

Illumina MiSeq sequencing reveals high arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi diversity in the rhizosphere soil of *Prunus africana* trees in fragmented Afromontane forests

Yves Hernandez TCHIECHOUA (✉ yvestchiechoua@yahoo.fr)

Pan African University Institute for Basic Sciences Technology and Innovation <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6502-6602>

David W. Odee

Kenya Forestry Research Institute

Eddy L. M. Ngonkeu

Universite de Yaounde 1 Faculte des Sciences

Johnson Kinyua

Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology College of Pure and Applied Sciences

Victoria W. Ngumi

Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology

Eunice M. Machuka

ILRI: International Livestock Research Institute

Bernice Waweru

ILRI: International Livestock Research Institute

Marcellous Le Roux

Stellenbosch University

Roger Pelle

ILRI: International Livestock Research Institute

Research Article

Keywords: Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, *Prunus africana*, Afromontane Forest, Metagenomic diversity, community composition, Cameroon, Kenya

Posted Date: December 14th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2374240/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Annals of Microbiology on May 25th, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13213-023-01720-z>.

Abstract

Purpose Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) play a key role in the phytochemical modulation of medicinal plant species, besides their ecological role in shaping plant communities. Knowledge about the diversity and structure of AMF species associated with the endangered *Prunus africana* is valuable in the conservation and domestication of the species for its medicinal products. **Methods** Thus, we investigated the diversity and structure of AMF species communities in the rhizosphere soils of *P. africana* trees occurring in four fragmented Afromontane forests found in Cameroon; (Mount Cameroon and Mount Manengouba) and Kenya (Chuka and Malava) using Illumina Miseq of 18S rRNA gene amplicons. **Results** The forward and the reverse reads were A total of 64 virtual taxa (VT) belonging to eight genera were detected, namely *Glomus* (43 VT), *Claroideoglomus* (6 VT), *Paraglomus* (5 VT), *Acaulospora* (4 VT), *Diversispora* (3 VT), and *Archaeospora*, *Pacispora*, and *Scutellospora* with 1 VT each. *Scutellospora* heterogama VTX00286 was the most abundant and common species in all four sites (49.62%). Glomeraceae and Gigasporaceae were the most abundant families found across the sites, while Acaulosporaceae, Pacisporaceae, and Archaeosporaceae were rare, represented by <1% of all the detected taxa. **Conclusion** Our data show a high diversity of AMF species associated with *P. africana*, and variable community structure partially shaped by local edaphic factors. This study provides preliminary information on the possibility of using indigenous AMF inoculum, for the cultivation and domestication of *P. africana* for the sustainable management of this important medicinal plant.

Background

Prunus africana (Hook F.) Kalkman (Rosaceae) is among the few endemic species found across all the Afromontane forests (White 1978; Kadu et al. 2013; Vinceti et al. 2013). It is an endangered species whose distribution range spans more than 22 African countries (Kadu et al. 2013; Vinceti et al. 2013). It has a wide distribution across fragmented Afromontane forests caused by anthropogenic disturbance. The bark of the medicinal *P. africana* tree is the most harvested and internationally traded non-timber forest product in Africa (Cunningham et al. 2016). Consequently, the bark of the tree is a high source of income for local communities where the species is found and is used in traditional pharmacopoeia to treat diseases such as stomach aches, urinary, and bladder infections, among others (Betti 2008; Bii et al. 2010; Otieno and Analo 2012; Mwitari et al. 2013; Koros et al. 2016). The phytochemical compounds in the tree's bark are used to treat benign prostate hyperplasia and potentially treat prostate cancer (Komakech et al. 2017). The high demand for the bark has led to unsustainable exploitation of *P. africana* population for several decades, leading to a threat to the species in its natural habitat (Cunningham et al. 2016). One of the key strategies to conserve the threatened *P. africana* is to facilitate its domestication. Therefore, knowledge of the occurrence, diversity, and interactions with its soil symbionts such as mycorrhiza in natural habitats is crucial information for the cultivation of *P. africana* for ex-situ regeneration.

There are two major types of mycorrhizae: arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and ectomycorrhizal fungi. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are soil microorganisms belonging to the subphylum

Glomeromycotina (Spatafora et al. 2016) and are associated with *c* 71 % of terrestrial plants (Brundrett and Tedersoo 2018). They are present in almost all ecosystems, from desert to arable lands, and tropical to temperate forests (Brundrett 2009; Heijden et al. 2015). The main characteristic of AMF species is the formation of tree-like subcellular structures inside the roots' cells of the host, known as arbuscule which plays a key role in the nutrient exchange between the two symbionts (Armstrong and Peterson 2002; Choi et al. 2018). Indeed, *c* 70–80 % of the overall plant's inorganic phosphate (Pi), is provided by the fungus (Hoeksema et al. 2010). AMF species supply N and microelements like Zn, Fe, Mn, and Cu to their host (Adesemoye and Kloepper 2009). Besides nutritional function, AMF species can stimulate the plant's immune system (Cameron et al. 2013), and alleviate biotic stress like soil pathogens, and abiotic stress like drought and salinity of the host plant (Morte et al. 2001; Begum et al. 2019; Nanjundappa et al. 2019; Porter et al. 2019). In return, *c* 3 to 20 % of the carbon (C) assimilated by the plant is allocated to the fungus (Bravo et al. 2017; Treseder et al. 2018). AMF species play a key role in ecosystem maintenance by shaping the plant community structure (Lin et al. 2015; Bauer et al. 2020). AMF species can modulate the soil structure and texture through their extraradical mycelium networks and contribute to soil stability (Rillig et al. 2010; Pellegrino et al. 2020). Despite the ecological importance of AMF species, very little is known about their community composition in African tropical forests, particularly in Afromontane forests. AMF species community composition and diversity vary from one ecosystem to another, mostly due to the variation of environmental factors and host species (Husband et al. 2002; Lovelock et al. 2003; Opik et al. 2010; Rodríguez-Echeverría et al. 2017). In an earlier study, Wubet et al. (Wubet et al. 2004) indicated the presence of *Glomus*-dominated AMF types from roots of *P. africana* and spores obtained from trap cultures of indigenous soils from two dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia. Previous studies have reported AMF species' effect on medicinal plant species' secondary metabolites. For instance, Almeida et al (Almeida et al. 2018) recorded a variation of bioactive compounds in *Mikania laevigata* and *Mikania glomerata* (Asteraceae) when inoculated with *Rhizosphagus irregularis*. These authors observed an increase of diterpene kaurenoic acid in *M. laevigata* leaves whereas a decrease of tricaffeoylquinic was reported in *M. glomerata* with *R. irregularis* inoculum. More recently, Tchichoua et al. (Tchichoua et al. 2020) showed that indigenous AMF species from the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana* were able to improve the growth and modulate the phytochemical compounds of vegetatively propagated *P. africana* plants in glasshouse conditions. Therefore, for the first time, we investigated the composition and structure of AMF species in the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana* found in four eco-climatically different Afromontane forests in Cameroon and Kenya, using Illumina MiSeq sequencing of the 18S rRNA gene. We also explored which edaphic factors could potentially affect AMF communities within these forests.

Results

Overall sequencing information and taxonomy composition

The rarefaction curves showed that the intensity of sampling for all soil samples was sufficient to identify the majority of AMF present in all four sites (Fig. 1). All data obtained from the Illumina sequencing were deposited in the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) at NCBI under accession number PRJNA657954.

A total of 16,736,209 trimmed reads obtained from 7 soil samples were clustered into 16,279 features (OTUs) and assigned to the subphylum Glomeromycotina by using the MaarjAM database (Opik et al., 2010). Sample CT2 was discarded due to its low number of reads (16 reads). These features were grouped into eight families, namely Gigasporaceae (49.62%)—the most abundant across the samples, followed by Glomeraceae (37.3%), Claroideoglomeraceae (4.40%), Diversisporaceae (2.4%), Paraglomeraceae (2.15%), Acaulosporaceae (0.6%), Pacisporaceae (0.4%), and Archaeosporaceae (0.02%), while the rest (3.11%) were unclassified families (Figs. 2 and 3). Diversisporaceae, Acaulosporaceae, Pacisporaceae, and Archaeosporaceae were unique to Cameroonian sites (MC and MM). (Fig. 3).

At the species level, 64 AMF species or virtual taxa (VT) were identified as belonging to the genus *Glomus* (43 VT; 67.1%), *Claroideoglomus* (6 VT; 9.4%), *Paraglomus* (5 VT; 7.8%), *Acaulospora* (4 VT; 6.2%), *Diversispora* (3 VT; 4.7%), *Archaeospora* (1 VT; 1.6%), *Pacispora* (1 VT; 1.6%), and *Scutellospora* (1 VT; 1.6%) (Fig. 3). These results are also congruent with the average count abundance per family shown in Fig. 2. Species belonging to the genera *Glomus*, *Claroideoglomus*, *Paraglomus*, and *Scutellospora* were detected in all four sites. *Diversispora* species were not detected in sample CT1 from the Chuka site. *Archaeospora* and *Pacispora* had one species each, detected in samples MC2, MM1, and MM2, all from Cameroon. *Scutellospora heterogama* (VTX00286) appeared to be the most common species across all sample sites with the highest magnitude (Fig. 4, Additional file 1).

The four sites shared 39 VT (52% of the total number of different taxa identified) (Fig. 5). Cameroonian sites had the highest number of unique VT (27 VT from seven genera, namely *Glomus*, *Acaulospora*, *Archaeospora*, *Claroideoglomus*, *Diversispora*, *Pacispora* and *Paraglomus*; Additional file 2). Mount Cameroon (MC) had the highest number of unique species (10 AMF species), representing 13.3% of all species identified, whereas Chuka Tharaka-Nithi (CT) had one unique AMF species (Fig. 5).

AMF community diversity

Estimation of alpha diversity within AMF species communities using Shannon's (H), Chao1 and ACE indices weighted more toward richness, and Simpson index (D) weighted toward evenness was conducted and shown in Fig. 6. Considering samples from Cameroon, observed OTUs in sample MM1 was the highest with 8195, whereas sample MC1 was the lowest with 3919. The highest observed OTUs in samples from Kenya were scored in MK1 with 5369 and the lowest in CT with 4079. However, there was no significant difference between samples from Cameroon and Kenya (Kruskal-Wallis test $P=0.7237$). Shannon index for richness species was higher in three of the four samples from Cameroon ($H_{MM2}=7.52$, $H_{MC2}=7.55$, and $H_{MM1}=7.56$) compared to the samples from Kenya ($H_{CT1}=6.88$, $H_{MK1}=7.21$, and $H_{MK2}=7.23$) (Fig. 8). Nevertheless, there was no significant difference between the Shannon indices of the two countries (Kruskal-Wallis test, $P=0.1573$). Evenness estimated using the Simpson index was highest in MM2, but with no significant difference between the provenances (Fig. 6).

The non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance matrix showed that AMF species communities from Malava and Kakamega had more similarity to each other, while samples from Cameroon had less similarity (Fig. 7). The stress value of 0.014, indicates a good representation of ordinate.

Physicochemical parameters of the *P. africana* rhizosphere soil from Cameroon and Kenya

The physicochemical parameters of *P. africana* rhizosphere soil, collected in Cameroon and Kenya sites are shown in Table 1. The *P. africana* rhizosphere soils were acidic. Soil acidity was significantly higher in CT and MM than in MK and MC sites. The % C, total P (ppm), Na (ppm), and EC (mS/cm) were significantly higher in MC and MM (Cameroonian sites) than in MK and CT (Kenyan sites). In contrast, % N and the Ca (ppm) were significantly higher in MK and CT than in MC and MM sites.

Table 1
Physicochemical parameters of *P. africana* rhizosphere soil samples.

Site code	pH (H ₂ O)	EC (mS/cm)	%C	%N	P (mg L ⁻¹)	K (mg L ⁻¹)	Na (mg L ⁻¹)	Ca (mg L ⁻¹)
MK	6.8a	0.14c	7.6c	0.78a	7.9c	564a	18c	5316a
CT	5.9b	0.12d	8.3c	0.83a	6.00d	555a	11d	4470b
MC	6.7a	0.26a	14.9a	0.57b	37.3a	255b	81a	4085d
MM	6.2b	0.22b	12.4b	0.66b	35.1b	565a	77b	4339c

Malava Kakamega (MK), Chuka Tharaka-Nithi (CT), Mount Cameroon (MC), and Mount Manengouba (MM) sites. Values followed by the same letter do not differ significantly according to the ANOVA test, $P < 0.05$, $n = 4$.

Relationship between soil physicochemical properties and AMF communities

The physicochemical analyses of *P. africana* rhizosphere soil indicated that the available P concentration in samples from Cameroon was almost 5-fold greater than in samples from Kenya, whereas the concentration of total N in samples from Kenya was significantly higher compared to samples from Cameroon (Table 1). However, there was a weak correlation between AMF species community composition and physicochemical parameters when the db-RDA analysis was performed on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance (Fig. 8). The eigenvalues of the first two axes of db-RDA were 0.09 and 0.02, and the first axis explained 79% whereas the second explained 19% of the variance in the AMF species–physicochemical parameters relationship. The abundance of AMF species in samples from MK was positively correlated with the available P in the soil and negatively correlated with the total N and K. In addition, total N and K were positively correlated to the abundance of AMF species in MC and CT (Fig. 8).

Discussion

AMF composition and diversity have been poorly reported in relationship with medicinal plants in Afromontane regions, though they are of interest for plant growth and phytochemical improvement (Solaiman and Anawar 2015). A recent study showed a positive effect of indigenous AMF inoculum from rhizosphere soil on the growth and phytochemical compounds of *P. africana* seedlings when compared to the non-mycorrhizal plants (Tchiechoua et al. 2020). Thus, this study aimed to assess the community composition and diversity of AMF in the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana* found in four fragmented Afromontane forests of Cameroon and Kenya, using Next Generation Sequencing technology. We obtained a total of 16,736,209 sequences, clustered into 16,279 OTUs, representing eight Glomeromycotina families (Gigasporaceae, Glomeraceae, Claroideoglomeraceae, Diversisporaceae, Paraglomeraceae, Acaulosporaceae, Pacisporaceae, and Archaeosporaceae), with a total of 64 virtual taxa (VT). Our study shows a high species richness compared to an earlier study of AMF associated with *P. africana* in dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia using low-throughput sequencing and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) as a marker gene method on roots and trap cultures. They identified taxa belonging only to three AMF families: Glomeraceae, Diversisporaceae, and Archaeosporaceae (Wubet et al. 2004). However, the difference in the detection of AMF associated with *P. africana* is also likely due to the methods used: high- vs low-throughput sequencing, and rhizosphere soil vs root and spores from trap cultures. In our study, we observed that the Gigasporaceae family had the highest number of OTUs, with the only VT identified to species level (*Scutellospora heterogama* VTX00286) found in all the four sites. Members of Gigasporaceae are known to be nearly as dominant as those of Glomeraceae in Afrotropical biomes and are reported to be overrepresented in the tropics biogeography database (Stürmer et al. 2018). At the species level, several studies using low-throughput (Moreira et al. 2006; Lakshmipathy et al. 2012; Wetzal et al. 2014; Pereira et al. 2020) to high-throughput (Schlaeppli et al. 2016; Egan et al. 2018; Marinho et al. 2019) have revealed the presence of *Scutellospora* species (Gigasporaceae) in samples from tropical environments. Contrary to findings from these studies, Opik et al. (Opik et al. 2010) found that the Gigasporaceae family was underrepresented in Africa. However, in our study, the only representative of the Gigasporaceae family represented only 6% of the total VT. In contrast, the Glomeraceae family had 43 VT, representing 67.1% of taxa identified. This is not surprising since numerous studies have shown that members of the Glomeraceae family are ubiquitous, and cosmopolitan found distributed throughout the world on all or nearly all continents and climatic zones (Opik et al. 2010; Stürmer et al. 2018; Lara-Pérez et al. 2020).

Although we did not observe a significant difference in AMF diversity when considering the alpha diversity indices, there were unique differences between or among sites. The diversity of AMF was generally higher in samples from Cameroon than Kenya. Similarly, the Venn diagrams (Fig. 6) showed that Cameroonian samples had more unique AMF species, representing 36% of the total VT, whereas Kenyan samples only had 12% of the total VT. On the other hand, the beta diversity showed a distinct community composition between the samples from different country provenances, suggesting a strong influence of local biotic and abiotic factors as reported for other studies in the tropics (Alguacil et al. 2015; Stevens et al. 2020).

Various biotic and abiotic factors can explain the differences in the diversity indices and community structure of AMF. Zhao et al. (Zhao et al. 2017) showed that soil clay content was negatively correlated with the AMF diversity in a semi-arid mountain in China, while Vieira et al. (Vieira et al. 2020) indicated that higher soil clay content was found with less AMF richness in soil samples in a tropical semi-arid region of Brazil. In this study, we found differences in a few physicochemical properties among sites, and are likely to have influenced local the diversity and structure of AMF species communities in these Afromontane sites. For instance, the application of db-RDA using Bray-Curtis distance dissimilarity showed that available P, the total N, and the K content were correlated with the AMF community structure. In contrast, soil pH did not appear to be one of the factors shaping the AMF composition at any of the sites investigated. A similar observation was made by Abdedaiem et al. (Abdedaiem et al. 2020); even though soil pH has previously been shown to be one of the main factors besides available P that influence the AMF community composition (Bainard et al. 2014; Trevizan Chiomento et al. 2019). Previous studies found a negative correlation between available P and AMF community composition diversity (Bainard et al. 2014; Abdedaiem et al. 2020). Besides, none of the soil parameters measured significantly impacted the AMF community composition in the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana*. Similar observations were made in a study by Manoharan et al. (Manoharan et al. 2017) that investigated AMF species diversity under the influence of agricultural management practices. Our results suggest that soil physicochemical parameters and a host of other factors, including climate, should be considered when studying the AMF community composition and diversity in rhizosphere soil. For instance, the geographical distance was also identified as one of the main factors influencing the AMF community composition and diversity when considering aspects like climate, soil type, land use type, and vegetation type (Xu et al. 2016; Hontoria et al. 2019; Huang et al. 2019). Therefore, the differences we observed in the community composition of AMF species might also be attributed to other local factors yet to be established, than only to the physicochemical composition of the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana* in these forests.

Conclusion

Using 18S Metagenomics in this study revealed a higher diversity and distinct composition of AMF communities associated with *P. africana* than in previous studies. Our data showed that the AMF community in Cameroon had higher VT than that in Kenya. Moreover, *Scutellospora heterogama* VTX00286 was identified as the most common and abundant VT at the species level. Also, 39 VT were common in the samples from Cameroon and Kenya. In contrast, 27 VT were exclusively identified in Cameroonian samples, whereas only 9 VT were exclusively identified in Kenyan samples. Further investigation of AMF community composition in *P. africana* roots should bring more insights into the factors and mechanisms underpinning their symbiotic interactions and guide the production of improved AMF inoculum to support propagation and conservation work in these forests.

Methods

Study sites

The study was carried out in four Afromontane sites in Cameroon and Kenya (Fig. 9). In Cameroon, the two targeted sites were Mount Cameroon (MC) and Mount Manengouba (MM), 120 km from each other. The MC site is in the South-West Region of Cameroon at 04°08'34.3" N; 09°07'21.0" E, 2280 meters above sea level (masl). The annual rainfall in MC is one of the highest in the world ranging between 1,800 mm and 12,000 mm with two seasons; the dry season from November to February and the rainy season from March to October. The mean temperature is 25°C and the relative humidity ranges between 75% and 80% throughout the year (Fonge et al. 2019). The vegetation in MC is mainly composed of a dense layer of graminaceous species and tall herbaceous species belonging to the Acanthaceae and Begoniaceae families. The main tree species include *Agauria* sp. (Ericaceae), *Crassocephalum mannii* (Asteraceae), *Hypericum* sp. (Hypericaceae), and a less dense population of *P. africana* (Nkeng et al. 2010). The MM site is located between the Littoral and South-West Regions at 05°01'50.8" N; 09°49'31.7" E, 1968 masl. The MM site is dominated by dense undergrowth, including *Aframomum* sp., Acanthaceae, Brillantaceae, Begoniaceae, and Gramineae family, while the main trees species are *Harungana madagascariensis* (Hypericaceae), *Maesa lanceolata* (Primulaceae), *Polyscias fulva* (Araliaceae), and *P. africana* (Nkeng et al. 2010). Both MC and MM sites have volcanic soil types (Andosol) that vary from loam to silt loam, and the top layer is rich in organic matter (Manga et al. 2014; Tegha and Yinda 2016). Both mountains are located on the Cameroon Volcanic Line magmatism (De Plaen et al. 2014). In Kenya, the two targeted sites were the Chuka forest (CT) and Malava forest (MK) separated by approximately 320 km. The CK site is located near Chuka Tharaka-Nithi in the Central Province of Kenya at 0°17'45.57" N, 37°36'52.85" E, 1620 masl. The annual rainfall is bimodal and ranges from 1500 to 2500 mm. The vegetation in the CT site is dominated by a range of species, including *Podocarpus latifolia* (Podocarpaceae), *Artemisia afra* (Asteraceae), *Croton macrostachys* (Euphorbiaceae), and *P. africana* among others. The soils are red with high clay content, and Nitisols, Cambisols, and Andosols are the main soil groups (Kaburi and Medley 2011; Mugo 2015). The MK Forest is located in Kakamega in the Western province at 0°27'57.57" N, 34°52'8.55" E, 1615 masl. The annual temperature in the region is about 25°C with a hot and wet climate, and annual rainfall ranging between 1500 mm and 2000 mm (Seswa et al. 2018). The dominant species include *P. africana* and several other species belonging to Euphorbiaceae, Moraceae, Rubiaceae, Acanthaceae, Fabaceae, and Bignoniaceae (Additional file 1) (Seswa et al. 2018).

Soil sampling and analysis

Soil sample collection

In each of the four sites (Mount Cameroon: MC; Mount Manengouba: MM; Chuka Tharaka-Nithi: CT; Malava Kakamega: MK) (Fig. 1), 20 mature *P. africana* trees (≥ 30 cm dbh) were randomly selected. Rhizosphere soil samples were collected from each tree at four cardinal points by following the roots from the trunk to the fine roots, and at about 20 cm from the trunk. Each sample consisted of approximately 50g of soil collected aseptically at a depth of 30 cm, after removing the surface soil litter. The samples from each tree were then pooled to form a composite sample of 200 g per tree.

Subsequently, the composite sample per tree was further pooled to form a composite sample of 4 kg per site. A subsample of 500 g from each composite sample was air-dried at ambient temperature for soil chemical analyses. The remaining subsamples were taken to the laboratory and stored at 4°C for molecular analyses.

Soil chemical analyses

Soil samples were analyzed to determine the pH (H₂O), electrical conductivity (EC), percentage of carbon (% C), total nitrogen (% N), available phosphorus (P), potassium (K), sodium (Na), and calcium (Ca), present in the soil samples at the Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI) soil analysis laboratory as described by Odee et al. (Odee et al. 2002).

Soil DNA extraction

For each composite soil sample, 100 g of sample was ground using a mortar and pestle. A duplicate subsample of 0.25 g of the ground soil was used to extract gDNA using the DNeasy PowerSoil kit following the supplier's recommendation (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quantification and quality control of extracted DNA was done using a NanoDrop™ 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and 0.8% agarose gel electrophoresis. The concentration of extracted DNA was normalized to 25 ng/μl in a total volume of 50 μl. Amplification of the small subunit of the rDNA (SSU rDNA) region was conducted using the primer pairs AML1/AML2 known to have better specificity and coverage for AMF diversity (Lee et al. 2008). However, previous studies have mentioned that non-target amplification PCR reaction was done using the Taq PCR Kit (New England, BioLabs® Inc, USA), in a reaction volume of 50 μl, containing 5 μl of 10X Standard Taq Reaction Buffer, 1 μl of 10 mM dNTPs, 1 μl of 10 μM AML1 (forward), 1 μl of 10 μM AML2 (reverse), 5 μl of 25 ng/μl DNA template and 0.5 μl of 0.5 U Taq DNA polymerase. Thermocycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 35 cycles at 94 °C for 1 min, 58 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension step at 72 °C for 10 min.

Library preparation and Illumina MiSeq sequencing

Before library preparation, PCR products were purified using the QIAquick® PCR purification kit (Qiagen, USA). Quantification was done using NanoDrop™ 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) and the PCR purified products were normalized to 30 ng/μl. Amplicons were further diluted to 0.5 ng/μl using nuclease-free water and quantified by Qubit® fluorometry (Thermo Scientific, USA), and used for library preparation. The library construction was done using Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. This protocol started with an enzymatic reaction called tagmentation where the enzyme transposase fragmented and tagged the amplicon samples with adapters in random positions. The sequencing indices were added to the fragmented amplicons through a PCR reaction of 12 cycles. This step was followed by amplicon purification and size selection using AMPure® XP beads (Beckman Coulter, USA) technology. The products were quantified using Qubit® fluorometry with the dsDNA HS (High Sensitivity) Assay kit (Thermo Scientific, USA) and the quality and size were checked using the Agilent TapeStation 2000 system (Agilent Technologies, USA). The

quantified and sized amplicons were normalized, pooled at equimolar concentrations, and then submitted for sequencing to Illumina MiSeq 300PE reads.

Bioinformatics and statistical analyses

All bioinformatics analyses were performed using the Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology (QIIME2) version 2019.10 (<https://docs.qiime2.org/2019.10/>) (Caporaso et al. 2010). The FASTQ files containing the forward and the reverse reads obtained were first checked for quality control using FastQC v0.11.7 (Andrews 2010). The sequences were demultiplexed to assign each read to a specific sample using q2-demux, and then, the sequences were denoised and trimmed to retain only bases with a quality score greater than 25. The sequences were then truncated from the 3' end of the forward and the reverse sequences at 240 and 200 bp, respectively, using the qiime deblur plugging pipeline (Amir et al. 2017). Sample CT2 was discarded due to the low number of sequence reads (< 1000). Picking and clustering of the operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were done using the Silva 138 database (<https://www.arb-silva.de/>) and the taxonomy assignment was done using MaarjAM 5 database (Opik et al. 2010) (<http://maarjam.botany.ut.ee/>) as a classifier in QIIME2 q2-feature-classifier plugin (Bokulich et al. 2018) with 97 % similarity. The features table also known as the OTUs table and the taxonomy table generated from QIIME2 were extracted and imported into R 4.0 (R Core Team 2020) as phyloseq objects for downstream analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using the R software version 4.0 (R Core Team 2020) unless otherwise specified. To estimate the AMF OTUs diversity among the samples from Cameroon and Kenya separately, the count reads were transformed and normalized into relative abundance (Lemos et al. 2011) using the “filter.taxa()” function of the phyloseq package (version 1.32.0) in R software (McMurdie and Holmes 2013). The significant difference between the alpha diversity metrics of AMF taxa from Cameroon and Kenya was calculated using the Kruskal-Wallis test. The Beta diversity was carried out to compare the AMF community between the different samples using the non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), based on the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distance matrix with the function “metaMDS()” of the vegan package (version 2.5-6). The dissimilarity matrices were then plotted on the principal coordinate. The relationship between the main soil physicochemical parameters and the AMF community composition was tested using the distance-based redundancy analysis (db-RDA) (Legendre and Andersson 1999), applying the function “capscale()” of the vegan package version 2.5-6 (Oksanen et al. 2019) in R (version 4.0). A Venn diagram analysis was conducted to identify unique and shared AMF communities among the samples and provenance in the online interactive tool Venny (<https://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/>).

Abbreviations

AMF
Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal
VT
Virtual taxa
OTUs

Operational taxonomy units
db-RDA
Distance-based redundancy analysis
NMDS
Non-metric multidimensional scaling

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests

Funding

The sampling collection was supported by the Pan African University Institute of Basic Science, Technology and Innovation of the African Union Commission. The soil physicochemical analysis was supported by the Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI) and the LTS-ODA SUNRISE Programme (#NEC06476), UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (UKCEH). The metagenomics analyses were funded by the BecA-ILRI Hub through the Africa Biosciences Challenge Fund (ABCF) program. The ABCF program is funded by the Australian Department for Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) through the BecA-CSIRO partnership; the Syngenta Foundation for Sustainable Agriculture (SFSA); the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF); the UK Department for International Development (DFID) and the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA).

Authors' contributions

YT, DWO, EN, ML, JK, VN, and RP conceptualized the study. YT, EM, and RP collected data. YT and BW performed the data analysis. YT, DWO, and RP interpreted the data. YT wrote the initial draft. All the authors contributed to the final manuscript and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Emmanuel Makatiani, Charles Oduor from Biotechnology Laboratory, Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI) and Enthua Yossa Armel from the University of Yaounde I for their assistance during the sampling collection; John Gicheru from Biotechnology Laboratory, Kenya Forestry Research Institute (KEFRI) for his support during the molecular analyses; Dr Jean-Baka Domelevo and John Juma from Biosciences Eastern and Central Africa - International Livestock Research Institute (BecA-ILRI) Hub for their assistance during the metagenomics analyses.

References

1. Abdedaïem R, Rejili M, Mahdhi M, et al (2020) Phylogeny and distribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associated with *Vachellia tortilis* ssp. *raddiana* in relation to soil properties under arid ecosystems of Tunisia. *Mycol Prog* 19:495–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-020-01572-w>
2. Adesemoye AO, Kloepper JW (2009) Plant-microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer-use efficiency. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 85:1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2196-0>
3. Alguacil MM, Torrecillas E, Lozano Z, Roldán A (2015) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi communities in a coral cay system (Morrocoy, Venezuela) and their relationships with environmental variables. *Science of the Total Environment* 505:805–813. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.10.030>
4. Almeida C de L, Sawaya ACHF, de Andrade SAL (2018) Mycorrhizal influence on the growth and bioactive compounds composition of two medicinal plants: *Mikania glomerata* Spreng . and *Mikania laevigata* Sch . Bip . ex Baker (Asteraceae). *Brazilian Journal of Botany* 41:233–240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40415-017-0436-6>
5. Amir A, Daniel M, Navas-Molina J, et al (2017) Deblur Rapidly Resolves Single-. *American Society for Microbiology* 2:1–7
6. Andrews S (2010) A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Online]
7. Armstrong L, Peterson RL (2002) The interface between the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices* and root cells of *Panax quinquefolius*: A Paris-type mycorrhizal association. *Mycologia* 94:587–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2003.11833187>
8. Bainard LD, Dai M, Gomez EF, et al (2014) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities are influenced by agricultural land use and not soil type among the Chernozem great groups of the Canadian Prairies. *Plant Soil* 387:351–362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-014-2288-1>
9. Bauer JT, Koziol L, Bever JD (2020) Local adaptation of mycorrhizae communities changes plant community composition and increases aboveground productivity. *Oecologia* 192:735–744. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-020-04598-9>
10. Begum N, Qin C, Ahanger MA, et al (2019) Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Plant Growth Regulation: Implications in Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Front Plant Sci* 10:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01068>
11. Betti JL (2008) Non- detriment findings report on *Prunus africana* (Rosaceae) in Cameroon

12. Bii C, Korir KR, Rugutt J, Mutai C (2010) The potential use of *Prunus africana* for the control, treatment and management of common fungal and bacterial infections. *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research* 4:995–998. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR09.227>
13. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, et al (2018) Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-feature-classifier plugin. *Microbiome* 6:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-018-0470-z>
14. Bravo A, Brands M, Wewer V, et al (2017) Arbuscular mycorrhiza-specific enzymes FatM and RAM2 fine-tune lipid biosynthesis to promote development of arbuscular mycorrhiza. *New Phytologist* 214:1631–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14533>
15. Brundrett MC (2009) Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: Understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant Soil* 320:37–77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-008-9877-9>
16. Brundrett MC, Tedersoo L (2018) Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and global host plant diversity. *New Phytologist*
17. Cameron DD, Neal AL, van Wees SCM, Ton J (2013) Mycorrhiza-induced resistance: More than the sum of its parts? *Trends Plant Sci* 18:539–545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2013.06.004>
18. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, et al (2010) Correspondence QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data Intensity normalization improves color calling in SOLiD sequencing. *Nature Publishing Group* 7:335–336. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth0510-335>
19. Choi J, Summers W, Paszkowski U (2018) Mechanisms Underlying Establishment of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbioses. *Annu Rev Phytopathol* 56:1–26. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-080516-035521>
20. Cunningham A, Anoncho VF, Sunderland T (2016) Power, policy and the *Prunus africana* bark trade, 1972 – 2015. *J Ethnopharmacol* 178:323–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2015.11.042>
21. De Plaen RSM, Bastow ID, Chambers EL, et al (2014) The development of magmatism along the Cameroon Volcanic Line: Evidence from seismicity and seismic anisotropy. *J Geophys Res Solid Earth* 119:4233–4252. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010583>
22. Egan CP, Rummel A, Kokkoris V, et al (2018) Using mock communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to evaluate fidelity associated with Illumina sequencing. *Fungal Ecol* 33:52–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2018.01.004>
23. Fonge BA, Essomo SE, Bechem TE, et al (2019) Market trends and ethnobotany of orchids of Mount Cameroon. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 15:1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-019-0308-1>
24. Heijden MGA van der, Martin FM, Selosse M-A, Sanders IR (2015) Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist* 205:1406–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13288>
25. Hoeksema JD, Chaudhary VB, Gehring CA, et al (2010) A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol Lett* 13:394–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01430.x>

26. Hontoria C, García-González I, Quemada M, et al (2019) The cover crop determines the AMF community composition in soil and in roots of maize after a ten-year continuous crop rotation. *Science of the Total Environment* 660:913–922. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.01.095>
27. Huang Z, Zhao F, Wang M, et al (2019) Soil chemical properties and geographical distance exerted effects on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community composition in pear orchards in Jiangsu Province, China. *Applied Soil Ecology* 142:18–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.05.017>
28. Husband R, Herre EA, Turner SL, et al (2002) Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and patterns of host association over time and space in a tropical forest. *Mol Ecol* 11:2669–2678. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01647.x>
29. Kaburi SM, Medley KE (2011) Community Perspectives on Fuelwood Resources in East Africa. *Mt Res Dev* 31:315–324. <https://doi.org/10.1659/mrd-journal-d-10-00121.1>
30. Kadu CAC, Konrad H, Schueler S, et al (2013) Divergent pattern of nuclear genetic diversity across the range of the Afromontane *Prunus africana* mirrors variable climate of African highlands. *Ann Bot* 47–60. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcs235>
31. Komakech R, Kang Y, Lee JH, Omujal F (2017) A review of the potential of phytochemicals from *Prunus africana* (Hook f.) Kalkman stem bark for chemoprevention and chemotherapy of prostate cancer. *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine* 1–11
32. Koros H, Malombe I, Mwendwa K, et al (2016) Indigenous Knowledge, Uses and Conservation of *Prunus africana* (Hook. F.) Kalkman in Nandi Forests. *Journal of Natural Sciences Research* 6:56–62
33. Lakshmipathy R, Balakrishna AN, Bagyaraj DJ (2012) Abundance and diversity of AM fungi across a gradient of land use intensity and their seasonal variations in Niligiri Biosphere of the Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* 14:903–918
34. Lara-Pérez LA, Oros-Ortega I, Córdova-Lara I, et al (2020) Seasonal shifts of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in *Cocos nucifera* roots in Yucatan, Mexico. *Mycorrhiza*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00944-0>
35. Lee J, Lee S, Young JPW (2008) Improved PCR primers for the detection and identification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies* 65:339–349. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00531.x>
36. Legendre P, Andersson MJ (1999) Distance-based redundancy analysis: Testing multispecies responses in multifactorial ecological experiments. *Ecol Monogr* 69:1–24. [https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615\(1999\)069\[0001:DBRATM\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(1999)069[0001:DBRATM]2.0.CO;2)
37. Lemos LN, Fulthorpe RR, Triplett EW, Roesch LFW (2011) Rethinking microbial diversity analysis in the high throughput sequencing era. *J Microbiol Methods* 86:42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2011.03.014>
38. Lin G, McCormack ML, Guo D (2015) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal effects on plant competition and community structure. *Journal of Ecology* 103:1224–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12429>

39. Lovelock CE, Andersen K, Morton JB (2003) Arbuscular mycorrhizal communities in tropical forests are affected by host tree species and environment. *Oecologia* 135:268–279.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-1166-3>
40. Manga VE, Agyingi CM, Suh CE (2014) Trace Element Soil Quality Status of Mt. Cameroon Soils. *Advances in Geology* 2014:1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/894103>
41. Manoharan L, Rosenstock NP, Williams A, Hedlund K (2017) Agricultural management practices influence AMF diversity and community composition with cascading effects on plant productivity. *Applied Soil Ecology* 115:53–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.03.012>
42. Marinho F, Oehl F, da Silva IR, et al (2019) High diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in natural and anthropized sites of a Brazilian tropical dry forest (Caatinga). *Fungal Ecol* 40:82–91.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funeco.2018.11.014>
43. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S (2013) Phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLoS One* 8:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217>
44. Moreira M, Baretta D, Siu MT, Cardoso EJBN (2006) Spore density and root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in preserved or disturbed *Araucaria angustifolia* (Bert.) O. Ktze. ecosystems. *Sci Agric* 63:380–385. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0103-90162006000400009>
45. Morte A, Diaz G, Rodriguez P, et al (2001) Growth and Water Relations in Mycorrhizal and Nonmycorrhizal *Pinus halepensis* Plants in Response to Drought. *Biol Plant* 44:263–267
46. Mugo EN (2015) Chuka Participatory Forest Management Plan
47. Mwitari PG, Ayeka PA, Ondicho J, et al (2013) Antimicrobial Activity and Probable Mechanisms of Action of Medicinal Plants of Kenya: *Withania somnifera*, *Warbugia ugandensis*, *Prunus africana* and *Plectranthus barbatus*. *PLoS One* 8:4–12. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065619>
48. Nanjundappa A, Bagyaraj DJ, Saxena AK, et al (2019) Interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Bacillus* spp. In soil enhancing growth of crop plants. *Fungal Biol Biotechnol* 6:1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40694-019-0086-5>
49. Nkeng PF, Ingram V, Awono A (2010) Assessment methods of *Prunus africana* bark exploitation methods and sustainable exploitation in the South west, North-West and adamaoua regions of Cameroon
50. Odee DW, Indieka SA, Lesueur D (2002) Evaluation of inoculation procedures for *Calliandra calothyrsus* Meisn. grown in tree nurseries. *Biol Fertil Soils* 36:124–128.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-002-0519-8>
51. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Friendly M, et al (2019) vegan: Community Ecology Package
52. Opik M, Vanatoa A, Vanatoa E, et al (2010) The online database Maarj AM reveals global and ecosystemic distribution patterns in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *New Phytologist* 223–241. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03334.x>
53. Otieno NE, Analo C (2012) Local indigenous knowledge about some medicinal plants in and around Kakamega forest in western Kenya [version 2; referees: 2 approved] Referee Status: F1000Res 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.1-40.v1>

54. Pellegrino E, Gamper HA, Ciccolini V, Ercoli L (2020) Forage Rotations Conserve Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Soil Fertility. *Front Microbiol* 10:1–17.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02969>
55. Pereira JM, Vasconcellos RLF, Pereira APA, et al (2020) Reforestation processes, seasonality and soil characteristics influence arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi dynamics in *Araucaria angustifolia* forest. *For Ecol Manage* 460:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.117899>
56. Porter SS, Bantay R, Friel CA, et al (2019) Beneficial microbes ameliorate abiotic and biotic sources of stress on plants. *Funct Ecol* 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13499>
57. R Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing
58. Rillig MC, Mardatin NF, Leifheit EF, Antunes PM (2010) Mycelium of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increases soil water repellency and is sufficient to maintain water-stable soil aggregates. *Soil Biol Biochem* 42:1189–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.03.027>
59. Rodríguez-Echeverría S, Teixeira H, Correia M, et al (2017) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi communities from tropical Africa reveal strong ecological structure. *New Phytologist* 213:380–390.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14122>
60. Schlaeppi K, Bender SF, Mascher F, et al (2016) High-resolution community profiling of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytologist* 212:780–791
61. Seswa F, Manohar S, Kitur E (2018) Current Floristic Composition and Growth Forms of Equatorial Rainforest, Kakamega County Kenya. *International Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 8:70–76.
<https://doi.org/10.5923/j.ijaf.20180802.04>
62. Solaiman ZM, Anawar HM (2015) Rhizosphere Microbes Interactions in Medicinal Plants. In: Egamberdieva D, Shrivastava S, Varma A (eds) *Soil Biology*. Springer, New York
63. Spatafora JW, Chang Y, Benny GL, et al (2016) A phylum-level phylogenetic classification of zygomycete fungi based on genome-scale data. *Mycologia* 108:1028–1046.
<https://doi.org/10.3852/16-042>
64. Stevens BM, Propster JR, Öpik M, et al (2020) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots and soil respond differently to biotic and abiotic factors in the Serengeti. *Mycorrhiza*.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00931-5>
65. Stürmer SL, Bever JD, Morton JB (2018) Biogeography of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota): a phylogenetic perspective on species distribution patterns. *Mycorrhiza* 28:587–603. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-018-0864-6>
66. Tchiehoua YH, Kinyua J, Ngumi VW, Odee DW (2020) Effect of Indigenous and Introduced Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Growth and Phytochemical Content of Vegetatively Propagated *Prunus africana* (Hook. f.) Kalkman Provenances. *Plants* 9:37.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010037>
67. Tegha KC, Yinda GS (2016) Soil organic carbon stocks in Mount Cameroon National Park under different land uses. *Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment* 8:20–30.
<https://doi.org/10.5897/JENE2015.0553>

68. Treseder KK, Allen EB, Egerton-Warburton LM, et al (2018) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi as mediators of ecosystem responses to nitrogen deposition: A trait-based predictive framework. *Journal of Ecology* 106:480–489. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12919>
69. Trevizan Chiomento JL, Stürmer SL, Carrenho R, et al (2019) Composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi communities signals generalist species in soils cultivated with strawberry. *Sci Hortic* 253:286–294. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.04.029>
70. Vieira LC, da Silva DKA, Escobar IEC, et al (2020) Changes in an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi community along an environmental gradient. *Plants* 9:1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010052>
71. Vinceti B, Loo J, Gaisberger H, et al (2013) Conservation Priorities for *Prunus africana* Defined with the Aid of Spatial Analysis of Genetic Data and Climatic Variables. *PLoS One* 8:. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0059987>
72. Wetzel K, Silva G, Matczinski U, et al (2014) Superior differentiation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities from till and no-till plots by morphological spore identification when compared to T-RFLP. *Soil Biol Biochem* 72:88–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.01.033>
73. White F (1978) The Afromontane Region. 463–513. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-9951-0_11
74. Wubet T, Weiß M, Kottke I, et al (2004) Molecular diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in *Prunus africana*, an endangered medicinal tree species in dry Afromontane forests of Ethiopia. *New Phytologist* 161:517–528. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00924.x>
75. Xu T, Veresoglou SD, Chen Y, et al (2016) Plant community, geographic distance and abiotic factors play different roles in predicting AMF biogeography at the regional scale in northern China. *Environ Microbiol Rep* 8:1048–1057. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-2229.12485>
76. Zhao H, Li X, Zhang Z, et al (2017) Species diversity and drivers of AMF communities in a semi_arid mountain in China. *PeerJ* 5:18. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4155>

Figures




Figure 1

Rarefaction curve of the AMF community in the rhizosphere soils of *P. africana*. MM1: Mount Manengouba1; MM2: Mount Manengouba2; MC1: Mount Cameroon1; MC2: Mount Cameroon2; MK1: Malava Kakamega1; MK2: Malava Kakamega2; CT1: Chuka Tharakanithi1. The cut-off (vertical) line indicates the sample with the smallest number of reads.




Figure 2

Abundance of AMF family in all soil samples collected in the rhizosphere of *P. africana*.




Figure 3

Relative abundance of AMF families in the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana*. MM1: Mount Manengouba1; MM2: Mount Manengouba2; MC1: Mount Cameroon1; MC2: Mount Cameroon2; MK1: Malava Kakamega1; MK2: Malava Kakamega2; CT1: Chuka Tharakanithi1.




Figure 4

Relative abundance of the 75 merged taxa identified in the rhizosphere soils of *P. africana*. Chuka Tharakanithi1 (CT1); MlvaKkga1, Malava Kakamega1 (MK1); MlvaKkga2, Malava Kakamega2 (MK2); MntCmr1, Mount Cameroon1 (MC1); MntCmr2, Mount Cameroon2 (MC2); MntMgba1, Mount Manengouba1 (MM1); MntMgba2, Mount Manengouba2 (MM2).




Figure 5

Venn diagram of unique and shared merged taxa. (A) Between pooled Cameroon and Kenya samples. (B) Among the four sites Chuka, Malava, Mount Cameroon and Mount Manengouba.




Figure 6

AMF alpha diversity of AMF in the rhizosphere soils of *P. africana*. The observed OTUs, The Chao1, the ACE, the Shannon, and the Simpson indexes were considered. CkaTNithi1_CT1: Chuka Tharakanithi1 (CT1); MlvaKkga1_MK1: Malava Kakamega1 (MK1); MlvaKkga2_MK2: Malava Kakamega2 (MK2); MntCmr1_MC1: Mount Cameroon1 (MC1); MntCmr2_MC2: Mount Cameroon2 (MC2); MntMgba1_MM1: Mount Manengouba1 (MM1); MntMgba2_MM2: Mount Manengouba2 (MM2).




Figure 7

Non-metric multidimensional scaling plot of AMF community composition in the rhizosphere soils of *P. africana*. CkaTNithi1: Chuka Tharakanithi1 (CT1); MlvaKkga1: Malava Kakamega1 (MK1); MlvaKkga2: Malava Kakamega2 (MK2); MntCmr1: Mount Cameroon1, (MC1); MntCmr2: Mount Cameroon2 (MC2); MntMgba1: Mount Manengouba1 (MM1); MntMgba2: Mount Manengouba2 (MM2), (Stress =0.014).




Figure 8

Relationship between soil physicochemical parameters and AMF community in the rhizosphere soil of *P. africana*. MM1: Mount Manengouba1; MM2: Mount Manengouba2; MC1: Mount Cameroon1; MC2: Mount Cameroon2; MK1: Malava Kakamega1; MK2: Malava Kakamega2; CT1: Chuka Tharakanithi1.




Figure 9

Sampling sites where rhizosphere soils of *P. africana* were collected. The yellow pins indicate all the sampling points in this study.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [Additionalfile1.Readsabundancepersample.xlsx](#)
- [Additionalfile2.UniqueandsharedAMFtaxa.xlsx](#)
- [Additionalfile3.Environmentalcharacteristics.xlsx](#)