



Biochar application for enhancing water and nitrogen use efficiency of understory acacia species in a suburban native forest subjected to nitrogen deposition in Southeast Queensland

Weiling Sun · Yinan Li · Zhihong Xu ·
Yifan Bai · Shahla Hosseini Bai

Received: 20 November 2023 / Accepted: 22 March 2024 / Published online: 1 April 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Purpose The fuel reduction prescribed burning and biochar application can have significant impacts on water and nitrogen (N) use efficiency of understory acacia species as well as soil carbon (C) and N pools in a suburban native forest subject to N deposition in Southeast Queensland, Australia.

Methods We evaluated the impact of biochar application rates (0, 5.0 and 10.0 t biochar per hectare) and prescribed burning on soil-plant interactions in carbon (C) and N cycling in a suburban native forest in the first two years of biochar application or three and half years of the recently prescribed burning.

Results Anthropogenic N deposition not only enhanced N losses caused by N leaching and denitrification, but also inhibited biological N fixation (BNF) by increasing N availability in forest systems.

The *Acacia leiocalyx* with higher water use efficiency was more inclined to utilize easily available N resources (from N deposition), compared with *A. disparisemma*. In this study, biochar application could indeed reduce N loss in forest soil and improve soil fertility by improving plant water and N use efficiency. Meanwhile, soil moisture content affected by biochar application also influenced soil N transformations by affecting soil microbial activity.

Conclusion For urban forest soils, the high N availability caused by N deposition could inhibit the BNF in a suburban native forest ecosystem. The high-porosity physical structure of biochar applied increased the soil water content and soil N retention capacity.

Keywords N deposition · Water use efficiency · N use efficiency · Forest system · Soil moisture content · $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

Weiling Sun and Yinan Li contributed equally to this work.

Responsible Editor: Xinhua He.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-024-06647-1>.

W. Sun (✉) · Y. Li · Z. Xu (✉) · Y. Bai · S. H. Bai
Centre for Planetary Health and Food Security, School
of Environment and Science, Griffith University, Nathan,
Queensland 4111, Australia
e-mail: weiling.sun@griffithuni.edu.au

Z. Xu
e-mail: zhihong.xu@griffith.edu.au

Introduction

Since the industrial revolution and rapid development in the 150 years, we have dramatically altered the global nitrogen (N) cycle, with widespread and often divergent effects on ecological systems and environmental quality (Galloway et al. 2008; Xu et al. 2009; Succarie et al. 2022). The N cycling in terrestrial ecosystems is mainly driven by three primary sources: biological N fixation (BNF), N mineralization, and atmospheric N deposition. Among them,

the potential threat of atmospheric N deposition to forest health (Binkley and Högborg 1997) may cause N saturation, which increases the risk of N leaching, soil acidification and N denitrification (Conley et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2018a, b, c), which is causing concern about significant impacts on global forest health and plant biodiversity (Vitousek et al. 1997; Sala et al. 2000; Phoenix et al. 2006; Cecchini et al. 2021). At the same time, forest N dynamics interact closely with other biogeochemical cycles and greater N emissions contribute to a litany of well-documented and undesirable environmental changes, including loss of biodiversity (Bobbink et al. 2010; Aryal et al. 2022), soil and surface water acidification (Moldan and Wright 2011; Zhou et al. 2023) and reductions in air quality (Wolfe and Patz 2002; Wang et al. 2023).

Biochar is formed by heating organic material under low oxygen concentrations in a process known as pyrolysis (Lehmann et al. 2011; Rady et al. 2016; Haldar and Purkait 2021). Biochar is enriched in C, considered relatively stable in the soil, and has better nutrient retention than other forms of organic matter (Woolf et al. 2010; Nguyen et al. 2017; Farrar et al. 2022). Hence, adding biochar to the soil becomes an option for carbon (C) sequestration, potentially improving soil quality and reducing environmental pollutant release (Lehmann 2007; Gurwick et al. 2013; Das et al. 2023). Moreover, biochar can act as a soil amendment to affect nutrient cycling and plant growth by affecting microbial community composition and activity, water holding capacity and pH, as well as improving root growth (Kuzyakov et al. 2009; Liang et al. 2010; Robertson et al. 2012; Biederman and Harpole 2013; Thomas and Gale 2015; Liu et al. 2021). In addition, labile C fractions of biochar may also accelerate the decomposition of old soil organic matter through the priming effect (Cross and Sohi 2011; Zimmerman et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2016; Rasul et al. 2022).

Recently, although people have been interested in biochar as a soil amendment to improve soil quality, improve and maintain soil fertility, and increase soil C sequestration (Glaser et al. 2002; Das et al. 2021; Luo et al. 2023), there is still a lack of clear understanding of the characteristics of biochar produced from different raw materials and under different pyrolysis conditions and its interaction with soil. In addition, some researchers believe that biochar can

be used as a fertilizer to provide N for plant growth (Wu et al. 2016, 2017). By improving the pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), organic carbon and ash content of the soil (Rahim et al. 2020; Tomczyk et al. 2020), biochar may further reduce N loss from N leaching and ammonium volatilization (Deluca et al. 2015). In addition to helping the soil retain water and nutrients, the oxygen-containing functional groups on the biochar surface also improve the soil's water holding capacity (WHC) and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (Yu et al. 2018), promoting plant productivity and improving soil fertility (Palansooriya et al. 2019; Bai et al. 2022). The ability of biochar to directly supply nutrients is limited, but its application to soil can improve soil fertility by changing the availability of soil N (Zhu et al. 2017; Asadyar et al. 2021). The high-temperature biochar (600 °C) used in this study usually has a higher surface area, porosity and alkalinity, thereby enhancing the soil water holding capacity and nutrient use efficiency of the soil and improving soil nutrient uptake by plants (Zhang et al. 2015; Burrell et al. 2016; Ding et al. 2016; Zornoza et al. 2016). However, the optimal application rate of high-temperature biochar and its relationship with plant-soil interactions have not been studied well (Zhang et al. 2015; Lan et al. 2018).

Prescribed burning has been widely used as a forest management tool to reduce the risk of wildfire spread (May and Attiwill 2003; Reverchon et al. 2011; Bai et al. 2012; Francos and Úbeda 2021). Prescribed burning may cause N losses through volatilization and release terrestrial carbon (C) into the atmosphere (Thonicke et al. 2010; Muqaddas et al. 2016). *Acacia* species can help soil nutrient recovery by biological N fixation (BNF) and increasing carbon (C) sequestration, especially in forests after prescribed burning and fire (Guinto et al. 2000; Bai et al. 2014; Witt et al. 2017; Reverchon et al. 2020). Most forest soil N is in organic form, but N mineralization rates are low, and tree growth is N-limited (Sponseller et al. 2016). Biochar application has been shown to stimulate soil organic matter decomposition by increasing net N mineralization and nitrification rates (Ameloot et al. 2015; Case et al. 2015; Gundale et al. 2016).

Based on previous studies, plant-soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are related to soil N and water availability and are closely related to C and N cycling of soil-to-plant (Werth and Kuzyakov 2010; Nogués et al. 2023). There is a significant correlation between

plant $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and soil moisture content, which makes the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ a powerful tool to evaluate plant water use efficiency (Klaus et al. 2016). By analysing $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in soil and plants, we can better understand the retention and use efficiency of N in forest ecosystems (Schlesinger 2009; Hietz et al. 2011; Ibell et al. 2013; Mao et al. 2022). According to the reports, in the process of microbial transformation, the soil substrate is usually rich in ^{15}N due to the rapid movement of the substrate in the process of N loss (Kuzakov and Xu 2013; Nogués et al. 2023). However, the altered global N cycle will have important consequences. The N deposition will increase the N losses via denitrification and leaching of nitrate and the production of reactive N, adversely affecting the environment, climate, biodiversity and human health (Robertson and Vitousek 2009; Fowler et al. 2013; Cheng et al. 2020; Song et al. 2020). This study aimed to quantify the impact of biochar application rates (5.0 and 10.0 t biochar per hectare) on soil-plant interactions in C and N cycling in a suburban native forest in the first two years of biochar application or three and half years of the recent prescribed burning. Generally, biochar always causes a short-term limited positive priming effect, but long-term field experiments about the impacts of biochar in urban forest ecosystems subjected to prescribed burning are rare (Bruckman et al. 2015; Mitchell et al. 2015; Page-Dumroese et al. 2016).

Materials and methods

Study area and experiment design

The study site was located in Toohey Forest, Brisbane, South Queensland, Australia ($27^{\circ}32'53''\text{S}$; $153^{\circ}03'21''\text{E}$). This area is one of Australia's native forests dominated by *Eucalyptus* species. Toohey forest is a subtropical climate area with an average annual temperature of 27°C and an average annual rainfall of 1350 mm (Bai et al. 2012). Toohey forest has been subject to prescribed burning since 1993, and the risk of wildfire spread has been reduced by dividing areas for prescribed burning (Catterall et al. 2001; Wang et al. 2020a). The experimental site in this study was last burned in August 2017, and a field biochar application trial was established in this area in May 2019 after 20 months of prescribed burning.

The test area was randomly placed in a site, which included a mixture of understorey legumes *Acacia leiocalyx*, *Acacia disparisemma* and overstorey such as *Eucalyptus psammitica*, which is typical of the area.

In brief, the experimental design is a random complete block design, with 4 plots as 4 replicates. These four circular plots with a radius of 12.62 m, giving an internal area of 500 m^2 , were randomly located within the site, offering a total sampling area of one-fifth of a hectare. Each plot has 13 sub-samples, including two understorey legume species of *Acacia* with *Eucalyptus planchoniana* as a reference plant. Each plant area is defined by four steel pegs providing an area of 4 m^2 ($2\text{ m} \times 2\text{ m}$). Biochar was applied to each acacia plant at three rates of 0, 5 and 10 t ha^{-1} . In each plot, there are 3 different treatment methods: 1) control (no biochar), 2) biochar 5 t ha^{-1} (2 kg/plant), and 3) biochar 10 t ha^{-1} (4 kg/plant), respectively. Biochar was manually scattered on the soil surface in May 2019. First, the grass in each plant area was manually removed, and the biochar was homogeneously distributed and mixed manually on the soil surface to minimise biochar loss. Soil samples were collected from the central area of each plant area after the removal of the litter layer to avoid edge effects.

Characterization and application of biochar

Biochar material used in this experiment was produced from pine wood (*Pinus radiata*) through slow pyrolysis at 600°C introduced in Western Australia. The N isotope composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and C isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) of the chosen high-temperature biochar were 2.3‰ and -27.5‰ respectively in this study. It is pertinent to note that we chose high-temperature biochar that was made above 500°C because this study region is in a natural forest with high N deposition pollution (Bai et al. 2012). In terms of sustainability, the two biochar rates were selected in this study, as it has been confirmed and reported that 5 t ha^{-1} and 10 t ha^{-1} are ideal and economically feasible application rates (Williams and Arnott 2010; Bruckman et al. 2016). The biochar properties are summarized in Table S1.

Soil and foliage sample collection

After 24 months of the field establishment, soil samples were collected from three different depths

of 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm using a soil auger with a diameter of 7.5 cm at various points across each plant area in May 2021. After collecting soil and plant samples, the soil samples were sieved by using a 2 mm sieve and mixed thoroughly, then sub-sampled were collected for the following analyses: 1) air drying a part of the soil samples to analyse the soil pH value; 2) oven drying (60 °C) a part of soil samples for mass spectrometry analysis and determination of soil moisture content.

Similarly, plant samples (foliage) were oven-dried to a constant weight for 72 hours at 60 °C to a constant weight. After that, the dried foliage samples were ground into a fine powder using the Rocklabs™ ring grinder and weighted for mass spectrometry analyses. For foliage samples and oven-dried soil samples, we have evaluated the total C, total N, and their isotope composition (e.g., $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) (Xu et al. 2000).

Data collection and measurements

Measurements of soil physiochemical properties

After collecting and processing soil samples, some initial soil characteristics were determined, such as soil moisture content and pH value. Soil moisture content (SMC) was determined by drying the field moist soil at 105 °C for 24 hours. The value of SMC was determined using the following equation (Voronoy 2019):

$$\text{Soil moisture content (\%)} = \frac{W_{\text{wet soil}} - W_{\text{dry soil}}}{W_{\text{dry soil}}} \times 100$$

The ratio of soil and water mass was 1:5 to determine soil pH. The 5 g of air-dried soil was taken into a 50 ml falcon and 25 ml of deionized water was added. The samples were then shaken in an end-to-end shaker for an hour, and then they were allowed to stand to settle down the solution for 20 minutes. After calibrating the machine with two buffer solutions, the soil pH was measured with a pH electrode.

Oven-dried soil samples were ground into fine powder by using the Rocklabs™ ring grinder. Then approximately 40–50 mg of soil (0–5 and 5–10 cm), about 50–60 mg (10–20 cm) and about 6–7 mg

foliage samples were transferred into tin capsules for total C total N, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ analyses by using the isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Elementar, Langensfeld, Hesse, Germany).

The value of $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were determined using the following two equations:

$$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sample}} = \frac{[R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{VPDB}}]}{R_{\text{VPDB}}} \times 1000$$

$$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{sample}} = \frac{[R_{\text{sample}} - R_{\text{air}}]}{R_{\text{air}}} \times 1000$$

where,

R the isotope ratio

R_{sample} the ratio of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ and $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ of sample respectively

R_{VPDB} the ratio of $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ of the international standard (Vienna Pee Dee. Belemnite (VPDB))

R_{air} the ratio of $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ of the international standard (atmospheric N_2)

The results for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ were expressed as parts per thousand (‰).

Measurements of plant growth and physiochemical properties

Plant height and diameter at ground level (DGL) were measured in May 2021 at four plots by using diameter tape and height measurements hypsometer (Vertex IV), respectively. All understory plants, such as *Acacia* and *Eucalyptus* species for all plots, were included for growth measurements. Four plots contain an average of 52 plants, 48 plants for each *Acacia* spp. (*A. leiocalyx*=24; *A. disparimma*=24) and 4 reference plants of *E. psammitica*.

The percentage of N derived from atmospheric N_2 (%Ndfa) was calculated using the following equation (Shearer and Kohl 1986).

$$\% \text{Ndfa} = \left[\frac{(\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - \delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{acacia}})}{\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}} - \text{Bvalue}} \right] \times 100$$

where:

$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{ref}}$	The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of the reference plants
$\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{acacia}}$	The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of the <i>Acacia</i> spp.
B value	The relative isotopic abundance of <i>Acacia</i> spp. growing under an N-free nutrient condition

The B values reported from a large array of sources vary from -2.9‰ to 1.0‰ for woody plants (Shearer and Kohl 1986; Boddey et al. 2000). In the present study, using a B value of -1.3‰ resulted in an estimation of %Ndfa that was greater than 100%. Assuming $B = -1.3\text{‰}$ resulted in 75.0% of all cases having the %Ndfa greater than 100% ($n = 30$ out of 40), while this percentage dropped to 5.0% when B was assumed to be -0.8‰ ($n = 2$ out of 40). None of the cases were greater than 100% when B values were either -0.3‰ or 1.0‰ . Therefore, different B values varying from -1.3‰ to 1.0‰ were examined to determine an applicable B value for *Acacia* spp. under the experimental condition. In our study, we chose a B value of -0.3‰ to report the results of BNF determination based on the ^{15}N natural abundance method.

Statistical analyses

A general linear model was used to analyse treatment effects (SPSS 19.0, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, US) (Bruckman et al. 2016). All data were tested for

homogeneity of variance and normality of distribution. A three-way ANOVA was performed to test for these three factors (i.e., soil depths, biochar rates and plant species) and their interactions on each measured parameter. Pearson's correlation analysis was used to assess relationships between soil properties across all data of three biochar rates at different soil depths. Statistical significance was set at $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Chemical and physical properties of plant samples

The results from Table 1 show that after biochar application at the Toohey forest for 2 years, the foliar N isotope compositions ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and C isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) were significantly different between the two understorey acacia species ($P < 0.05$). The foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *A. disparimma* (-1.46‰) was significantly higher than that of *A. leiocalyx* (-2.01‰), and foliar $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of *A. disparimma* (-32.1‰) was also significantly higher than that of *A. leiocalyx* (-32.8‰) (Table 1). The foliar total N was also significantly increased by these two biochar application rates. The %Ndfa of *A. disparimma* was 58.0%, which was significantly higher than the %Ndfa of *A. leiocalyx* (37.4%) ($P < 0.05$) (Table 1).

There were no significant differences in plant height, diameter at ground level (DGL), basal area (BA) and volume between the three different biochar application rates and the two species ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2). Relative to the control, biochar addition increased plant growth in natural forests and

Table 1 Effects of biochar application rates and understorey acacia species on foliar total carbon (total C), total nitrogen (total N), C and N isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$), and

biological N fixation (BNF) of *Acacia leiocalyx* and *Acacia disparimma* in Toohey forest after 2 years of biochar application

Treatments	Total C (%)	Total N (%)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	BNF (%)
Biochar rates (t ha^{-1})					
0	48.2 a	1.99 b	-32.0 a	-1.93 a	51.7 a
5	48.2 a	2.45 a	-32.4 a	-1.80 a	46.3 a
10	48.0 a	2.42 a	-32.6 a	-1.76 a	45.1 a
Species					
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	47.4 b	2.57 a	-32.8 b	-2.01 b	37.4 b
<i>Acacia disparimma</i>	49.0 a	2.31 a	-32.1 a	-1.46 a	58.0 a

Lower case letters indicate significant differences among biochar rates and/or acacia species. All differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$

biochar applied at a rate of 10 t ha⁻¹ led to the greatest improvement in plant growth ($0.05 < P < 0.10$). Further details are documented in the Supplementary Material. Table S3 provides the interactive effects of the biochar application rates and species on plant height, diameter at ground level (DGL), basal area (BA) and volume.

Soil chemical properties

As the soil depth increased, soil total C was 6.81%, 4.77%, and 3.27% for 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm respectively, while the corresponding soil total N was 0.250%, 0.168% and 0.106% respectively, significantly decreased as the soil depth increased ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3). Soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were -27.10‰ , -25.86‰ and -25.63‰ respectively for the 0–5, 5–10 and 10–20 cm soil depths (Table 3). For soil

$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from 5 to 10 cm depth (1.044‰) was significantly higher than those of the other two soil depths ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3). The results of Table 3 also show that soil total C and total N were significantly higher only when the biochar application rate was 10 t/ha ($P < 0.05$) (Table 3).

After two years of biochar application, the soil moisture content of the biochar application rate of 10 t/ha was 14.3% and 13.8% higher than that under the control treatment at 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm soil depth ($0.05 < P < 0.10$) (Table 4). At the 0–5 cm soil, the results of Table 4 also showed that soil total C for the biochar application rate at 10 t/ha was significantly higher than that of the biochar application rate at 0 t/ha ($P < 0.05$). The soil total N at 5 and 10 t/ha biochar application rates (0.262% and 0.265%) were higher than that of without biochar application (0.230%) (Table 4).

Table 2 Effects of biochar application rates and understorey acacia species on plant height (cm), diameter at ground level (DGL, cm), basal area (BA, cm²) and volume (cm³) of *Aca-*

cia leiocalyx and *Acacia disparrima* after 2 years of biochar application in Toohey Forest, Australia

Treatments	Height (cm)	DGL (cm)	BA (cm ²)	Volume (cm ³)
Biochar rates (t ha ⁻¹)				
0	131.6 a	1.31 B	1.43 B	68.02 B
5	130.4 a	1.41 AB	1.70 AB	75.49 B
10	153.0 a	1.67 A	2.29 A	124.42 A
Species				
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	151.4 a	1.50 a	1.85 a	99.74 a
<i>Acacia disparrima</i>	125.3 a	1.43 a	1.76 a	78.88 a

Lower case letters indicate significant differences among biochar rates and/or acacia species. All differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$

Table 3 Effects of soil depths, biochar application rates and understorey acacia species on soil total carbon (total C), total nitrogen (total N), C and N isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) after two years of biochar application in Toohey forest, Australia

Lower case letters indicate significant differences among biochar rates and/or acacia species. All differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$

Treatments	Total C (%)	Total N (%)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)
Depth (cm)				
0–5	6.81 a	0.250 a	-27.10 c	-0.236 b
5–10	4.77 b	0.168 b	-25.86 b	1.044 a
10–20	3.27 c	0.106 c	-25.63 a	-1.066 c
Biochar rates (t ha ⁻¹)				
0	4.62 b	0.165 b	-26.19 a	-0.092 a
5	5.01 ab	0.177 ab	-26.24 a	-0.220 a
10	5.39 a	0.186 a	-26.16 a	0.057 a
Species				
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	4.98 a	0.176 a	-26.24 a	0.058 a
<i>Acacia disparrima</i>	5.13 a	0.177 a	-26.16 a	-0.212 a

Table 4 Effects of biochar application rates and understory acacia species on soil total carbon (total C), total nitrogen (total N), C and N isotope composition ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$), soil moisture content and pH value at different soil depths (0–5, 5–10, 10–20 cm) after two years of biochar application in Toohey forest, Australia

Treatments	Total C (%)	Total N (%)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Soil Moisture Content (%)	pH
0–5 cm						
Biochar rates (t ha ⁻¹)						
0	6.10 b	0.230 B	–27.08 a	–0.205 a	12.74 B	5.29 a
5	7.20 ab	0.262 A	–27.21 a	–0.424 a	13.53 AB	5.27 a
10	7.49 a	0.265 A	–27.01 a	–0.093 a	14.56 A	5.28 a
Species						
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	6.75 a	0.249 a	–27.11 a	–0.114 a	13.80 a	5.30 a
<i>Acacia disparrima</i>	7.20 a	0.255 a	–27.04 a	–0.306 a	13.44 a	5.25 a
5–10 cm						
Biochar rates (t ha ⁻¹)						
0	4.50 a	0.161 a	–25.85 a	0.958 a	12.47 B	5.28 a
5	4.78 a	0.171 a	–25.88 a	1.068 a	13.06 AB	5.25 a
10	5.15 a	0.177 a	–25.85 a	1.149 a	14.19 A	5.25 a
Species						
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	4.84 a	0.170 a	–25.95 a	1.098 a	13.54 a	5.26 a
<i>Acacia disparrima</i>	4.89 a	0.171 a	–25.82 a	1.048 a	13.36 a	5.25 a
10–20 cm						
Biochar rates (t ha ⁻¹)						
0	3.25 a	0.105 a	–25.64 a	–1.029 a	13.65 a	5.21 a
5	3.04 a	0.098 a	–25.61 a	–1.304 a	13.26 a	5.17 a
10	3.54 a	0.115 a	–25.63 a	–0.885 a	14.30 a	5.15 a
Species						
<i>Acacia leiocalyx</i>	3.33 a	0.109 a	–25.65 a	–0.810 a	13.82 a	5.16 a
<i>Acacia disparrima</i>	3.29 a	0.105 a	–25.62 a	–1.378 a	13.62 a	5.17 a

Lower case letters indicate significant differences among biochar rates and/or acacia species. All differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$

Relationship plant physiological variables

Regression analysis showed that there was a significant positive relationship between foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) and plant BNF capacity, which depended on different acacia species. It has been shown from Fig. 1 that *Acacia disparrima* foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was more strongly related to plant BNF capacity ($R^2 = 0.863$, $P < 0.001$) than that of *Acacia leiocalyx* ($R^2 = 0.661$, $P < 0.001$).

Relationships between soil physiological variables

In the Toohey Forest, it has been shown from Fig. 2, soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was positively related to soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ at soil depths of 5–10 cm, and soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was strongly related to soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ on biochar rate of 10 t/ha ($R^2 = 0.641$, $P < 0.001$) than those of biochar rates of 5 t/ha ($R^2 = 0.388$, $P = 0.01$) (Fig. 2). There were strong correlations between soil total N and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ at soil depth of 0–5 and 5–10 cm, but the relationship




Fig. 1 Linear relationship between foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) and biological nitrogen fixation rate (% Ndfa) of *Acacia leiocalyx* and *Acacia disparrima*. This relationship represents the variation of two acacia species and shows the contribution of foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in changing the BNF rate of *Acacia leiocalyx* and *Acacia disparrima* respectively




Fig. 2 Linear relationship between soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰) and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) of two biochar rates (5 and 10 t/ha) at soil depths of 5–10 cm

depended on the biochar application rates (Fig. 3). At soil depth of 0–5 cm, there was a tight non-linear relationship between soil total N and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($R^2 = 0.424$, $P < 0.05$) when the biochar application rate is 5 t/ha (Fig. 3a). In the 5–10 cm soil layer, the correlations between soil total N and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ became stronger and corresponding peaks also move backward as the biochar application rates increased (Fig. 3b).

In addition, a single regression analysis explained the non-linear relationship between soil moisture content and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ at soil depth of 5–10 cm




Fig. 3 Non-linear relationship between soil total nitrogen (N) and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) of three biochar rates (0, 5 and 10 t/ha) at soil depth of 0–5 cm (a) and 5–10 cm (b) respectively

($R^2 = 0.407$, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 4). Biochar application rate also affects the correlation between soil moisture content and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. It could be seen from Fig. 5 that when the biochar application rate was 10 t/ha, the non-linear relationship between soil moisture content and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($R^2 = 0.750$, $P < 0.001$) was stronger than those of the biochar application rates of 0 and 5 t/ha ($R^2 = 0.197$, $P = 0.08$; $R^2 = 0.377$, $P = 0.046$).

Discussions

The effect of N deposition and biochar application on plants

The negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in the surface soil and foliage observed in this study are unusual for native forests (Tables 1, 3 and 4), as the isotope discrimination usually demonstrates a level of ^{15}N enrichment in soil and plant (Robinson 2001; Blumfield et al. 2006; Burton et al. 2007; Murray 2013; Reis et al. 2017). In this study, the negative soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may result from background soil in the Toohey forest near a busy highway, being affected by atmospheric N deposition. Because the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in NO_x emitted from vehicles ranges from -13‰ to -2‰ (Heaton 1990; Zong et al. 2020), this resulted in the negative soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ we observed in this experiment. Meanwhile, high N availability would inhibit the BNF of forest systems (Marcarelli and Wurtsbaugh 2007; Barron et al. 2009;




Fig. 4 Linear relationships between soil moisture content (%) and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) at soil depths of 5–10 cm




Fig. 5 Non-linear relationship between soil moisture content (%) and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰) of three biochar rates (0, 5 and 10 t/ha) at soil depth of 5–10 cm

Avila Clasen et al. 2023). It could be seen from the results of Table 1 that for the two selected legumes, *Acacia leiocalyx* and *Acacia disparrima*, BNF of *Acacia disparrima* was significantly higher than that of *Acacia leiocalyx*. It can also be seen in Fig. 1 that the linear relationship between foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and BNF was also closer in *Acacia disparrima*. In the previous studies, the higher BNF in *Acacia leiocalyx* may be due to its location in less fertile soil, which provided more N for photosynthesis (Bai et al. 2012; Taresh et al. 2021). In this study, in the N deposition soil, as can be seen from Table 1, the total C value of *Acacia leiocalyx* was significantly lower than that of *Acacia*

disparrima, indicating that *Acacia leiocalyx* grew faster than *Acacia disparrima*, and the rhizome system was also more developed than that of *Acacia disparrima*. At the same time, plants are more inclined to preferentially absorb N resources that are more readily available (from N deposition) and do not need to consume much energy (Gurmesa et al. 2016; Xie et al. 2021). From what has been observed in other ecosystems, increased N availability (such as N deposition) may inhibit BNF in forest systems (Compton et al. 2004; Saiz et al. 2021). Therefore, the BNF of *Acacia leiocalyx* was relatively lower in this study, which is consistent with the results obtained in other studies. Meanwhile, under high N deposition, biochar applications in this study significantly increased the total N of *Acacia* foliage (Table 1). Several studies have shown that biochar improves N dynamics in soil, thereby improving plant growth and yield and having a positive impact on plant nutrient content, which may increase plant N uptake and utilization (Rondon et al. 2007; Uzoma et al. 2011; Qian et al. 2019; Ali et al. 2020).

Meanwhile, in the previous studies, *Acacia leiocalyx* generally showed a higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value than that of *Acacia disparrima*, suggesting higher water use efficiency. Additionally, within plant species, there is a genetic component to the distinction in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value, which may be as great as 3‰ (Tieszen 1991; Audiard et al. 2018; Hussain et al. 2022), and the higher $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value of *Acacia leiocalyx* indicated that it has a better water use strategy under drought stress (Raddad and Luukkanen 2006; Taresh et al. 2021). In contrast, in this study, *Acacia leiocalyx* showed a significantly lower $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value, so it can be inferred that the forest soil is not restricted by moisture, and the water can be used freely by plants. In contrast, foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *Acacia leiocalyx* with much lower BNF in this study suggests that the ^{15}N signature of deposition N can alter plant $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by direct uptake in the canopy and by altering the signature of available N in the soil. In contrast, the total inorganic N in deposition was ^{15}N -depleted (−10‰) (Craine et al. 2015). The addition of N due to N depositional contamination resulted in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of plants towards the ^{15}N signature of the added N, indicating the incorporation of added N into plants. Thus, foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values were lower for *Acacia leiocalyx*, which is more likely to retain and take N from N deposition, than for *Acacia disparrima*. This again highlights the importance of

the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature of input N in controlling ecosystem $\delta^{15}\text{N}$.

The effect of N deposition on soil C and N isotope compositions

The $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the soil can be used as an overall indicator of soil environmental processes in forest ecosystems, thereby providing comprehensive insights into the long-term changes of soil C and N cycles (Amelung et al. 2008; Brunn et al. 2014; Guillaume et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2018). In the entire ecosystem, soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ has been used as a potential indicator of soil N status (Schulze et al. 1998; Craine et al. 2009; Ladd et al. 2010; Zhang et al. 2022). They usually accumulate as soil N availability increases, and human disturbance and climatic factors will change the N cycle process in forest soil (Pardo et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2014; Mgelwa et al. 2019).

In the previous studies, soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values usually increase with increasing depth (Eshetu 2004; Schneider et al. 2021). In this study, soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was significantly enriched with the increase of soil depth, which may be because deeper soil layers have greater decomposition and humification of organic matter or mainly increase the residence time of organic C in the soil (Bird et al. 2002; Lorenz et al. 2020), which is consistent with the previous research results (Brunn et al. 2014). The value of surface soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was close to the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of the applied biochar in this study, which may be because of surface-applied biochar on soil C pool (Table 3). However, in this study, with the increase of soil depth, the changing trend of soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value is different from the results of the previous studies. The negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value of the surface soil may be the result of atmospheric N deposition because this forest is close to a busy highway. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of NO_x emitted by vehicles could lead to the negative soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values observed in this study at soil depths of 0–5 and 10–20 cm (Savard et al. 2009; Su et al. 2020). However, soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value showed an abnormally positive value (1.044‰) at 5–10 cm soil depth, which was significantly higher than those of the other two depths. In these N-rich ecosystems, the fate of the deposited N may be different, and most of the deposited N may be directly lost in humid climates (Amundson et al. 2003; Templer et al. 2012; Rivero-Villar et al. 2021). The forest system in this study has a large amount of N losses via denitrification and N leaching, so there

is a large amount of N loss at a soil depth of 5–10 cm, which is consistent with the results of previous studies (Fang et al. 2008; Liu et al. 2023). In addition, we found that soil C and N content decreased with increasing soil depth as expected.

Responses of the relationship between soil moisture content and soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to biochar application

The critical role of soil moisture content in N leaching and denitrification is demonstrated from the non-linear relationship between soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and SMC in this study (Fig. 4), where higher SMC drives more soil N loss initially due to soil nitrification since N transformations such as nitrification, denitrification and N mineralization produce mobile, ^{15}N -depleted N, it is easy to enrich soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by leaching or gaseous emission of soil N (Bai et al. 2013). However, the soil N loss rate slows as the soil moisture content continues to increase. The nitrification rates decline under these conditions since oxygen is essential for nitrification (Zhu et al. 2011; Ouyang et al. 2017). Meanwhile, the heavy rainfall event before sampling significantly increased leachate volume in the soil in this study (Yang et al. 2015). With increasing biochar application rate (10 t/ha), a stronger non-linear relationship between soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ enrichment and soil moisture content could be found in our study (Fig. 5). The reason for this is that applying biochar with a porous structure and higher surface area to the soil in this study, the ability of the soil to absorb and retain more water can be improved, thus providing more moisture to the soil-plant system (Zhang et al. 2008; Li et al. 2018). Moreover, it can also be seen in Table 2 that with the biochar application, the soil total N content was higher. The regression relationship between soil total N and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was also closer, and the enrichment of soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ slows down (Fig. 3). This may be because biochar addition can reduce nitrate leaching by retain more soil water and total N loss from forest soils by modifying the soil characteristics (Kanthle et al. 2016; Xu et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2018).

In Table 4, soil moisture content from the 0–5 and 5–10 cm soil layers increased with the increasing biochar application rates. This can be attributed to the fact that the soil was added with biochar, which has a highly porous structure to retain water physically (Atkinson et al. 2010; Kang et al. 2022). Meanwhile,

water movement down into the deep soil is called infiltration. The application of biochar leads to the creation of more pores in the soil matrix and the formation of tortuous interstitial spaces between the soil and the biochar particles, thereby increasing the moisture penetration rate, which is consistent with previous research results (Ajayi et al. 2016; Liu et al. 2016).

Responses of soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to biochar application

Previous studies showed that biochar application increased soil cation exchange capacity and absorbed N compounds, thereby reducing NH_4^+ leaching (Glaser et al. 2002; Liang et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2017). The influence of biochar applications on soil N cycling is especially complex and may have a significant impact on soil N transformation processes by altering soil microbial activities and community structures (Streubel et al. 2011; Song et al. 2014). It can be seen from Fig. 3 that at soil depths of 0–5 cm and 5–10 cm, the addition of biochar was an important adjustment factor for soil N loss on the corresponding variability of biochar. Significant differences were observed in this study. With the increase in the level of biochar addition, the influence of biochar on soil $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ increased significantly (Fig. 3). The reason may be that the biochar addition can promote soil N transformation by increasing the abundance of soil ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and the activity of nitrifying bacteria, while retaining NH_4^+ through its acidic functional groups, thus offsetting the rapid soil N loss from biochar amended with soil N transformations (Zheng et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2020). At the same time, as can be seen from Fig. 3b with the gradual increase in the biochar application rate, the trend of soil N loss gradually flattened, and the apex of the parabola appears later with the biochar addition of 5 t/ha. The results of the study are consistent (Cao et al. 2014). When the biochar application rate reached 10 t/ha, the observed positive correlation between the soil $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was tighter (Fig. 2), which also illustrated that biochar application could improve the activity and structure of soil microorganisms, thereby providing new information on soil C and N turnover controlled by biochar application rates (Dijkstra et al. 2006; Werth and Kuzyakov 2010; Gerschlauser et al. 2019). These

results highlight the importance of appropriate biochar application rates in soil N improvement.

Compared with the treatment without biochar application, a significant increase in the soil total C and total N content was observed under biochar application, especially for the surface soil (Tables 3 and 4), which is consistent with the results of previous studies (Qiao-Hong et al. 2014). It was shown that the biochar application improved soil characteristics. In addition to soil samples, the total N content of foliage also increased significantly under biochar application (Table 1). These results are consistent with previous studies (Ibrahim et al. 2020). Among them, the biochar application has been proven to increase N uptake in plant and soil N content (Fiorentino et al. 2019; Wang et al. 2020b). Previous studies have proposed that biochar application generates a large amount of ion exchange capacity and improves the adsorption and immobilization of N forms required for plant uptake. When these N forms are adsorbed by biochar, they can be released again and become available for plant absorption and utilization (Xue et al. 2017), thus improving N use efficiency. Applying biochar to soil is a potential way to improve the bioavailability of nutrients and reduce soil nutrient loss (Lehmann et al. 2003; Shen et al. 2016).

Conclusions

The N deposition existing in urban forest soils had an impact on the internal N cycle of the soil-plant system, and the resulting high N availability significantly inhibited the BNF capacity of the forest system. The biochar application significantly reduced N losses due to leaching and denitrification, and significantly increased the potential of soil to retain N. This also improved N uptake by plants and N utilization efficiency. In this study, when the biochar application rate was applied at 10 t/ha, it had the best effect on improving soil N transformations while reducing soil N loss as well as improved plant growth.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions. This work was financially supported by the Griffith University.

Declarations

Competing interests All authors certify that they have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Ajayi AE, Holthusen D, Horn R (2016) Changes in micro-structural behaviour and hydraulic functions of biochar amended soils. *Soil Till Res* 155:166–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2015.08.007>
- Ali I, He L, Ullah S, Quan Z, Wei S, Iqbal A, Munsif F, Shah T, Xuan Y, Luo Y (2020) Biochar addition coupled with nitrogen fertilization impacts on soil quality, crop productivity, and nitrogen uptake under double-cropping system. *Food Energy Secur* 9:e208
- Ameloot N, Sleutel S, Das K, Kanagaratnam J, De Neve S (2015) Biochar amendment to soils with contrasting organic matter level: effects on N mineralization and biological soil properties. *Glob Change Biol Bioenergy* 7:135–144
- Amelung W, Brodowski S, Sandhage-Hofmann A, Bol R (2008) Combining biomarker with stable isotope analyses for assessing the transformation and turnover of soil organic matter. *Adv Agron* 100:155–250
- Amundson R, Austin A, Schuur E, Yoo K, Matzek V, Kendall C, Uehersax A, Brenner DL, Baisden T (2003) Global patterns of the isotopic composition of soil and plant nitrogen. *Global Biogeochem Cycl* 17:31. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB001903>
- Aryal B, Gurung R, Camargo AF, Fongaro G, Treichel H, Mainali B, Angove MJ, Ngo HH, Guo W, Puadel SR (2022) Nitrous oxide emission in altered nitrogen cycle and implications for climate change. *Environ Pollut* 314:120272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120272>
- Asadyar L, Xu C-Y, Wallace HM, Xu Z, Reverchon F, Bai SH (2021) Soil-plant nitrogen isotope composition and nitrogen cycling after biochar applications. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 28:6684–6690. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11016-3>
- Atkinson CJ, Fitzgerald JD, Hipps NA (2010) Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review. *Plant Soil* 337:1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0464-5>
- Audiard B, Blasco T, Brossier B, Fiorentino G, Battipaglia G, Théry-Parisot I (2018) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ referential in three *Pinus* species for a first archaeological application to Paleolithic contexts: “Between intra- and inter-individual variation and carbonization effect”. *J Archaeol Sci Rep* 20:775–783
- Avila Clasen L, Permin A, Horwath AB, Metcalfe DB, Rousk K (2023) Do nitrogen and phosphorus additions affect nitrogen fixation associated with tropical mosses? *Plants* 12:1443
- Bai SH, Sun F, Xu Z, Blumfield TJ, Chen C, Wild C (2012) Appraisal of ^{15}N enrichment and ^{15}N natural abundance methods for estimating N_2 fixation by understorey *Acacia leucalyx* and *A. Disparimma* in a native forest of subtropical Australia. *J Soils Sediments* 12:653–662
- Bai E, Boutton TW, Liu F, Wu XB, Archer SR (2013) ^{15}N isoscapes in a subtropical savanna parkland: spatial-temporal perspectives. *Ecosphere* 4:1–17
- Bai SH, Blumfield T, Xu Z (2014) Survival, growth and physiological status of *Acacia disparima* and *Eucalyptus crebra* seedlings with respect to site management practices in Central Queensland, Australia. *Eur J For Res* 133:165–175
- Bai SH, Omidvar N, Gallart M, Kämper W, Tahmasbian I, Farar MB, Singh K, Zhou G, Muqadass B, Xu CY, Koech R, Li Y, Nguyen TTN, Van Zwieten L (2022) Combined effects of biochar and fertilizer applications on yield: a review and meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ* 808:152073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.152073>
- Barron AR, Wurzbürger N, Bellenger JP, Wright SJ, Kraepiel AM, Hedin LO (2009) Molybdenum limitation of symbiotic nitrogen fixation in tropical forest soils. *Nat Geosci* 2:42–45
- Biederman LA, Harpole WS (2013) Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis. *GCB Bioenergy* 5:202–214
- Binkley D, Högborg P (1997) Does atmospheric deposition of nitrogen threaten Swedish forests? *For Ecol Manag* 92:119–152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(96\)03920-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(96)03920-5)
- Bird M, Santruckova H, Lloyd J, Lawson E (2002) The isotopic composition of soil organic carbon on a north–south transect in western Canada. *Eur J Soil Sci* 53:393–403
- Blumfield TJ, Xu Z, Prasolova N, Mathers NJ (2006) Effect of overlying windrowed harvest residues on soil carbon and nitrogen in hoop pine plantations of subtropical Australia. *J Soils Sediments* 6:243–248
- Bobbink R, Hicks K, Galloway J, Spranger T, Alkemade R, Ashmore M, Bustamante M, Cinnerby S, Davidson E, Dentener F, Emmett B, Erismann JW, Fenn M, Gilliam F, Nordin A, Pardo L, De Vries W (2010) Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis. *Ecol Appl* 20:30–59. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-1140.1>
- Boddey RM, Peoples MB, Palmer B, Dart PJ (2000) Use of the ^{15}N natural abundance technique to quantify biological nitrogen fixation by woody perennials. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst* 57:235–270. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009890514844>
- Bruckman VJ, Terada T, Uzun BB, Apaydin-Varol E, Liu J (2015) Biochar for climate change mitigation: tracing the

- in-situ priming effect on a forest site. *Energy Procedia* 76:381–387
- Bruckman V, Klinglmüller M, Milenković M (2016) Biochar in the view of climate change mitigation: the FOREBIOM experience. In: Bruckman V (ed) *Biochar: a regional supply chain approach in view of climate change mitigation*. Cambridge University, pp 1–22
- Brunn M, Spielvogel S, Sauer T, Oelmann Y (2014) Temperature and precipitation effects on $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ depth profiles in SOM under temperate beech forests. *Geoderma* 235:146–153
- Burrell LD, Zehetner F, Rampazzo N, Wimmer B, Soja G (2016) Long-term effects of biochar on soil physical properties. *Geoderma* 282:96–102
- Burton J, Chen C, Xu Z, Ghadiri H (2007) Gross nitrogen transformations in adjacent native and plantation forests of subtropical Australia. *Soil Biol Biochem* 39:426–433
- Cao CT, Farrell C, Kristiansen PE, Rayner JP (2014) Biochar makes green roof substrates lighter and improves water supply to plants. *Ecol Eng* 71:368–374
- Case SD, Mcnamara NP, Reay DS, Stott AW, Grant HK, Whittaker J (2015) Biochar suppresses N_2O emissions while maintaining N availability in a sandy loam soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 81:178–185
- Catterall CP, Piper SD, Bunn SE, Arthur JM (2001) Flora and fauna assemblages vary with local topography in a subtropical eucalypt forest. *Austral Ecol* 26:56–69
- Cecchini G, Andreetta A, Marchetto A, Carnicelli S (2021) Soil solution fluxes and composition trends reveal risks of nitrate leaching from forest soils of Italy. *CATENA* 200:105175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105175>
- Cheng Y, Wang J, Wang J, Wang S, Chang SX, Cai Z, Zhang J, Niu S, Hu S (2020) Nitrogen deposition differentially affects soil gross nitrogen transformations in organic and mineral horizons. *Earth-Sci Rev* 201:103033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.103033>
- Compton JE, Watrud LS, Arlene Porteous L, Degroot S (2004) Response of soil microbial biomass and community composition to chronic nitrogen additions at Harvard forest. *For Ecol Manag* 196:143–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.03.017>
- Conley DJ, Paerl HW, Howarth RW, Boesch DF, Seitzinger SP, Havens KE, Lancelot C, Likens GE (2009) Controlling eutrophication: nitrogen and phosphorus. *Science* 323:1014–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167755>
- Craine JM, Elmore AJ, Aidar MP, Bustamante M, Dawson TE, Hobbie EA, Kahmen A, Mack MC, McLaughlan KK, Michelsen A (2009) Global patterns of foliar nitrogen isotopes and their relationships with climate, mycorrhizal fungi, foliar nutrient concentrations, and nitrogen availability. *New Phytol* 183:980–992
- Craine JM, Brookshire E, Cramer MD, Hasselquist NJ, Koba K, Marin-Spiotta E, Wang L (2015) Ecological interpretations of nitrogen isotope ratios of terrestrial plants and soils. *Plant Soil* 396:1–26
- Cross A, Sohi SP (2011) The priming potential of biochar products in relation to labile carbon contents and soil organic matter status. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:2127–2134
- Das SK, Ghosh GK, Avasthe R (2021) Applications of biomass derived biochar in modern science and technology. *Environ Technol Innov* 21:101306
- Das SK, Ghosh GK, Avasthe R (2023) Biochar application for environmental management and toxic pollutant remediation. *Biomass Convers Biorefinery* 13:555–566. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13399-020-01078-1>
- Deluca TH, Gundale MJ, Mackenzie MD, Jones DL (2015) Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations. *Biochar Environ Manag: Sci Technol Implement* 2:421–454
- Dijkstra P, Ishizu A, Doucet R, Hart SC, Schwartz E, Menyailo OV, Hungate BA (2006) ^{13}C and ^{15}N natural abundance of the soil microbial biomass. *Soil Biol Biochem* 38:3257–3266
- Ding Z, Hu X, Wan Y, Wang S, Gao B (2016) Removal of lead, copper, cadmium, zinc, and nickel from aqueous solutions by alkali-modified biochar: batch and column tests. *J Ind Eng Chem* 33:239–245
- Eshetu Z (2004) Natural ^{15}N abundance in soils under young-growth forests in Ethiopia. *For Ecol Manag* 187:139–147. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127\(03\)00315-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(03)00315-3)
- Fang YT, Gundersen P, Mo JM, Zhu WX (2008) Input and output of dissolved organic and inorganic nitrogen in subtropical forests of South China under high air pollution. *Biogeosci* 5:339–352. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-5-339-2008>
- Farrar MB, Wallace HM, Xu CY, Joseph S, Nguyen TTN, Dunn PK, Bai SH (2022) Biochar compound fertilisers increase plant potassium uptake 2 years after application without additional organic fertiliser. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 29:7170–7184. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-16236-9>
- Fiorentino N, Sánchez-Monedero M, Lehmann J, Enders A, Fagnano M, Cayuela M (2019) Interactive priming of soil N transformations from combining biochar and urea inputs: a ^{15}N isotope tracer study. *Soil Biol Biochem* 131:166–175
- Fowler D, Coyle M, Skiba U, Sutton MA, Cape JN, Reis S, Sheppard LJ, Jenkins A, Grizzetti B, Galloway JN (2013) The global nitrogen cycle in the twenty-first century. *Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci* 368:20130164
- Francois M, Úbeda X (2021) Prescribed fire management. *Curr Opin Environ Sci Health* 21:100250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2021.100250>
- Galloway JN, Townsend AR, Erisman JW, Bekunda M, Cai Z, Freney JR, Martinelli LA, Seitzinger SP, Sutton MA (2008) Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and potential solutions. *Science* 320:889–892
- Gerschlauer F, Saiz G, Schellenberger Costa D, Kleyer M, Dannemann M, Kiese R (2019) Stable carbon and nitrogen isotopic composition of leaves, litter, and soils of various ecosystems along an elevational and land-use gradient at Mount Kilimanjaro, Tanzania. *Biogeosci* 16:409–424
- Glaser B, Lehmann J, Zech W (2002) Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal—a review. *Biol Fertil Soils* 35:219–230
- Guillaume T, Damris M, Kuzyakov Y (2015) Losses of soil carbon by converting tropical forest to plantations: erosion and decomposition estimated by $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. *Glob Change Biol* 21:3548–3560
- Guinto DF, Xu Z, House AP, Saffigna PG (2000) Assessment of N_2 fixation by understorey acacias in recurrently burnt

- eucalypt forests of subtropical Australia using ^{15}N isotope dilution techniques. *Can J For Res* 30:112–121
- Gundale MJ, Nilsson M-C, Pluchon N, Wardle DA (2016) The effect of biochar management on soil and plant community properties in a boreal forest. *GCB Bioenergy* 8:777–789. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12274>
- Gurmesa GA, Lu X, Gundersen P, Mao Q, Zhou K, Fang Y, Mo J (2016) High retention of ^{15}N -labeled nitrogen deposition in a nitrogen saturated old-growth tropical forest. *Glob Change Biol* 22:3608–3620
- Gurwick NP, Moore LA, Kelly C, Elias P (2013) A systematic review of biochar research, with a focus on its stability in situ and its promise as a climate mitigation strategy. *PLoS One* 8:e75932
- Haldar D, Purkait MK (2021) A review on the environment-friendly emerging techniques for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: mechanistic insight and advancements. *Chemosphere* 264:128523
- Heaton T (1990) $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios of NO_x from vehicle engines and coal-fired power stations. *Tellus Ser B Chem Phys Meteorol* 42:304–307
- Hietz P, Turner Benjamin L, Wanek W, Richter A, Nock Charles A, Wright SJ (2011) Long-term change in the nitrogen cycle of tropical forests. *Science* 334:664–666. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1211979>
- Huang Y, Kang R, Mulder J, Zhang T, Duan L (2015) Nitrogen saturation, soil acidification, and ecological effects in a subtropical pine forest on acid soil in Southwest China. *J Geophys Res Biogeosci* 120:2457–2472. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JG003048>
- Hussain MI, Khan ZI, Farooq TH, Al Farraj DA, Elshikh MS (2022) Comparative plasticity responses of stable isotopes of carbon ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$) and nitrogen ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$), ion homeostasis and yield attributes in barley exposed to saline environment. *Plants* 11:1516
- Ibell PT, Xu Z, Blumfield TJ (2013) The influence of weed control on foliar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and tree growth in an 8 year-old exotic pine plantation of subtropical Australia. *Plant Soil* 369:199–217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1554-3>
- Ibrahim MM, Tong C, Hu K, Zhou B, Xing S, Mao Y (2020) Biochar-fertilizer interaction modifies N-sorption, enzyme activities and microbial functional abundance regulating nitrogen retention in rhizosphere soil. *Sci Total Environ* 739:140065
- Kang MW, Yibeltal M, Kim YH, Oh SJ, Lee JC, Kwon EE, Lee SS (2022) Enhancement of soil physical properties and soil water retention with biochar-based soil amendments. *Sci Total Environ* 836:155746. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.155746>
- Kanthle AK, Lenka NK, Lenka S, Tedia K (2016) Biochar impact on nitrate leaching as influenced by native soil organic carbon in an Inceptisol of Central India. *Soil Till Res* 157:65–72
- Klaus VH, Hölzel N, Prati D, Schmitt B, Schöning I, Schrumpf M, Solly EF, Hänsel F, Fischer M, Kleinebecker T (2016) Plant diversity moderates drought stress in grasslands: implications from a large real-world study on ^{13}C natural abundances. *Sci Total Environ* 566:215–222
- Kuzyakov Y, Xu X (2013) Competition between roots and microorganisms for nitrogen: mechanisms and ecological relevance. *New Phytol* 198:656–669. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12235>
- Kuzyakov Y, Subbotina I, Chen H, Bogomolova I, Xu X (2009) Black carbon decomposition and incorporation into soil microbial biomass estimated by ^{14}C labeling. *Soil Biol Biochem* 41:210–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.10.016>
- Ladd B, Pepper D, Bonser S (2010) Competition intensity at local versus regional spatial scales. *Plant Biol* 12:772–779
- Lan Z, Chen C, Rezaei Rashti M, Yang H, Zhang D (2018) High pyrolysis temperature biochars reduce nitrogen availability and nitrous oxide emissions from an acid soil. *GCB Bioenergy* 10:930–945
- Lehmann J (2007) Bio-energy in the black. *Front Ecol Environ* 5:381–387. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2007\)5\[381:BITB\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[381:BITB]2.0.CO;2)
- Lehmann J, Pereira Da Silva J, Steiner C, Nehls T, Zech W, Glaser B (2003) Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological Anthroisol and a Ferralsol of the Central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments. *Plant Soil* 249:343–357. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022833116184>
- Lehmann J, Rillig MC, Thies J, Masiello CA, Hockaday WC, Crowley D (2011) Biochar effects on soil biota—a review. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:1812–1836
- Li S, Zhang Y, Yan W, Shangguan Z (2018) Effect of biochar application method on nitrogen leaching and hydraulic conductivity in a silty clay soil. *Soil Till Res* 183:100–108
- Liang B, Lehmann J, Solomon D, Kinyangi J, Grossman J, O'Neill B, Skjemstad JO, Thies J, Luizão FJ, Petersen J (2006) Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 70:1719–1730
- Liang B, Lehmann J, Sohi SP, Thies JE, O'Neill B, Trujillo L, Gaunt J, Solomon D, Grossman J, Neves EG, Luizão FJ (2010) Black carbon affects the cycling of non-black carbon in soil. *Org Geochem* 41:206–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.09.007>
- Liu Z, Dugan B, Masiello CA, Barnes RT, Gallagher ME, Gonnermann H (2016) Impacts of biochar concentration and particle size on hydraulic conductivity and DOC leaching of biochar–sand mixtures. *J Hydrol* 533:461–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.12.007>
- Liu X, Wang H, Liu C, Sun B, Zheng J, Bian R, Drosos M, Zhang X, Li L, Pan G (2021) Biochar increases maize yield by promoting root growth in the rainfed region. *Arch Agron Soil Sci* 67:1411–1424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03650340.2020.1796981>
- Liu X, Zhang Y, Xiao T, Li P, Zhang L, Liu Y, Deng W (2023) Runoff velocity controls soil nitrogen leaching in subtropical restored forest in southern China. *For Ecol Manag* 548:121412. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121412>
- Lorenz M, Derrien D, Zeller B, Udelhoven T, Werner W, Thiele-Bruhn S (2020) The linkage of ^{13}C and ^{15}N soil

- depth gradients with C: N and O: C stoichiometry reveals tree species effects on organic matter turnover in soil. *Biogeochemistry* 151:203–220. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00721-3>
- Luo L, Wang J, Lv J, Liu Z, Sun T, Yang Y, Zhu Y-G (2023) Carbon sequestration strategies in soil using biochar: advances, challenges, and opportunities. *Environ Sci Technol* 57:11357–11372. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c02620>
- Mao J, Mao Q, Gundersen P, Gurmessa GA, Zhang W, Huang J, Wang S, Li A, Wang Y, Guo Y, Liu R, Mo J, Zheng M (2022) Unexpected high retention of ^{15}N -labeled nitrogen in a tropical legume forest under long-term nitrogen enrichment. *Glob Change Biol* 28:1529–1543. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16005>
- Marcarelli AM, Wurtsbaugh WA (2007) Effects of upstream lakes and nutrient limitation on periphytic biomass and nitrogen fixation in oligotrophic, subalpine streams. *Freshw Biol* 52:2211–2225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01851.x>
- May B, Attiwill P (2003) Nitrogen-fixation by *Acacia dealbata* and changes in soil properties 5 years after mechanical disturbance or slash-burning following timber harvest. *For Ecol Manag* 181:339–355
- Mgelwa AS, Hu Y-L, Liu J-F, Qiu Q, Liu Z, Ngaba MJY (2019) Differential patterns of nitrogen and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in soil and foliar along two urbanized rivers in a subtropical coastal city of southern China. *Environ Pollut* 244:907–914
- Mitchell PJ, Simpson AJ, Soong R, Simpson MJ (2015) Shifts in microbial community and water-extractable organic matter composition with biochar amendment in a temperate forest soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 81:244–254
- Moldan F, Wright RF (2011) Nitrogen leaching and acidification during 19 years of NH_4NO_3 additions to a coniferous-forested catchment at Gårdsjön, Sweden (NITREX). *Environ Pollut* 159:431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2010.10.025>
- Muqaddas B, Chen C, Lewis T, Wild C (2016) Temporal dynamics of carbon and nitrogen in the surface soil and forest floor under different prescribed burning regimes. *For Ecol Manag* 382:110–119
- Murray U (2013) Isotope discrimination provides new insight into biological nitrogen fixation. *New Phytol* 198:643–646
- Nguyen T, Xu C, Tahmasbian I, Che R, Xu Z, Zhou X, Wallace H, Hosseini Bai S (2017) Effects of biochar on soil available inorganic nitrogen: a review and meta-analysis. *Geoderma* 288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.11.004>
- Nogués I, Rumpel C, Sebilo M, Vaury V, Moral R, Bustamante MA (2023) Stable C and N isotope variation during anaerobic digestate composting and in the compost-amended soil-plant system. *J Environ Manag* 329:117063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.117063>
- Ouyang W, Xu X, Hao Z, Gao X (2017) Effects of soil moisture content on upland nitrogen loss. *J Hydrol* 546:71–80
- Page-Dumroese DS, Coleman MD, Thomas SC (2016) Opportunities and uses of biochar on forest sites in North America. *Biochar: Reg Supply Chain Approach View Mitigating Clim Chang* 15:315–336
- Palansooriya KN, Ok YS, Awad YM, Lee SS, Sung J-K, Koutsospyros A, Moon DH (2019) Impacts of biochar application on upland agriculture: a review. *J Environ Manag* 234:52–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.12.085>
- Pardo L, Hemond H, Montoya J, Fahey T, Siccama T (2002) Response of the natural abundance of ^{15}N in forest soils and foliage to high nitrate loss following clear-cutting. *Can J For Res* 32:1126–1136
- Phoenix GK, Hicks WK, Cinderby S, Kuylenstierna JCI, Stock WD, Dentener FJ, Giller KE, Austin AT, Lefroy RDB, Gimeno BS, Ashmore MR, Ineson P (2006) Atmospheric nitrogen deposition in world biodiversity hotspots: the need for a greater global perspective in assessing N deposition impacts. *Glob Change Biol* 12:470–476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01104.x>
- Qian Z, Kong L-j, Y-z S, X-d Y, H-j Z, Xie F-t, Xue A (2019) Effect of biochar on grain yield and leaf photosynthetic physiology of soybean cultivars with different phosphorus efficiencies. *J Integr Agric* 18:2242–2254
- Qiao-Hong Z, Xin-Hua P, Huang T-Q, Zu-Bin X, Holden N (2014) Effect of biochar addition on maize growth and nitrogen use efficiency in acidic red soils. *Pedosphere* 24:699–708
- Raddad EAY, Luukkanen O (2006) Adaptive genetic variation in water-use efficiency and gum yield in *Acacia senegal* provenances grown on clay soil in the Blue Nile region, Sudan. *For Ecol Manag* 226:219–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2006.01.036>
- Rady MM, Semida WM, Hemida KA, Abdelhamid MT (2016) The effect of compost on growth and yield of *Phaseolus vulgaris* plants grown under saline soil. *Int J Recycl Org Waste Agric* 5:311–321
- Rahim H, Mian IA, Arif M, Ahmad S, Khan Z (2020) Soil fertility status as influenced by the carryover effect of biochar and summer legumes. *Asian J Agric Biol* 8:11–16. <https://doi.org/10.35495/ajab.2019.05.198>
- Rasul M, Cho J, Shin H-S, Hur J (2022) Biochar-induced priming effects in soil via modifying the status of soil organic matter and microflora: a review. *Sci Total Environ* 805:150304. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150304>
- Reis CRG, Nardoto GB, Rochelle ALC, Vieira SA, Oliveira RS (2017) Nitrogen dynamics in subtropical fringe and basin mangrove forests inferred from stable isotopes. *Oecologia* 183:841–848
- Reverchon F, Xu Z, Blumfield T, Chen C, Abdullah K (2011) Impact of global climate change and prescribed burning on understorey legumes and associated belowground communities: implications for biogeochemical cycles in forest ecosystems. *J Soils Sediments* 12:150–160
- Reverchon F, Abdullah KM, Bai SH, Villafán E, Blumfield TJ, Patel B, Xu Z (2020) Biological nitrogen fixation by two *Acacia* species and associated root-nodule bacteria in a suburban Australian forest subjected to prescribed burning. *J Soils Sediments* 20:122–132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-019-02446-9>
- Rivero-Villar A, Ruiz-Suárez G, Templer PH, Souza V, Campo J (2021) Nitrogen cycling in tropical dry forests is sensitive to changes in rainfall regime and nitrogen deposition.

- Biogeochemistry 153:283–302. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-021-00788-6>
- Robertson GP, Vitousek PM (2009) Nitrogen in agriculture: balancing the cost of an essential resource. *Annu Rev Environ Resour* 34:97–125
- Robertson SJ, Rutherford PM, Lopez-Gutierrez JC, Massicotte HB (2012) Biochar enhances seedling growth and alters root symbioses and properties of sub-boreal forest soils. *Can J Soil Sci* 92:329–340
- Robinson D (2001) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ as an integrator of the nitrogen cycle. *Trends Ecol Evol* 16:153–162. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(00\)02098-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(00)02098-X)
- Rondon MA, Lehmann J, Ramírez J, Hurtado M (2007) Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) increases with bio-char additions. *Biol Fertil Soils* 43:699–708. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-006-0152-z>
- Saiz E, Sgouridis F, Drijfhout FP, Peichl M, Nilsson MB, Ullah S (2021) Chronic atmospheric reactive nitrogen deposition suppresses biological nitrogen fixation in peatlands. *Environ Sci Technol* 55:1310–1318. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04882>
- Sala OE, Chapin FS 3rd, Armesto JJ, Berlow E, Bloomfield J, Dirzo R, Huber-Sanwald E, Huenneke LF, Jackson RB, Kinzig A, Leemans R, Lodge DM, Mooney HA, Oesterheld M, Poff NL, Sykes MT, Walker BH, Walker M, Wall DH (2000) Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* 287:1770–1774. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5459.1770>
- Savard MM, Bégin C, Smirnoff A, Marion J, Rioux-Paquette E (2009) Tree-ring nitrogen isotopes reflect anthropogenic NO_x emissions and climatic effects. *Environ Sci Technol* 43:604–609
- Schlesinger WH (2009) On the fate of anthropogenic nitrogen. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 106:203–208
- Schneider F, Amelung W, Don A (2021) Origin of carbon in agricultural soil profiles deduced from depth gradients of C:N ratios, carbon fractions, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. *Plant Soil* 460:123–148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04769-w>
- Schulze E, Williams R, Farquhar G, Schulze W, Langridge J, Miller J, Walker BH (1998) Carbon and nitrogen isotope discrimination and nitrogen nutrition of trees along a rainfall gradient in northern Australia. *Funct Plant Biol* 25:413–425
- Shearer G, Kohl DH (1986) N₂-fixation in field settings: estimations based on natural ^{15}N abundance. *Funct Plant Biol* 13:699–756
- Shen Q, Hedley M, Camps Arbestain M, Kirschbaum MUF (2016) Can biochar increase the bioavailability of phosphorus? *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 16:268–286
- Song Y, Zhang X, Ma B, Chang SX, Gong J (2014) Biochar addition affected the dynamics of ammonia oxidizers and nitrification in microcosms of a coastal alkaline soil. *Biol Fertil Soils* 50:321–332
- Song L, Drewer J, Zhu B, Zhou M, Cowan N, Levy P, Skiba U (2020) The impact of atmospheric N deposition and N fertilizer type on soil nitric oxide and nitrous oxide fluxes from agricultural and forest Eutric Regosols. *Biol Fertil Soils* 56:1077–1090. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01485-6>
- Sponseller RA, Gundale MJ, Fitter M, Ring E, Nordin A, Näsholm T, Laudon H (2016) Nitrogen dynamics in managed boreal forests: recent advances and future research directions. *Ambio* 45:175–187
- Streubel J, Collins H, Garcia-Perez M, Tarara J, Granatstein D, Kruger C (2011) Influence of contrasting biochar types on five soils at increasing rates of application. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 75:1402–1413
- Su C, Kang R, Zhu W, Huang W, Song L, Wang A, Liu D, Quan Z, Zhu F, Fu P, Fang Y (2020) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitric oxide produced under aerobic or anaerobic conditions from seven soils and their associated N isotope fractionations. *J Geophys Res Biogeosci* 125:e2020JG005705. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JG005705>
- Succarie A, Xu Z, Wang W (2022) The variation and trends of nitrogen cycling and nitrogen isotope composition in tree rings: the potential for fingerprinting climate extremes and bushfires. *J Soils Sediments* 22:2343–2353
- Sun H, Lu H, Chu L, Shao H, Shi W (2017) Biochar applied with appropriate rates can reduce N leaching, keep N retention and not increase NH₃ volatilization in a coastal saline soil. *Sci Total Environ* 575:820–825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.09.137>
- Sun M, Huo Z, Zheng Y, Dai X, Feng S, Mao X (2018) Quantifying long-term responses of crop yield and nitrate leaching in an intensive farmland using agro-eco-environmental model. *Sci Total Environ* 613:1003–1012
- Taresh S, Bai SH, Abdullah KM, Zalucki J, Nessa A, Omidvar N, Wang D, Zhan J, Wang F, Yang J, Kichamu-Wachira E, Xu Z (2021) Long-term impact of prescribed burning on water use efficiency, biological nitrogen fixation, and tree growth of understory acacia species in a suburban forest ecosystem of subtropical Australia. *J Soils Sediments* 21:3620–3631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-021-03030-w>
- Templer PH, Mack MC, Iii FSC, Christenson LM, Compton JE, Crook HD, Currie WS, Curtis CJ, Dail DB, D'antonio CM, Emmett BA, Epstein HE, Goodale CL, Gundersen P, Hobbie SE, Holland K, Hooper DU, Hungate BA, Lamontagne S et al (2012) Sinks for nitrogen inputs in terrestrial ecosystems: a meta-analysis of ^{15}N tracer field studies. *Ecology* 93:1816–1829. <https://doi.org/10.1890/11-1146.1>
- Thomas SC, Gale N (2015) Biochar and forest restoration: a review and meta-analysis of tree growth responses. *New For* 46:931–946
- Thonicke K, Spessa A, Prentice I, Harrison SP, Dong L, Carmona-Moreno C (2010) The influence of vegetation, fire spread and fire behaviour on biomass burning and trace gas emissions: results from a process-based model. *Biogeosci* 7:1991–2011
- Tieszen LL (1991) Natural variations in the carbon isotope values of plants: implications for archaeology, ecology, and paleoecology. *J Archaeol Sci* 18:227–248. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403\(91\)90063-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-4403(91)90063-U)
- Tomczyk A, Sokołowska Z, Boguta P (2020) Biochar physicochemical properties: pyrolysis temperature and feedstock kind effects. *Rev Environ Sci Biotechnol* 19:191–215. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-020-09523-3>
- Uzoma KC, Inoue M, Andry H, Fujimaki H, Zahoor A, Nishihara E (2011) Effect of cow manure biochar on maize

- productivity under sandy soil condition. *Soil Use Manag* 27:205–212. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.2011.00340.x>
- Vitousek PM, Aber JD, Howarth RW, Likens GE, Matson PA, Schindler DW, Schlesinger WH, Tilman DG (1997) Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. *Ecol Appl* 7:737–750
- Voroney P (2019) Chapter 4 - soils for horse pasture management. In: Sharpe, ed. *Horse Pasture Management*. Academic Press, Cambridge 65–79
- Wang Y, Xu Z, Zhou Q (2014) Impact of fire on soil gross nitrogen transformations in forest ecosystems. *J Soils Sediments* 14:1030–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-014-0879-3>
- Wang J, Xiong Z, Kuzyakov Y (2016) Biochar stability in soil: meta-analysis of decomposition and priming effects. *GCB Bioenergy* 8:512–523. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcbb.12266>
- Wang C, Houlton BZ, Liu D, Hou J, Cheng W, Bai E (2018) Stable isotopic constraints on global soil organic carbon turnover. *Biogeosci* 15:987–995
- Wang D, Abdullah KM, Xu Z, Wang W (2020a) Water extractable organic C and total N: the most sensitive indicator of soil labile C and N pools in response to the prescribed burning in a suburban natural forest of subtropical Australia. *Geoderma* 377:114586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114586>
- Wang Z, Wang Z, Luo Y, Zhan Y-N, Meng Y-L, Zhou Z-G (2020b) Biochar increases ^{15}N fertilizer retention and indigenous soil N uptake in a cotton-barley rotation system. *Geoderma* 357:113944
- Wang Y, Fu X, Wang T, Ma J, Gao H, Wang X, Pu W (2023) Large contribution of nitrous acid to soil-emitted reactive oxidized nitrogen and its effect on air quality. *Environ Sci Technol* 57:3516–3526. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c07793>
- Werth M, Kuzyakov Y (2010) ^{13}C fractionation at the root-microorganisms-soil interface: a review and outlook for partitioning studies. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:1372–1384
- Williams MM, Arnott JC (2010) A comparison of variable economic costs associated with two proposed biochar application methods. *Ann Environ Sci* 4:23–30 http://www.aes.neu.edu/table_contents/abstract21/
- Witt GB, English NB, Balanzategui D, Hua Q, Gadd P, Hejnisk H, Bird MI (2017) The climate reconstruction potential of *Acacia cambagei* (gidgee) for semi-arid regions of Australia using stable isotopes and elemental abundances. *J Arid Environ* 136:19–27
- Wolfe AH, Patz JA (2002) Reactive nitrogen and human health: acute and long-term implications. *Ambio* 31:120–125. <https://doi.org/10.1579/0044-7447-31.2.120>
- Woolf D, Amonette JE, Street-Perrott FA, Lehmann J, Joseph S (2010) Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change. *Nat Commun* 1:56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1053>
- Wu H, Zeng G, Liang J, Chen J, Xu J, Dai J, Li X, Chen M, Xu P, Zhou Y (2016) Responses of bacterial community and functional marker genes of nitrogen cycling to biochar, compost and combined amendments in soil. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 100:8583–8591
- Wu H, Lai C, Zeng G, Liang J, Chen J, Xu J, Dai J, Li X, Liu J, Chen M (2017) The interactions of composting and biochar and their implications for soil amendment and pollution remediation: a review. *Crit Rev Biotechnol* 37:754–764
- Xie D, Duan L, Si G, Liu W, Zhang T, Mulder J (2021) Long-term ^{15}N balance after single-dose input of ^{15}N -labeled NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in a subtropical forest under reducing N deposition. *Glob Biogeochem Cycles* 35:e2021GB006959
- Xu Z, Saffigna P, Farquhar G, Simpson J, Haines R, Walker S, Osborne D, Guinto D (2000) Carbon isotope discrimination and oxygen isotope composition in clones of the F1 hybrid between slash pine and Caribbean pine in relation to tree growth, water-use efficiency and foliar nutrient concentration. *Tree Physiol* 20:1209–1217
- Xu Z, Chen C, He J, Liu J (2009) Trends and challenges in soil research 2009: linking global climate change to local long-term forest productivity. *J Soils Sediments* 9:83–88
- Xu N, Tan G, Wang H, Gai X (2016) Effect of biochar additions to soil on nitrogen leaching, microbial biomass and bacterial community structure. *Eur J Soil Biol* 74:1–8
- Xue L, He S, Duan J, Zhang Z, Yang L (2017) Agricultural non-point source pollution nitrogen load reduction strategy and technology of nutrient reusing in agricultural fields to replace fertilizer. *J Agro-Environ Sci* 36:1226–1231
- Yang X, Lu Y, Yin X (2015) A 5-year lysimeter monitoring of nitrate leaching from wheat–maize rotation system: comparison between optimum N fertilization and conventional farmer N fertilization. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 199:34–42
- Yu X, Tian X, Lu Y, Liu Z, Guo Y, Chen J, Li C, Zhang M, Wan Y (2018) Combined effects of straw-derived biochar and bio-based polymer-coated urea on nitrogen use efficiency and cotton yield. *Chem Speciat Bioavailab* 30:112–122. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09542299.2018.1518730>
- Zhang X, Chen S, Sun H, Pei D, Wang Y (2008) Dry matter, harvest index, grain yield and water use efficiency as affected by water supply in winter wheat. *Irrig Sci* 27:1–10
- Zhang H, Voroney R, Price G (2015) Effects of temperature and processing conditions on biochar chemical properties and their influence on soil C and N transformations. *Soil Biol Biochem* 83:19–28
- Zhang M, Wang W, Bai SH, Zhou X, Teng Y, Xu Z (2018a) Antagonistic effects of nitrification inhibitor 3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate and fungicide iprodione on net nitrification in an agricultural soil. *Soil Biol Biochem* 116:167–170
- Zhang M, Wang W, Tang L, Heenan M, Xu Z (2018b) Effects of nitrification inhibitor and herbicides on nitrification, nitrite and nitrate consumptions and nitrous oxide emission in an Australian sugarcane soil. *Biol Fertil Soils* 54:697–706
- Zhang M, Wang W, Wang D, Heenan M, Xu Z (2018c) Short-term responses of soil nitrogen mineralization, nitrification and denitrification to prescribed burning in a suburban forest ecosystem of subtropical Australia. *Sci Total Environ* 642:879–886
- Zhang S, Du Q, Sun Y, Song J, Yang F, Tsang DC (2020) Fabrication of L-cysteine stabilized $\alpha\text{-FeOOH}$ nanocomposite on porous hydrophilic biochar as an effective adsorbent for Pb^{2+} removal. *Sci Total Environ* 720:137415

- Zhang M, Hou R, Li T, Fu Q, Zhang S, Su A, Xue P, Yang X (2022) Study of soil nitrogen cycling processes based on the ^{15}N isotope tracking technique in the black soil areas. *J Clean Prod* 375:134173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134173>
- Zheng H, Wang Z, Deng X, Herbert S, Xing B (2013) Impacts of adding biochar on nitrogen retention and bioavailability in agricultural soil. *Geoderma* 206:32–39
- Zhou J, Zheng Y, Hou L, An Z, Chen F, Liu B, Wu L, Qi L, Dong H, Han P, Yin G, Liang X, Yang Y, Li X, Gao D, Li Y, Liu Z, Bellerby R, Liu M (2023) Effects of acidification on nitrification and associated nitrous oxide emission in estuarine and coastal waters. *Nat Commun* 14:1380. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-37104-9>
- Zhu Q, Schmidt JP, Buda AR, Bryant RB, Folmar GJ (2011) Nitrogen loss from a mixed land use watershed as influenced by hydrology and seasons. *J Hydrol* 405:307–315
- Zhu X, Chen B, Zhu L, Xing B (2017) Effects and mechanisms of biochar-microbe interactions in soil improvement and pollution remediation: a review. *Environ Pollut* 227:98–115
- Zimmerman AR, Gao B, Ahn M-Y (2011) Positive and negative carbon mineralization priming effects among a variety of biochar-amended soils. *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:1169–1179
- Zong Z, Sun Z, Xiao L, Tian C, Liu J, Sha Q, Li J, Fang Y, Zheng J, Zhang G (2020) Insight into the variability of the nitrogen isotope composition of vehicular NO_x in China. *Environ Sci Technol* 54:14246–14253. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04749>
- Zornoza R, Moreno-Barriga F, Acosta J, Muñoz M, Faz A (2016) Stability, nutrient availability and hydrophobicity of biochars derived from manure, crop residues, and municipal solid waste for their use as soil amendments. *Chemosphere* 144:122–130

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.