

Molecular and Physiological Mechanisms of the Cadmium Response in Seedlings of Two *Theobroma cacao* L. Genotypes

Paola Delgadillo-Durán
Francisco Miguel Menéndez-Burns
Caren Rodríguez-Medina
Andrea Constanza Montenegro
Albert Istvan
Mark J. Gultinan
Roxana Yockteng
Siela N. Maximova
snm104@psu.edu

The Pennsylvania State University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6614-1058>

Research Article

Keywords:

Posted Date: February 10th, 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-8509096/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

Aims

Understanding the mechanisms of cadmium (Cd) accumulation in cacao plants is critical for mitigating health risks associated with Cd exposure through chocolate consumption and for guiding plant breeding strategies. A general and genotype-specific molecular and physiological responses were characterized.

Methods

The seedlings of the two cacao genotypes, PA121 and TSH660, were exposed to 0 and 10 ppm Cd in hydroponic conditions. Leaf and root samples were collected at 0, 24, and 48 h (RNAseq) and at 60 days post-treatment (ICP-OES). Gene expression profiles of Cd-treated and untreated plants were compared using differential gene expression (DEG) and gene ontology analyses. Gas exchange and abscisic acid (ABA) measurements were conducted on greenhouse-grown seedlings of genotype PA121.

Results

The number of DEGs recorded in roots was nearly twice as high as in leaves at 48 h after Cd exposure. Shared and genotype-specific DEGs related to detoxification, reactive oxygen species, and hormone pathways were upregulated in roots, and carbohydrate, tricarboxylic acid cycle, fatty acid, and terpenoid synthesis DEGs were activated in leaves. Additionally, genes from Cd-transport families, such as ZIP/IRT and NRAMP, were downregulated in roots. More significantly, ABA-associated biosynthetic and signaling transcripts and ABA abundance increased in roots after Cd treatment. PA121 seedlings exposed to 12 ppm Cd exhibited reduced stomatal conductance without a significant decline in photosynthesis.

Conclusions

These findings are consistent with a model in which Cd triggers ABA-linked root signaling that reduces stomatal conductance and mass flow and reduces expression of active Cd²⁺ transport, thereby limiting Cd uptake.

Introduction

Cadmium (Cd), a heavy metal element with acute toxicity to humans, has been detected in cacao seeds from several Latin American and Caribbean countries at levels exceeding the EU regulatory limit (Meter et al., 2019). Cd accumulation in edible plant tissues poses a significant risk to human health. In plants, heavy metal stress has driven the evolution of coping mechanisms such as sequestration, chelation, compartmentalization, and exclusion from the stele via physical barriers (Lux et al., 2011). Cd enters plants primarily through the roots and is redistributed via transport pathways shared with essential micronutrients (Lux et al., 2011; Rai et al., 2019). Sensitivity to Cd is typically characterized by pronounced growth inhibition and strong molecular responses (Rui et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2018). Cd tolerance, in contrast, refers to the ability to maintain growth despite high Cd exposure without

necessarily hyperaccumulating the metal (Ernst et al., 2008). For food crops, an ideal phenotype would combine normal growth on Cd-contaminated soils with the ability to exclude Cd from edible tissues (Wang et al., 2021).

Cd movement toward the root stele occurs primarily by mass flow (Barber, 1995; Sterckeman et al., 2004). Several micronutrient transporters have been functionally validated in cacao, including members of the NRAMP family, TcNRAMP6 and TcNRAMP5, as well as TcHMA3, which mediate Zn and Cd transmembrane transport (Ullah et al., 2018; Moore et al., 2020a). Similarly, TcIRT1 has been shown to facilitate the uptake of Cd²⁺ and Fe²⁺ (Dashner, 2024). Beyond transport, structural components, such as lignin, also play a role in Cd stress responses. Lignin, a major secondary cell wall component, binds positively charged particles (Parrotta et al., 2015), and its biosynthesis is commonly upregulated in plants in response to Cd exposure (Yang et al., 2007; Kováčik and Klejdus, 2008; Lux et al., 2011; Elobeid et al., 2012; Rui et al., 2016). Subcellular localization of Cd in *Arabidopsis thaliana* roots suggests that Cd precipitates with sulfur (S) in the endodermis and with phosphorus (P) in the apoplast (Van Belleghem et al., 2007). Cd's high affinity for thiol groups could explain why sulfur uptake often increases following Cd treatment (Ernst et al., 2008). High-affinity sulfur transporters (*SULTRs*) are upregulated after Cd treatment in *A. thaliana* (Herbette et al., 2006), *Oryza sativa* (Dubey et al., 2014), and other species (Verbruggen et al., 2009).

Early signaling responses to Cd stress include a rapid spike in calcium levels in *A. thaliana* (Zhang et al., 2023a), followed by an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS), a pattern also observed in tobacco, pea, and alfalfa (Chmielowska-Bąk et al., 2014). ROS accumulation in response to Cd is time- and dose-dependent, as shown in soybean roots (Pérez-Chaca et al., 2014) and in *Nicotiana tabacum* cells, where ROS originate from multiple subcellular locations (Garnier et al., 2006). Antioxidant defenses are activated in parallel, including regulation of glutathione-S transferase (*GST*) genes in *A. thaliana* (Herbette et al., 2006), *Cosmos bipinnatus* (Liu et al., 2017), and *Ocimum gratissimum* (Wang et al., 2023a).

Hormonal modulation is another hallmark of Cd stress. Absciscic acid (ABA) levels increase in *Solanum tuberosum* roots (Stroiński et al., 2013) and *O. sativa* leaves within 72 h of Cd treatment (Hsu and Kao, 2003). Ethylene production rises in *Glycine max* roots (6–24 h) (Chmielowska-Bak et al., 2013), *Pisum sativum* (at 14 days) (Rodriguez-Serrano et al., 2009) and *A. thaliana* leaves (24 h) (Schellingen et al., 2014). Conversely, indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) levels decrease in *A. thaliana* roots (7 days) and *Populus trichocarpa* stems (24 days) (Chmielowska-Bąk et al., 2014).

In our study, we observed differences in gene expression between control and Cd-treated plants, as well as between two genotypes differing in Cd uptake. We also propose a potential link between the observed molecular responses and hormone accumulation in leaves of young cacao plants. Our results could provide a basis for identifying markers for marker-assisted selection and targets for gene editing to develop cacao varieties with reduced Cd accumulation.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

Plants used for Cd concentration measurement, growth parameters, and RNA purification were grown in the AGROSAVIA Research Center located in Palmira, Valle del Cauca, Colombia (3°31'12 "N, 76°19'50"W at ~ 100 m.a.s.l.), with an average annual temperature of 26°C and relative humidity of 65%. Plants were grown from seeds obtained from two clonally propagated mother plants. Genotypes TSH660 and PA121 were selected based on differences in Cd accumulation observed in their progeny during an initial hydroponic experiment (Hernández-Varela et al., 2025, in preparation). Open-pollinated seeds from the mother plants of both genotypes were germinated in sand, grown for two months in a greenhouse, and transferred to 150 L containers with half-strength Hoagland's solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950) (7.5 mM N, 3.5 mM K, 2.5 mM Ca, 0.5 mM P, 0.1 mM S, 1 mM Mg, 1.01 mM Fe, 0.5 mM B, 0.5 mM Mn, 0.05 mM Zn, 0.02 mM Cu, and 0.01 mM Mo). For transcriptome analysis, seedlings were grown in three individual 150 L tanks, each housing one plant of each genotype, totaling three plants per genotype. After four weeks, Cd(NO₃)₂ was added to a concentration of 10 ppm Cd (~ 0.089 mM Cd), increasing total nitrogen (N) by 0.027 mM. After two months of Cd exposure, Cd concentration in leaves and roots, and plant growth parameters were measured.

Plants used for gas exchange and phytohormone quantification were grown at The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA, USA, in a greenhouse with controlled conditions: 16 hours at 5355.9 Lux (SD = 5516.9 Lux), 29.82°C (SD = 5.9°C), and humidity at 73.84% RH (SD = 15.43% RH). Forty-eight seeds from open-pollinated PA121 mother plants were obtained from the USDA, ARS Tropical Agriculture Research Station in Mayagüez, Puerto Rico. They were germinated in 32-Star Deep Plug Trays (T.O. Plastics, Clearwater, MN) filled with sand and misted for 2 minutes every 8 minutes. Plants were transferred after a week to 7 in D40H Deepots™ (Stuwe & Sons, Tangent, Oregon) with two drippers (flow rate of 22 mL minute⁻¹), and fertigated with a modified Hoagland's solution (16 mM N, 6 mM K, 4 mM Ca, 2 mM P, 1 mM S, 1 mM Mg, 0.00537 mM Fe, 0.05 mM Cl, 0.025 mM B, 0.002 mM B, 0.002 mM Zn, 0.0005 mM Cu, 0.00350 mM Mo) twice a day at 8 a.m. and 6 p.m. for 2 minutes each time for 3 months before 48 plants were randomly assigned to 3 treatment levels (n = 16) of Cd²⁺ ion (added CdCl₂): ~0.055 mM (6.13 ppm), ~ 0.1096 mM (12.26 ppm) or without Cd²⁺ treatment.

Cd Analysis

Cd concentrations were measured at the AGROSAVIA Research Center, Tibaitatá (Mosquera, Colombia) using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES Thermo Scientific ICAP 6500) following a modified method of the US EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency, 1996; US Environmental Protection Agency, 2007). Calibration curves were performed using the standard reference material from the National Institute of Standards and Technology, a solution of 1000 mg/L Cd prepared by digesting Cd(NO₃)₂ in 0.5 mol/L HNO₃ (Certipur®). Calibration curves were performed using

reference cacao material from genotype WEPAL IPE 965 (WEPAL, 2019) provided by Wageningen Agricultural University (Netherlands).

Tissue sampling, RNA purification, RNA-Seq library preparation, and sequencing

Leaves at developmental stage C (Fister et al., 2016) were excised from plants once before the application of Cd (time point 0) and then 24 and 48 hours after the application of Cd (AC), then immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C. Root tissues were sampled similarly from the same plants at 0 and 48 hours. One hundred mg of tissue from each sample was ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle and used to purify total RNA with the PureLink™ Plant RNA Reagent, following the protocol of Yockteng *et al.* (2013). RNA concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using a NanoDrop® 2000 (ThermoScientific). RNA was separated on a 1% agarose gel and stained with GelRed® (Biotium) to confirm RNA integrity. Barcoded libraries were made from 1 µg of RNA using the TruSeq RNA Library Prep Kit v2, following the manufacturer's Instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA). The quality of each library was assessed using a high-sensitivity DNA kit on an Agilent TapeStation 4200 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). In total, 18 libraries from leaf tissue and 12 from root tissue were constructed and sequenced using a 150 bp paired-end strategy on an Illumina HiSeq X system, dedicating one lane to each replicate tank, targeting a 300 bp insert size. Sequencing was performed at Macrogen Inc. (Seoul, South Korea).

Transcriptome assembly and read mapping

Adapters and low-quality reads were removed using Trimmomatic (Bolger, Lohse, and Usadel, 2014), and the quality of the remaining reads was verified using FastQC (Andrews, 2010). Filtered reads were mapped using Hisat2 (Kim et al., 2019) to a GFF annotation containing the last exon of each gene model of Criollo B97 from Belize V2 reference genome (Argout et al., 2017) (Figure S1A-P). Fractional counts were calculated using the *featureCounts* package (Liao, Smyth, and Shi, 2014). Genes with less than 10 normalized reads across samples were removed.

Log2 fold change calculation, filtering, and size factor estimation

DEGs were identified using functions from the package DESeq2 (Michael et al., 2022) and an *ad hoc* R function created to minimize type I error and maximize DEG discovery. The function had three main capabilities: 1) filtering genes based on mapping events across libraries, as performed by the edgeR package (Robinson, McCarthy, and Smyth, 2010); 2) utilizing the row variance for the independent filtering statistic as suggested previously (Bourgon et al., 2010); 3) performing steps one and two and normalization using gene dispersion values only using libraries included in the comparison. An adjusted p-value cut-off of 0.05 was applied.

The gene expression model included "genotype" and "time after Cd treatment (AC)" as the main effects and a blocking factor to account for tank-to-tank variation. The interaction between time AC and genotype was examined. Since each plant was continuously sampled throughout the experiment, each

plant is nested within the interaction of the "genotype" and "block" terms. PA121 and 0 h were used as references. Another set of models without "genotype" was applied to compute log₂-fold changes of combined genotypes (model matrix and contrast weights; Table S1 A-C).

Ortholog classification, pathway mapping, and subcellular localization prediction

DEGs were reciprocally blasted using CRB-BLAST (Aubry et al., 2014) to the coding sequences (CDS) of the *A. thaliana* genome (arabidopsis.org) using three E-value cut-offs: 10^{-2} , 10^{-3} , and 10^{-5} . Gene families were then identified using PlantTribes2 (Wafula et al., 2023). KEGG pathway mapping (Kanehisa et al., 2017) was performed using orthogroups identified by KOFAM KOALA (Aramaki et al., 2020). Subcellular localization was predicted using DeepLoc2 (Thummuluri et al., 2022).

Gene Ontology term enrichment analysis and clustering

Gene Ontology (GO) terms (Ashburner et al., 2000; Aleksander et al., 2023) were assigned using Blast2GO (Götz et al., 2008). Overrepresentation analysis (ORA) was done using Fisher's hypergeometric test in the "Fast GSEA" package (Korotkevich, Sukhov, and Sergushichev, 2019) against reference genes with at least one normalized count across their respective tissue-specific libraries. GO term semantic similarity indices and clustering were performed using "SimplifyEnrichment" (Gu and Hübschmann, 2022), the Rel method (Schlicker et al., 2006), and the binary-cut algorithm (Mezentsev, 2017). Graphs were produced with R packages (Wickham, 2009; Gu, Eils, and Schlesner, 2016).

Photosynthesis and Gas exchange measurements

Photosynthesis (A) and gas exchange (Gs) were measured using a LI-6400 XT photosynthesis system with a Leaf Fluorescence Chamber (LCF) (Li-Cor®, Lincoln, NE) in survey mode. The system had a constant flow of $500 \mu\text{mol s}^{-1}$, complete desiccant bypass, reference CO₂ of $400 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$, and light set to match the outside of the chamber at 6210 Lux. Measurements were taken at 8:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. for two days after the onset of the treatments.

Hormone quantification

The methods were adapted from published protocols (Trapp et al., 2014; Floková et al., 2020). Plants were uprooted and cleaned in water, from which fully developed E-leaves and adventitious root material were separated in super-cold conditions (dry ice). One hundred mg of frozen tissue per sample was ground in liquid nitrogen. One mL of extraction buffer (7 parts methanol to 3 parts water, with 5 nM of labeled standards) was added, samples were vortexed and left to shake for 30'/4°C. Tubes were centrifuged at 16,000xg for 5'/4°C, and the supernatant was freeze-dried with centrifugation. Samples were resuspended in fresh extraction buffer without internal standards using sonication in an ice bath for 10 minutes, centrifuged at 16,000xg for 5'/4°C, and the supernatant was analyzed by The Huck Institutes' Metabolomics Core Facility (RRID: SCR_023864) using a Sciex ZenoTOF 7600 LC-MS.

Results

Genotypic variation in Cd uptake in roots and leaves of hydroponically grown cacao seedlings

The Cd concentrations in tissues of 2 month-old plants treated with 10 ppm Cd were significantly higher than those of the controls, both in leaves (one-sided t-test, $t = 4.2205$, $df = 19$, $p = 2.315 \times 10^{-4}$) and in roots (one-sided t-test, $t = 16.459$, $df = 20$, $p = 2.05 \times 10^{-13}$) (Table 1) (Fig. 1A, B). On average, Cd concentration in the roots was higher than in leaves, regardless of treatment (Table 1).

Table 1
Cd concentration and mass of plants treated with Cd

Tissue (Leaves v Roots)	Genotype (PA121 v TSH660) (N)	Cd treatment (10 ppm vs 0 ppm)	Cd measured (ppm) (95% C.I.)	Cd measured (ppm) (95% C.I.)	Dry weight (g) (95% C.I.)	Dry weight (g) (95% C.I.)
Leaves	PA121 (N = 3)	0 ppm	0.3 (C.I. 0.27)	0.43 (C.I. 0.3)	25.3 (C.I. 6.7)	18.3 (C.I. 9)
	TSH660 (N = 3)		0.5 (C.I. 0.98)		11.2 (C.I. 13.9)	
	PA121 (N = 9)	10 ppm	97.4 (C.I. 38.6)	52.3 (C.I. 25.7)	7.3 (C.I. 2)	6.7 (C.I. 1.2)
	TSH660 (N = 11)		15.3 (C.I. 13)		6.2 (C.I. 1.9)	
Roots	PA121 (N = 3)	0 ppm	7.5 (C.I. 22)	4.6 (C.I. 6.7)	7.6 (C.I. 5)	5.97 (C.I. 2.8)
	TSH660 (N = 3)		1.7 (C.I. 1.9)		4.3 (C.I. 5.7)	
	PA121 (N = 10)	10 ppm	1416.3 (C.I. 118.8)	1143.1 (C.I. 144.2)	2.4 (C.I. 1)	2.5 (C.I. 0.5)
	TSH660 (N = 11)		895.1 (C.I. 122.8)		2.6 (C.I. 0.5)	

In Cd-treated PA121 roots, the mean Cd concentration was ~ 1.6-fold higher than the average recorded for Cd-treated TSH660 roots (Table 1) (Fig. 1A). The average concentration in Cd-treated PA121 leaves was ~ 6.4-fold higher than the average recorded for Cd-treated TSH660 leaves (Table 1) (Fig. 1B). Cd concentrations in tissues from the two genotypes grown at 0 ppm Cd were not significantly different (Table 1). These results demonstrate that under our experimental conditions, progeny from open-pollinated PA121 accumulated more Cd in leaf and root tissues than those from TSH660. We designated the progeny from PA121 as the high accumulator, PA121(HA), and the progeny from TSH660 as the low accumulator, TSH660(LA).

Exposure to 10 ppm Cd significantly stunted plant growth, resulting in similar overall biomass between the two genotypes (Table 1; Figs. 1C and D). Under control conditions (0 ppm Cd), PA121 exhibited significantly greater leaf mass than TSH660 (one-sided $t = 3.9361$, $df = 2.8835$, $p = 0.0157$) and marginally greater root mass (one-sided $t = 1.8287$, $df = 3.952$, $p = 0.07115$).

Differential gene expression after cadmium treatment

The 18 transcriptome libraries averaged over 16 million reads per sample, with an average mapping rate of 85.7%. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained from 14 linear contrasts (Table S1B-C). The number of DEGs from the main contrasts, the percent of upregulated DEGs of these contrasts, and selected intersections are represented in Fig. 2. A table with all differential expression results is presented in Table S2.

After 48h of Cd treatment, we identified 4185 shared DEGs in roots (shared response, Fig. 2A). The shared response in leaves had significantly fewer DEGs than in roots; in leaves, 1162 at 24h AC and 1999 at 48h AC (Fig. 2A). In the shared response, the number of downregulated DEGs was higher in the roots (2344/4185 DEGs or 56%). Conversely, upregulation was higher in leaves (1127/1999 DEGs or 56.4%), deviating significantly from a 1:1 expectation ($X^2 = 82.21$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, Fig. 2C).

PA121(HA) had 2297 DEGs in roots 48h AC, and 1538 and 604 in leaves 48h and 24h AC, respectively (Fig. 2A). The number of downregulated DEGs was greater than expected in roots, while in leaves, upregulated DEGs were greater than expected ($X^2 = 112.45$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$). On the other hand, TSH660(LA) had 2396 DEGs in roots 48h AC, slightly higher than in PA121(HA) (Fig. 2A). In leaves, TSH660(LA) showed 180 DEGs at 48h and 57 DEGs at 24h, fewer than PA121(HA), which showed 547 and 1358 DEGs at 24h and 48h, respectively (Fig. 2A). Nevertheless, the number of downregulated DEGs in TSH660(LA) roots still deviated significantly from a 1:1 expectation ($X^2 = 11.849$, $df = 1$, $p\text{-value} = 0.0005771$) (Fig. 2C).

GO Term enrichment analysis

To gain insight into the mechanisms activated during Cd-response, enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms from ten predefined sets of DEGs were identified (Table 2, Table S3). In roots, the enriched GO terms in these sets of DEGs were combined, resulting in a total of 199 unique Biological Process (BP), 90 Molecular Function (MF), and 41 Cellular Component (CC) GO terms. In leaves, there were 63 BP, 18 MF, and 7 CC unique GO terms. After calculating semantic similarities and removing GO terms with zero similarity, 37 BP, 27 MF, and 7 CC remained in roots, and 14 BP in leaves. BP GO was prioritized because it exhibited the most enriched GO terms and provided the most informative GO categories. BP GO produced eight clusters, with at least two GO terms per cluster, a relaxed criterion that ensured maximal retention of GO terms (Fig. 3, Table 3). The clustering analysis for MF is presented in Figure S2. A second hypergeometric test compared GO term names in each cluster to the names within their ontology, identifying enriched keywords used to label each cluster (Table 3, Fig. 3A, B).

Table 2

GO term enrichment results. The column (DEG Set) states the comparison, the second column lists the number of DEGs, followed by the number of enriched BP GO in roots, and the third column lists the enriched BP GO in leaves. The 10 sets included are those that had enriched BP GO (non-zero).

N°	DEG Set	DEG / Enriched BP GO Roots	DEG / Enriched BP GO Leaves
1	Tc48h/0h (shared response)	4185 / 120	1999 / 30
2	PA121HA 48h/0h	2297 / 86	1538 / 16
3	TSH660LA 48h/0h	2396 / 64	180 / 11
4	Intersection of 2 & 3	1378 / 51	114 / 9
5	Complements TSH660LA	1018 / 4	66 / 0
6	Complements PA121HA	919 / 0	1424 / 9

Table 3
GO term clusters

Clusters in Root	Cluster Name	Number (GO terms)	Genotype
1	Blue light abscisic activated defense and ion response signaling pathway	19	Both
2	Catabolic process	4	Both
3	Response	2	Both
4	Unnamed	2	Both
5	Signaling	2	HA only (PA121)
6	Ion transport	4	Both
7	Dephosphorylation	2	Both
8	Cell	2	
Clusters in Leaf	Cluster Name		
1	Protein refolding	3	Both
2	Cellular heat response	9	Both
3	Cell detoxification	2	LA only (TSH660)

Cd activation of indole acetic acid, abscisic acid, melatonin, strigolactone, and ethylene biosynthetic and signaling pathways in roots

DEGs contributing to each enriched BP GO term in the "blue light-activated abscisic acid, defense, and ion response" cluster were classified into orthologous protein families and mapped to KEGG pathways. Gene families identified using KOFAM were consolidated with those identified using PlantTribes2. Genes were mapped to the biosynthetic steps and signal transduction components of various phytohormones, including indole-3-acetic acid (IAA or Auxin), abscisic acid (ABA), strigolactones, melatonin (Mt), ethylene, and strictosidine (Fig. 4). Mapping results are presented in Table S4.

Indoles

In the indole biosynthetic pathway (Fig. 4A and D), two orthologs of a terminal amino acid transferase (*TAA*) gene family, which deaminates Trp to indole-3-pyruvic acid (IPA), XM_007019556.2 and XM_007019553.2, were downregulated. The first one was significantly downregulated 21-fold ($-4.39 \log_2$ -fold) when combining both genotypes, and the second one was downregulated 1.7-fold ($-0.8 \log_2$ -fold) only in PA121(HA) (Fig. 4A). Additionally, seven downstream signaling components of the IAA, members of the *AUX/IAA* gene family, were upregulated, except for XM_007034894.2, an ortholog of *AtIAA14*, which was downregulated ~ 4 -fold (Table S2 and Fig. 4D). A *SNAT* gene that acetylates serotonin (XM_007045624.2) was upregulated 3.11-fold ($1.64 \log_2$ -fold) at 48h AC in TSH660(HA) (Fig. 4A). One candidate of a caffeoyl-methyltransferase (*COMT*, XM_018121419.1) was downregulated 2.5-fold ($-1.33 \log_2$ -fold) in both genotypes, while another (XM_018120814.1) was upregulated 43.1-fold ($5.4 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA). A marked difference was observed between TSH660(LA) and PA121(HA) strictosidine synthase (*SS*) genes, *SS1* and *SS2*. Changes in expression occurred only in TSH660(HA). The *SS1* gene XM_018120572.1 was downregulated 2.54-fold ($-1.3 \log_2$ -fold) and three genes, XM_018126632.1, XM_018127382.1, and XM_007046480.2, were upregulated 3.11-fold ($1.6 \log_2$ -fold), 4-fold ($2 \log_2$ -fold), and 4.6-fold ($2.2 \log_2$ -fold) respectively.

Apocarotenoids

Several key genes encoding enzymes central to the ABA biosynthetic pathway were strongly upregulated in response to Cd treatment (Fig. 4B and F). The shared response identified a 5.9-fold ($2.56 \log_2$ -fold) upregulation of an ortholog to β -carotene hydrolase 1 (*BCH1*), XM_007038743.2, and a 1.76-fold ($0.81 \log_2$ -fold) upregulation of an ortholog to zeaxanthin epoxidase (*ZEP*), XM_007028495.2. Two orthologs of 9-cis epoxy dioxygenase (*NCED*), XM_007022118.2 and XM_018118862.1, were upregulated 7.5-fold ($2.9 \log_2$ -fold) and 8.6-fold ($3.1 \log_2$ -fold), respectively. Three xanthoxin dehydrogenases (*XDH*) were upregulated, and three were downregulated. Notably, XM_007027846.2 was upregulated 13.5-fold ($3.21 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA). In the indole aldehyde oxygenase (*IAO*) family, XM_007015509.2 was upregulated 2.3-fold ($1.22 \log_2$ -fold), while XM_018127404.1 was downregulated 6.5-fold ($-2.7 \log_2$ -fold). One ABA-hydroxylase (*ABAH*) candidate gene, XM_007016306.2, was upregulated 20.2-fold ($4.34 \log_2$ -fold) in both genotypes, and another, XM_007042365.2, 8.6-fold ($3.11 \log_2$ -fold) only in PA121(HA). On

the other hand, one *ABAH*, XM_018116623.1, was downregulated 4.8-fold ($-2.26 \log_2$ -fold) in PA121(HA) (Fig. 4B and F). Furthermore, signaling components downstream of ABA, the ABA receptor gene family *PYL*, XM_007008792.2, XM_007024407.2, XM_007026527.2, and XM_018127083.1, were downregulated 4.92-fold ($-2.3 \log_2$ -fold), 31.2-fold ($\sim -5 \log_2$ -fold), 4.1-fold ($-2.0 \log_2$ -fold), and 3.4-fold ($-1.8 \log_2$ -fold), while one, XM_007051517.2, was upregulated 2.9-fold ($1.5 \log_2$ -fold) (Fig. 4F).

Finally, a key step in strigolactone synthesis, the *CCD8* gene, also known as *MAX4* (XM_007052456.2), was upregulated 2.7-fold ($1.43 \log_2$ -fold) (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, two downstream receptors of strigolactones (Chen, Nelson, and Shukla, 2022), one annotated as a D14, ortholog of *AtKAI2*, XM_018128515.1, was downregulated 1.9-fold ($\sim 0.9 \log_2$ -fold), and another *MAX2* ortholog, XM_018123475.1, was upregulated 1.9-fold ($0.9 \log_2$ -fold) (Table S2).

Ethylene

The aminocyclopropane oxidase (*ACO*) XM_007019139.2 was downregulated 6.45-fold ($-2.69 \log_2$ -fold) in the shared response. The *ACO* XM_007026145.2 was upregulated 2.12-fold ($1.08 \log_2$ -fold) in PA121(HA) and the *ACO* XM_007012805.2 was upregulated 2.43-fold ($1.28 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA) (Fig. 4C and E).

Catabolism cluster, including regulation of GST, Lignin-forming peroxidases, ROS modulation, and sugar-mediated stress response in roots

The DEGs in the second-largest cluster, "catabolic process" included enzymes with catabolic functions, such as peroxidases (PER), glutathione S-transferases (GST), and enzymes involved in carbohydrate degradation, as well as chitinases (Fig. 5A). The PER included 31 genes annotated as lignin-forming peroxidases (*LFP*) (Fig. 5A). Of those, 25 were downregulated. In addition, 20 genes were genotype-specific to TSH660(LA) and 26 to PA121(HA), with an overlap of 17 genes between both genotypes. One *LFP*, XM_007045286.2, was strongly upregulated 175.7-fold ($7.5 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA) (Fig. 5A). In contrast to most other *LFPs*, XM_007011153.2 and XM_007011167.2 exhibited similar responses in roots and leaves (Fig. 5A). The next largest family in this cluster was the GST, which contained 21 genes (Fig. 5A). In the shared response, 15 were downregulated (Fig. 5A). In the genotype-specific response, only ten genes were significant for TSH660(LA) and 13 for PA121(HA), with an overlap of seven genes between genotypes (Fig. 5A). There were ten carbohydrate catabolism genes, ten genotype-specific to PA121(HA), and eight genotype-specific to TSH660(LA) (Fig. 5A). The two DEGs that were exclusive to PA121(HA) were an alpha-glucan water dikinase (*GWD*) gene, XM_007027585.2, and a phosphoglucan phosphatase *LSEX4*, XM_007011190.2 (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, we identified an alpha-amylase that was upregulated only in leaves of PA121(HA) (Fig. 5A).

Finally, 17 chitinases in the catabolism cluster are represented in the shared response: 12 are specific to PA121(HA), and nine are specific to TSH660(LA), with an overlap of seven DEGs (Fig. 5A). One marked

difference between genotypes was the 6.7-fold (-2.8 log₂-fold) downregulation of XM_007033739.2, an *EP3* chitinase in PA121(HA) roots (Fig. 5A). In leaves of PA121(HA) the endochitinases ortholog (*CHT1*), XM_007041606.2 was 15.8-fold (3.98 log₂-fold) upregulated, the endochitinases *EP3*, XM_018119383.1 was 76.7-fold (6.3 log₂-fold) upregulated, and the chitinase-2 (*CHT2*), XM_018127043.1, was 15.4-fold (3.9-log₂fold) upregulated (Fig. 5A).

Ion transport clusters indicating regulation of nitrogen, sulfur, and phosphate transporters

The ion transport cluster contains six sulfur (S), 21 nitrogen (N), and seven phosphate (P) transporters. This cluster also includes 16 calcium (Ca) transporters, 10 aquaporins, four annexins, and five receptor class proteins (Fig. 5B). Nitrogen transporters fell into two orthogroups of *NRT1/PTR* gene families, one containing 19 members (*NTR/PTR1*), of which eight were downregulated (Fig. 5B). *NTR1/PTR1* had 15 genotype-specific DEGs to PA121(HA), 13 to TSH660(LA), with an overlap of ten (Fig. 5A). The six S transporters belong to a single family (*SULTR*), with four of them being downregulated. Additionally, four were genotype-specific to each, with an overlap of two (Fig. 5B). P transporters had 2 DEGs in the *PHO1* gene family and five in the *IPT* family (Fig. 5B). In the *IPTs*, TSH660(LA) had two downregulated genotype-specific genes. In PA121(HA), while five *IPT* genes were significantly downregulated, one *IPT*, XM_018122229.1, was upregulated 3.9-fold (1.97 log₂-fold) (Fig. 5B). Membrane intrinsic proteins (aquaporins) have five tonoplast intrinsic proteins (*TIP*), four plasma membrane intrinsic proteins (*PIP*), and one NOD25 intrinsic protein (*NIP*). One *PIP* gene, XM_007016678.2 (*TcPIP2-2*), exhibited a relatively high 2-fold (1 log₂-fold) upregulation, exclusive to TSH660(LA). More Ca transporters were regulated in PA121(HA), which had seven genotype-specific DEGs compared to four in TSH660(LA). Despite this, one member of the *CAX2* gene family, XM_007016045.2, was 15-fold (3.99-log₂-fold) upregulated specifically in TSH660(LA). This contrasted with the 1.3-fold upregulation in PA121(HA), which did not pass the significance cut-off (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, in leaves, this gene was genotype-specific to PA121(HA) and was downregulated 5.4-fold (-2.42 log₂-fold) (Fig. 5B).

The potential overlap between the phosphate starvation response (PSR) in *A. thaliana* (Wang et al., 2023b) and the ion transport cluster in the Cd response in cacao was determined using the PSR genes from Table S1 in Z. Wang et al. (2023). In each gene family identified using PlantTribes2, genes from either *A. thaliana* or cacao had to all be regulated in the same manner (all upregulated or downregulated, Table S5). The log₂-fold averaged by gene families is plotted in Fig. 5C. In the ion transport cluster, all *CAX1*, *PHO1*, *CNGC*, and *NIP* were downregulated in cacao and upregulated in *A. thaliana* (Fig. 5C). In the catabolism cluster, the peroxidases-2 (*PER2*) and chitinases-1 (*CHT1*) were all downregulated in the cacao, while upregulated in the *A. thaliana*, except for the peroxiredoxin-2f gene family, which was downregulated in both (Fig. 5C).

Metal transporter gene families regulated by Cd in cacao

By searching the cacao genome for 16 gene superfamilies involved in metal or coordination compound transmembrane transport, 82 DEGs encoding metal transporters or metal detoxification genes were

identified, 75 in roots and 12 in leaves. Their subcellular localization was then predicted (Fig. 5D, Table S6). Seven were leaf-specific and 70 root-specific (Fig. 5D). Our results indicated that a Cd/Zn transporting PIB-2 *HMA* gene (XM_018127237.1) was downregulated 1.7-fold ($-0.77 \log_2$ -fold) in the leaves of the PA121(HA) 48 h AC (Fig. 5D). This protein is predicted to be localized to the cytosol membrane (CM). *TcHMA1* (XM_007047334.2) was also downregulated 2.1-fold ($1.1 \log_2$ -fold) in PA121(HA) leaves at 48h AC. In roots, the orthologs to HMA5 and RAN1 included two distinct members. The first one, *TcHMA5* (XM_018116084.1), predicted to localize to the CM, was downregulated 2.3-fold ($-1.22 \log_2$ -fold) in PA121(HA) at 48 h AC. Conversely, *TcRAN1* (XM_007040138.2), predicted to localize to the chloroplast membrane, was upregulated 3-fold ($1.6 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA) at 48h AC (Fig. 5D). *TcNRAMP6* (XM_018122485.1) was downregulated 3.4-fold ($1.77 \log_2$ -fold) in roots of both genotypes 48h AC. *TcNRAMP5* (XM_018125489) was downregulated 9.64-fold ($-3.26 \log_2$ -fold) in the roots and was genotype-specific to TSH660(LA) 48 h after Cd (Fig. 5D). The ZIP gene, *TcIRT1* (XM_018122962.1), was strongly downregulated in response to Cd treatment in both genotypes, 76.79-fold ($-6.27 \log_2$ -fold) in PA121(HA) and 39.24-fold ($-5.29 \log_2$ -fold) in TSH660(LA). Additionally, PA121(HA) had one *ZIP* family member, XM_018127227.1, downregulated 2.93-fold ($-1.55 \log_2$ -fold) in roots 48h AC. Plant Cd resistance (*PCRs*) were mostly downregulated in roots, with one member (XM_018116355.1) being upregulated in the leaves of PA121(HA) (Fig. 5D). The vacuolar iron transporter (*VIT*), XM_007042978.2, was 20-fold ($-4.32 \log_2$ -fold) downregulated, and one Yellow Stripe-Leaf (*YSL*), XM_007039100.2, was upregulated in roots of both genotypes while being downregulated in the leaves of PA121(HA) (Fig. 5D).

GO term clusters and DEGs mapping to TCA, carbohydrate, and fatty acid metabolic pathways

KEGG pathway analysis mapped 561 genes from Cellular Heat Response (CHR) leaf cluster (Fig. 3B) to 236 different pathways and clustered once more using the binary-cut algorithm (Table S7 and Figure S3). After this, thirty-nine genes with shared pathways were selected and used to create *ad hoc* groups related to carbohydrate metabolism (CHO), fatty acid (FA) metabolism, the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA), and terpenoid biosynthesis (Fig. 6). Twenty-eight of the 39 genes were significantly upregulated in PA121(HA) (Fig. 6). One DEG shared by both genotypes, a cupredoxin ascorbate oxidase (XM_007016622.2), was upregulated 10.3-fold ($3.4 \log_2$ -fold) at 48h AC. In the terpenoid group, an *NCED* (XM_007022118.2) was upregulated 5.6-fold ($2.5 \log_2$ -fold), and a purple acid phosphatase ortholog (XM_018116461.1) was downregulated 2.6-fold ($1.4 \log_2$ -fold); both genes are related to ABA signaling. The shared response in the carbohydrate cluster included a cell wall invertase 1, XM_018122432.1, which was upregulated 7-fold ($2.8 \log_2$ -fold), and a beta-amylase, XM_018117250.1, downregulated 4.7-fold ($2.2 \log_2$ -fold). All DEGs were specific to PA121(HA) in the fatty acid cluster. *Eceriferum* had two members: one downregulated (XM_018115329.1) 3-fold ($-1.6 \log_2$ -fold) and another (XM_007008667.2) upregulated 10.7-fold ($3.4 \log_2$ -fold). Cell wall invertases (*CWIN*), raffinose synthase (*RS*), and galactinol transferase (*GalT*) were upregulated in PA121(HA) leaves. One *CWIN*, XM_018117430.1, was downregulated in TSH660(LA) roots.

Genes with convergent and divergent gene expression patterns

In this analysis, convergent genes were defined as those that were differentially expressed at 0 h but not at 48 h. Conversely, divergent genes were not differentially expressed at 0 h but became so at 48 h AC. In roots, 322 convergent genes and 308 divergent genes were identified. In the divergent group, 45 genes became divergent due to changes in expression in PA121(HA) and 54 genes due to changes in expression in TSH660(LA). The convergent and divergent genes in leaves were similarly identified, as 182 and 867 DEGs, respectively. In leaves, 338 genes became convergent due to changes in expression specific to PA121(HA) and six due to changes in expression specific to TSH660(LA). The convergent and divergent genes were cross-referenced to genes contributing to each semantic similarity cluster (Table 3). The list of genes is provided in Table S8. The expression changes for the top genes in both groups were plotted by tissue in Figure S4.

Cd treatment in PA121 reduced gas exchange in cacao leaves and increased ABA concentration in roots

To test whether ABA-related transcriptional changes under Cd exposure translated into physiological responses, we measured stomatal conductance (Gs), photosynthesis (A), and ABA concentrations in cacao seedlings under 0, 6, and 12 ppm Cd²⁺. Cd treatment had a significant effect on stomatal conductance (one-way ANOVA, $p = 0.0192$), accounting for 20.8% of its variation (Fig. 7A); however, photosynthesis was unaffected ($p = 0.6151$) (Fig. 7B). Tukey's post hoc test indicated that plants treated with 12 ppm Cd had significantly lower Gs than the control group at the end of the experiment (difference = -0.0119, $p\text{-adj.} = 0.0145$). No significant differences were observed between plants treated with six ppm Cd and the controls (difference = -0.0051, $p\text{-adj.} = 0.4242$) or between plants treated with 12 ppm and six ppm (difference = -0.0067, $p\text{-adj.} = 0.2363$) (Fig. 7A). In plants treated with 12 ppm, a significant difference in Gs was observed between the morning of the first day and the afternoon of the second day (difference = -0.0190, $p = 0.0499$) (Fig. 7C). Like before, no significant changes in A were detected (Fig. 7D). After 36 hours, the mean ABA concentration in roots was significantly higher in the 12-ppm group (1.95 nM) compared to the control group (0.21 nM) ($t = 4.0822$, $df = 2.0528$, $p = 0.0264$) (Fig. 7E). No significant difference between the treated and control groups was observed in leaf ABA concentrations ($t = 0.3749$, $df = 3.0921$, $p = 0.366$) (Fig. 7F).

Discussion

Theobroma cacao exhibits both physiological and molecular adaptations to cadmium (Cd) exposure. TSH660(LA) genotype inherently absorbs significantly less Cd in its roots, approximately 63% of the amount absorbed by PA121(HA) genotype, and translocates markedly less to its leaves, at only ~ 15.7% of the PA121(HA) levels (Fig. 1A-B). In contrast, PA121(HA) tolerated a higher Cd uptake and translocation, as well as a more robust activation of stress-response genes in leaves. These divergent strategies are reflected at the transcriptomic level: TSH660(LA) shows fewer differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in its leaves, consistent with an avoidance mechanism. PA121(HA), on the other hand, exhibits a substantially higher number of DEGs in its leaves (Fig. 2A), with enrichment in genes associated with heat stress responses (Fig. 3B), including heat shock proteins typically activated under abiotic and biotic stress conditions.

In cacao, at 48 h after Cd exposure, the number of DEGs was nearly twice as high in roots (4185) as in leaves (1999) (Fig. 2B). In leaves, PA121(HA) had 1538 DEGs compared to 180 in TSH660(LA), where in roots, both genotypes showed a similar number of DEGs (Fig. 2B). The higher number of DEGs in roots aligns with findings in *Solanum lycopersicum* (Chen et al., 2023), *Brassica juncea* (Thakur et al., 2019), *Nicotiana rustica* (Zhang et al., 2021) and *A. thaliana* (Herbette et al., 2006), but not in *N. tabacum* (Zhang et al., 2021). In cacao, both genotypes exhibited a higher proportion of downregulated genes in roots and more upregulated genes in leaves; a pattern not consistently observed in the other species cited.

Despite differences in gene expression and Cd accumulation in leaves and roots, the mechanisms of Cd response in both genotypes share common features. These include a stress-detection and fast-acting signaling phase, followed by hormone-mediated signaling. These cause transcriptional changes that may result in reducing Cd toxicity, such as divalent cation uptake downregulation (Fig. 5D); N and P uptake regulation (Fig. 5C); regulation of chelating molecules (Fig. 5A); and other adaptations related to water use, which include reduced stomatal conductance (Fig. 7A-C), and transcriptional changes that may be related to lowering the plants water potential and increasing leaf thickness (Figs. 5 and 6). We also anticipate an adverse effect on growth (Fig. 1C-D). Figure 8 integrates the data and the proposed model.

Although *T. cacao* is increasingly recognized as a model system for tropical perennial trees, the mechanisms underlying Cd²⁺ sensing remain poorly understood. We hypothesize that ROS and Ca²⁺ signaling occur in cacao plants, and, similar to *A. thaliana*, early responses to Cd involve a rapid calcium spike (Zhang et al., 2023b), followed by an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS), a pattern also observed in other plant species (Chmielowska-Bąk et al., 2014). Following this initial sensing event, hormone signaling can induce changes in gene expression. Consistent with this hypothesis, the largest cluster of GO terms in roots comprised 19 biological process (BP) categories, including 767 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in key biosynthetic and signaling pathways for ABA, IAA, strigolactone, and ethylene (Fig. 4). Likewise, ABA concentration increased in the roots of PA121(HA) (Fig. 7E). Plants of the genotype TSH660(LA) were not available at the time of the hormone quantification. While acknowledging this limitation, our findings establish a foundation for future investigations into hormone signaling under Cd stress in cacao, including studies across different genotypes and experiments employing hormone inhibitors or ABA-related mutants.

Cd is thought to alter water-use efficiency (WUE), prompting investigations into its link between drought tolerance and Cd accumulation in cacao (Ortiz-Álvarez et al., 2023). This relationship is plausible because reduced stomatal conductance lowers water loss to the atmosphere, which slows mass flow and consequently limits the uptake of solutes (Marschner and Rengel, 2011), including Cd (Page and Feller, 2015). Under our conditions, Cd significantly reduced stomatal conductance (Fig. 7A-B). This result agrees with previous publications that have reported negative effect of Cd on transpiration in cacao (dos Santos et al., 2020; Barroso et al., 2023). Both studies also reported a reduction in photosynthesis, suggesting another unintended effect of forced stomatal closure. Under our

experimental conditions, photosynthesis remained unchanged (Fig. 7C–D), likely due to the much shorter treatment duration.

To further explore the link between Cd exposure and WUE, we analyzed a previously published transcriptome data of cacao drought response (CDR) (Kulesza et al., 2024) where, similarly to cacao Cd response, we observed a strong correlation between the log2-fold changes of ABA-related genes, including *LEA* and *PYL*. However, some differences emerged. For instance, Cd affected the expression of nine *IAA/ARP* genes, while only six were differentially expressed in the CDR; of those, only three showed similar regulation patterns. A comparable trend was observed in the ethylene response factor (*ERF*) gene family. Additionally, transcriptional changes associated with reduced water availability were reflected in the largest GO term cluster in leaves, identified as “cellular response to heat” (Table 3). Within this cluster, induction of *Eceriferum* gene expression in leaves was observed, indicative of potential enhanced cutin biosynthesis and cuticular thickening, processes that likely contribute to reduced water loss due to transpiration. Furthermore, key enzymes for raffinose synthesis, identified as carbohydrate metabolism in leaves (Fig. 6), galactinol synthase (*GOLS1*), raffinose synthase (*RS*), and galactosyltransferase (*GalT*) (Elsayed et al., 2014), were significantly upregulated. Seven additional *GalT* and *RS* genes (Table S2) exhibited similar expression patterns to those observed in the cacao drought response.

Stagnation of mass flow alone may not suffice to limit Cd uptake, as the acquisition of most micronutrients (e.g., Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu) predominantly occurs via active transport, enabling efficient assimilation even at low external concentrations. *TcIRT1*, a key gene in Strategy I Fe uptake (Dashner, 2024), was strongly downregulated in roots: 48-fold in PA121(HA) and 22.72-fold in TSH660(LA) (Fig. 5D). Similarly, its Arabidopsis ortholog (*AtIRT1*) was induced under Fe deficiency but was downregulated when Fe deficiency was combined with 90 μ M Cd (Connolly et al., 2003), and after Cd exposure alone (Herbette et al., 2006). Together, these findings suggest a regulatory mechanism in which the expression of Cd-permeable transporters, such as *AtIRT1* and *TcIRT1*, is attenuated to limit Cd uptake. ABA has also been linked to *IRT1* downregulation under cadmium exposure in *A. thaliana*, suggesting a hormonal role in restricting Cd uptake (Shen et al., 2022).

TcNRAMP6 and *TcNRAMP5*, which mediate transmembrane transport of Zn and Cd (Ullah et al., 2018), exhibited differential expression. *TcNRAMP6* was upregulated in both genotypes, whereas *TcNRAMP5* was downregulated in TSH660 (LA) (Fig. 5D). These patterns suggest that suppression of specific NRAMP transporters may contribute to limiting Cd uptake. Evidence from Arabidopsis NRAMP knockout lines supports this hypothesis (Cailliatte et al., 2009). Similarly, Cd xylem translocation was reduced in *O. sativa* RNAi lines (Ishimaru et al., 2012). A comparable trend occurs *Nicotiana tabacum*, which accumulates less Cd than *Nicotiana rustica* and downregulates *NtNRAMP2* and *NtNRAMP6* in response to Cd exposure (Zhang et al., 2021). Although further functional validation in cacao is required, repression of Cd-permeable transporters appears to be a conserved mechanism across species for restricting Cd accumulation.

Among the heavy metal ATPases in cacao, only *TcHMA3* has been functionally characterized to date (Moore et al., 2020b). In our study, *TcHMA3* is strongly downregulated in the roots of PA121(HA) after 48 h of Cd exposure (Fig. 5D). This transcriptional response contrasts with *AtHMA3*, which was upregulated after 30 h of exposure to 50 μ M Cd (Herbette et al., 2006), but resembles the behavior of *AtHMA2* and *AtHMA4*, both of which are repressed by the transcription factors *AtMYC2* and *AtMYB43* following Cd exposure (Zheng et al., 2022; Cao et al., 2024). Given that *AtHMA2* and *AtHMA4* function as xylem loaders (Hussain et al., 2004) and *AtHMA3* mediates vacuolar sequestration (Morel et al., 2008), the divergent regulation observed in cacao warrants further investigation into the evolutionary dynamics and functional specialization of the HMA family in this species.

In addition, *TcHMA5* (XM_007040138.2), an ortholog to the ethylene-coupled Cu transporter *AtRAN1* (Li et al., 2017), was upregulated in the roots of TSH660(LA) (Fig. 5D), coinciding with changes in ethylene-related gene expression and signaling. This pattern suggests that *TcHMA5* may function similarly to *RAN1* and is consistent with its increased expression during leaf maturation (Kulesza et al., 2024). Furthermore, *TcHMA1*, whose Arabidopsis ortholog, *AtHMA1*, contributes to Zn detoxification (Kim et al., 2009), was downregulated in PA121(HA) leaves. These observations highlight genotype-specific regulation of HMA transporters under Cd stress.

Chelation, sequestration, and precipitation are critical processes in Cd detoxification. Nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) can directly precipitate (Van Belleghem et al., 2007), whereas sulfur (S) acts as a reducing agent in molecules such as glutathione S-transferases (GSTs), which mobilize Cd bound to glutathione (GSH) and detoxify ROS (Flores et al., 2000). In our study, we observed transcriptional changes in genes encoding for N, P, and S transporters (Fig. 5B-C). Changes in abundance or chelation were not tested directly, so we limit our discussion to their transcriptional behavior. Notably, given lignin's capacity for metal chelation, the downregulation of genes for lignin-forming proteins (Fig. 5A) is intriguing. *LFP* suppression has been associated with increased levels of monolignols, such as coumarins (Abdur Rahim et al., 2022). This raises an important question regarding the role of monolignols in the Cd response: whether they act as direct coordination compounds facilitating Cd mobilization or serve as precursors required for mobilizing other elements (Tsai and Schmidt, 2017).

In this study, no single factor was identified as the primary driver of differences in Cd uptake in cacao. However, we detected distinct differentially expressed genes (DEGs) that provide a foundation for future functional validation. The proposed model integrates observations from cacao with evidence from other species into a cohesive hypothesis involving water-use efficiency, specialized elemental transport, chelation, and detoxification. Experimental limitations, such as cacao's long juvenile phase, strict material exchange regulations, and controlled growth requirements, also constrained the number and frequency of experiments. Several hypotheses are outlined for future research aimed at developing climate-resilient, low-Cd cacao varieties. Importantly, this work represents the first published whole-transcriptome study of Cd response for the species.

In conclusion, this study reveals a coordinated physiological and molecular response in cacao aimed at mitigating Cd uptake and toxicity. This response involves hormonal signaling, reduced mass flow, modulation of nutrient and ion transport, and activation of detoxification pathways. Future research should focus on validating this model through investigations of cellular redox dynamics, monolignol-mediated chelation, root structural adaptations, and controlled phytohormone or inhibitor applications. Given the substantial overlap between drought and Cd responses, integrating not only both stressors (Ortiz-Álvarez et al., 2023) but also multiple kinds of omics may accelerate the development of climate-resilient, low-Cd cacao varieties. Finally, the molecular features identified here represent promising targets for marker-assisted selection and gene-editing strategies in cacao improvement.

Declarations

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Funding

This work was funded by the “Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación de Colombia” Agreements TV-18 and TV-19 through the “Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria” (AGROSAVIA), under the project: “Selección de parentales de cacao por resistencia a enfermedades y estrés hídrico, productividad, compatibilidad, menor absorción de cadmio y calidad”, ID 1000429, by the Pennsylvania State University College of Agricultural Sciences, the Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, the Endowed Program in the Molecular Biology of Cacao and USDA Hatch appropriations under Project #PEN05003 and Accession #7007428 and Project #PEN4879 and Accession #7005892.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the Huck Institutes' Metabolomics Core Facility (RRID: SCR_023864) for the use of the Sciex ZenoTOF 7600 LC-MS and Sergei Koshkin for helpful discussions, the team at USDA, ARS Tropical Agriculture Research Station in Mayagüez, Puerto Rico, for their service in providing cacao pods for our experiment, and Dr. Naomi Altman for supporting the statistical model design. They would also like to acknowledge BioRender® for providing a template for Figure 8, and OpenAI's ChatGPT and Grammarly for writing refinement and grammatical editing.

Author Contributions

PD-D designed the research, contributed new laboratory methods and assays, assembled the transcriptome, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. FMM-B, MG and SM designed the physiological experiments. FMM-B performed and analyzed the physiological experiments, contributed new analytical methods for phytohormone purification, contributed to the transcriptome assembly, contributed new analytical and computational tools, analyzed data, prepared all figures, and wrote the paper. IA and NC

contributed to the transcriptome assembly and analyzed data. MG and SM participated in the interpretation of the results and contributed to writing the manuscript. ACM designed the research. CR-M performed and set up greenhouse experiments to measure growth, Cd concentration, RNA purification, and sampled material. RY designed the research, collected samples, analyzed data, and contributed to writing the manuscript.

Data Availability Statement

The reads used in the current study are available at GenBank (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>) under the BioProject ID: PRJNA943175. The Licor 6400XT output and cleaned data used for gas exchange analyses are available on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17316758>). The scripts and analytical workflows used for data cleaning, statistical modeling, and figure generation are available in the associated GitHub repository at <https://github.com/FranciscoMenendez/cacao-cadmium-response>. The sequences obtained in this project belong to the Colombian State, as the country of origin of the genetic material, in accordance with the Convention on Biological Diversity, the Nagoya Protocol, and Andean Decision 391.

References

1. Abdur Rahim M, Atikur Rahman M, Korea Md Mizanur Rahman S, Song C, Li X, Jia B, Liu L, Wei P, Aamir Manzoor M, Wang F, et al (2022) Comparative Transcriptomics Unveil the Crucial Genes Involved in Coumarin Biosynthesis in *Peucedanum praeruptorum* Dunn. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.899819
2. Aleksander SA, Balhoff J, Carbon S, Cherry JM, Drabkin HJ, Ebert D, Feuermann M, Gaudet P, Harris NL, Hill DP, et al (2023) The Gene Ontology knowledgebase in 2023. *Genetics* 224: 1–14
3. Andrews S (2010) FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. <https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/>
4. Aramaki T, Blanc-Mathieu R, Endo H, Ohkubo K, Kanehisa M, Goto S, Ogata H (2020) KofamKOALA: KEGG Ortholog assignment based on profile HMM and adaptive score threshold. *Bioinformatics* 36: 2251–2252
5. Argout X, Martin G, Droc G, Fouet O, Labadie K, Rivals E, Aury JM, Lanaud C (2017) The cacao Criollo genome v2.0: An improved version of the genome for genetic and functional genomic studies. *BMC Genomics* 18: 1–9
6. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, et al (2000) Gene ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* 25: 25–29
7. Aubry S, Kelly S, Kümpers BMC, Smith-Unna RD, Hibberd JM (2014) Deep Evolutionary Comparison of Gene Expression Identifies Parallel Recruitment of Trans-Factors in Two Independent Origins of C4 Photosynthesis. *PLoS Genet*. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004365
8. Barber SA (1995) Soil nutrient bioavailability: a mechanistic approach, 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons, New York

9. Barroso JP, de Almeida A-AF, do Nascimento JL, Oliveira BRM, dos Santos IC, Mangabeira PAO, Ahnert D, Baligar VC (2023) The damage caused by Cd toxicity to photosynthesis, cellular ultrastructure, antioxidant metabolism, and gene expression in young cacao plants are mitigated by high Mn doses in soil. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 30: 115646–115665
10. Van Belleghem F, Cuypers A, Semane B, Smeets K, Vangronsveld J, D'Haen J, Valcke R (2007) Subcellular localization of cadmium in roots and leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytologist* 173: 495–508
11. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2114–2120
12. Bourgon R, Gentleman R, Huber W (2010) Independent filtering increases detection power for high-throughput experiments. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107: 9546–9551
13. Cailliatte R, Lapeyre B, Briat J-F, Mari S, Curie C (2009) The NRAMP6 metal transporter contributes to cadmium toxicity. *Biochemical Journal* 422: 217–228
14. Cao L, Liu L, Zhang C, Ren W, Zheng J, Tao C, Zhu W, Xiang M, Wang L, Liu Y, et al (2024) The MYC2 and MYB43 transcription factors cooperate to repress HMA2 and HMA4 expression, altering cadmium tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Hazard Mater*. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2024.135703
15. Chen J, Nelson DC, Shukla D (2022) Activation Mechanism of Strigolactone Receptors and Its Impact on Ligand Selectivity between Host and Parasitic Plants. *J Chem Inf Model* 62: 1712–1722
16. Chen L, Wu M, Jin W, Lei T, Li Y, Wu X, Fu S (2023) Gene identification and transcriptome analysis of cadmium stress in tomato. *Front Sustain Food Syst* 7: 1–11
17. Chmielowska-Bąk J, Gzyl J, Rucińska-Sobkowiak R, Arasimowicz-Jelonek M, Deckert J (2014) The new insights into cadmium sensing. *Front Plant Sci* 5: 1–13
18. Chmielowska-Bak J, Lefèvre I, Lutts S, Deckert J (2013) Short term signaling responses in roots of young soybean seedlings exposed to cadmium stress. *J Plant Physiol* 170: 1585–1594
19. Connolly EL, Campbell NH, Grotz N, Prichard CL, Guerinot M Lou (2003) Overexpression of the FRO2 Ferric Chelate Reductase Confers Tolerance to Growth on Low Iron and Uncovers Posttranscriptional Control. *Plant Physiol* 133: 1102–1110
20. Dashner ZS (2024) NOVEL BIOTECHNOLOGICAL APPROACHES TO UNDERSTAND AND REDUCE CADMIUM IN THE IRON UPTAKE PATHWAY OF THEOBROMA CACAO. Doctoral. The Pennsylvania State University
21. Dubey S, Shri M, Misra P, Lakhwani D, Bag SK, Asif MH, Trivedi PK, Tripathi RD, Chakrabarty D (2014) Heavy metals induce oxidative stress and genome-wide modulation in transcriptome of rice root. *Funct Integr Genomics* 14: 401–417
22. Elobeid M, Gö Bel C, Feussner I, Polle A (2012) Cadmium interferes with auxin physiology and lignification in poplar. *J Exp Bot* 63: 1413–1421
23. Elsayed AI, Rafudeen MS, Gollack D (2014) Physiological aspects of raffinose family oligosaccharides in plants: Protection against abiotic stress. *Plant Biol* 16: 1–8

24. Ernst WHO, Krauss GJ, Verkleij JAC, Wesenberg D (2008) Interaction of heavy metals with the sulphur metabolism in angiosperms from an ecological point of view. *Plant Cell Environ* 31: 123–143
25. Feng J, Jia W, Lv S, Bao H, Miao F, Zhang X, Wang J, Li J, Li D, Zhu C, et al (2018) Comparative transcriptome combined with morpho-physiological analyses revealed key factors for differential cadmium accumulation in two contrasting sweet sorghum genotypes. *Plant Biotechnol J* 16: 558–571
26. Fister AS, Shi Z, Zhang Y, Helliwell EE, Maximova SN, Guiltinan MJ (2016) Protocol: Transient expression system for functional genomics in the tropical tree *Theobroma cacao* L. *Plant Methods* 12: 1–13
27. Floková K, Shimels M, Andreo Jimenez B, Bardaro N, Strnad M, Novák O, Bouwmeester HJ (2020) An improved strategy to analyse strigolactones in complex sample matrices using UHPLC-MS/MS. *Plant Methods* 16: 1–17
28. Flores HE, Vivanco JM, Loyola-Vargas VM (2000) Plant glutathione s-transferases: enzymes with multiple functions in sickness and in health. *Trends Plant Sci* 5: 193–198
29. Garnier L, Simon-Plas F, Thuleau P, Agnel J-P, Blein J-P, Ranjeva R, Montillet J-L (2006) Cadmium affects tobacco cells by a series of three waves of reactive oxygen species that contribute to cytotoxicity. *Plant Cell Environ* 29: 1956–1969
30. Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, Williams TD, Nagaraj SH, Nueda MJ, Robles M, Talón M, Dopazo J, Conesa A (2008) High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36: 3420–3435
31. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M (2016) Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* 32: 2847–2849
32. Gu Z, Hübschmann D (2022) Simplify enrichment: A bioconductor package for clustering and visualizing functional enrichment results. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics*. doi: 10.1016/j.gpb.2022.04.008
33. Herbette S, Taconnat L, Hugouvieux V, Piette L, Magniette MLM, Cuine S, Auroy P, Richaud P, Forestier C, Bourguignon J, et al (2006) Genome-wide transcriptome profiling of the early cadmium response of *Arabidopsis* roots and shoots. *Biochimie* 88: 1751–1765
34. Hsu YT, Kao CH (2003) Role of abscisic acid in cadmium tolerance of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. *Plant Cell Environ* 26: 867–874
35. Hussain D, Haydon MJ, Wang Y, Wong E, Sherson SM, Young J, Camakaris J, Harper JF, Cobbett CS (2004) P-Type ATPase Heavy Metal Transporters with Roles in Essential Zinc Homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 16: 1327–1339
36. Ishimaru Y, Takahashi R, Bashir K, Shimo H, Senoura T, Sugimoto K, Ono K, Yano M, Ishikawa S, Arai T, et al (2012) Characterizing the role of rice NRAMP5 in Manganese, Iron and Cadmium Transport. *Sci Rep* 2: 1–8

37. Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K (2017) KEGG: New perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45: D353–D361
38. Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL (2019) Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. *Nat Biotechnol* 37: 907–915
39. Kim YY, Choi H, Segami S, Cho HT, Martinoia E, Maeshima M, Lee Y (2009) AtHMA1 contributes to the detoxification of excess Zn(II) in Arabidopsis. *Plant Journal* 58: 737–753
40. Korotkevich G, Sukhov V, Sergushichev A (2019) Fast gene set enrichment analysis. *bioRxiv. BioAr*
41. Kováčik J, Klejdus AB (2008) Dynamics of phenolic acids and lignin accumulation in metal-treated *Matricaria chamomilla* roots. *Plant Cell Rep* 27: 605–615
42. Kulesza E, Thomas P, Prewitt SF, Shalit-Kaneh A, Wafula E, Knollenberg B, Winters N, Esteban E, Pasha A, Provart N, et al (2024) The Cacao Gene Atlas: A Transcriptome Developmental Atlas Reveals Highly Tissue-Specific and Dynamically Regulated Gene Networks in *Theobroma cacao* L. *BMC Plant Biol*
43. Li W, Lacey RF, Ye Y, Lu J, Yeh KC, Xiao Y, Li L, Wen CK, Binder BM, Zhao Y (2017) Triplin, a small molecule, reveals copper ion transport in ethylene signaling from ATX1 to RAN1. *PLoS Genet* 13: 1–21
44. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) FeatureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 30: 923–930
45. Liu Y, Yu X, Feng Y, Zhang C, Wang C, Zeng J, Huang Z, Kang H, Fan X, Sha L, et al (2017) Physiological and transcriptome response to cadmium in cosmos (*Cosmos bipinnatus* Cav.) seedlings. *Sci Rep* 7: 1–16
46. Lux A, Martinka M, Vaculík M, White PJ (2011) Root responses to cadmium in the rhizosphere: A review. *J Exp Bot* 62: 21–37
47. Meter A, Atkinson R, Laliberte B (2019) Cadmium in cacao from Latin American and the Caribbean. *Biodiversity International*. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004
48. Mezentsev Y (2017) Binary cut-and-branch method for solving mixed integer programming problems. 2017 Constructive Nonsmooth Analysis and Related Topics (Dedicated to the Memory of VF Demyanov), CNSA 2017 - Proceedings 1–3
49. Michael A, Ahlmann-eltze C, Forbes K, Anders S (2022) Package ‘DESeq2’.
50. Moore RET, Ullah I, de Oliveira VH, Hammond SJ, Strekopytov S, Tibbett M, Dunwell JM, Rehkämper M (2020a) Cadmium isotope fractionation reveals genetic variation in Cd uptake and translocation by *Theobroma cacao* and role of natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 5 and heavy metal ATPase-family transporters. *Hortic Res* 7: 71
51. Moore RET, Ullah I, de Oliveira VH, Hammond SJ, Strekopytov S, Tibbett M, Dunwell JM, Rehkämper M (2020b) Cadmium isotope fractionation reveals genetic variation in Cd uptake and translocation by *Theobroma cacao* and role of natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 5 and heavy metal ATPase-family transporters. *Hortic Res* 7: 71

52. Morel M, Crouzet J, Gravot A, Auroy P, Leonhardt N, Vavasseur A, Richaud P (2008) AtHMA3, a P1B-ATPase Allowing Cd/Zn/Co/Pb Vacuolar Storage in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol* 149: 894–904
53. Ortiz-Álvarez A, Magnitskiy S, Silva-Arero EA, Rodríguez-Medina C, Argout X, Castaño-Marín ÁM (2023) Cadmium Accumulation in Cacao Plants (*Theobroma cacao* L.) under Drought Stress. *Agronomy*. doi: 10.3390/agronomy13102490
54. Parrotta L, Guerriero G, Sergeant K, Cai G, Hausman JF (2015) Target or barrier? The cell wall of early- and later-diverging plants vs cadmium toxicity: Differences in the response mechanisms. *Front Plant Sci* 6: 1–16
55. Pérez-Chaca MV, Rodríguez-Serrano M, Molina AS, Pedranzani HE, Zirulnik F, Sandalio LM, Romero-Puertas MC (2014) Cadmium induces two waves of reactive oxygen species in *Glycine max* (L.) roots. *Plant Cell Environ* 37: 1672–1687
56. Rai PK, Lee SS, Zhang M, Tsang YF, Kim KH (2019) Heavy metals in food crops: Health risks, fate, mechanisms, and management. *Environ Int* 125: 365–385
57. Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26: 139–140
58. Rodríguez-Serrano M, Romero-Puertas MC, Pazmino DM, Testillano PS, Risueno MC, del Rio LA, Sandalio LM (2009) Cellular Response of Pea Plants to Cadmium Toxicity: Cross Talk between Reactive Oxygen Species, Nitric Oxide, and Calcium. *Plant Physiol* 150: 229–243
59. Rui H, Chen C, Zhang X, Shen Z, Zhang F (2016) Cd-induced oxidative stress and lignification in the roots of two *Vicia sativa* L. varieties with different Cd tolerances. *J Hazard Mater* 301: 304–313
60. dos Santos MLS, de Almeida AAF, da Silva NM, Oliveira BRM, Silva JVS, Junior JOS, Ahnert D, Baligar VC (2020) Mitigation of cadmium toxicity by zinc in juvenile cacao: Physiological, biochemical, molecular and micromorphological responses. *Environ Exp Bot* 179: 104201
61. Schellingen K, Van Der Straeten D, Vandenbussche F, Prinsen E, Remans T, Vangronsveld J, Cuypers A (2014) Cadmium-induced ethylene production and responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana* rely on ACS2 and ACS6 gene expression. *BMC Plant Biol* 14: 1–14
62. Schlicker A, Domingues FS, Rahnenführer J, Lengauer T (2006) A new measure for functional similarity of gene products based on gene ontology. *BMC Bioinformatics* 7: 1–16
63. Shen C, Yang YM, Sun YF, Zhang M, Chen XJ, Huang YY (2022) The regulatory role of abscisic acid on cadmium uptake, accumulation and translocation in plants. *Front Plant Sci* 13: 1–13
64. Sterckeman T, Perriguet J, Caël M, Schwartz C, Morel JL (2004) Applying a mechanistic model to cadmium uptake by *Zea mays* and *Thlaspi caerulescens*: Consequences for the assessment of the soil quantity and capacity factors.
65. Stroiński A, Giżewska K, Zielezińska M (2013) Absciscic acid is required in transduction of cadmium signal to potato roots. *Biol Plant* 57: 121–127
66. Thakur S, Choudhary S, Bhardwaj P (2019) Comparative Transcriptome Profiling Under Cadmium Stress Reveals the Uptake and Tolerance Mechanism in *Brassica juncea*. *J Plant Growth Regul* 38: 1141–1152

67. Thumuluri V, Almagro Armenteros JJ, Johansen AR, Nielsen H, Winther O (2022) DeepLoc 2.0: multi-label subcellular localization prediction using protein language models. *Nucleic Acids Res* 50: W228–W234
68. Trapp MA, De Souza GD, Rodrigues-Filho E, Boland W, Mithöfer A (2014) Validated method for phytohormone quantification in plants. *Front Plant Sci* 5: 1–11
69. Tsai HH, Schmidt W (2017) Mobilization of Iron by Plant-Borne Coumarins. *Trends Plant Sci* 22: 538–548
70. Ullah I, Wang Y, Eide DJ, Dunwell JM (2018) Evolution, and functional analysis of Natural Resistance-Associated Macrophage Proteins (NRAMPs) from *Theobroma cacao* and their role in cadmium accumulation. *Sci Rep* 8: 1–15
71. US Environmental Protection Agency (1996) METHOD 3052: MICROWAVE ASSISTED ACID DIGESTION OF SILICEOUS AND ORGANICALLY BASED MATRICES. US EPA
72. US Environmental Protection Agency (2007) Method 6010C: Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry.
73. Verbruggen N, Hermans C, Schat H (2009) Mechanisms to cope with arsenic or cadmium excess in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 12: 364–372
74. Wafula EK, Zhang H, Von Kuster G, Leebens-Mack JH, Honaas LA, dePamphilis CW (2023) PlantTribes2: Tools for comparative gene family analysis in plant genomics. *Front Plant Sci* 13: 1–17
75. Wang B, Wang Y, Yuan X, Jiang Y, Zhu Y, Kang X, He J, Xiao Y (2023a) Comparative transcriptomic analysis provides key genetic resources in clove basil (*Ocimum gratissimum*) under cadmium stress. *Front Genet* 14: 1–16
76. Wang L, Zhang Q, Liao X, Li X, Zheng S, Zhao F (2021) Phytoexclusion of heavy metals using low heavy metal accumulating cultivars: A green technology. *J Hazard Mater*. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125427
77. Wang Z, Zheng Z, Zhu Y, Kong S, Liu D (2023b) PHOSPHATE RESPONSE 1 family members act distinctly to regulate transcriptional responses to phosphate starvation. *Plant Physiol* 191: 1324–1343
78. WEPAL (2019) WAGENINGEN EVALUATING PROGRAMS Certificate of Analysis (WEPAL) - ISE sample 890. 1–12
79. Wickham H (2009) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer New York
80. Yang YJ, Cheng LM, Liu ZH (2007) Rapid effect of cadmium on lignin biosynthesis in soybean roots. *Plant Science* 172: 632–639
81. Zhang Y, Chao J, Li X, Zhang C, Khan R, Du S, Xu N, Song L, Liu H, Shi Y (2021) Comparative transcriptome combined with biochemical and physiological analyses provide new insights toward cadmium accumulation with two contrasting *Nicotiana* species. *Physiol Plant* 173: 369–383

82. Zhang Y, Wang Z, Liu Y, Zhang T, Liu J, You Z, Huang P, Zhang Z, Wang C (2023a) Plasma membrane-associated calcium signaling modulates cadmium transport. *New Phytologist* 238: 313–331
83. Zhang Y, Wang Z, Liu Y, Zhang T, Liu J, You Z, Huang P, Zhang Z, Wang C (2023b) Plasma membrane-associated calcium signaling modulates cadmium transport. *New Phytologist* 238: 313–331
84. Zheng P, Cao L, Zhang C, Pan W, Wang W, Yu X, Li Y, Fan T, Miao M, Tang X, et al (2022) MYB43 as a novel substrate for CRL4PRL1 E3 ligases negatively regulates cadmium tolerance through transcriptional inhibition of HMAs in Arabidopsis. *New Phytologist* 234: 884–901

Figures




Figure 1. Cadmium accumulation and growth responses in cacao genotypes after chronic Cd exposure.

Cacao seedlings were grown with 0 or 10 ppm Cd for 2 months. (A–B) Cd concentrations in root and leaf tissues of PA121 (high accumulator, HA) and TSH660 (low accumulator, LA). (C–D) Root and leaf dry biomass under control (0 ppm) and Cd treatment (10 ppm). Error bars indicate the 95% CI, and a label indicating means are placed above each column. Only for plants treated with 10 ppm Cd, a two-sided t-test was performed to compare the mean Cd concentration across genotypes; the p-values are included above each comparison. For the rest, we relied on the 95% CI. See Table 1 for the sample size in each group, as well as the exact value of the 95% CI.

Figure 1

See image above for figure legend.





Figure 4. Expression of hormone biosynthetic and signaling genes from the blue light abscisic acid-activated defense and ion response signaling cluster. (A) Indole pathway genes. (B) Apocarotenoid pathway genes. (C) Ethylene biosynthesis genes. (D–F) Signaling components associated with indole, apocarotenoid, and ethylene pathways. Heatmaps display log₂ fold changes (48 h / 0 h) in root and leaf tissues for the combined genotypes (Both), TSH660(LA), and PA121(HA) from left to right. Time-course expression plots (0, 24, 48 h) are shown for selected gene families in roots and leaves. Asterisks denote significance: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.001$. Gene IDs are displayed in the figure; full gene names are provided in Supplementary Table S2.**

Figure 4

See image above for figure legend.



See image above for figure legend.




Figure 7. Physiological and hormonal responses of cacao seedlings to Cd treatment.

(A–B) Stomatal conductance (Gs) and photosynthetic rate (A) at 36 h under 0, 6, and 12 ppm Cd.

(C–D) Time-course of Gs and A over two days (AM and PM measurements) at 12 ppm Cd.

(E–F) Abscissic acid (ABA) concentrations in root and leaf tissues after 36 h. Cd exposure significantly increased ABA in roots ($p = 0.026$) but not in leaves ($p = 0.4$). Data represent means $\pm$ SE ($n = X$).

Figure 7

See image above for figure legend.




Figure 8

See image above for figure legend.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [FigureS1pvaldoff.pdf](#)
- [FigureS2MolecularFunctionClustersinRoots48h.pdf](#)
- [FigureS3LeafKeggheatmap.pdf](#)
- [FigureS4ConvergentDivergentTop5.pdf](#)
- [SupplementarytablesS1S8DelgadilloMenendezplantsoil.xlsx](#)