

Epigenetic regulation of fruit shape determination by JAGGED gene in *Capsella rubella*

Yang Dong

`yang.dong@ibcas.ac.cn`

Institute of Botany, the Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2117-538X>

Tian-Feng Lü

State Key Laboratory of Systematic and Evolutionary Botany, Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3110-1405>

Xiao-Yu Chen

Institute of Botany, the Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-9962-314X>

Nicola Trozzi

University of Lausanne

Wen He

Institute of Botany, the Chinese Academy of Sciences

Quan Yuan

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7062-3519>

Yu Han

Department of Human Genetics, KU Leuven

Li-Min Lu

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6973-7877>

Chaobin Li

Institute of Botany <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7951-0350>

Jie Cheng

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7065-2747>

Adrien Sicard

Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4104-6844>

Yao Zhang

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3225-8255>

Ya-Nan Su

College of Agronomy, Henan Agricultural University

Yan-Jun Zan

Department of Plant Physiology, Umeå University <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5571-4578>

Michael Lenhard

University of Potsdam <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8661-6911>

Hongzhi Kong

Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0034-0510>

Mateusz Majda

University of Lausanne <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3405-2901>

Lars Østergaard

John Innes Centre <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8497-7657>

Article

Keywords: Fruit Morphogenesis, Capsella rubella, Development, Epigenetic Regulation, Histone Modification, Cell Division

Posted Date: February 27th, 2026

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-8265099/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: There is **NO** Competing Interest.

Abstract

Fruits that protect the seeds and aid their dispersal are a key feature distinguishing angiosperms from other land plants, and fruit shape varies widely between species. However, it remains largely unknown how local growth behaviors are coordinated during development to generate fruits with characteristic sizes and shapes. Members of the *Capsella* genus in the mustard family produce unique fruits with an evolutionarily derived heart shape, making it an exceptional system suited for addressing this question. In this study, we demonstrate that the *Capsella rubella* C2H2-zinc finger transcription factor JAGGED (CrJAG) controls fruit shape. Whole-mount live imaging analysis indicates that CrJAG regulates valve development by promoting both cell division and anisotropic growth. Ectopic expansion of the valve epidermal cells in *Crjag* mutants is accompanied by mis-patterned endoreduplication events. CrJAG physically interacts with the histone chaperones *Capsella rubella* MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA1 members, increasing the chromatin accessibility and thereby sustaining the expression of genes involved in fruit morphogenesis. The resulting closed chromatin state in *Crjag* fruits is characterized by a reduction of the active histone marker (H3K18ac) and an increase of the repressive marker (H3K27me3). Expression analyses and pharmacological treatments indicate that the developmental defects in *Crjag* fruits are largely attributable to down-regulation of the key cell-cycle regulator *CrAUR2*. Collectively, our findings suggest that fine-tuning the cell cycle via epigenetic modification represents an additional, essential layer of regulation critical to organ development.

Introduction

The evolutionary success of angiosperms is largely attributable to their ability to produce fruits^{1,2}. The fruit is developed from the female reproductive organs, gynoecium, after pollination³. The fruits enclose, nourish and protect the developing seeds from harsh environments, and upon maturation, they facilitate seed dispersal and colonization of new habitats through diverse mechanisms³. Variations in fruit shape are associated with specific dispersal strategies³. Accordingly, the developmental program underlying fruit shape determination must be robustly regulated, as variations in this process would result in adaptive penalties due to limited dispersal^{4,5}. Recently, the heart-shaped fruit of *Capsella* has been established as a model for understanding the developmental genetics of fruit shape determination⁵. We previously showed that development of the heart shape is promoted by localized auxin biosynthesis at the apex of the valve tips^{6,7}. This auxin maximum in the apical region reshapes the ovate spheroid gynoecium into a heart-shaped fruit through dynamic changes in cell growth and cell division⁸. However, how cell growth and division are coordinated during the heart-shape fruit development remain largely unknown.

Results and Discussion

The *Crjag* mutant produces deformed fruits with reduced cell divisions and cell growth

To identify the genetic components controlling the development of heart-shaped fruits in *Capsella*, we carried out a forward genetic screen of an EMS-induced *Capsella rubella* (Cr22.5) mutant population. One mutant, *EMS1196B*, was identified based on its strong defects in fruit development with compromised growth in the fruit valves (Fig. 1a, b; Ref. 9). Bulk segregant analysis (BSA) revealed that the causal gene of the *EMS1196B* encodes a C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor (Carub.0002s1499), which is orthologous to the *Arabidopsis JAGGED* (*JAG*) gene^{9–11}. We henceforth refer to the *EMS1196B* as *Capsella rubella JAGGED* (*CrJAG*) in the following sections.

Development of the heart-shaped fruit in Wild type *Capsella* (CrWT) starts from an ovate spheroid gynoecium soon after pollination at stage 12 (Extended Data Fig. 1a). From stage 13 onwards, reshaping of fruit growth initiates from the apical parts of the valves and gradually leads to the formation of the heart shape by stage 14 (Extended Data Fig. 1a and Ref. 6). In the *Crjag* mutant, however, this fruit reshaping process is abolished because valve outgrowth is significantly compromised, resulting in a fruit with an inverted triangular shape at stage 14 (Extended Data Fig. 1b). To uncover the cellular basis of the *Crjag* phenotype, we performed a large-scale comparative live-imaging analysis between CrWT and *Crjag* fruits from developmental stage 12 (fertilization) to stage 14, when the most significant defects of the *Crjag* mutant are observed (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). Cell growth parameters, including growth rate (cell area expansion), cell proliferation, and anisotropy (direction of cell expansion), were visualized using lineage-tracking maps (Fig. 1c, d). For each genotype, we conducted two biological replicates (see Methods). Analysis of the cell size heatmaps demonstrated a consistent distribution pattern between replicates (Fig. 1c, d and Supplementary Fig. 1). Fruit morphogenesis in CrWT is characterized by the acropetal differentiation of epidermal cells in the valves in which cell expansion initiated from the base at stage 13 (Fig. 1c). At stage 14, large cells are predominantly found at the base while smaller cells are distributed at the tip (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 1c and Ref. 8). In *Crjag* fruits, however, cell size increase occurs as early as stage 12 and ectopic large cells are observed in the apical and middle parts of the valves at stage 14 (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 1d). These data suggest that valve differentiation process is accelerated in *Crjag* fruits. During organ morphogenesis, cell growth contributes substantially to organ size once cells exit mitosis¹². Analysis of areal growth rates reveals a pronounced acceleration of growth in CrWT valves from stage 13 to 14, consistent with the rapid increase in fruit size during this period (Fig. 1e, f). In contrast, *Crjag* valves exhibit the opposite trend: growth rate is higher at stage 13 and decreases at stage 14 (Fig. 1e, f). Comparative mapping and quantification of cell proliferation rates reveal a substantial reduction in cell division events (approximately 20-fold reduction in *Crjag* compared with CrWT) in the valve epidermis (Fig. 1g, h and Extended Data Fig. 1e). In addition to cell growth and division, a region of high anisotropic cell growth at the apical part of the fruit near the replum is critical for pushing the upper part of the valve outward to create the heart shape (Fig. 1i, j). This pattern of anisotropic growth is completely lost in the upper part of *Crjag* fruits, with only residual anisotropic growth detected in the lower part of stage-13 *Crjag* fruits (Fig. 1i, j). In summary, this comparative organ-wide cellular analysis suggests that *CrJAG* controls fruit shape determination by coordinating the differentiation process in the valves.

Ectopic cell expansion coincides with increases in endoreduplication in the *Crjag* fruits

In eukaryotic cells, the enlargement of cell volume is often associated with endoreduplication, a process in which chromosomal DNA is duplicated without undergoing mitosis¹³. In plant organs, cell size is strongly correlated with DNA ploidy levels^{14,15}. In *Crjag* fruits, valve epidermal cells are ectopically expanded throughout the valves compared with WT (Fig. 1c, d; mostly evident in stage-14 fruits). To assess the contribution of endoreduplication to cell size changes and fruit shape development, we performed comparative flow cytometry of nuclei from CrWT and *Crjag* valves of stage-17 fruits. Compared to CrWT fruits, where most nuclei had a 2C DNA content, *Crjag* fruits exhibited a striking increase in the population of 4C and 8C nuclei (Extended Data Fig. 2a, b). Moreover, quantification of the average number of endocycles per nucleus using the Endoreduplication Index (EI, Ref. 16) revealed a significant increase in EI in *Crjag* compared with CrWT (Extended Data Fig. 2c). Altogether, these data indicate that the ectopic expansion of epidermal cells in the *Crjag* valves is accompanied by increase in endoreduplication events.

Genetic validation of CrJAG

The mutation responsible for the *Crjag* phenotype disrupts the donor site of the third intron that integrates this 244-bp intron with the fourth exon (Fig. 2a; Ref.9). The resulting frameshift introduces a premature stop codon within the intron sequence, producing a truncated 115 amino acid (aa) protein instead of the 252-aa WT CrJAG protein (Fig. 2a). To confirm the role of *CrJAG* in fruit shape development, we generated an independent mutant allele of Carub.0002s1499 (*Crjag^{ge}*, “*ge*” indicating “genome editing”) using the CRISPR/Cas9 system (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a). A single base-pair insertion in the second exon caused a frameshift that generated a 40-aa peptide (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a). The fruits of the *Crjag^{ge}* mutant perfectly phenocopied the *Crjag* fruit phenotype, and F₁ plants from a cross between *Crjag* and *Crjag^{ge}* showed no genetic complementation (Fig. 2b). Moreover, a GFP-tagged CrJAG protein driven by the native CrJAG promoter (*pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP*) effectively complements the *Crjag* fruits defects (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2b). Collectively, these results provide compelling genetic evidence that the developmental defects observed in *Crjag* are caused by a loss-of-function mutation in Carub.0002s1499, which encodes a C2H2 transcription factor orthologous to *Arabidopsis JAG*.

CrJAG is dynamically expressed during fruit development

To explore the expression pattern of the *CrJAG* gene during fruit development, we generated a *pCrJAG:GUS* reporter line by fusing a 4.5 kb promoter fragment to the β -glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene. In agreement with the strong developmental defects in *Crjag* fruits, GUS expression was specifically localized to the lateral apical region of the ovary and to the valves during gynoecium patterning and fruit development (Fig. 2c). Its expression in the ovary was initially detected at stage 8 and subsequently became stronger, reaching a maximum in the lateral valve regions at stages 12 and 13 (Fig. 2c). After stage 14, when the heart shape is established, expression decreased gradually (Fig. 2c).

In addition, strong *CrJAG* expression was also detected in the valve margins from stage 12 to 14 (Fig. 2c). Notably, the continuous and dynamic expression of *CrJAG* in *Capsella* valves contrasts with the expression of *JAG* in *Arabidopsis* gynoecium, where *JAG* displays transient valve-specific expression before stage 9, after which expression becomes restricted to the valve margins¹¹. This divergence in expression patterns may reflect promoter differences between these orthologous genes, as seen for *INDEHISCENT*⁶. Indeed, the *Arabidopsis jag* mutant produces fruits similar to WT without obvious morphological alterations except that apical region of the fruit valves is slightly downturned (Extended Data Fig. 3a, b; Ref.10).

CrJAG interacts with histone chaperones to regulate fruit development

To identify proteins that interact with CrJAG in fruit shape determination, we resorted to two independent approaches, i.e. immunoprecipitation followed by mass spectrometry (IP-MS) with a GFP antibody against CrJAG:GFP fusion proteins from *Crjag;pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* complemented fruits, and yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) library screening using CrJAG-BD as a bait on the library prepared from stage-10 to stage-13 fruits, when CrJAG are highly expressed (see Methods). Because the full-length CrJAG-BD exhibited strong auto-activation activity in the Y2H system, we performed a systematic truncation analysis to exclude the region responsible for auto-activation and used it for the library screening (Supplementary Fig. 3). An overlap analysis of the proteins identified from these two independent approaches yielded a list of four high-confidence candidate interactors (Fig. 3a, b and Supplementary Data 1). Among these, the histone chaperone MULTICOPY SUPPRESSOR OF IRA1 3 (CrMSI3) was of particular interest, as its homologs have prominent roles in plant development^{17–19}. The other three candidates are orthologous to *Arabidopsis* *LWD2* (*LIGHT-REGULATED WD2*), *ISI1* (*IMPAIRED SUCROSE INDUCTION1*), and a tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-containing protein, which have been implicated in light responses and physiological processes^{20–22}, respectively. These candidates were excluded for further analysis in this study.

MSI proteins belong to a family of WD40 histone chaperones conserved in yeast, mammals, and plants²³. The *Capsella* genome encodes five closely related homologs, CrMSI1 to CrMSI5 (Fig. 3c). While no interaction and only weak interaction were detected with CrMSI5 and CrMSI1, respectively (Fig. 3d), CrJAG exhibits strong interaction with CrMSI2, CrMSI3, and CrMSI4 in the Y2H assays (Fig. 3d). These interactions were further substantiated *in vivo* by BiFC and CoIP experiments (Fig. 3e, f and Supplementary Fig. 4). Consistent with these interactions, CrJAG, CrMSI2, CrMSI3, and CrMSI4 were co-localized in the nucleus when transiently expressed in protoplasts (Extended Data Fig. 4). Furthermore, promoter reporter analysis revealed that *pCrMSI2:GUS* and *pCrMSI3:GUS* showed strong expression in developing valves, overlapping with the *CrJAG* expression domain, whereas *CrMSI4* promoter activity is weakly detected in the valves (Fig. 4a-c). To test whether CrMSI2 and CrMSI3 are required for *Capsella* fruit shape determination, we generated knock-out mutants by CRISPR/Cas9 (Supplementary Fig. 5). Unexpectedly, neither single loss-of-function mutants in *Crmsi2*, *Crmsi3*, nor the *Crmsi2;Crmsi3* double

mutant shows any detectable fruit defects (Fig. 4d). Homozygous high-order *Crmsi* mutants could not be recovered, suggesting that further loss of *CrMSI* function may have led to embryo lethal^{23,24}. Given the weak but detectable expression of *CrMSI4* in fruits, it may function redundantly with CrMSI2 and CrMSI3 proteins in regulating fruit shape development.

MSI proteins are histone chaperones without DNA binding domains, their target specificity is determined by the transcription factors they interact with^{23–25}. To further unveil the functional importance of the CrJAG-CrMSI2/3 protein complex in fruit development, we fused the DNA-binding domain (DBD) of CrJAG to either CrMSI2 or CrMSI3 with the idea that amino acid sequence outside the DNA-binding domain of CrJAG may function primarily to recruit MSI1-like proteins. We examined their ability to complement the *Crjag* fruit defects (Fig. 4e). Whereas the control construct (*pCrJAG:DBD:GFP*) failed to complement the fruit defects, either *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI2:GFP* or *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI3:GFP* construct effectively rescued the *Crjag* fruit defects (Fig. 4f). In summary, these expression and genetic analyses suggest that *CrJAG* plays a crucial role in fruit development by directly interacting with MSI1-like proteins in *Capsella*.

The *Crjag* phenotype is attributed to the down-regulation of its target genes

To dissect the molecular mechanism underlying CrJAG-regulated fruit development in *Capsella*, we first performed a comparative transcriptomic analysis of stage-13 fruits (when shape changes begin) between CrWT and *Crjag* (Extended Data Fig. 1a, b). This analysis identified 3,574 differentially expressed genes (DEGs, $|\log FC| > 1$, FDR < 0.05), of which 1,913 were up-regulated and 1,661 were down-regulated (Extended Data Fig. 5a, b). Next, we performed a CUT&Tag experiment using a GFP antibody against CrJAG:GFP from *Crjag;pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* stage-13 fruits. This experiment identified 18,054 CrJAG:GFP binding peaks associated with 7,445 genes (see Methods). Integration of the RNA-seq and CUT&Tag datasets revealed 454 up-regulated DEGs and 373 down-regulated DEGs as CrJAG targeted genes (Extended Data Fig. 5a, b and Supplementary Data 2). Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of the 373 down-regulated direct targets revealed significant enrichment of genes in GO terms related to the cellular developmental process (GO: 0048869), cell cycle (GO:0007049), and microtubule-based process (GO: 0007017) (Extended Data Fig. 5d). In contrast, the 454 up-regulated direct targets were enriched for response to stimulus (GO: 0050896) and transmembrane transport (GO: 0055085) (Extended Data Fig. 5c). These results corroborate the live-imaging data and suggest that the *Crjag* fruit defects are primarily due to the down-regulation of genes involved in cell cycle and differentiation, whereas the up-regulated genes appear to have minimal phenotypic contribution.

The CrJAG–CrMSI2/3 complex governs fruit shape determination by sustaining the expression of CrAUR2

MSI1-like proteins bind to histones and recruit protein complexes that regulate chromatin accessibility, thereby modulating gene expression^{19,24,25}. To pinpoint the target genes of the CrJAG–CrMSI2/3 complex in fruit shape determination, we performed comparative Assay for Transposase-Accessible

Chromatin with sequencing (ATAC-seq) on stage 12–14 fruits from CrWT and *Crjag*. We focused on chromatin regions that were closed in *Crjag* compared with WT and integrated these data with the 373 directly down-regulated genes (Supplementary Data 2). This analysis revealed that 138 of the 373 down-regulated direct targets were associated with loss of chromatin accessibility in the *Crjag* fruits (Fig. 5a, b and Supplementary Data 2). GO analysis of the 138 target genes identified genes related to the cell cycle (GO:0007049) that are significantly enriched (Extended Data Fig. 6a).

Among the 10 genes associated with cell cycle (Extended Data Fig. 6b), *CrAURORA2* (*CrAUR2*) caught our attention, as mutations in *AUR* orthologs in *Arabidopsis* result in defects in cell divisions, endoreduplication and deformed organs²⁶. Using GUS reporter lines, we observed strong *pCrARU2:GUS* expression in developing valves, consistent with a role in valve morphogenesis (Extended Data Fig. 7). Strikingly, this valve expression is almost abolished in *Crjag* fruits (Extended Data Fig. 7), indicating that *CrJAG* is required for the transcriptional maintenance of *CrARU2* in valves. Indeed, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis further confirmed that CrJAG, CrMSI2 and CrMSI3 are co-associated with the identical regulatory regions within the *CrAUR2* locus (Fig. 5e). Moreover, the DNA binding of CrMSI2 and CrMSI3 is dependent on CrJAG, as their ChIP enrichment is abolished in a *Crjag* mutant (Fig. 5e). These data indicate that CrJAG is essential for recruiting CrMSI proteins to the *CrAUR2* locus, thereby sustaining its expression. In agreement, expression of *CrAUR2* was perfectly restored in *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI2:GFP* and *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI3:GFP* fruits, but not in *pCrJAG:DBD:GFP* fruits (Fig. 5f).

AUR kinase activity can be selectively blocked by ATP-competitive inhibitor, Aurora Kinase Inhibitor II²⁷. In agreement, Aurora Kinase Inhibitor II treatment on WT stage-12 fruits predominantly suppressed heart-shaped fruit development (Extended Data Fig. 8a). Subsequent flow cytometric analysis indicates that the effect of Aurora Kinase Inhibitor II on fruit shape determination is associated with a significant increase in 4C nuclei and EI in the valve tissues and a decrease in 2C nuclei, in a pattern similar to that observed in the *Crjag* valves (Compare Extended Data Fig. 8b-d with Extended Data Fig. 2a-c). In contrast, *Crjag* mutant fruits failed to respond to Aurora Kinase Inhibitor II treatment (Extended Data Fig. 8e-h), implying that Aurora Kinase activity is severely compromised in the mutant. Notably, the strong phenotypic effect of Aurora Kinase Inhibitor II on CrWT fruit shape development appears inconsistent with the limited cell division activity observed in the epidermis by live imaging analysis (Fig. 1g, h). This discrepancy suggests that the key cell divisions driving heart-shaped fruit development may occur either prior to stage 12 or within the subepidermal layers, which were not accessible in time-lapse live-imaging experiment. Collectively, these expression and pharmaceutical results provide strong evidence that CrJAG-CrMSI complexes exert their function by directly regulating cell-cycle related genes, such as *CrAUR2*, in determining fruit shape.

In *Arabidopsis*, JAG directly represses the expression of the cell cycle inhibitors *KIP RELATED PROTEIN 4* (*KRP4*) and *KRP2*, thereby promoting the growth of the distal region of petals²⁸. However, these genes were not identified in our analysis, indicating that CrJAG may regulate its target genes in an organ

specific manner and further suggest a conserved role of JAG in plant organ development by regulating divergent components involved in the cell cycle machinery.

Changes in chromatin accessibility on CrJAG target genes are correlated with alterations in H3K18ac and H3K27me3 levels

In the nucleus, open chromatin increases genome accessibility to transcription factors and the transcription machinery, thereby activating transcription, whereas closed chromatin represses transcription by limiting access²⁹. During development, chromatin accessibility and gene expression are dynamically regulated by covalent histone modifications^{30,31}. In *Arabidopsis*, MSI family proteins interact with HISTONE DEACETYLASE19 (HDA19) in a histone deacetylase complex and with CUL4–DDB1 in the Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), thereby dynamically modifying histone marks and regulating gene expression^{19,32–35}. We next investigated whether the impact of the CrJAG–CrMSI2/3 complex on chromatin accessibility and target genes' expression is linked to alterations in histone modification patterns. To test this, we performed comparative CUT&Tag profiling of eight histone marks in a fruit sample between WT and *Crjag*, including the repressive mark H3K27me3 and seven active marks (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac, H3K18ac, H3K23ac, and H3K27ac)³⁰. In agreement with the reduced gene expression in the *Crjag* fruits, changes in chromatin accessibility are predominantly found in the regulatory regions of the 138 direct target genes (Fig. 5b). Among the eight histone modifications profiled, six (H3K4me1, H3K4me3, H3K9ac, H3K14ac, H3K23ac, and H3K27ac) showed no consistent change in the *Crjag* mutant compared to CrWT across the 138 target genes (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Data 3). In contrast, H3K18ac and H3K27me3 exhibited a pronounced, reciprocal pattern of enrichment (reduced enrichment of H3K18ac, increased enrichment of H3K27me3) that was significantly altered in *Crjag* (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Data 3). This shift in the epigenetic landscape is particularly evident around the proximal promoter region, where it coincides with altered chromatin accessibility (Fig. 5b, d and Supplementary Fig. 6). Notably, this coordinated change in histone modification and accessibility is observed in 3 (including CrAUR2) of the 10 genes involved in cell-cycle regulation (Fig. 5d; Supplementary Fig. 6; Supplementary Data 3). Thus, the shutdown of chromatin accessibility and gene expression in these target genes can be largely explained by reciprocal changes in covalent modification levels of H3K18ac and H3K27me3. However, how H3K18ac and H3K27me3 are modified on the target genes by the CrJAG–CrMSI2/3 complex is an intriguing question that warrants investigation in future studies.

Concluding remarks

In this study, we dissected the mechanism by which the C2H2 zinc finger transcription factor CrJAG regulates fruit morphogenesis through coordinated cell division and expansion. During heart-shaped fruit development, CrJAG recruits histone chaperones CrMSI2/3 to sustain the expression of target genes associated with cell-cycle and differentiation process, such as *CrAUR2*, to promote fruit morphogenesis (Fig. 6). The CrJAG–CrMSI2/3 complex modulates chromatin accessibility, likely by altering the deposition of H3K18ac and H3K27me3 histone markers, to facilitate their proper expression of the target

genes during fruit shape development (Fig. 6). While rewiring of developmental genes in new regulatory networks is known to be a primary mechanism for organ development, our work demonstrates that direct epigenetic modulation by a core developmental regulator represents an essential, additional layer of regulation for precise organ morphogenesis.

Declarations

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author contributions

Y.D. initiated, conceived and designed the research with great support from L.Ø. Y.D. secured the funding and supervised this study. T.L. performed the research and collected the data with assistance from the other authors. X.-Y.C., Y.H. and Y.-J.Z. did the bioinformatic analysis on the RNA-seq, CUT&Tag-seq and ATAC-seq data. N.T., Y.Z. and M.M. conducted the live cell imaging data analysis. W.H. and Q.Y. did the SEM analysis and GUS staining. L.-M.L., C.-B.L., Y.-N.S. and C.J. were involved in large-scale genotyping analysis. A.S. and M.L. characterized the original *Crjag* mutant. H.-Z.K. was involved in routine discussion and provided valuable input to this research. Y.D. and T.L. outlined, drafted the manuscript and prepared the figure. All authors participated in the discussion of the data and contributed to the production of the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Prof. Guo-Zheng Qin (IB-CAS) and Dr. Guang-Tong Gao (IB-CAS) for providing the pCambia1300-cHA, pCambia1300-cFLAG, pBI-cYFP, pBI-nYFP plasmids and for their gorgeous assistance in the Co-IP analysis. We thank Prof. Jun Xiao (IGDB-CAS) and Dr. Xue-Lei Lin (IGDB-CAS) for the technical assistance in the CUT&Tag experiment. We are also indebted to Prof. Adrienne Roeder (Cornell University), Prof. Hong-Yan Shan (IB-CAS) and Dr. Jeonghwan Ahn (IB-CAS) for their critically reading the manuscript and providing comments before submission. This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32221001, 32170227, 32470227 to Y.D.), grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2022YFF1301704 to Y.D.) and the SNSF Starting Grant (PS00-3_234905 to M.M.).

Data availability

RNA-seq, CUT & Tag and ATAC-seq data generated in this study are deposited in the NCBI database under Bioproject PRJNA1420675 (accession codes, SAMN55237242-SAMN55237278. Live-cell imaging data analyzed in this study are deposited in Figshare platform (<https://figshare.com/s/c3538ceaf40bf75da753>).

Materials and Methods

Plant materials, transformation and growth conditions

All *Capsella* materials used in the study were in the *Cr22.5* ecotype background. The *Arabidopsis* materials are in Col-0 ecotype. Seeds were sterilized and germinated following the described protocol³⁶. The 10-day-old seedlings were transplanted into soil in the controlled environment room (CER) at 22°C under long day conditions (16 h light / 8 h dark). The tobacco (*Nicotiana benthamiana*) plants used in this study were grown at 22°C under long day (16 h light / 8 h dark) conditions. 3-week-old seedlings were used for agroinfiltration.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and phenotypic analysis

For SEM analysis, inflorescence samples were rapidly fixed with formalin-acetic acid-alcohol (FAA) for 48 hours at room temperature. Gynoecia or fruits at different developmental stages were dissected from the inflorescence under a microscope in 70% alcohol. Dehydration was performed with a series of graded ethanols (80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, 100%, each for 30 min) followed by critical point drying with CO₂. The samples were subsequently sputter-coated with gold and analysed on an S-4800 FESEM system (Hitachi, Japan). For whole-mount fruit images, stage-17 fruits of each genotype were collected and photographed using a Nikon D850 camera with a 105 mm prime lens.

Live-cell imaging and cell behavior analysis

To conduct live-cell imaging analysis, the *pUBQ10:acyl-YFP* reporter was introgressed into the *Crjag* background by crossing. The plants were cultivated on soil in the CER to the bolting stage. For both CrWT and *Crjag*, the stage-12 fruits were dissected and imaged, capturing YFP signals at 24-hour intervals using a Zeiss inverted laser confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 980) equipped with a water immersion objective (×25/0.95). Confocal images were acquired at a resolution of 1024×1024, with 0.5 μm in the Z-stacks. Between each time point, the samples were grown on Petri dishes containing 1/2 MS medium supplemented with vitamins (PM1011, Coolaber) and 1% sucrose in the CER under long-day conditions (22°C, 16 hours light/8 hours dark) to allow development. The images were stitched and analyzed using MorphoGraphX software³⁷. Heatmaps showing the differences between two consecutive time points were generated, with the heatmap being shown on the fruit stage at the later time point. To quantify growth anisotropy, cell area ratio and proliferation, the fluorescence was projected into a mesh, cell outlines were segmented, and relationships between cells were tracked across successive time points. For each genotype, two biological replicates were conducted, and representative growth or proliferation maps were obtained from a single experiment. To quantify cell

division events, parameters were extracted using MorphoGraphX software and the Wilcoxon test was used to test significance.

Plasmid construction and plant transformation

To generate the promoter-GUS reporter plasmids, the promoter regions of *CrJAG* (-4549 bp upstream of ATG), *CrMSI2* (-560 bp), *CrMSI3* (-1043 bp), *CrMSI4* (-4267 bp), *CrAUR2* (-1354 bp)⁸ were isolated using PrimeSTAR GXL DNA Polymerase (R050A, Takara) from genomic DNA. The DNA fragments were gel-purified and then inserted upstream of the *GUS* gene in the *pCambia1301* vector. To generate the *pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* complementary plasmid, the promoter and coding sequences of *CrJAG* were recombined by PCR, and then the PCR products were purified and fused in-frame with the GFP sequence in the *pCambia1302* backbone. To construct the chimeric complementary plasmids, the DNA-binding domain of *CrJAG* (DBD, 117 bp, plus start codon, 40 aa) was amplified from *pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* plasmids, then recombined with the coding sequences of *CrMSI2* or *CrMSI3* by PCR. The PCR products were gel-purified and inserted into the *pCambia1302* backbone. To construct the CaMV 35S overexpression plasmids for subcellular localization, the coding sequence of the genes were amplified and inserted in-frame with GFP, driven by the 35S promoter, in the *pCambia1302* vector. To generate the CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing plasmids, the gRNAs targeting the first or second exon of the target genes were designed using the CRISPR-P 2.0 software³⁸. The gRNA sequences were synthesized and then integrated into the binary vector by the Golden Gate system as previously described⁶. All vectors were verified by sequencing and introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. Details of the primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

The transformation of *Capsella* followed the floral dipping method described³⁶. Positive transformants were selected using 40 mg/L Hygromycin on MS medium. For each construct, at least 10 independent transgenic lines were produced for further analysis.

Gene expression and GUS staining

For gene expression analysis, stage-13 fruits were collected and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, then stored at -80°C before RNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated with the SV Total RNA Isolation System (Z3100, Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNAs were subsequently subjected to library construction and RNA-seq analysis.

The GUS histochemical analysis was performed following the described protocol⁶. For confocal microscopy, fruit samples were dissected under a microscope, and GFP signals were imaged using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 980) with excitation and emission wavelengths of 488 nm and 509 nm, respectively.

Yeast-two hybrid library construction and protein-protein interaction analysis

To construct the yeast-two-hybrid library for CrJAG interactor screening, fruits from stage-10 to stage-13 were collected and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen for RNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated, and mRNA was purified using the Oligotex mRNA Midi Kit (70042, Thermo Fisher) according to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNAs were subsequently synthesized using the Revert Aid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit. A high-quality cDNA library was constructed using the CloneMiner II cDNA Library Construction Kit (A11180, Thermo Fisher). The cDNAs were ligated with a three-frame attB1 adapter by T4 ligase and then purified using the cDNA size fractionation columns. The purified cDNAs were inserted into the pDONR222 vector using BP Clonase (11789020, Thermo Fisher) and recombined into the pGADT7-DEST vector using LR Clonase (11791020, Thermo Fisher) to generate the yeast-two-hybrid cDNA library.

To avoid the autoactivating activity of *CrJAG*, a series of truncated versions of *CrJAG* were amplified from the *pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* plasmid and then inserted into pGBK-T7 (Clontech) to generate the bait plasmids. The respective plasmids were verified by sequencing and then transformed into the yeast strain Y2H gold with pGAD-T7 (Clontech). Positive transformants were selected on synthetic dropout (SD) medium without tryptophan and leucine (-WL). The autoactivating activity was tested by serial dilutions on SD medium without tryptophan, leucine, histidine, and adenine (-WLHA) according to the manufacturer's protocols. The yeast-two hybrid library screening was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions (630439, Clontech)

For verifying the CrJAG-CrMSIs interactions, the coding sequences of *CrMSI1*, *CrMSI2*, *CrMSI3*, *CrMSI4*, and *CrMSI5* were amplified from the *Capsella* inflorescences cDNAs and then inserted into pGAD-T7 to generate prey plasmids. The interaction was tested as described above.

For the Biomolecular Fluorescence Complementation (BiFC) experiment, the full-length CDS without stop codon of *CrJAG* and *CrMSI2*, *CrMSI3*, and *CrMSI4* were amplified and inserted into pBI-cYFP and pBI-nYFP backbones, respectively. The constructs were verified by sequencing and then transformed into the *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101. *Agrobacteria* were inoculated in YEB medium overnight to OD600 of 1.0-1.2 and then resuspended with infiltration buffer (50 mM MES, pH 5.6, 0.5% glucose, 2 mM NaPO₄, and 15 mg/L acetosyringone) to OD600 of 0.4–0.5. A mixed *Agrobacterium* suspension containing nYFP and cYFP plasmids at a 1:1 ratio was infiltrated into 3-week-old *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves. The plants were incubated under weak light for 36 hours before signal checking. Protein interaction was judged by the presence of YFP signal in the nucleus using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 980) with excitation at 488 nm and emission at 509 nm, respectively.

For the Co-IP experiment, the full-length coding sequences without stop codon of *CrJAG*, *CrMSI2*, *CrMSI3* and *CrMSI4* were inserted into *pCambia1300-cHA* or *pCambia1300-cFLAG* backbones, respectively. The positive plasmids were verified by sequencing and then transformed into the *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101. They were co-infiltrated into *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves as described above. The infiltrated leaves were collected 36 h after infiltration and ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. About 0.5 g sample was used for total protein isolation with 1 mL protein extraction buffer [50

mM Tris-HCl (pH = 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM NaF, 2 mM Na₃VO₄, 0.5% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF, 1 mM DTT and 1×Protease Inhibitor Cocktail]. 100 µL total protein mixture was kept as input and the remaining mixture was subjected to immunoprecipitation using DYKDDDDK (FLAG)-Nanoab-Magnetic beads (FNM-25-1000, Lablead) for 1 h at 4°C. The beads were then washed four times with buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, and 1 mM DTT. The input and IP samples were boiled for 10 mins in SDS loading buffer, and the eluates were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-HA (MBL, Japan, 1:10000) or anti-FLAG (MBL, Japan, 1:10000) antibody.

Immunoprecipitation-Mass Spectrometry (IP-MS) analysis

About 3 g of fruits containing stage 10–13 from *Crjag*; *pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* and wild type were collected and crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde in PBS buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Na₂HPO₄, 2 mM KH₂PO₄, 2.7 mM KCl, pH 7.4). The fruits samples were ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen, and nuclei were isolated with Honda buffer [0.44 M sucrose, 1.25% Ficoll, 2.5% Dextran T40, 20 mM HEPES (KOH, pH 7.4), 0.5% Triton X-100, 10 mM MgCl₂ and 1×Protease Inhibitor Cocktail]. The nuclei were then resuspended in RIPA buffer (1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 5 mM DTT, and 1×Protease Inhibitor Cocktail) and sonicated for 24 cycles with 30 seconds on and 30 seconds off. The DNA was digested with Benzonase (E1014-25KU, Millipore) for 1 h at 4°C by gentle rotation. The protein extracts were then subjected to immunoprecipitation using Pierce Protein A/G Magnetic Beads (88847; Thermo Fisher), incubated with monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (ab290, abcam) at 4°C for 4 h. After immunoprecipitation, the beads were washed twice with PBS buffer plus 0.5% NP-40, and once with PBS buffer and water, respectively. The beads were digested with 2 µg of trypsin and in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ solution overnight at 37°C. The peptides were purified with C18 stage tip and then processed for mass spectrometry on an Orbitrap Exploris 480 machine (Thermo Fisher).

Cleavage under targets & tagmentation (CUT&Tag) experiment

The CUT&Tag experiment was performed following described methods with minor modifications³⁹. Briefly, stage-10 to stage-13 fruits collected from either CrWT, *Crjag* or *Crjag;pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* rescue lines were chopped with a razor blade in HBM buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 0.44 M sucrose, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton-X-100, 0.2 M spermidine, 1× Protease Inhibitor Cocktail, 1 mM PMSF, 10 mM β-Mercaptoethanol). The nuclei suspension was filtered with a 40 µm cell strainer. The extracted nuclei were washed twice with HBB buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 0.44 M sucrose, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton-X-100, 10 mM β-Mercaptoethanol) and then incubated in 50 µL primary antibody buffer [2 mL wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM spermidine and 1× Cocktail inhibitor) supplemented with 8 µL 0.5 M EDTA and 6.7 µL 30% BSA] at 4°C overnight. 1 µL of primary antibody (1:50, anti-GFP, ab290, abcam; anti-H3K18ac, 07-354, Millipore; anti-H3K27me3, 07-449, Millipore) was used for each sample. The primary antibody was removed after centrifugation at 1200 g for 2 min. Subsequently, the nuclei were incubated with secondary antibody [1:100, Guinea pig anti-rabbit IgG (Heavy & Light Chain) antibody, ABIN101961, Easybio] in 50 µL wash buffer at 4°C for 2 h. The nuclei were resuspended with 100 µL CT-300 buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 450 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM spermidine and 1×Protease Inhibitor

Cocktail) supplied with pA-Tn5 (1:150) and incubated at 4°C for 3 h. After incubation, the nuclei were washed twice with 600 µL CT-300 buffer, followed by incubation at 37°C for 1 h with 300 µL tagmentation buffer (1 mL CT-300 buffer plus 10 µL 1 M MgCl₂). To stop the tagmentation reaction, 10 µL 0.5 M EDTA, 3 µL 10% SDS and 2.5 µL 20 mg/mL Protinease K were added and incubated at 50°C for 1 h. The DNA was extracted with phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1), precipitated with ethanol, and then dissolved in ddH₂O.

RNA-seq, ATAC-seq, and CUT&Tag-seq data analysis

For RNA-sequencing analysis, total RNA was extracted from stage-13 fruits of the respective genotypes. The libraries were constructed using the MGIEasy RNA Library Prep Kit and then sequenced on the DNBSEQ-T7 platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads. Low-quality reads and adapter sequences were trimmed to generate clean reads using fastp (version 0.24.0)⁴⁰. The sequences were then mapped and annotated using the *Capsella rubella* v1.1 genome sequence⁴¹ with STAR (version 2.7.11b)⁴². Gene expression levels were calculated as fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments (FPKM) using an R script. Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) were identified as those with a fold change ≥ 2 and a False Detection Rate (FDR) < 0.05 using the R package DESeq2 (version 1.42.1)⁴³. GO enrichment analysis of Biological Process (BP) on the DEGs was performed using the R packages enrichplot (version 1.22.0) and clusterProfiler (version 4.10.1)⁴⁴. Boxplots were drawn using the R package ggplot2 (version 3.5.2)⁴⁵. For the RNA-seq experiment, at least three independent biological replicates were conducted for each genotype.

The ATAC-seq experiment was performed following the protocol previously described⁸. Briefly, ~ 0.5 g of stage-12 to stage-14 *Crjag* fruits were harvested and ground into fine powder in liquid nitrogen. Approximately 50,000 nuclei were collected for DNA library construction. The library was sequenced on the Illumina Novaseq 6000 platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads. The raw reads were filtered (reads shorter than 35 bp and bases with a quality value less than Q10) using fastp (version 0.24.0)⁴⁰ to generate clean FASTQ files. The sequences were then mapped back to the *Capsella rubella* v1.1 genome⁴¹ using Bowtie2 (version 2.5.4)⁴⁶. Duplicate reads were removed by sambamba (version 1.0.1)⁴⁷ and bedtools (version 2.31.1)⁴⁸. Peaks were called using MACS2 (version 2.1.4)⁴⁹ with a screening criterion of FDR < 0.05 . The CrWT stage 12–14 fruit ATAC data were published previously⁸. Differentially enriched peaks between CrWT and *Crjag* were identified using the R package DiffBind (version 3.12.0). Significant closed chromatin accessibility in *Crjag* was defined as fold change < 0.8 and FDR < 0.05 . DeepTools (version 3.5.6)⁵⁰ was used to map the density distribution of sequencing reads in the upstream and downstream regions of the Transcription Start Site (TSS) and the Transcription End Sites (TES) of each gene. The profile plot was generated using the plotProfile function. For visualization, datasets were converted to bigwig format using bamCoverage in DeepTools (version 3.5.6) with a bin size of 1 bp and normalized by the RPKM method, then visualized using Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV, version 2.4.14)⁵¹. Gene annotation was performed by ChIPseeker (version 1.38.0)⁵² using a 2 kb

promoter-proximal window. The ATAC-seq experiments were performed with two independent biological replicates.

For CUT&Tag-seq data analysis, the library was constructed by amplifying 10–12 cycles using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (M0491S, NEB) and purified by AMPure XP beads (A63881, Beckman) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Primers used for library amplification are listed in Supplementary Table S1. The library was sequenced on the Illumina Nova X Plus platform to generate 150 bp paired-end reads. The raw reads were filtered using fastp (version 0.24.0) to generate clean FASTQ files. After filtering low-quality reads, the sequences were mapped back to the *Capsella rubella* v1.1 genome using Bowtie2 (version 2.5.4). Duplicate reads were removed by sambamba (version 1.0.1) and bedtools (version 2.31.1). Peaks were called using MACS2 (version 2.1.4) with a *p* value of 0.01. The bigwig files were generated by DeepTools (version 3.5.6) for visualization using IGV (version 2.16.2). Gene annotation was performed with a 2 kb promoter-proximal window using ChIPseeker (version 1.38.0)⁵². For each antibody and genotype, two biological replicates were conducted.

For data integration, Venn diagrams were generated with the R package VennDiagram (version 1.7.3)⁵³.

Protoplast transient expression and ChIP-qPCR analysis

Protoplast isolation and transient protein expression were performed with the published protocols⁵⁴. Briefly, leaves from 10-day-old young seedlings were sliced and digested with Enzyme buffer I (1.0% cellulase R10, 0.5% macerozyme R10, 0.5% pectinase, 20 mM KCl, 20 mM MES, 0.6 M mannitol, 10 mM CaCl₂, 0.1% BSA) rotating at 60 rpm and 25°C for 2.5 h. The protoplasts were collected by filtering with a 40 µm cell strainer. 35S:CrJAG/CrMSI2/CrMSI3/CrMSI4:GFP and AtUBQ10:NLS-mCherry plasmids were prepared using a QIAGEN Plasmid Maxi Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. A total of 1000 ng plasmids (35S:CrJAG : AtUBQ10:NLS-mCherry = 1:1) were co-transformed into protoplasts with a PEG-mediated heat-shock method (42°C, 3mins). The protoplasts were incubated under weak light at 26°C for 18 h. The subcellular localization of CrJAG:GFP, CrMSI2:GFP, CrMSI3:GFP, and CrMSI4:GFP proteins was detected on a Zeiss 980 confocal microscope with AtUBQ10:NLS-mCherry as a nuclear-localized marker.

The ChIP-qPCR analysis was performed using modified protocols described⁶. Briefly, protoplasts transformed with *pCambia1300-cFLAG/CrMSI2/CrMSI3:GFP* and *CrJAG-cFLAG/CrMSI2/CrMSI3:GFP* were collected and crosslinked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 mins at room temperature and stopped with 125 mM glycine for 5 min. The protoplasts were resuspended in RIPA buffer and sonicated for 24 cycles with 30 s on and 30 s off. The samples were then subjected to immunoprecipitation using an anti-GFP antibody (ab290, Abcam) or an anti-FLAG antibody (F3165, Sigma-Aldrich) pre-incubated with Pierce Protein A/G magnetic beads (88847, Thermo Fisher) at 4°C for 4.5 h. The beads were washed, then reverse-crosslinked by adding 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and incubating at 65°C for 12 h. The proteins in the DNA complex were digested with Proteinase K at 45°C for 1 h. The DNA was extracted using phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) and precipitated with ethanol, then resuspended in

water (W4502, Sigma-Aldrich). qPCR was performed on a qTOWER PCR System (Analytik Jena AG) using SYBR Premix Ex Taq.

Chemical treatment and cell flow cytometry

For chemical treatment, stage-12 fruits were collected and transferred onto 0.4% agar half-strength Murashige and Skoog (1/2 MS) medium plates containing 100 μ M Aurora kinase inhibitor II (17541, Cayman) or mock solution (DMSO) for 5 days. The phenotypes were recorded using a Nikon D850 camera with a 105 mm prime lens.

For the cell-flow cytometry analysis, nuclei were isolated from fruits in 250 μ L PVPK12-mGB₂ buffer [30 mM sodium citrate, 45 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM MOPS, 20 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA Na₂·2H₂O, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100, 0.5% (v/v) Tween-20, 10 μ L/mL 1–2% PVPK12, pH 7.0] and filtered through a 40 μ m nylon mesh. The nuclei were stained with 4 μ g/mL DAPI. For each sample, approximately 20,000 nuclei were analyzed using a BD LSRFortessa flow cytometer (BD, USA). Quantitative analysis of ploidy level was conducted using FlowJo software (v10.10). EI was calculated from the ploidy histograms using the formula: EI = 4C% + 2 × 8C%. Statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism (v10.1.2).

Quantification and statistical analysis

All statistics were collected and analyzed using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism (v10.1.2). All data are presented as means $\pm$ SD with sample sizes marked by solid dots and described in the figure legends. Comparisons between groups were performed with Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism (v10.1.2) using Student's t-test, and significance levels are marked as: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$, **** $p < 0.0001$.

References

1. Soltis PS, Folk RA, Soltis DE (2019) Darwin review: angiosperm phylogeny and evolutionary radiations. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 286:20190099
2. Dilcher D (2000) Toward a new synthesis: major evolutionary trends in the angiosperm fossil record. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:7030–7036
3. Ahn J, Gao F, Dong Y (2025) Developmental mechanisms of fruit diversification in angiosperms and the evolutionary implications. *Plant Cell Environ* 48:4585–4598
4. Eldridge T, Langowski L, Stacey N, Jantzen F, Moubayidin L, Sicard A, Southam P, Kennaway R, Lenhard M, Coen ES, Østergaard L (2016) Fruit shape diversity in the Brassicaceae is generated by varying patterns of anisotropy. *Development* 143:3394–3406
5. Lü TF, Gao F, Su F, T.B. and, Dong Y (2025) Developmental genetics of fruit diversity in Brassicaceae. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 85:102707
6. Dong Y, Jantzen J, Stacey N, Langowski Ł, Moubayidin L, Šimura J, Ljung K, Østergaard L (2019) Regulatory diversification of INDEHISCENT in the Capsella genus directs variation in fruit

- morphology. *Curr Biol* 18:1038–1046
7. Dong Y, Majda M, Šimura J, Horvath R, Srivastava AK, Łangowski Ł, Eldridge T, Stacey N, Slotte T, Sadanandom A et al (2020) HEARTBREAK controls post-translational modification of INDEHISCENT to regulate fruit morphology in *Capsella*. *Curr Biol* 30:3880–3888
 8. Hu ZC, Majda M, Sun HR, Zhang Y, Ding YN, Yuan Q, Su TB et al (2025) Evolution of a SHOOTMERISTEMLESS transcription factor binding site promotes fruit shape determination. *Nat Plants* 11:23–35
 9. Sartoria K, Wozniak N, Powell A, Fujikura U, Kappel C et al (2025) Genetic and developmental constraints drive parallelism in flower evolution. *BioRxiv*. org/10.1101/2025.10.28.684842
 10. Dinneny JR, Yadegari R, Fischer RL, Yanofsky MF, Weigel D (2004) The role of JAGGED in shaping lateral organs. *Development* 131:1101–1110
 11. Ohno CK, Reddy GV, Heisler MGB, Meyerowitz EM (2004) The Arabidopsis JAGGED gene encodes a zinc finger protein that promotes leaf tissue development. *Development* 131:1111–1122
 12. Johnson K, Lenhard M (2011) Genetic control of plant organ growth. *New Phytol* 191:319–333
 13. Sugimoto-Shirasu K, Roberts K (2003) Big it up': endoreduplication and cell-size control in plants, *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol* 6:544–553
 14. Melaragno JE, Mehrotra B, Coleman AW (1993) Relationship between endopolyploidy and cell size in epidermal tissue of Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 5:1661–1668
 15. Kondorosi E, Roudier F, Gendreau E (2000) Plant cell size control: growing by ploidy? *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol* 3:488–492
 16. Laimbeer F, Makris M, Veilleux R (2018) Measuring endoreduplication by flow cytometry of isolated tuber protoplasts. *J Vis Exp* 133:57134
 17. Alexandre C, Möller-Steinbach Y, Schönrock N, Gruissem W, Hennig L (2009) Arabidopsis MSI1 is required for negative regulation of the response to drought stress. *Mol Plant* 2:675–687
 18. Bouveret R, Schönrock N, Gruissem W, Hennig L (2006) Regulation of flowering time by Arabidopsis MSI1. *Development* 133:1693–1702
 19. Mehdi S, Derkacheva M, Ramstrom M, Kralemann L, Bergquist J, Hennig L (2016) The WD40 domain protein MSI1 functions in a histone deacetylase complex to fine-tune abscisic acid signaling. *Plant Cell* 28:42–54
 20. Wu JF, Tsai HL, Joanito I et al (2016) LWD–TCP complex activates the morning gene CCA1 in Arabidopsis. *Nat Comm* 7(1):13181
 21. Gibson SI (2005) Control of plant development and gene expression by sugar signaling. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 8(1):93–102
 22. Graham JB, Canniff NP, Hebert DN (2019) TPR-containing proteins control protein organization and homeostasis for the endoplasmic reticulum. *Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol* 54(2):103–118
 23. Wu CJ, Xu X, Yuan DY, Liu ZZ, Tan LM et al (2024) Arabidopsis histone acetyltransferase complex coordinates cytoplasmic histone acetylation and nuclear chromatin accessibility. *Sci Adv*

24. Hennig L, Bouveret R, Gruissem W (2005) MSI1-like proteins: an escort service for chromatin assembly and remodeling complexes. *Trends Cell Biol* 15:295–302
25. Ach RA, Taranto P, Gruissem W (1997) A conserved family of WD-40 proteins binds to the retinoblastoma protein in both plants and animals. *Plant Cell* 9:1595–1606
26. Damme DV, Rybel BD, Gudesblat G, Demidov D, Grunewald W et al (2011) Arabidopsis α Aurora Kinases Function in Formative Cell Division Plane Orientation. *Plant Cell* 23(11):4013–4024
27. Demidov D, Lermontova I, Weiss O, Fuchs J, Rutten T, Kumke K (2014) Altered expression of Aurora kinases in Arabidopsis results in aneuploidy and polyploidization. *Plant J* 80:449–461
28. Schiessl K, Muino JM, Sablowski R (2014) Arabidopsis JAGGED links floral organ patterning to tissue growth by repressing Kip-related cell cycle inhibitors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:2830–2835
29. Klemm SL, Shipony Z, Greenleaf WJ (2019) Chromatin accessibility and the regulatory epigenome. *Nat Rev Genet* 20:207–220
30. Pflüger J, Wagner D (2007) Histone modifications and dynamic regulation of genome accessibility in plants. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* 10:645–652
31. Allis CD, Jenuwein T (2016) The molecular hallmarks of epigenetic control. *Nat Rev Genet* 17:487–500
32. Köhler C, Hennig L, Bouveret R, Gheyselinck J, Grossniklaus U, Gruissem W (2003) Arabidopsis MSI1 is a component of the MEA/FIE Polycomb group complex and required for seed development. *EMBO J* 22:4804–4814
33. Hennig L, Taranto P, Walser M, Schonrock N, Gruissem W (2003) Arabidopsis MSI1 is required for epigenetic maintenance of reproductive development. *Development* 130:2555–2565
34. Pazhouhandeh M, Molinier J, Berr A, Genschik P (2011) MSI4/FVE interacts with CUL4-DDB1 and a PRC2-like complex to control epigenetic regulation of flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 3430–35
35. Derkacheva M, Steinbach Y, Wildhaber T, Mozhgová I, Mahrez W et al (2013) Arabidopsis MSI1 connects LHP1 to PRC2 complexes. *EMBO J* 32:2073–2085
36. Dong Y, Hu ZC, Østergaard L (2022) An optimized protocol to assess SUMOylation in the plant *Capsella rubella* using two-component DEX-inducible transformants. *STAR Protoc* 3:101197
37. Strauss S, Runions A, Lane B, Eschweiler D, Bajpai N et al (2022) Using positional information to provide context for biological image analysis with MorphoGraphX 2.0. *elife* 11, e72601
38. Liu H, Ding Y, Zhou Y, Jin W, Xie K, Chen LL (2017) CRISPR-P 2.0: an improved CRISPR-Cas9 tool for genome editing in plants. *Mol Plant* 10:530–532
39. Zhao L, Yang Y, Chen J, Lin X, Zhang H et al (2023) Dynamic chromatin regulatory programs during embryogenesis of hexaploid wheat. *Genome Biol* 24:7
40. Chen S (2023) Ultrafast one-pass FASTQ data preprocessing, quality control, and deduplication using fastp. *iMeta* 2:e107

41. Slotte T, Hazzouri KM, Ågren JA, Koenig D, Maumus F et al (2013) The *Capsella rubella* genome and the genomic consequences of rapid mating system evolution. *Nat Genet* 45:831–835
42. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C et al (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* 29:15–21
43. Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* 15:550
44. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P et al (2021) clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data. *Innov* 2
45. Wickham H (2016) ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis. Springer-, New York
46. Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods* 9:357–359
47. Tarasov A, Vilella AJ, Cuppen E, Nijman IJ, Prins P (2015) Sambamba: fast processing of NGS alignment formats. *Bioinformatics* 31:2032–2034
48. Quinlan AR, Hall IM (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* 26:841–842
49. Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoutte J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE et al (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol* 9:R137
50. Ramírez F, Dünder F, Diehl S, Grüning BA, Manke T (2014) deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:W187–W191
51. Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES et al (2011) Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotech* 29:24–26
52. Yu G, Wang LG, He QY (2015) ChIPseeker: an R/Bioconductor package for ChIP peak annotation, comparison and visualization. *Bioinformatics* 31:2382–2383
53. Chen H, Boutros PC (2011) VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highly-customizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R. *BMC Bioinf* 12, 35
54. Wang J, Wang Y, Lü T, Yang X, Liu J et al (2022) An efficient and universal protoplast isolation protocol suitable for transient gene expression analysis and single-cell RNA sequencing. *Int J Mol Sci* 23:3419

Figures




Figure 1

Comparative live-cell imaging analysis reveals the cellular basis of the shape defects in *Crjag* fruits

a,b, Fruit morphology of *CrWT* (**a**) and *Crjag* (**b**) at stage 17. **c,d**, Quantitative depiction of valve development using heatmaps of cell sizes in the *CrWT* (**c**) and *Crjag* (**d**) fruit during 96 h of time-lapse live-cell imaging analysis, corresponding to developmental stages 12, 13 and 14 (0 h, 48 h and 96 h,

respectively). Cell size is quantified by cell area (μm^2). In total, 10,481 and 6,738 cells were segmented for CrWT and *Crjag* fruits, respectively. **e,f**, Heatmaps of cell growth (the rate of cell area increase) of the CrWT (**e**) and *Crjag* (**f**) fruit at 48 h and 96 h time points. **g,h**, Heatmaps showing cell division status of CrWT(**g**) and *Crjag* (**h**) fruit at 48 h and 96 h time points. **i,j**, Heatmaps of cell anisotropy (the ratio of cell expansion in the maximum and minimum principal directions) of the CrWT (**i**) and *Crjag* (**j**) fruit at 48 h and 96 h time points. Scale bars, 5 mm (**a,b**) and, 200 μm (**c–j**).




Figure 2

Genetic validation and expression analysis of CrJAG

a, Molecular characterization of *Crjag* and *Crjag^{ge}* alleles. The *Crjag^{ge}* was generated by CRISPR/Cas9 with a single-base pair insertion in exon 2, resulting in a frameshift that gave rise to a 40-amino-acid (aa) protein. The guide RNA (gRNA) and PAM sequences are indicated by red and blue characters, respectively. The red triangles indicate the target site of the gRNA. **b**, Fruit morphology of CrWT, *Crjag^{ge}*, *Crjag/Crjag^{ge}* and *Crjag;pCrJAG:CrJAG:GFP* complementary line at stage 17. **c**, GUS staining analysis of the *pCrJAG:GUS* reporter line showing the dynamic expression pattern of *CrJAG* during fruit development. Strong *CrJAG* expression is detected in the valves from stages 12 to 14. Scale bars, 5 mm (**b**) and 500 μm (**c**).




Figure 3

CrJAG interacts with histone chaperones CrMSI2 proteins

a, Venn diagram showing the overlap of protein interactors identified from IP-MS and Y2H library screening. **b**, Molecular identities of the four candidate proteins with the MSI1 protein shown in bold. **c**, Neighbor-joining tree of proteins encoded by the *MSI* genes from the *Capsella* and *Arabidopsis* genome, bootstrap values over 50% (1,000 replicates) are indicated for each branch. The *Damaged DNA Binding 1 (DDB1)-binding WD40 (DWD1)* gene was used as an outgroup. **d**, Yeast-two-hybrid analysis of the interaction of CrJAG protein with CrMSI1-5 proteins. **e,f**, Verification of the protein interaction of CrJAG-CrMSI2, CrJAG-CrMSI3 and CrJAG-CrMSI4 using BiFC analysis (**e**) and Co-IP experiment after transient expression in tobacco leaves (**f**). Scale bars, 50 μ m (**e**).




Figure 4

The CrJAG-CrMSIs complex is required for fruit shape determination in *Capsella*

a-c, GUS staining analysis of *pCrMSI2:GUS* (**a**), *pCrMSI3:GUS* (**b**), and *pCrMSI4:GUS* (**c**) reporter lines showing the expression pattern of these genes during fruit development. **d**, Fruit morphology of *Crmsi2* and *Crmsi3* single, and *Crmsi2*, *Crmsi3* double mutants at stage 17. **e**, Schematic illustration of

the chimeric constructs of CrJAG(DBD) and CrMSI proteins used for the complementation test. The total protein size is shown. **f**, Fruit morphology of *Crjag*, *Crjag*; *pCrJAG:DBD:GFP*, *Crjag*; *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI2:GFP* and *Crjag*; *pCrJAG:DBD-CrMSI3:GFP* at stage 17, showing that the DBD-CrMSI2 and DBD-CrMSI3 chimeric proteins successfully complement the *Crjag* fruit shape defects. Scale bars, 500 μ m (**a-c**) and 5 mm (**d,f**).




Figure 5

The CrJAG-CrMSIs complex regulates fruit shape development via epigenetic mechanism

a, Venn diagram showing the 138 genes identified from *CrJAG:GFP* CUT&Tag, down-regulated DEGs in the *Crjag* fruits, and closed chromatin accessibility in *Crjag* fruits by ATAC-seq. **b**, Metaplots displaying

the ATAC-seq signals of the 138 *CrJAG* targeted genes, showing decreased ATAC-seq signals in the proximal promoter region and 3'regulatory region in the *Crjag* compared with CrWT. TSS, Transcription Starting Site; TES, Transcription Ending Site. **c**, Venn diagram showing the overlap of down-regulated targeted genes and changes in H3K27me3 and H3K18ac modification levels. **d**, Snapshots showing the chromatin binding peaks of *CrJAG:GFP*, ATAC-seq signals, H3K27me3 and H3K18ac modification signals in the genomic regions of *CrAUR2* between CrWT and *Crjag* fruit samples. The double arrowheads (A-C) indicate the regions used for ChIP analysis. The full epigenetic landscape is provided in Supplementary Fig. 6. **e**, ChIP-qPCR analysis in the CrWT protoplasts showing CrMSI2:GFP and CrMSI3:GFP association with the same DNA region as CrJAG:GFP on the *CrAUR2* locus, and that these associations depend on the presence of CrJAG:GFP protein. **f**, Gene expression analysis of *CrAUR2* in different genetic backgrounds. The expression level was quantified as FPKM from RNA seq data. In **e,f**, the values represent the means of three biological replicates and the error bars represent s.d. ns, not significant, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ (Student's t test).




Figure 6

The CrJAG–CrMSIs complex orchestrates fruit shape determination via epigenetic regulation

During fruit morphogenesis, CrJAG recruits CrMSI2/3 to downstream target genes. This complex locally reduces H3K27me3 and increases H3K18ac via an as-yet-unidentified mechanism (dashed line), thereby promoting chromatin accessibility and gene expression. A key target of CrJAG–CrMSIs in fruit shape determination, *CrAUR2*, exemplifies this regulatory logic. In the *Crjag* mutant, loss of CrJAG function abolishes CrMSI recruitment. Consequently, H3K27me3 enrichment rises while H3K18ac declines,

leading to reduced chromatin accessibility, decreased target gene expression, and impaired fruit morphogenesis.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [LvExtendedDataFigures2026223.docx](#)
- [LvSupplementaryData1CandidategenelistofCrJAGinteractor.xlsx](#)
- [LvSupplementaryData2CrJAGtargetgenelist.xlsx](#)
- [LvSupplementaryData3Hitonemidificationpatternofthe138CrJAGtargetgenes.xlsx](#)
- [SupplementaryFig.1620260223.docx](#)
- [LvSupplemantaryTable1Primersusedinthisstudy.docx](#)
- [rs.pdf](#)