

Effect of pre-harvest application of growth regulators on yield and quality of elephant- foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius*) during storage

C Indu Rani¹ and R Neelavathi²

Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore 641 003, Tamil Nadu, India

Received: 11 February 2022; Accepted: 19 March 2023

ABSTRACT

The effect of pre-harvest application of growth regulators on yield and quality of elephant-foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* Dennst.) was studied during storage at Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Coimbatore during 2017-18. Thirteen pre-harvest treatments with cycocel, ethrel and kinetin were used individually and in combination with ridomil at different concentrations 15 and 30 days before harvesting. The combination of cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% sprayed 30 days before harvesting recorded highest mean corm weight (820.60 g) and yield 36.70 tonnes/ha. After harvesting, corms were stored in well-ventilated dry room with relative humidity of 60-75 %. There was a significant loss in corm weight, starch content, dry matter and oxalate content during storage. The corm weight was significantly higher in T₈ (791.60 g), followed by T₁₁ (773.30 g) and T₂ (686.10 g) after 6 months of storage. There was no significant loss in starch and dry-matter content in corms in all treatments during storage. The starch content ranged from 8.96 to 10.20% and dry-matter content from 21.50 to 25.25%. The oxalate content was significantly lower in T₈ (115.5 mg/100g), followed by T₂ (120 mg/100g) and T₉ (123 mg/100g).

Key words: Growth regulators, Corm weight, Starch, Dry matter, Oxalate content

Elephant-foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* Dennst.), Araceae, is profitable tuber crops grown in subtropical and tropical region of the world. Growth regulators plays an important role in regulating morphological characters (Shankaraswamy *et al.*, 2015). Chemicals influence the dormancy breaking (Muthuraj *et al.*, 2016), yield and quality in elephant-foot yam (Samatha Punna *et al.*, 2018). Oxalate is considered to be anti-nutritional and toxic (Guil-Guerrero, 2014). On storage of corms, oxalate gets reduced. Corms can also be cured in naturally- ventilated barns or other storage structures. Keeping in view, an experiment was conducted to find out the effect of pre-harvest treatments on tuber weight, yield, starch and calcium oxalate content in elephant-foot yam.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The elephant-foot yam variety Appakoodal local was used. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with 13 treatments replicated thrice each in a plot size of 4.5 m × 4.5 m during 2017-18. The corms were planted at a spacing of 90 cm × 90 cm. Two crops were raised for two

consecutive years, post-harvest and storage studies were conducted. The following 13 pre-harvest treatments using cycocel, ethrel and kinetin were used individually and in combination with ridomil at different concentrations 15 days and 30 days before harvesting for improving the quality of storage life.

After 8 months, corms were harvested and stored at room temperature under well-ventilated and dry room with relative humidity of 60-75 %. The data were recorded on mean corm weight (g), corm yield (t/ha), starch content (%), dry-matter content (%) and oxalate content (mg/100 g) at weekly intervals to assess quality of treated yam. The data were subjected to statistical analysis (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION


There was a significant difference in mean corm weight after pre-harvest application of growth regulators. The treatment T₈ (cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 30 days before harvesting) recorded highest mean corm weight (820.60 g), followed by treatment T₁₁ (cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 15 days before harvesting) with individual corm weight of 806.30 g as compared to the control (390.00 g). The treatment, T₈ (cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5%

Corresponding author : indunathan@gmail.com

²ICAR-Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Tindivanam, Villupuram

Table 1. Effect of pre-harvest treatment on yield and quality immediately after harvesting

Treatment	Mean corm weight (g)	Corm yield (tonnes/ha)	Starch content (%)	Dry-matter content (%)	Oxalate content (mg/100 g)
T ₁	390.00	16.70	10.15	22.25	693.00
T ₂	722.60	31.30	10.84	24.00	151.00
T ₃	563.60	28.50	10.42	21.00	595.00
T ₄	488.00	22.20	10.28	23.00	181.00
T ₅	721.60	30.90	10.70	22.00	184.00
T ₆	540.60	29.30	10.41	22.50	183.00
T ₇	434.30	19.70	10.24	20.00	393.00
T ₈	820.60	36.70	11.03	23.00	151.00
T ₉	679.60	30.90	10.55	21.03	204.00
T ₁₀	528.60	24.50	10.31	23.25	280.00
T ₁₁	806.30	32.40	11.00	20.78	232.00
T ₁₂	587.30	28.90	10.51	22.25	356.00
T ₁₃	410.60	18.90	10.23	21.50	356.00
Mean	591.82	26.99	10.51	22.04	304.54
SEd	0.54	0.68	2.08	1.66	1.16
CD (p=0.05)	0.27	0.34	1.54	0.88	0.58

**Fig. 1. Effect of pre-harvest growth regulators on oxalate content during storage**

30 days before harvesting) showed highest yield of 36.70 tonnes/ha followed by T₁₁ (cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 15 days before harvesting) with yield of 32.40 tonnes/ha.

There was non-significant difference in starch and dry-matter content of corms. There was a significant difference in oxalate content in corms after pre-harvest application of growth regulators. The oxalate content

was significantly lower in T₈ (151.00 mg/100g) and T₂ (151.00 mg/100g), followed by T₄ (181.00 mg/100g), T₆ (183.00 mg/100g) and T₅ (184.00 mg/100g) compared to the control T₁ with highest oxalate content (693.00 mg/100 g) (Table 1).

Under well-ventilated and dry room, yams can be stored up 6 months. There was a significant loss in corm weight, starch content and dry-matter content

Table 2. Effect of pre-harvest growth regulators on corm weight, starch and dry-matter content during storage

Treatment	Mean corm weight (g)		Starch content (%)		Dry-matter content (%)	
	3 months	6 months	3 months	6 months	3 months	6 months
T ₁	373.30	359.30	9.65	9.41	25.25	23.75
T ₂	695.30	686.10	9.83	9.75	27.00	25.00
T ₃	541.00	528.00	9.20	9.03	23.50	22.00
T ₄	464.60	456.30	9.08	8.96	24.90	24.00
T ₅	700.05	683.15	10.00	9.72	24.15	23.00
T ₆	518.30	512.60	10.01	9.85	25.00	23.50
T ₇	403.30	402.60	9.90	9.65	23.20	21.50
T ₈	795.60	791.60	10.25	10.03	26.00	24.50
T ₉	649.30	641.00	10.01	9.86	25.75	23.05
T ₁₀	512.00	489.60	9.89	9.80	27.20	25.25
T ₁₁	806.30	773.30	10.53	10.20	24.00	22.78
T ₁₂	572.30	564.30	10.03	9.91	24.30	22.99
T ₁₃	398.60	381.60	9.97	9.82	24.00	22.50
Mean	571.53	559.19	9.87	9.69	24.94	23.37
SEd	1.453	0.705	0.534	0.936	1.958	1.423
CD (p=0.05)	0.725	0.352	0.267	0.468	0.979	0.711

T₁, control; T₂, cycocel @ 500 ppm 30 days before harvesting; T₃, ethrel @ 250 ppm at 30 days before harvesting; T₄, kinetin @ 100 ppm 30 days before harvesting; T₅, cycocel @ 500 ppm 15 days before harvesting; T₆, Ethrel @ 250 ppm 15 days before harvesting; T₇, kinetin @ 100 ppm 15 days before harvesting; T₈, cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 30 days before harvesting; T₉, ethrel @ 250 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 30 days before harvesting; T₁₀, kinetin @ 100 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 30 days before harvest; T₁₁, cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 15 days before harvesting; T₁₂, ethrel @ 250 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 15 days before harvesting; T₁₃, kinetin @ 100 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 15 days before harvesting.

during storage. Reduction in weight may be due to respiration and water loss. Growth regulators have an inhibitory effect on wound healing and periderm formation. The corm weight was significantly higher in T₈ (791.60 g), followed by T₁₁ (773.30 g) and T₂ (695.10 g) after 6 months of storage. There was non-significant loss in starch and dry-matter content in all treatments during storage. The starch content ranged from 8.96 to 10.20% and dry-matter content from 21.50 to 25.00%. The oxalate content was significantly lower in T₈ (115.5 mg/100g), followed by T₂ (120 mg/100g) and T₉ (123 mg/100g) (Table 2). Decrease in total oxalate content in corms was found during storage (Singh *et al.*, 2018).

CONCLUSION

The pre-harvest foliar spraying of cycocel @ 500 ppm + ridomil @ 0.5% 30 days before harvesting improved the quality of corms. The oxalate content significantly lower in T₈ (115.5 mg/100g), followed by T₂ (120 mg/100g) and T₉ (123 mg/100g).

REFERENCES

- Guil-Guerrero J L. 2014. The safety of wild edible plants: Fuller discussion may be needed. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis* **35**: 18-20.
- Muthuraj R, James George and Sunitha S. 2016. Effect of growth regulator and chemical treatment on dormancy breaking in elephant foot yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolson]. *Journal of Root Crops* **42**(2): 75-80.
- Panse V G and Sukhatme P V. 1985. *Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers*. ICAR, New Delhi, p. 145-56.
- Samatha Punna, Deasi K D, Tandell B M and Harish Suthar. 2018. Effect of Chemicals on growth, yield and quality of Elephant Foot Yam [*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* (Dennst.) Nicolson]. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **7**(8): 1295-1304.
- Shankaraswamy J, Neelavathi R, Chovatia R S. 2015. Effect of growth regulators and seaweed extract on vegetative phenology in mango (*Mangifera indica*). *Current Horticulture* **3**(1): 30-34.
- Singh A K, Chaurasiya A K and Mitra S. 2018. Oxalate content in elephant foot yam (*Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* Dennst-Nicolson) Dry and Fry cubes *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* **7**(2): 2905-2909.