

Harmaline from *Peganum harmala* alkaloid: eco-friendly and highly effective larvicidal potential against the larvae of deltamethrin-resistant strain of the vector mosquito *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae)

Jianyun Shen

Fuyang Normal University

Jinmei Li

Fuyang Normal University

Shuanglin Jiang

Fuyang Normal University

Nan Jiang (✉ jiangnan@fynu.edu.cn)

Fuyang Normal University

Research Article

Keywords: Harmaline, Larval toxicity, Sublethal effect, *Aedes albopictus*, Beta-carboline alkaloids, *Peganum harmala*

Posted Date: September 2nd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1982608/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Abstract

The aim of this study was to explore the potential of harmaline as new, safe and more effective larvicide of mosquitoes, lethal and sublethal effects of harmaline against the larvae of deltamethrin-resistant strain of *Aedes albopictus* were studied, under laboratory conditions. Laboratory bioassays were performed to determine the lethal and sublethal effects of harmaline on the larvae of *Ae. albopictus*, according to the standard WHO larval susceptibility test methods. The results indicated that harmaline exhibited strong larvicidal activity against the mosquito larvae, and the lethal effect on larval mortality of *Ae. albopictus* increased in a concentration-dependent manner. The mortality of four instar larvae peaked at 72 h after exposure. Among four instar larvae tested, the first-instar larvae was the most sensitive to harmaline with LC_{50} value of 23.02 mg/L, and the fourth-instar larvae was the most tolerant to harmaline with LC_{50} value of 42.58 mg/L at 72 h after exposure. In addition, sublethal dosage (LC_{10} and LC_{30}) of harmaline could significantly delay the development of larval and pupae ($P < 0.05$). And LC_{30} concentration of harmaline also significantly decreased the pupation and adult emergence rates of larvae treated ($P < 0.05$). The present study demonstrated that harmaline has a significant toxic effects against the deltamethrin-resistant strain larvae of *Ae. albopictus*. Particularly, harmaline might still cause markedly sublethal effects to the larvae, even at very low concentration (LC_{10}) of harmaline. It is, therefore, worth further exploring the use of harmaline as a potential larvicide against vector mosquitoes. four larval stages of *Ae. albopictus*.

1. Background

Aedes albopictus (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae) is an aggressive daytime human-biting behavior and one of the most important nuisance mosquito species in the world (Bhatt et al., 2013; Manni et al., 2017). *Ae. albopictus* has proven to be an infectious vector, which can transmit a spectrum of at least 23 human pathogens causing various diseases including dengue virus, West Nile virus and chikungunya virus (Jourdain et al., 2020; Mitchell, 2020). According to the WHO estimates, about 2.5 billion people live in dengue-risk areas around the world with 50 million dengue infections occurring every year (Ayres, 2016). At present, there are no drugs or vaccines against the main pathogens and parasites transmitted by *Ae. albopictus*. As a result, it is well known that one way to reduce the mosquito populations is targeting mosquito larvae with chemical insecticides, such as pyrethroids, organophosphates, and insect growth regulators. However, repeated use of these chemical insecticides can lead to the development of resistance in mosquitoes or to human health or to undesirable effects on non-target organisms (Ishak et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017; Liu, 2015; Hemingway et al., 2002). For these reasons, there is an urgent need to develop new insecticides which are more environmentally safe and also biodegradable and target specific against vector mosquitoes (Benelli, 2015). From this point of view, botanical-based insecticides are promising for mosquito control strategies, since they are rich storehouse of chemicals of diverse larvicidal activity, more biodegradable and less hazardous. Particularly, the resistance by vectors against plant-derived insecticides has not been reported so far, and by controlling larval mosquitoes, adults may never become a problem (Dinesh et al., 2014). Indeed, plant extracts and plant-derived compounds

belonging to many families have been reported to possess larvicidal properties against *Aedes*, *Culex* and *Anopheles* mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) (Mittal, 2003; Regnault-Roger et al., 2012; Benelli, 2015).

Harmaline was originally isolated from *Peganum harmala* (Zygophyllaceae), which is a perennial herbaceous and widely distributed in Middle East, India, Mongolia and China (Li et al., 2017). This plant is rich in alkaloids and contains about 2–6% total alkaloids (dry weight), the alkaloid compounds illustrate well the diversity of pharmacological and biological activities compounds also found in various plants, its active alkaloids include beta-carbolines such as harmaline, harmine and harmalol (Mina et al., 2015; Kartal et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2007). Currently, studies have shown that harmaline is one of the major active components of beta-carboline alkaloids and has multiple biochemical and pharmacological activities (Sobhani et al., 2002; Di Giorgio et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2013).

Our previously evaluated the insecticidal activity of harmaline and total alkaloid extracts from *P. harmala* against insect pests under laboratory and field conditions (Zhao et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 1999; Li et al., 2016). Several researchers have also reported that harmaline and beta-carboline alkaloids from *P. harmala* with remarkable insecticidal activities (Alomar et al., 2013; Moazeni et al., 2017; Rizwan-ul-Haq et al., 2009). In addition to the lethal effects, there are several reports which indicated the sublethal effects of *P. harmala* alkaloid extracts to insect pests, such as anti-feedant, growth inhibition and reproductive interferences (Abbassi et al., 2003; Weng et al., 2005; Miao et al., 2003). Although studies investigated the insecticidal activities of some beta-carboline alkaloids and alkaloid extracts from *P. harmala*, but there is little evidence of being used as insecticides for controlling the vector mosquitoes like *Ae. albopictus*. Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the lethal and sublethal effects of harmaline against the fenvalerate-resistant strain larvae of *Ae. albopictus*.

2. Materials And Methods

2.1 Harmaline and Insect

Harmaline was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and the highest purity available (> 98%), which obtained from the seeds of *P. harmala*. Deltamethrin powder (95% effective content) was purchased from Jiangsu Yangnong Chemical Group Co., Ltd. Tween 80 (Tedia Company, Inc., 1000 Tedia way, Fairfield, OH, USA).

Ae. albopictus, maintained for more than 100 generations without exposure to any known insecticide, was obtained from laboratory colonies in the State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Beijing. According to following the standard World Health Organization larval susceptibility test methods (WHO, 2005), and the deltamethrin-resistant colony of 15 generations, and the lethal concentration that kills 50% of the fourth instars larvae to deltamethrin (LC₅₀) was 16.87 mg/L when it was used for this experiment. Eggs for the study were obtained by feeding mated 15 generations females with provided 10% sucrose solution for 12 h, and then provided with a rat placed in resting cages (25×25×35 cm) overnight for blood feeding by females. larvae were fed a diet of dog

biscuits, milk powder, beef liver, and yeast powder in a ratio of 2:1:1:1, respectively. The insectary room was maintained at a photoperiod of 14:10 (L/D) h, temperature of $27 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ and relative humidity of 75%-85%.

2.2 Lethal bioassays

The larval mortality bioassays were performed according to the test method of larval susceptibility as recommended by the World Health Organization methods (WHO, 2005). The different concentrations of harmaline solution were prepared with distilled water (0.05% Tween 80 as a carrier solvent), Tween 80 (0.05%) served as a control. Bioassays were performed on first to fourth instars of *Ae. albopictus* using concentrations of harmine as 20, 50, 80 and 110 mg/L, and thirty randomly-selected larvae per concentration was introduced into all the experiments. For mortality tests, thirty larvae each of the second, third and fourth instar larvae were introduced to a 250 ml glass beaker containing various concentrations of harmaline, and supplemented with 30 mg/L of the mixture food for larvae. And a control was also maintained with Tween 80 (0.05%). Each treatment was replicated five times, and each replicated set contained one control for comparison. Larvae were exposed to various concentrations of harmaline at different hours after treatment. Mortality was recorded every 24 h after treatment. The larvae did not respond to the gentle prodding with forceps tip were judged as dead. The mortality rate was corrected when necessary for mortality in the controls using Abbott formula (Abbott, 1925). The lethal concentration (LC_{50} and LC_{90}) and their 95% confidence limit was calculated using probit analysis (Finney, 1971).

2.3 Sublethal bioassays

The LC_{10} and LC_{30} concentrations required to kill 10% and 30% of the second-instar larvae within 72 h, which had already been determined from lethal bioassays, were selected as sublethal concentration of harmaline. Thirty larvae of early second instars were placed in 250 ml beaker and exposed to the LC_{10} and LC_{30} doses of each tested. And 72 h after treatment, dead larvae were counted and only alive larvae were transferred to glass beakers through a small filter, and larvae were provided with the mixture larval food at a concentration of 50 mg/L until pupation (WHO, 2005). For each treatment and control, seven replicates were performed in this experiment. Larval development was monitored daily until all larvae had either pupated or died. Pupae from each treatment were removed daily, and were transferred into a cups with deionized water until adults emerged. The development of mosquito larvae, and pupae and adults emerging each day was recorded.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Data from larval mortality tests were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA of square root transformed percentages). Differences between the treatments were determined by Tukey's multiple range test to compare differences at $P \leq 0.05$ significance level. The sublethal and lethal dosages of harmaline to the tested larvae were calculated by using probit analysis. And other statistics at 95% confidence limits of upper confidence limit and lower confidence limit, and Chi-square (χ^2) values were

calculated using SPSS 12.0 software. The results with $P < 0.05$ were considered statistically significant to be statistically significant level.

3. Results

3.1 Acute toxicity of harmaline on the larvae of *Ae. albopictus*

The larval mortality rates of *Ae. albopictus* were gradually increase with the rise in concentrations of harmine, after treating with harmine for 24 h (Fig. 1). In a high-dose treatment (80 and 110 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), more than 70% of the observed mortality occurred within the first 24 h, and with a significant level when compared with the control ($P < 0.05$). In a low-dose treatment (20 and 50 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), the mortality rates of all the larval instars were lower. The present investigation also showed that the observed mortality rate of the second-instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus* was higher than that of the third- and fourth-instar larvae in all concentrations examined. Thus, *Ae. albopictus* larval mortality varied in a concentration-dependent manner.

The action of harmaline on the early fourth-instars of *Ae. albopictus* was slower at all concentrations examined (Table 1). Harmaline did not show that the highest toxicity on the larvae of *Ae. albopictus* until 72 h after experiments. The LC_{50} concentrations at 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h were 52.73, 46.01, 41.83, 41.52 and 40.99 mg/L, respectively. Similarly, the LC_{90} concentrations at 24, 48, 72, 96 and 120 h were 96.55, 86.57, 83.13, 84.27 and 83.63 mg/L, respectively. Thus, the LC_{50} and LC_{90} concentrations obviously were decreased with the time of exposure, and the best time for assessing the susceptibility of *Ae. albopictus* larvae to harmaline was 72 h after treatment.

Table 1
Toxicity of harmaline against the early fourth-instar larvae of *Aedes albopictus* at different time after treatment.

Treatment time (h)	LC ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% CL)	LC ₉₀ (mg/L) (95% CL)	Slope ± SE	χ ² (df = 4)
24	52.73 (42.35–54.63)	96.55 (86.31–102.96)	3.18 ± 0.65	13.09*
48	46.01 (38.52–52.51)	86.57 (79.85–97.45)	3.07 ± 0.24	12.07*
72	41.83 (37.91–48.25)	83.13 (79.71–93.13)	2.17 ± 0.22	11.83*
96	41.52 (36.58–47.21)	84.27 (80.15–91.72)	2.29 ± 0.43	10.87*
120	40.19 (35.86–45.95)	83.63 (78.91–89.79)	2.59 ± 0.58	10.05*
LC ₅₀ lethal concentration that kills 50% of the exposed larvae, LC ₉₀ lethal concentration that kills 90% of the exposed larvae, CL is the 95% confidence limits. The means of the five replicates ± SE are shown., χ ² chi-square, df degree of freedom, each value of slope represented the regression slope of the relationship between larval mortality and lethal time. *significant at P < 0.05 level.				

3.2 Susceptibility of four larval stages of *Ae. albopictus* to harmaline

In this experiment, four larval stages of *Ae. albopictus* showed different susceptibilities to harmaline at 24, 48, and 72 h after exposure, respectively (Table 2). Among four instar larvae, the first-instar larvae was the most sensitive to harmaline, the LC₅₀ values were 27.98, 26.21 and 23.02 mg/L at 24, 48, and 72 h after exposure, respectively. The fourth-instar larvae are the most tolerant to harmaline, the LC₅₀ values were 54.12, 47.94 and 42.58 mg/L at 24, 48 and 72 h after treatment, separately. Similarly, the third-instar larvae was more tolerant to harmaline than the second-instar larvae. The LC₅₀ and LC₉₀ values of four instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus* to harmaline decreased with the extension of exposure time. Thus, the results showed that the early larval instars were more sensitive to harmaline than that of the later instars.

Table 2
The susceptibility of four larval stages of *Aedes albopictus* to harmaline at 24, 48 and 72 h after exposure

T (h)	Instar	LC ₅₀ (mg/L) (95% CL)	LC ₉₀ (mg/L) (95% CL)	Slope ± SE	χ ² (df = 4)
24	1	27.98 (24.83–31.67)	52.66 (42.87–58.01)	3.14 ± 0.38	10.75*
	2	30.91 (26.18–35.16)	57.46 (51.85–64.01)	2.78 ± 0.44	11.87*
	3	43.77 (36.84–50.31)	82.66 (78.92–90.71)	2.83 ± 0.35	10.02*
	4	54.12 (48.74–61.57)	96.83 (87.26–105.85)	2.01 ± 0.47	12.73*
48	1	26.21 (22.75–31.04)	51.07 (42.35–59.47)	3.49 ± 0.62	10.31*
	2	28.23 (24.86–33.01)	53.84 (46.12–57.63)	2.71 ± 0.33	9.58*
	3	37.68 (31.57–43.61)	70.59 (64.61–76.83)	2.98 ± 0.63	11.06*
	4	47.94 (40.75–53.76)	85.95 (80.57–103.99)	3.02 ± 0.47	12.03*
72	1	23.02 (21.75–30.76)	44.31 (40.62–48.07)	3.29 ± 0.45	9.92*
	2	25.79 (21.02–30.92)	48.39 (43.08–54.62)	2.53 ± 0.29	10.49*
	3	31.09 (26.13–36.18)	65.25 (47.85–73.48)	2.96 ± 0.35	12.45*
	4	42.58 (37.69–50.91)	82.69 (78.66–87.23)	2.87 ± 0.46	11.98*
T is the hours after treatment when larval mortality was evaluated. CL is the 95% confidence limits. Slope ± SE is the slope standard ± error. *significant at P < 0.05 level.					

3.3 Sublethal effects of harmaline on the second instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus*

After the second-instar larvae of *Ae. albopictus* exposure to sublethal concentration (LC₁₀ and LC₃₀) of harmaline for 72 h, the results showed that the development, pupation and adults emergence were affected at different treatments (Table 3). Compared with control, the development of larvae exposed to LC₁₀ and LC₃₀ concentrations had significant influence ($P < 0.05$). The developmental durations of larvae tested by LC₁₀ and LC₃₀ concentrations were longer 48.3 h and 87.5 h from second instar to larvae pupation, and 28.4 h and 46.9 h in pupa duration. Moreover, the LC₃₀ concentration of harmaline could significantly affect the pupation and emergence rates of the survivors ($P < 0.05$), and the pupation and emergence rates of larvae treated by LC₃₀ concentration were 23.1% and 38.5% of control, respectively. Therefore, the results suggested that sublethal dosages of harmaline could delay the development of larvae, and decrease the pupation and adult emergence of *Ae. albopictus*.

Table 3

Comparison of development duration, pupation and emergence rates of the surviving larvae of the second-instar larvae of *Aedes albopictus* in sublethal dosages of harmaline for 72 h after exposure.

Treatment	Larvae development duration (mean $\pm$ SE)			pupa period (h)	pupation rate %	emergence rate %
	Second instar (h)	third instar (h)	fourth instar (h)			
Control	37.5 $\pm$ 7.2	31.6 $\pm$ 6.8	66.2 $\pm$ 5.9	102.3 $\pm$ 10.5	96.8 $\pm$ 2.1	94.1 $\pm$ 3.9
LC ₁₀	43.4 $\pm$ 5.5	45.3 $\pm$ 5.7*	95.6 $\pm$ 7.1*	130.7 $\pm$ 13.3*	89.7 $\pm$ 5.9	83.3 $\pm$ 6.3
LC ₃₀	56.3 $\pm$ 8.1*	62.1 $\pm$ 6.1*	104.4 $\pm$ 6.3*	149.2 $\pm$ 12.8*	74.5 $\pm$ 6.2*	65.4 $\pm$ 5.1*
LC ₁₀ lethal concentration that kills 10% of the exposed larvae, LC ₃₀ lethal concentration that kills 30% of the exposed larvae, CL is the 95% confidence limits. The means of the five replicates $\pm$ SE are shown. *significant at P < 0.05 level.						

4. Discussion

The global use of synthetic insecticides for mosquito control in recent decades has caused environmental pollution and has caused environmental pollution and has resulted in the widespread development of insecticide resistance in many mosquito species, including *Ae. Albopictus* (Hemingway et al., 2002; Liu, 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). With the increasing demand for more eco-friendly products for mosquito control, plants may be valuable sources for mosquito control products. Plants can produce a vast repository of secondary compounds with a wide range of biological activities such as insecticidal activity. Plant alkaloids, either as plant-derived insecticides or as pure compounds, provide unlimited opportunities for new and selective pesticide discoveries because of the multiple insecticidal active targets and unmatched availability of chemical diversity. Harmaline (7-Methoxy-1-methyl-4,9-dihydro-3H-beta-carboline), is a fluorescent psychoactive indole alkaloid, and has many biological activities, such as cytotoxic effect, DNA intercalation ability and anti-Leishmania activity (Cao et al., 2007; Sobhani et al., 2002; Di Giorgio et al., 2004). In this study, the high rate of larval mortality of the different larval stages observed at higher concentrations (80 and 110 μ g/mL) of harmaline, within a 24 h exposure indicates the high toxicity of the alkaloid. At lower concentrations (20 and 50 μ g/mL) of harmaline, the mortality rates of all the larval instars were lower, and which could lead to morphological malformations of some larvae lived. The lethal effect on larval mortality was dependent on concentration of harmaline. Similar results were found in previous reports, demonstrating that harmaline, several beta-carboline alkaloids and alkaloid extracts from the plant of *P. harmala* have a considerable lethal effect on some insect species, such as cotton leafworm (*Spodoptera litura*) (Sun et al., 2003), and pine wood nematode (*Bursaphelenchus xylophilus*) (Weng et al., 2005). Our results clearly demonstrated that the acute toxicity of harmaline against the early fourth instars was relatively slow until 72 h after experiments, such as the LC₅₀ was estimated as 52.73 mg/L, 46.01 mg/L, 41.83 mg/L, 41.52 mg/L and 40.19 mg/L in 24 h, 48 h,

72 h, 96 h and 120 h, respectively. The results indicated that the best time to assess the susceptibility of *Ae. albopictus* larvae to harmaline was 72 h after treatment. This finding is also supported by our previous investigate and other studies (Zhao et al., 1997; Li et al., 2017; Weng et al., 2005). In this study, four larval stages of *Ae. albopictus* larvae showed different susceptibilities to harmaline, and the early larval instars were more sensitive than the later instars. Therefore, the chemical control should be directed against the first and second instar stages, if harmaline is used as larvicide of resistant strain of *Ae. albopictus* larvae in practice.

In addition to the lethal effect, the sublethal concentrations (LC₁₀ and LC₃₀) of harmaline on *Ae. albopictus* induced larval development delay, pupation and adult emergence rates decreased. Thus, the results indicated that harmaline, even at very low dosages, might still cause serious damage to the larvae of deltamethrin-resistant strain of *Ae. albopictus*. Rizwan-ul-Haq et al (2009) found that the effects of harmaline on the acute toxicity, and sublethal effects on the nutrition and enzyme system of *Spodoptera exigua*. It has also been shown that harmaline and several beta-carboline alkaloids can induce cells to produce large quantities of singlet oxygen and/or superoxide radical, and these toxic oxygen species have high cytotoxicity and can attack the membrane to cause unsaturated lipids, as well as destroy the structure and function of the membranes (Chae and Ham, 1996; Calle et al., 1996; Guan et al., 2006), and harmaline with an effective blocker of a putative H⁺/K⁺ in vesicle preparation of the goblet cell apical membrane of *Manduca sexta* (Wieczorek et al., 1991). In addition, some studies also revealed that hamarine is a competitive inhibitor of (Na⁺ + K⁺)-ATPase (Canessa et al., 1983), and acetylcholinesterase (Zheng et al., 2009) and monoamine oxidase (Reniers et al., 2011). Thus, these findings suggest that harmaline and other beta-carboline alkaloids have multiple insecticide targets, and imply a possible the mechanisms underlying harmaline-induced changes in mosquito lethal and sublethal trials including effects on acute toxicity, mosquito physiology, larval metabolism and development.

Currently, the growing resistance of *Ae. albopictus* populations to the synthetic pesticides has hindered the efforts to control dengue vector effectively. Thus, there is an urgent need to develop new insecticides that are more environmentally safe, biodegradable and target specific against mosquitoes. In view of the limited region of mosquito larvae activity compared with that of free flight adult mosquitoes, the control of mosquito larvae is therefore more effective than the control of adults (Shaaan et al., 2005; Berrougui et al., 2005). On this basis, harmaline offers a potential against the larvae of deltamethrin-resistant strain of *Ae. albopictus*, particularly in its markedly sublethal effects. Therefore, It may provide theoretical information for further research on the mechanisms underlying insecticidal activity of harmaline and other beta-carboline alkaloids, and development of environment friendly pesticides.

5. Conclusions

In this study, harmaline from *P. harmala* seeds against the larvae of deltamethrin-resistant strain of *Ae. albopictus* was studied in the bioassay. The results indicated that harmaline exhibited strong larvicidal activity against the mosquito larvae, and the lethal effect on larval mortality of *Ae. albopictus* increased in a concentration-dependent manner. The mortality of four instar larvae peaked at 72 h after exposure.

Interestingly, harmaline could cause markedly sublethal effects to the larvae, even at very low concentrations (LC₁₀ and LC₃₀) of harmaline. It is, therefore, worth further exploring the use of harmaline as a potential larvicide against vector mosquitoes.

Abbreviations

Harmaline, 7-Methoxy-1-methyl-4,9-dihydro-3H-beta-carboline; LC₁₀, LC₃₀, LC₅₀, and LC₉₀ are the lethal concentrations that kill 10%, 30%, 50%, and 90% of the test population, respectively.

Declarations

Authors' contributions SJ was responsible for the experimental design; JY, NJ, and JL were responsible for the experiments; SJ was responsible for the data analysis; JN prepared the paper and JSL reviewed the paper and revised it. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Acknowledgments we are grateful to the reviewers and for their valuable comments on this manuscript. We also thank the editor for his contribution to the manuscript.

Funding This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (91643113), key project of natural science research of Anhui provincial education department (KJ2020A0456, KJ2020A0519, KJ2019A0512) key Project of Natural Science Foundation of Fuyang Normal University (2021FSKJ08ZD), provincial Nature Science Research Project of Anhui Colleges (KJ2021A0670).

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Abbassi, K., Atay-Kadiri, Z., & Ghaout, S. (2003). Biological effects of alkaloids extracted from three plants of moroccan arid areas on the desert locust. *Physiological Entomology*, 28, 232–236. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3032.2003.00329.x>
2. Abbott, W. S. (1925). A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 18, 265–267. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/18.2.265a>
3. Alomar, M. L., Rasse-Suriani, F. A. O., Ganuza, A., Cóceres, V. M., Cabrerizoand, F. M., & Angel, S. O. (2013). In vitro evaluation of β -carboline alkaloids as potential anti-Toxoplasmaagents. *BMC Research Notes*, 6, 193–199. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-6-193>
4. Ayres, & Constancia, F. J. (2016). Identification of zika virus vectors and implication for control. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 16, 278–279. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)00073-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00073-6)
5. Benelli, G. (2015). Plant-borne ovicides in the fight against mosquito vectors of medical and veterinary importance: a systematic review. *Parasitology Research*, 114, 3201–3212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4656-z>

6. Benelli, G. (2015). Research in mosquito control: current challenges for a brighter future. *Parasitology Research*, 114, 2801–2805. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-015-4586-9>
7. Berrougui, H., Lopez-Lazaro, M., Martin-Cordero, C., Mamouchi, M., Ettaib, A., & Herrera, M. D. (2005). Cytotoxic activity of methanolic extract and two alkaloids extracted from seeds of *Peganum harmala* L. *Journal of Natural Remedies*, 5, 41–45.
8. Bhatt, S., Gething, P. W., Brady, O. J., Messina, J. P., Farlow, A. W., Moyes, C. L., Drake, J. M., Brownstein, J. S., Hoen, A. G., Sankoh, O., Myers, M. F., George, D. B., Jaenisch, T., William Wint, G. R., Simmons, C., Scott, T. W., Farrar, J. J., & Hay, S. I. (2013). The global distribution and burden of dengue. *Nature*, 496, 504–507. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12060>
9. Calle, P., Arizpe, A. F., & Sieiro, C. (1996). Photosensitization by harmine: an esr spin trapping study on the generation of the superoxide anion radical. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 50, 1446–1451. <https://doi.org/10.1366/0003702963904719>
10. Canessa, M., Jaimovich, E., & de la Fuente, M. (1973). Harmaline: A competitive inhibitor of Na Ion in the (Na⁺+K⁺)-ATPase system. *Journal of Membrane Biology*, 13, 263–282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01868232>
11. Cao, R., Peng, W., Wang, Z., & Xu, A. (2007). β -Carboline alkaloids: biochemical and pharmacological functions. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 14, 479–500. <https://doi.org/10.2174/092986707779940998>
12. Chae, K. H., & Ham, H. S. (1996). Production of singlet oxygen and superoxide anion radicals by beta-carbolines. *Bull Korean Chem Soc*, 7, 478–479. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTQE.2003.814944>
13. Di Giorgio, C., Delmas, F., Ollivier, E., Elias, R., Balansard, G., & Timon-David, P. (2004). In vitro activity of the beta-carboline alkaloids harmine, harmine, and harmaline toward parasites of the species *Leishmania infantum*. *Experimental Parasitology*, 106, 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2004.04.002>
14. Dinesh, D. S., Kumari, S., Kumar, V., & Das, P. (2014). The potentiality of botanicals and their products as an alternative to chemical insecticides to sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae): a review. *Journal of vector borne diseases*. 51, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-77>
15. Finney, D. J. (1971). *Probit Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, London, 333.
16. Huaji, G., Xiaodong, L., Wenlie, P., Rihui, C., Yan, M., & Hongsheng, C. (2006). β -carboline derivatives: novel photosensitizers that intercalate into dna to cause direct dna damage in photodynamic therapy. *Biochemical & Biophysical Research Communications*, 342, 894–901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.02.035>
17. Hemingway, J., Field, L., & Vontas, J. (2002). An overview of insecticide resistance. *Science*, 298, 96–97. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1078052>
18. Ishak, I. H., Jaal, Z., Ranson, H., & Wondji, C. S. (2015). Contrasting patterns of insecticide resistance and knockdown resistance (*kdr*) in the dengue vectors *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from Malaysia. *Parasites & Vectors*, 8, 181. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0797-2>
19. Jiang, S. L., Guo, X. Q., Zhan, G. L., & Liu, B. (1999). A preliminary study on the resources of botanical insecticides in East Gansu province. *Acta Bot. Bor-Occid Sin*, 19, 209–211.

20. Jourdain, F., Roiz, D., de Valk, H., Noël, H., L'Ambert, G., Franke, F., Marie-Claire, P., Guinard, A., Jean-Claude, D., & Roche, B. (2020). From importation to autochthonous transmission: drivers of chikungunya and dengue emergence in a temperate area. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*, 14, e0008320. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008320>
21. Kartal, M., Altun, M. L., & Kurucu, S. (2003). HPLC method for the analysis of harmol, harmalol, harmine and harmaline in the seeds of *Peganum harmala* L. *J Pharmaceut Biomed*, 31, 263–269. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085\(02\)00568-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085(02)00568-X)
22. Khan, F. A., Maalik, A., Iqbal, Z., & Malik, I. (2013). Recent pharmacological developments in β -carboline alkaloid “harmaline”. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 721, 391–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2013.05.003>
23. Li, J. M., Mu, K., Jiang, S. L., & Ma, T. F. (2016). Toxicity effects of total alkaloids of *Peganum harmala* against *Spodoptera frugiperda* larvae blood corpuscles and in vitro cultured Sf9 cells. *Journal of Northwest A & F University*, 7, 67–73.
24. Li, S. P., Cheng, X. M., & Wang, C. H. (2017). A review on traditional uses, phytochemistry, pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and toxicology of the genus *Peganum*. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*, 203, 127–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2017.03.049>
25. Liu, N. N., (2015). Insecticide resistance in mosquitoes: Impact, mechanisms, and research directions. *Annual Review of Entomology*, 60, 537–559. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-020828>
26. Manni, M., Guglielmino, C. R., Scolari, F., Vega, R. A., Failloux, A. B., Somboon, P., Lisa, A., Savini, G., Bonizzoni, M., Gomulski, L. M., Malacrida, A. R., & Gasperi, G. (2017). Genetic evidence for a worldwide chaotic dispersion pattern of the arbovirus vector, *Aedes albopictus*. *Plos Neglected Tropical Diseases*, 11:e0005332. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005332>
27. Miao, X., Zhang, X., Yuan, Y. Y., Zhang, Y. L., Gao, J., Kang, N. N., Liu, X. K., Wu, J. R., Liu, Y. G., & Tan, P. (2020). The toxicity assessment of extract of *Peganum harmala* L. seeds in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies*, 20: 256. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-020-03051-x>
28. Mina, C. N., Mohammad, H. F., & Gholamreza, A. (2015). Medicinal properties of *Peganum harmala* L. in traditional Iranian medicine and modern phytotherapy: a review. *Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, 35, 104–109. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6272\(15\)30016-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6272(15)30016-9)
29. Mitchell, C., J. (2020). The role of *Aedes albopictus* as an arbovirus vector. *Parassitologia*, 37, 108–113.
30. Mittal, P. K. (2003). Biolarvicidal in vector control: challenge and prospects. *J Vector Born Dis*, 40, 20–32.
31. Moazeni, M., Ardakani, Z. S. S., Saharkhiz, M. J., Jalaei, J., Khademolhoseini, A. A., Abad, S. S. E., & Alavi, A. M. (2017). In vitro ovicidal activity of *Peganum harmala* seeds extract on the eggs of *Fasciola hepatica*. *Journal of Parasitic Diseases*, 41, 467–472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-016-0830-1>
32. Regnault-Roger, C., Vincent, C., & Arnason, J. T. (2012). Essential oils in insect control: low-risk products in a high-stakes world. *Annu Rev Entomol*, 57, 405–424. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev->

33. Reniers, J., Robert, S., Frederick, R., Masereel, B., Vincent, S., & Wouters, J. (2011). Synthesis and evaluation of beta-carboline derivatives as potential monoamine oxidase inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 1, 134 – 44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2010.11.041>
34. Rizwan-ul-Haq, M., Hu, Q. B., Hu, M. Y., Lin, Q. S., & Zhang, W. L. (2009). Biological impact of harmaline, ricinine and their combined effects with *Bacillus thuringiensis* on *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Pest Science*, 82, 327–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10340-009-0257-x>
35. Sobhani, A. M., Ebrahimi, S. A., & Mahmoudian, M. (2002). An in vitro evaluation of human DNA topoisomerase I inhibition by *Peganum harmala* L. seeds extract and its beta-carboline alkaloids. *J Pharm Pharm Sci*, 5, 19–23.
36. Sun, Z. T., Ma, A. Q., Hu, M. Y., & Zhong, G. H. (2003). Bioactive effect of extracts from seed of *Peganum harmala* L. against *Spodoptera litura* F. *J Guangxi Agric and Biol Sci*, 1, 38–40.
37. Weng, Q. F., Zhong, G. L., Hu, M. Y., Luo, J. J., & Li, X. G. (2005). Bioactivities and physiological effects of extracts of *Peganum harmala* against *Bursaphelenchus xulophilus*. *Scientia Agricultura Sinica*, 10, 2014–2022. <https://doi.org/10.1360/biodiv.050068>
38. Wieczorek, H., Putzenlechner, M., Zeiske, W., & Klein, U. (1991). A vacuolar type proton pump energizes H⁺/K⁺ antiport in an animal plasma membrane. *Journal Biological Chemistry*, 266, 15340–15347. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)98621-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)98621-7)
39. World Health Organization (WHO). (2005). Guidelines for laboratory and field testing of mosquito larvicides World Health Organization communicable disease control, prevention and eradication WHO Pesticide Evaluation Scheme (WHOPES). Geneva, Switzerland, 1–41.
40. Zhao, C. C., Zhu, C. Y., Jia, Q. C., Yan, D. M., Liu, G. J., Wu, H. X., Song, X. P., Liu, Q. Y., Wang, J., Meng, F. X. (2020). Resistance of *Aedes albopictus* to commonly used insecticides in different areas of China, 2017–2018. *Chin J Vector Biol Control*, 31, 126–132. <https://doi.org/10.11853/j.issn.1003.8280.2020.02.002>
41. Zhao, G. L., Jiang, S. L., Xue, L. G., & Liu, B. (1997). A preliminary report on the medicine effect of the extract of *Peganum Harmala* to phytophagy spider mites. *J Northwest Sci-Tech Univ Agric For*, 4, 111–114.
42. Zheng, X. Y., Zhang, Z. J., Chou, G. X., Wu, T., Cheng, X. M., Wang, C. H., & Wang, Z. T. (2009). Acetylcholinesterase inhibitive activity-guided isolation of two new alkaloids from seeds of *Peganum nigellastrum* Bunge by an in vitro TCL-bioauto graphic assay. *Arch Pharm Res*, 9, 1245–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12272-009-1910-x>

Figures




Figure 1

Percentage mortality of harmaline against the second, third and fourth instar larvae of *Aedes albopictus*. Means ($\pm$ SE) followed by different letters in bars indicate significantly difference ($P < .05$).