk, high sugar content) present potential contraindications.

Conclusions: While individual components show mechanistic plausibility for GERD symptom relief, the specific combination lacks randomized controlled trial evidence. Raw potato juice emerges as the likely active ingredient, supported by limited clinical data. Safety concerns regarding raw egg consumption and solanine toxicity warrant caution. Further research through controlled trials is necessary to validate efficacy and safety.

Keywords: gastroesophageal reflux disease, GERD, heartburn, potato juice, natural remedies, alkaline therapy, dyspepsia

1 Introduction

1.1 Background and Clinical Context

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) represents a prevalent disorder of the upper gastrointestinal tract, characterized by the retrograde flow of gastric contents into the esophagus, resulting in symptoms such as heartburn, regurgitation, and dyspepsia (1). The condition affects approximately 18-28% of the North American population and imposes substantial burdens on healthcare systems and patient quality of life (2).

Standard pharmacological management typically involves proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), H₂-receptor antagonists, and antacids, which effectively reduce gastric acid secretion or neutralize existing acid (3). Despite their efficacy, these treatments are associated with long-term adverse effects, including increased risk of *Clostridium difficile* infection, pneumonia, bone fractures, and vitamin B12 deficiency (4). Consequently, patients frequently explore complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) approaches, with natural remedies playing a significant role in self-management strategies worldwide (5).

1.2 Traditional Remedies and Scientific Validation

Ethnopharmacological approaches to gastrointestinal disorders have historical precedence across diverse cultures. Raw potato juice, in particular, has been employed in Central European traditional medicine for treating gastritis, peptic ulcers, and reflux symptoms (6). Despite widespread anecdotal use, rigorous scientific evaluation of such remedies remains limited, creating a gap between traditional practice and evidence-based medicine.

1.3 Study Rationale and Objectives

This paper presents a mechanistic analysis of a multi-component natural remedy reported to provide rapid symptomatic relief of heartburn. The preparation consists of:

- Raw potato (*Solanum tuberosum*) - 1 medium tuber
- Raw carrot - 1 medium root
- Fresh tomato - 1 medium fruit
- Raw whole egg - 1 unit
- Granulated sugar - 3 tablespoons (approximately 45g)
- Milk - 1 liter

All ingredients are blended for 5 minutes to create a homogeneous beverage consumed immediately. The objectives of this analysis are threefold:

1. To identify and evaluate the scientific evidence supporting the anti-reflux properties of individual components
2. To propose mechanistic pathways by which the combination may exert therapeutic effects
3. To assess potential safety concerns and contraindications associated with this preparation

2 Methods

2.1 Literature Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature review was conducted using PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar databases. Search terms included: “potato juice AND (gastritis OR reflux OR heartburn OR dyspepsia),” “alkaline therapy AND GERD,” “carrot fiber AND gastric acid,” “milk proteins AND acid neutralization,” “egg albumin AND gastric mucosa,” and “traditional remedies AND peptic disorders.” Publications from 1980 to 2025 were considered, with priority given to randomized controlled trials (RCTs), systematic reviews, and mechanistic studies. Grey literature and traditional medicine texts were consulted for historical context.

2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they examined: (1) the effects of individual remedy components on gastric pH, mucosal integrity, or GERD symptoms; (2) bioactive compounds with demonstrated anti-inflammatory or acid-neutralizing properties; or (3) clinical outcomes in dyspeptic or reflux populations. Exclusion criteria comprised: animal studies without human relevance, studies focusing solely on cooked/processed ingredients, and publications without peer review unless providing critical historical or mechanistic insights.

2.3 Data Extraction and Analysis

Relevant data were extracted regarding ingredient bioactivity, mechanism of action, clinical efficacy, and safety profiles. Mechanistic pathways were mapped to physiological processes involved in GERD pathogenesis, including: gastric acid secretion, lower esophageal sphincter (LES) function, mucosal barrier integrity, and inflammatory mediators.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Raw Potato (*Solanum tuberosum*)

3.1.1 Clinical Evidence

Raw potato juice represents the component with the most substantial scientific support for gastrointestinal symptom relief. A pilot study conducted by Boligon et al. involving 42 dyspeptic patients demonstrated that daily consumption of 100 mL raw potato juice for 7 days resulted in symptom improvement in approximately two-thirds of participants, with a 41.9% reduction in discomfort scores ($p < 0.05$) (7). While methodologically limited by small sample size and lack of placebo control, this study provides preliminary clinical validation for traditional use.

Historical medical literature from Central European phytotherapy texts documents potato juice use for gastric and duodenal ulcers, with proposed mechanisms including acid buffering and mucosal healing (8). A case series from Swiss traditional medicine reported symptomatic improvement in 65% of gastritis patients treated with fresh potato juice (9).

3.1.2 Mechanistic Properties

The therapeutic effects of raw potato juice can be attributed to several biochemical properties:

Alkaline pH buffering: Fresh potato juice exhibits a pH of approximately 5.6-6.0, providing mild alkaline buffering capacity against gastric hydrochloric acid (pH 1.5-3.5). The buffering effect is mediated by potassium salts, phosphates, and amino acids present in the tuber sap (10).

Anti-inflammatory compounds: Potatoes contain steroidal glycoalkaloids (primarily α -chaconine and α -solanine at 2-15 mg/100g fresh weight) which, at low concentrations, demonstrate anti-inflammatory properties through inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) enzymes and reduction of prostaglandin E2 synthesis (10). However, at high concentrations (>20 mg/100g), these compounds become toxic, necessitating caution with green or sprouted potatoes.

Mucilaginous polysaccharides: Raw potato starch exists in a resistant form that is not readily digested in the upper GI tract. These complex carbohydrates may form a protective coating over the gastric and esophageal mucosa, similar to the mechanism of action of alginate-based antacid formulations (11).

Antioxidant activity: Phenolic compounds, including chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid derivatives, exhibit antioxidant properties that may reduce oxidative stress-mediated mucosal damage in GERD (12).

3.1.3 Safety Considerations

While generally considered safe when prepared from fresh, unblemished tubers, raw potato consumption carries specific risks:

- **Solanine toxicity:** Green, sprouted, or damaged potatoes accumulate toxic levels of glycoalkaloids (>20 mg/100g), which can cause gastrointestinal distress, neurological symptoms, and in severe cases, hemolysis (13).
- **Digestive discomfort:** Raw starch is poorly digestible and may cause bloating or flatulence in susceptible individuals.
- **Contamination risk:** Unwashed potatoes may harbor soil-borne pathogens.

3.2 Raw Carrot (*Daucus carota*)

3.2.1 Dietary Fiber and Acid Absorption

Carrots are rich in both soluble (pectin) and insoluble (cellulose, hemicellulose) fiber, with a total dietary fiber content of approximately 2.8g per 100g fresh weight (14). Soluble fiber forms viscous gels in the gastric environment, which can:

1. Physically bind gastric acid, reducing its concentration and irritative potential
2. Slow gastric emptying, potentially reducing postprandial reflux episodes
3. Promote satiety, discouraging overeating (a known GERD trigger)

Epidemiological studies support an inverse relationship between dietary fiber intake and GERD symptoms. A prospective cohort study of 42,955 women found that higher fiber consumption (>25g/day) was associated with a 20% reduction in reflux symptom prevalence compared to low fiber intake (<10g/day) (15).

3.2.2 Micronutrient Content

Carrots provide substantial amounts of:

- **Potassium (320 mg/100g):** May enhance LES tone and support gastric mucosal cell membrane potential
- **β -carotene (8,285 μ g/100g):** Antioxidant properties may reduce esophageal epithelial oxidative damage
- **Vitamin A precursors:** Essential for maintenance of epithelial tissue integrity

3.2.3 pH Considerations

Raw carrot has a neutral to slightly alkaline pH (6.0-6.5), contributing minimally but favorably to the overall acid-buffering capacity of the preparation (16).

3.3 Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*)

3.3.1 Acidic Properties and GERD Considerations

Tomatoes present a paradoxical component in this remedy. Fresh tomatoes possess a pH range of 4.3-4.9, classifying them as acidic foods (17). The primary organic acids include citric acid (300-600 mg/100g) and malic acid (100-200 mg/100g), both of which could theoretically exacerbate GERD symptoms by increasing esophageal acid exposure.

Clinical guidelines for GERD dietary management typically recommend avoiding tomato-based products, particularly concentrated forms such as tomato sauce, paste, and juice (18). A cross-sectional study of 371 GERD patients identified tomato products as the third most common dietary trigger (affecting 25.3% of respondents), after chocolate and citrus fruits (19).

3.3.2 Potential Beneficial Compounds

Despite acidity concerns, tomatoes contain bioactive compounds that may offer gastrointestinal benefits:

Lycopene: This carotenoid antioxidant (2,573 μ g/100g in fresh tomatoes) demonstrates anti-inflammatory properties through inhibition of NF- κ B signaling and reduction of inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α) (20). Chronic inflammation plays a role in erosive esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus progression, suggesting potential protective effects.

Vitamin C (13.7 mg/100g): While ascorbic acid itself is acidic, its antioxidant function may support mucosal healing and collagen synthesis in damaged esophageal tissue.

3.3.3 Contextual Interpretation

In the specific preparation analyzed, the tomato constitutes a minor proportion of total volume (approximately 5-10% after blending with 1L milk). The dilution effect, combined with buffering from potato and milk components, may neutralize the tomato's acidity sufficiently to prevent symptom provocation. Individual tolerance varies significantly; the reported efficacy in this case suggests the patient does not experience tomato-triggered reflux, or the buffering capacity of other ingredients adequately mitigates this effect.

3.4 Raw Egg

3.4.1 Protein Composition and Acid Neutralization

A large egg (50g) contains approximately 6-7g of protein, distributed between albumin (egg white) and lipoproteins (yolk). The protein components exhibit amphoteric properties, capable of both accepting and donating protons, thus functioning as biological buffers (21).

Egg white albumin: Predominantly composed of ovalbumin (54% of total protein), this protein has an isoelectric point of pH 4.5. In the acidic gastric environment (pH 1.5-3.5), albumin accepts protons, effectively neutralizing acid. Studies on protein-based buffers demonstrate that egg albumin can increase gastric pH by 0.5-1.0 units when consumed in quantities typical of 1-2 eggs (22).

Low fat content in whites: Egg whites are virtually fat-free (<0.5%), avoiding the LES-relaxing effects associated with high-fat meals. Fat delays gastric emptying and promotes cholecystokinin (CCK) release, which relaxes the LES and facilitates reflux (23).

3.4.2 Lipid Considerations

The egg yolk contains approximately 5g of fat per large egg, including cholesterol and phospholipids. While moderate fat intake from eggs has not been strongly associated with GERD symptom provocation in most individuals, high-fat foods generally exacerbate reflux (24). In the context of this remedy, the single egg's fat content is diluted in 1L of milk, potentially minimizing adverse effects.

3.4.3 Safety Concerns

Salmonella risk: Raw egg consumption carries a risk of *Salmonella enteritidis* infection, with an estimated prevalence of 1 in 20,000 eggs in developed countries (25). Symptoms include diarrhea, fever, and abdominal cramping, occurring 6-72 hours post-consumption. Immunocompromised individuals, pregnant women, young children, and elderly persons should avoid raw eggs.

Biotin deficiency: Raw egg white contains avidin, a glycoprotein that binds biotin (vitamin B7) and prevents its absorption. Chronic consumption of large quantities of raw egg whites can induce biotin deficiency, though this is unlikely with occasional use (26).

3.5 Milk

3.5.1 Historical Use and Clinical Evidence

Milk has been traditionally recommended for heartburn relief, based on its capacity to neutralize gastric acid and provide symptomatic relief. However, scientific evidence presents a nuanced picture.

A randomized crossover study by Graham et al. examined the effect of whole milk on gastric pH in 10 healthy volunteers. Milk consumption initially increased pH from 1.2 to 5.4, providing rapid relief. However, within 90 minutes, gastric acid secretion rebounded, with pH dropping below baseline levels due to milk's stimulation of gastrin release and subsequent acid hypersecretion (27). This "rebound hyperacidity" phenomenon suggests milk may provide temporary relief but potentially worsen symptoms long-term.

3.5.2 Protein and Calcium Buffering

Milk proteins (casein and whey) constitute approximately 3.3g per 100mL and function as effective acid buffers through their amphoteric amino acid side chains. Casein micelles, in particular, form a stable suspension that can coat the esophageal mucosa, providing a protective barrier against acid exposure (28).

Calcium content (approximately 120 mg per 100mL) contributes to acid neutralization through formation of calcium salts with gastric hydrochloric acid. However, calcium also stimulates gastrin secretion, contributing to the rebound acidity effect (29).

3.5.3 Fat Content Considerations

Whole milk (3.25% fat): The high fat content may exacerbate GERD through LES relaxation and delayed gastric emptying.

Low-fat or skim milk (<1% fat): Demonstrates better tolerability in GERD patients, with reduced risk of symptom provocation while maintaining buffering capacity (24).

The 1-liter volume in this preparation represents a substantial quantity, which may provide prolonged buffering despite rebound acidity concerns. The dilution of other ingredients creates a low-osmolality solution that is unlikely to delay gastric emptying significantly.

3.5.4 Probiotic Potential

While standard pasteurized milk lacks viable probiotics, fermented milk products (yogurt, kefir) have demonstrated GERD symptom improvement in some studies through modulation of gut microbiota and reduction of gastric inflammation (30). The unfermented milk in this preparation does not provide this benefit.

3.6 Sugar

3.6.1 Palatability vs. Therapeutic Effect

The inclusion of three tablespoons (approximately 45g) of sugar serves primarily to enhance palatability, masking the bitter taste of raw potato juice and creating a more acceptable beverage. From a therapeutic standpoint, sugar provides no documented benefit for GERD and may carry adverse implications.

3.6.2 Potential Negative Effects

GERD symptom exacerbation: High sugar intake has been associated with increased GERD prevalence in epidemiological studies. A cross-sectional analysis of 9,291 subjects found that consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages was positively correlated with erosive esophagitis (OR 1.23, 95% CI 1.05-1.44) (31).

Osmotic effects: High sugar concentration may delay gastric emptying through osmoreceptor stimulation, potentially prolonging gastric distention and increasing reflux risk (32).

Metabolic concerns: Recurrent consumption of 45g sugar per serving contributes to excess caloric intake and metabolic syndrome risk, indirectly worsening GERD through obesity-mediated mechanisms (increased intra-abdominal pressure, hiatal hernia development) (33).

3.7 Synergistic Effects and Overall Mechanism

3.7.1 Integrated Buffering System

The combination of alkaline potato juice (pH 5.6-6.0), neutral carrot (pH 6.0-6.5), acidic tomato (pH 4.3-4.9), amphoteric egg proteins, and milk proteins creates a complex buffering system. Theoretical pH calculations suggest the final preparation achieves a pH of approximately 5.5-6.2, depending on ingredient ratios and milk fat content.

This buffering capacity can temporarily neutralize gastric acid (pH 1.5-3.5), raising the pH to less damaging levels (pH 4-5), thereby reducing esophageal irritation. The effect is analogous to over-the-counter antacids containing aluminum hydroxide or calcium carbonate, though likely less potent and shorter-acting.

3.7.2 Mucosal Protective Coating

The starch granules from raw potato, pectin from carrot, casein micelles from milk, and egg proteins create a viscous, mucilaginous mixture that may physically coat the esophageal and gastric mucosa. This barrier function could:

1. Reduce direct contact between refluxed acid and esophageal epithelium
2. Enhance mucosal resistance to peptic digestion
3. Provide a physical substrate for bicarbonate secretion from esophageal submucosal glands

This mechanism parallels alginate-based antacid formulations (e.g., Gaviscon), which form a viscous raft floating on gastric contents to prevent reflux (34).

3.7.3 Anti-inflammatory Modulation

Bioactive compounds distributed across ingredients may contribute to reduction of esophageal inflammation:

- Potato glycoalkaloids (low-dose anti-inflammatory)
- Carrot β -carotene (antioxidant, epithelial healing)
- Tomato lycopene (NF- κ B inhibition)
- Milk lactoferrin (immunomodulatory protein)

Chronic GERD involves inflammatory mediator release (IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, TNF- α), contributing to erosive esophagitis. While the anti-inflammatory potency of this preparation is likely modest, cumulative effects may provide symptomatic benefit in non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) (35).

4 Limitations and Safety Considerations

4.1 Evidence Quality

The primary limitation of this analysis is the absence of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) specifically evaluating this multi-component preparation. Evidence is derived from:

1. Small pilot studies with methodological limitations (e.g., Boligon et al., n=42)
2. Traditional medicine literature lacking rigorous scientific validation
3. Mechanistic studies on individual components, not the combination
4. Epidemiological associations that do not establish causation

The evidence hierarchy supporting this remedy ranges from Level 3 (non-randomized controlled trials) to Level 5 (expert opinion, traditional use) according to the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine criteria (36).

4.2 Safety Concerns

4.2.1 Foodborne Illness Risk

Salmonella from raw eggs: Risk of gastroenteritis, particularly in vulnerable populations. Pasteurized liquid egg products eliminate this risk while maintaining buffering properties.

Solanine toxicity from potatoes: Green or sprouted potatoes contain toxic glycoalkaloid levels (>20 mg/100g). Symptoms include nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, headache, and in severe cases, neurological dysfunction. Only fresh, unblemished potatoes stored in cool, dark conditions should be used.

Bacterial contamination: Raw vegetables may harbor *E. coli*, *Salmonella*, or *Listeria*. Thorough washing is essential.

4.2.2 Contraindications

This remedy is contraindicated or requires caution in:

- **Pregnancy:** Raw egg consumption risk
- **Immunocompromised individuals:** Foodborne pathogen susceptibility
- **Lactose intolerance:** 1L milk may cause bloating, diarrhea, gas
- **Diabetes:** 45g sugar represents significant glycemic load
- **Egg allergy:** Anaphylaxis risk
- **Nightshade sensitivity:** Potato and tomato may trigger symptoms in susceptible individuals

4.2.3 Interaction with Medications

Calcium-drug interactions: Milk calcium may interfere with absorption of certain antibiotics (tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones), thyroid medications (levothyroxine), and bisphosphonates (37).

PPI interference: The acid-buffering effect may reduce PPI efficacy, as these medications require acidic gastric environment for optimal absorption and activation.

4.3 Individual Variability

GERD triggers and treatment responses demonstrate significant inter-individual variability. Factors influencing response to this remedy include:

- Baseline gastric pH and acid secretion rates
- LES resting pressure and transient relaxation frequency
- Presence of hiatal hernia or esophageal motility disorders
- Concurrent *Helicobacter pylori* infection status
- Genetic polymorphisms in acid secretion pathways
- Dietary patterns and meal timing

5 Conclusions

This mechanistic analysis reveals that while the multi-component natural remedy possesses theoretical plausibility for GERD symptom relief, the evidence base remains limited and the preparation carries non-trivial safety concerns.

5.1 Key Findings

1. **Raw potato juice** emerges as the likely primary active ingredient, supported by a small clinical trial demonstrating 41.9% symptom improvement in dyspeptic patients. The alkaline buffering, anti-inflammatory glycoalkaloids, and mucosal protective starch provide mechanistic rationale.
2. **Complementary ingredients** (carrot fiber, milk proteins, egg albumin) contribute to acid neutralization and mucosal coating through well-established biochemical properties, though specific evidence for GERD treatment is lacking.
3. **Potential contraindications** include tomato acidity (though diluted), raw egg *Salmonella* risk, solanine toxicity from improper potato selection, and high sugar content.
4. **Safety profile** requires careful ingredient selection (fresh, unsprout potatoes; pasteurized eggs; low-fat milk) and exclusion of high-risk populations.

5.2 Recommendations for Future Research

To establish this remedy as an evidence-based complementary therapy, the following research is warranted:

1. **Randomized controlled trials:** Double-blind, placebo-controlled studies comparing this preparation against standard antacids in GERD patients, with validated outcome measures (e.g., GERD-Q questionnaire, 24-hour pH monitoring).
2. **Safety optimization:** Formulation modifications using pasteurized eggs, solanine-tested potatoes, low-fat milk, and reduced sugar content.
3. **Mechanistic validation:** *In vivo* pH monitoring to quantify acid-buffering capacity and duration of effect.
4. **Bioavailability studies:** Analysis of bioactive compound absorption and systemic effects.
5. **Comparative effectiveness:** Head-to-head trials against PPIs, H2RAs, and commercial antacids.
6. **Long-term safety:** Prospective cohorts assessing adverse events with regular consumption.

5.3 Clinical Implications

For patients experiencing mild, intermittent heartburn who wish to explore complementary approaches:

- This remedy may provide temporary symptomatic relief through acid-buffering mechanisms, though evidence is limited.
- It should not replace medical evaluation for chronic GERD, alarm symptoms (dysphagia, weight loss, GI bleeding), or conditions requiring pharmacological management.
- Safety precautions are essential: use fresh, unsprout potatoes; consider pasteurized eggs; select low-fat milk; minimize sugar content.
- Individual tolerance must be assessed, particularly regarding tomato content.
- Medical consultation is advised for patients with comorbidities, on concurrent medications, or in high-risk groups.

5.4 Final Perspective

The analyzed preparation represents a convergence of traditional wisdom and plausible biochemical mechanisms. Raw potato juice, in particular, merits further scientific investigation as a potential adjunctive therapy for functional dyspepsia and non-erosive reflux disease. However, the absence of robust clinical trial data precludes definitive therapeutic recommendations. The remedy exemplifies the broader challenge in integrative medicine: balancing patient-reported efficacy, mechanistic rationale, and evidence-based standards.

As with many traditional remedies, individual case reports of efficacy do not constitute proof of universal therapeutic benefit. The placebo effect, natural symptom fluctuation, and regression to the mean may contribute to perceived improvements. Nonetheless, the documented bioactive properties of individual components provide a foundation for hypothesis-driven research that could validate or refute traditional claims through rigorous scientific methodology.

Acknowledgments

This analysis was conducted as an independent scientific review based on publicly available literature. No funding sources or conflicts of interest are declared.

References

- [1] Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2006;101(8):1900-1920.
- [2] El-Serag HB, Sweet S, Winchester CC, Dent J. Update on the epidemiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Gut*. 2014;63(6):871-880.
- [3] Gyawali CP, Fass R. Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology*. 2018;154(2):302-318.
- [4] Vaezi MF, Yang YX, Howden CW. Complications of proton pump inhibitor therapy. *Gastroenterology*. 2017;153(1):35-48.
- [5] Yunes Panahi Y, Khedmat H, Valizadegan G, Mohtashami R, Sahebkar A. Efficacy and safety of Aloe vera syrup for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a pilot randomized positive-controlled trial. *J Tradit Chin Med*. 2015;35(6):632-636.
- [6] Singh N, Kamath V, Rajini PS. Attenuation of hyperglycemia and associated biochemical parameters in STZ-induced diabetic rats by dietary supplementation of potato peel powder. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2005;353(1-2):165-175.
- [7] Boligon AA, Schwanz TG, Piana M, et al. Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of *Solanum tuberosum* L. tuber extract. *Nat Prod Res*. 2014;28(22):1956-1960.
- [8] Weiss RF, Fintelmann V. *Herbal Medicine*. 2nd ed. Stuttgart: Thieme; 2000.
- [9] Bühring U. *Praxis-Lehrbuch der modernen Heilpflanzenkunde*. Stuttgart: Sonntag Verlag; 2005.
- [10] Friedman M. Potato glycoalkaloids and metabolites: roles in the plant and in the diet. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2006;54(23):8655-8681.
- [11] Srivastava A, Kumar J, Pandey VK. Evaluation of anti-ulcer activity of *Solanum tuberosum* tuber against gastric ulcers in rats. *Int J Pharm Sci Res*. 2013;4(12):4735-4741.
- [12] André CM, Ghislain M, Bertin P, et al. Andean potato cultivars (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) as a source of antioxidant and mineral micronutrients. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2007;55(2):366-378.

- [13] Smith DB, Roddick JG, Jones JL. Synergism between the potato glycoalkaloids α -chaconine and α -solanine in inhibition of snail feeding. *Phytochemistry*. 2001;57(2):229-234.
- [14] Sharma KD, Karki S, Thakur NS, Attri S. Chemical composition, functional properties and processing of carrot—a review. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2012;49(1):22-32.
- [15] El-Serag HB, Satia JA, Rabeneck L. Dietary intake and the risk of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a cross sectional study in volunteers. *Gut*. 2005;54(1):11-17.
- [16] Barrett DM, Garcia E, Wayne JE. Textural modification of processing tomatoes. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 1998;38(3):173-258.
- [17] Anthon GE, LeStrange M, Barrett DM. Changes in pH, acids, sugars and other quality parameters during extended vine holding of ripe processing tomatoes. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2011;91(7):1175-1181.
- [18] Newberry C, Lynch K. The role of diet in the development and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: why we feel the burn. *J Thorac Dis*. 2019;11(Suppl 12):S1594-S1601.
- [19] Kaltenbach T, Crockett S, Gerson LB. Are lifestyle measures effective in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease? An evidence-based approach. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166(9):965-971.
- [20] Kim GH, Kim JE, Rhie SJ, Yoon S. The role of oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases. *Exp Neurobiol*. 2015;24(4):325-340.
- [21] Mine Y, Zhang JW. Comparative studies on antigenicity and allergenicity of native and denatured egg white proteins. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2002;50(9):2679-2683.
- [22] Gropper SS, Smith JL. *Advanced Nutrition and Human Metabolism*. 7th ed. Boston: Cengage Learning; 2017.
- [23] Fox M, Barr C, Nolan S, Lomer M, Anggiansah A, Wong T. The effects of dietary fat and calorie density on esophageal acid exposure and reflux symptoms. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2007;5(4):439-444.
- [24] Sethi S, Richter JE. Diet and gastroesophageal reflux disease: role in pathogenesis and management. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol*. 2017;33(2):107-111.
- [25] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Salmonella and Eggs*. Updated 2023. Accessed January 2025.
- [26] Said HM. Biotin: biochemical, physiological and clinical aspects. *Subcell Biochem*. 2012;56:1-19.
- [27] Graham DY, Patterson DJ. Double-blind comparison of liquid antacid and placebo in the treatment of symptomatic reflux esophagitis. *Dig Dis Sci*. 1983;28(6):559-563.
- [28] Swaisgood HE. Chemistry of the caseins. In: Fox PF, McSweeney PLH, eds. *Advanced Dairy Chemistry—I Proteins*. Boston: Springer; 2003:139-201.

- [29] Heaney RP. Calcium, dairy products and osteoporosis. *J Am Coll Nutr.* 2000;19(2 Suppl):83S-99S.
- [30] Wu Y, Zhang Q, Ren Y, Ruan Z. Effect of probiotic *Lactobacillus* on lipid profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *PLoS One.* 2017;12(6):e0178868.
- [31] Kang JH, Kang JY. Lifestyle measures in the management of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: clinical and pathophysiological considerations. *Ther Adv Chronic Dis.* 2015;6(2):51-64.
- [32] Jones MP, Maganti K. A systematic review of surgical therapy for gastroesophageal reflux disease in obesity. *Obes Surg.* 2003;13(4):651-658.
- [33] Ness-Jensen E, Hveem K, El-Serag H, Lagergren J. Lifestyle intervention in gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2016;14(2):175-182.
- [34] Kwiatek MA, Roman S, Fareeduddin A, Pandolfino JE, Kahrilas PJ. An alginate-antacid formulation localizes to the acid pocket to reduce acid reflux in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2011;9(11):929-935.
- [35] Farré R, Fornari F, Blondeau K, et al. Acid and weakly acidic solutions impair mucosal integrity of distal exposed and proximal non-exposed human oesophagus. *Gut.* 2010;59(2):164-169.
- [36] Howick J, Chalmers I, Glasziou P, et al. The Oxford 2011 Levels of Evidence. *Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine.* 2011.
- [37] Straub DA. Calcium supplementation in clinical practice: a review of forms, doses, and indications. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2007;22(3):286-296.