

Rare North Apennine endemic *Cirsium bertolonii* is endangered by hybridization

Ester Micháľková

Masaryk University

Jakub Šmerda

Masaryk University

Klára Plačková

Masaryk University

Aleš Knoll

Mendel University in Brno

Petr Bureš (✉ bures@sci.muni.cz)

Masaryk University

Research Article

Keywords: Asteraceae, flow cytometry, genome size, genomic GC content, gynodioecy, homoploid hybridization

Posted Date: November 29th, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2313433/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Plant Systematics and Evolution on May 24th, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-023-01854-2>.

Abstract

We examined populations of North Apennine stenoendemics *C. bertolonii* in the Apuan Alps and Tuscan-Emilian Apennines and found individuals morphologically shifted to co-occurring *C. acaulon* or *C. erisithales*. Hybrid status of these intermediates we confirmed by flow cytometry, morphometrics and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) and interpreted them taxonomically as *Cirsium* × *sagrense* (*C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii*) and *C. × abetonense* (*C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales*). Estimated genome size (2C) was 2244 ± 31 Mbp for *C. × sagrense*, and 2152 ± 99 Mbp for *C. × abetonense* and their genomic GC content was $38.95 \pm 0.35\%$, and $38.77 \pm 0.26\%$, respectively. Diploid chromosome number $2n = 34$ was counted for *C. bertolonii* and previously reported $2n = 12$ needs to be considered erroneous. We found *Cirsium bertolonii* to be gynodioecious species (similarly as many other species of *Cirsium* sect. *Cirsium*) that is not reproductively isolated by different ploidy level or chromosome number from co-occurring congeners. The relatively frequent occurrence of *C. × sagrense* in Monte Sagro (*locus classicus* of *C. bertolonii*) and the prevalence of *C. × abetonense* in Alpe Tre Potenze suggest that hybridization occurs repeatedly in *C. bertolonii*, as also confirmed by older herbarium specimens. *C. × abetonense* produces ripe achenes and F2 or backcrosses similarly as hybrids of other *Cirsium*-species. Genetic erosion/swamping via interspecific hybridization can therefore pose a serious risk to genetic integrity of *C. bertolonii* like in the case of some diploid narrowly endemic high mountain *Cirsium* species in Europe.

Introduction

Interspecific hybridization, i.e., reproduction between two or more different species, is a common and ongoing process in populations of plants (Arnold 1992). The percentage of species that hybridize varies among families, but around 25% of plant species are known to create interspecific hybrids with at least one other species (Mallet 2007). Although the majority of hybridization events are evolutionary “dead ends” (Mayr 1942) as hybrids in most cases perish due to their reduced fitness in parental habitat (Barton and Hewitt 1985; Barton 2001; Burke and Arnold 2001), interspecific hybridization has been shown to have important evolutionary consequences (Arnold 1992; Mallet 2007; Soltis and Soltis 2009; Whitney et al. 2010; Abbott et al. 2013; Ellstrand and Rieseberg 2016; Alix et al. 2017; Goulet et al. 2017; Marques et al. 2019) and may even result in the origin of a new species (Rieseberg and Wendel 1993; Gross and Rieseberg 2005; Brochmann et al. 2000; James and Abbott 2005; White et al. 2018) or lead to species extinction (Levin et al. 1996; Rhymer and Simberloff 1996; Todesco et al. 2016).

Interspecific hybridization is particularly dangerous for rare stenoendemic species whose populations can easily get overwhelmed by large populations of widespread hybridizing congeners and disequilibrium in pollen may result in production of hybrid seeds at the expense of conspecific seeds of rarer species (Arnold et al. 1993; Levin et al. 1996; Todesco et al. 2016). This is often the case of species that are bound to smaller islands (Brochmann 1984; Rieseberg and Gerber 1995; Plume et al. 2013), to specific rarely occurring substrates or habitats (Balao et al. 2014; et al. 2014) or to isolated mountain ranges (Krahulcová et al. 1996; Čertner et al. 2015; Gómez et al. 2015; Ma et al. 2019).

Cirsium Mill. (thistle, *Asteraceae*) belongs to the angiosperm genera with most frequent interspecific hybridization (Soó 1970; Werner 1976; Wagenitz 1987; Stace 1997; Bureš et al. 2004; Keil 2006; Kadota 1995)

and has a series of stenoendemic species often restricted to more or less isolated high mountain ranges or their parts. In Europe, where interspecific hybridization is very frequent such species are *Cirsium alsophilum* (Pollini) Soldano, *C. carniolicum* Scop., *C. glabrum* DC., *C. greimleri* Bureš, and *C. rufescens* Ramond ex DC. in whom interspecific hybridization had been detected or reported (Rouy 1904; Wagenitz 1987; Segarra-Moragues et al. 2007; Bureš et al. 2018; Micháľková et al. 2018).

One of most narrowly distributed stenoendemics of the genus is *Cirsium bertolonii* Sprengel, a species occurring scarcely in only two adjoining mountain ranges of the North Apennines, i.e., in the Apuan Alps and the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines separated by the valley of Serchio River in North Italian province of Tuscany (Caruel 1865; Archbald 1874; Gibelli and Pirotta 1882; Baroni 1897–1908; Ferrarini 1984; Zanotti and Cristofolini 1985; Ferrarini 2000; Romagnoli and Foggi 2005; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014; Tomaselli et al. 2019). A remote population from Sasso Fratino (Bottacci et al. 2003) is considered an error (Viciani and Gonnelli 2014) and rather doubtful is also the record from Monti Sibillini (Zanotti and Cristofolini 1985; Conti et al. 2005; Pignatti 2018). Populations from Alpe della Luna, previously included in *C. bertolonii*, are now considered a different species *Cirsium alpis-lunae* Brilli-Catt. & Gubellini (Brilli-Cattarini and Gubellini 1991). Due to the similarity in spiny habitus and pale yellow flowers as well as similarity in ecological preferences, some authors consider *C. bertolonii* a subspecies or even variety of *Cirsium spinosissimum* (L.) Scop. (cf. Fiori 1925–1929; Werner ap. Heywood 1975; Werner 1976; Ferrarini 2000), a high-mountain species from the Alps (Meusel and Jäger 1992). Based on morphological and biochemical analyses *C. bertolonii* has been recognized as a well delimited species and was typified by Zanotti and Cristofolini (1985). This conclusion was also confirmed by our molecular analyses in which *C. spinosissimum* was shown to be less related to *C. bertolonii* than some other accepted European *Cirsium* species (Micháľková et al. in prep.) and their taxonomical integration to one species is thus groundless. Despite the presence of over ten other *Cirsium* species in Apuan Alps and the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines and their surroundings (Ferrarini 2000; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014), there are no documented records of interspecific hybrids of *C. bertolonii* and its hybridization is only speculated (Caruel 1865; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014). Since chromosome number $2n = 12$ detected for *C. bertolonii* by Garbari (1970) differs substantially from $2n = 34$ prevailing in other European *Cirsium* species (Werner 1976; Bureš et al. 2004, 2018), it could be speculated about reproductive isolation of *C. bertolonii* from the rest of genus mediated by different ploidy level and/or some chromosome rearrangement as it is the case of some rare high-mountain endemic thistles – *C. appendiculatum* in the Balkanids and *C. waldsteinii* in the Carpathians – which both tetraploid ($2n = 68$; Bureš et al. 2018).

The morphological identification of hybrids may be more reliable if corroborated by genetic methods. Such method can be the whole genome dominant marker AFLP (amplified fragment length polymorphism, because it reveals the additive presence of nuclear genomic profiles from both parents in the examined hybrid individual. Nuclear profiles of potential parental species should, however, be based on samples from numerous distinct “pure” populations in which the second species is absent in order to prevent including genetically eroded individuals and thus acquiring representative parental nuclear profiles in this method (Balao et al. 2014; Micháľková et al. 2018). In *Cirsium*, AFLP has been successfully applied to identification of hybrids with high mountain stenoendemics *C. rufescens* (Segarra-Moragues et al. 2007), *C. carniolicum* and *C. greimleri* (Micháľková et al. 2018). Flow cytometry is an additional “whole genome” marker for detection of interspecific hybrids and estimation of their ploidy level, genome size, and GC content (Bureš et al. 2003; Suda

et al. 2007; et al. 2014; Prančl et al. 2018; Popelka et al. 2019), that has been applied in *Cirsium* hybrid's detection (Bureš et al. 2004; Micháľková et al. 2018).

Using AFLP, morphometrics and flow cytometry, we examined natural populations of *Cirsium bertolonii* with an emphasis on morphologically intermediate individuals, and confirm or disprove their hybrid nature using reliable molecular markers, and thus consider the potential threat of genetic erosion of this rare endemic mountain species. The potential reproductive isolation of *C. bertolonii* from co-occurring congeners mediated by different chromosome number and/or ploidy level was also analyzed in our study using flow cytometry and chromosome counting.

Materials And Methods

Plant sampling strategy and sample processing

Populations of *Cirsium bertolonii* were examined in years 2017 and 2018 in the surroundings of Monte Sagro in the Apuan Alps and Alpe Tre Potenze in the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines (Suppl. Table S1). For the purpose of AFLP and flow cytometric analyses we sampled two pieces of young upper undamaged leaf /plant from 18 individuals of *C. bertolonii* and 17 of putative hybrids. For the purpose of morphometric analyses, lateral-view photo of terminal capitulum was taken, 3–8 flowers with pappus were extirpated from the terminal capitulum using tweezers and one fully developed middle leaf was sampled per each of above mentioned individuals (of *C. bertolonii* and putative hybrids); some other parameters (see below) were measured directly in the localities; achenes were also occasionally extirpated from some overblown capitula of some individuals where they were present later. Sampled plants were at least 10 m apart in order to avoid clones. As *C. bertolonii* is very rare and we did not want to negatively influence its natural populations, the number of individuals kept as herbarium specimen was limited. Additionally, we only collected leaves and shoots, leaving the rhizome for the plant individual to regenerate. The specimens are kept in BRNU (acronym follows Thiers 2018, as other herbaria mentioned in this study).

To confirm hybrid nature of putative hybrids and to determine their parental species, the AFLP sample-set of *C. bertolonii* and putative hybrids was enhanced with samples of other species of *Cirsium* occurring in Tuscany (cf. Pierini and Peruzzi 2014; Pignatti 2018) – *C. acaulon* (L.) Scop., *C. arvense* (L.) Scop., *C. erisithales* (Jacq.) Scop., *C. monspessulanum* (L.) Hill, *C. oleraceum* (L.) Scop., *C. palustre* (L.) Scop., *C. pannonicum* (L. f.) Link, and *C. vulgare* (L.) Scop. (19–28 samples per species, 200 samples in total were added to 18 samples of *C. bertolonii* and 17 samples of putative hybrids). Other Tuscanian species *C. creticum* (Lam.) d'Urv. was not included since it occurs in lowlands. Distantly related species of the genus *Lophiolepis* Cass. (previously included in *Cirsium*; cf. Ackerfield et al. 2020; Del Guacchio et al. 2022) occurring also in Tuscany were represented by *L. eriophora* (L.) Del Guacchio, Bureš, Iamónico & P. Caputo in our sample-set. Moreover, intergeneric hybridization between the *Lophiolepis* and *Cirsium* is negligible (Wagenitz 1987; Bureš et al. 2004; Stace et al. 2015) so we did not suspect *Lophiolepis* taxa to participate in hybridization with *C. bertolonii*. To achieve the representative nuclear profiles of potential parental species, the samples of analyzed species were collected (the way described above) in populations remote from the Apennines (Suppl. Table S1) to exclude any gene flow from *C. bertolonii*. In case of flow cytometry and morphometrics we did not aim to detect the potential parents, but to confirm them. The flow cytometric sample-set of *C. bertolonii* and putative hybrids

was therefore complemented by nine samples of *C. acaulon* and six of *C. erisithales*; similarly the morphometric dataset was enhanced by 40 and 40 samples of these species (Suppl. Table S1).

Samples for AFLP were placed in plastic bags and later stored in a deep freezer at -80°C until analyzed. Samples for flow cytometry were placed in plastic bags with a few droplets of water and analyzed after a few days (while still fresh). Morphometric samples – leaves and flowers – were put into paper or cellophane bags, respectively, and herbarized. The total sample-set thus contained 235 individuals of ten species and two hybrids for AFLP, 50 for flow cytometric analyses (3 spp. + 2 hybrids), 129 for morphometric analyses (3 spp. + 2 hybrids) from which achenes of two individuals were subsequently used for chromosome counting of *C. bertolonii*; these samples originated from 106 localities in Austria, Bosnia & Herzegovina, Czech Republic, Italy, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain and Switzerland (Suppl. Table S1).

AFLP

DNA from deep frozen leaves was extracted using the commercial kit NucleoSpin Plant II (MarcheryNagel) with the extraction buffer PL2 according to the manufacturer's instructions. The AFLP fingerprinting analysis follows Micháľková et al. (2018) and was performed according to the protocol in the AFLPtm plant mapping kit (Applied Biosystems). The DNA (300 ng) was double-digested at 37°C for 4 h with EcoRI and MseI enzymes and ligated to EcoRI and MseI adaptors in the same reaction. The preselective amplification was performed with EcoRI + A and MseI + C primers in a GenePro(Bioer) thermocycler. The following four selective primer pair combinations were chosen: 6-FAM-EcoRI + ACT/MseI + CTC, VIC-EcoRI + AGG/MseI + CAG, NED-EcoRI + AGC/MseI + CAT, PET-EcoRI + ACA/MseI + CTA. The products of selective amplification were mixed with a GS500 LIZ size standard and Hi-DiTM Formamide (Applied Biosystems) for fragment analysis on an ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). The error rate (according to Bonin et al. 2004) was estimated from 23 replicate samples. To perform sizing and scoring of the raw data, we used GeneMarker 2.4.0 (SoftGenetics). A panel of scorable peaks (loci) for each primer combination was created manually, and only fragments from 50 to 550 bp were scored. Scoring of samples was first done automatically by the software and then checked and corrected manually. Two samples were excluded due to low amplification (Suppl. Table S1). The final file was then exported as a binary matrix.

Bayesian analysis implemented in STRUCTURE 2.3.4 (Pritchard et al. 2000) was used to examine the nature ("pure" species or hybrid) of samples from Monte Sagro and Alpe Tre Potenze together with representatives of other potential *Cirsium*-parents. To confirm that there are 10 different species and there is no divergence of *C. bertolonii* from the two respective regions (Apuan Alps and Tuscan-Emilian Apennines), different K values ($K = 7$ to $K = 13$) were tested. For each K, 15 separate runs were performed, each of 100,000 iterations and 100,000 burn-in using an admixture model with independent allele frequencies. The ploidy level was set as "2" – diploid – since all species (except for tetraploid *C. vulgare*) are diploid. STRUCTURE HARVESTER v0.6.94 (Earl and von Holdt 2012) was used to summarize the output files and to construct the Evanno plots (Suppl. Table S2; Evanno et al. 2005). The STRUCTURE diagram of computed assignment probabilities was generated using the R package pophelper (Francis 2017).

The Bayesian approach was complemented with distance-based analyses. Relationships between species occurring directly in Monte Sagro and Alpe Tre Potenze and the putative hybrids were visualized as a

NeighborNet in SplitsTree software 4.14.4 (Huson and Bryant 2006) and a PCoA plot in Canoco5 (ter Braak and Šmilauer 1998). Both analyses were based on Jaccard's binary distances.

Flow Cytometry

The measurements of genome size and genomic GC content were performed on two CyFlow flow cytometers (Partec GmbH; recently Sysmex) using the internal standard *Bellis perennis* (2C = 3089.89 Mbp, 39.54% GC, Veselý et al. 2012), whose genome size and genomic GC content were derived from comparisons with the completely sequenced *Oryza sativa* subsp. *japonica* 'Nipponbare' (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project 2005). The analyses follow the protocol of Šmarda et al. (2008). For the genome size or ploidy level estimations, we used propidium iodide as a fluorochrome, and for the genomic GC content estimation, the samples were co-processed with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) fluorochrome. To improve the signal/background ratio, the original OTTO I solution (Otto 1990) was mixed 1 : 1 with 0.1 M hydrochloric acid and two drops of Tween. This "acid" buffer reduces the influence of secondary metabolites on the measurement. The genomic GC content was calculated using an ATGCFlow spreadsheet prepared by P. Šmarda: <http://www.sci.muni.cz/botany/systemgr/download/Festuca/ATGCFlow.xls> (Šmarda et al. 2008). In individuals that were measured repeatedly (mostly 3 times), the mean of measurements was considered. Mean values for respective fluorochromes were also used for GC content. The measured values for both GS and GC content are in Suppl. Tables S3 and S4).

Chromosome Preparation

Achenes of *C. bertolonii* (plants BERT-MS2a and BERT-MS2f) were germinated on wet filter paper at 21°C in dark with using 1% (w/v) gibberellic acid in distilled water. Collected root tips were pre-treated for 24 h in ice-cold distilled water and fixed for 25 min in ice-cold 4% (w/v) formaldehyde in 1 x PBS (pH = 7.4). After washing in 1 x PBS (three times for 15 min), root tips were digested in 0.085% (w/v) cellulase R-10 (Duchefa Biochemie), cellulysin cellulase (Merck Millipore) and 0.12% (w/v) pectolyase Y23 (Duchefa Biochemie), cytohelicase (Sigma-Aldrich) in 1 x PBS at 37°C for 1 h. Thereafter, chromosome spreads were prepared by squashing and liquid nitrogen. After washing in 1 x PBS (three times for 5 min) and dehydration using a graded ethanol series (70, 85, 100% for 2 min each), chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (1.5 µg/ml) in Vectashield.

Morphometrics

The selection of analyzed morphologic features has been focused on such traits in which the co-occurring, potentially parental, species *Cirsium acaulon* and *C. erisithales* most differ from *C. bertolonii* such as foliage, leaf and involucre spinosity, shape and division of leaves, shape of involucre, etc. We selected traits that can be either: measured (i) by simple techniques directly in the field, or (ii) on photographs taken in the field or (iii) in the field taken and fixed parts of plants, so as not to damage the natural population of rare *C. bertolonii*. The directly measured values were then combined using simple mathematical formulas that best capture the nature of visually apparent differences among above mentioned three species. Habitual features (Fig. 1A) –

lengths of uppermost three stem leaves (1Lf_L, 2Lf_L and 3Lf_L) and distance between base of terminal capitulum and 3rd leaf-stem connection (3Lf_D) – were measured on live plants or herbarium specimens using plastic or paper ruler. Only foliage leaves were measured not bracts (= modified leaves subtending capitulum or its peduncle usually more simple in division than leaves – Br on Fig. 1A). From these samples also the number of well-developed capitula (Cap_N) per plant (shoot) was counted (only well-developed capitula were counted not dwarfed capitular buds); sex (female or hermaphrodite) of plant was determined using magnifying glass (Suppl. Fig. S1). Leaf characters (Fig. 1B, C) – length and width (Lf_L, Lf_W), longest lateral lobe length and width (Lob_L, Lob_W), length of terminal spine in the longest lateral lobe (Spi_L), and sinus depth of the longest lateral lobe (Sin_D) – were measured using paper or plastic rulers on herbarized well developed middle stem leaf (usually on 3rd or 4th leaf counted down from terminal capitulum) scanned together with scale (and subsequently magnified on a large LCD screen). In the same manner, flower and fruit features (Fig. 1D, E) – corolla length (Cor_L), pappus length (Pap_L), and achene length (Ach_L) – were measured on scans of flowers or fruits prepared from the terminal capitulum of live plants or herbarium specimens and put into transparent bags prior scanning. The capitulum features (Fig. 1F) – involucre length and width (Inv_L, Inv_W), involucre width including bract tips (Inbr_W), capitulum width (Cap_W), position and width of involucre neck (Nec_P, Nec_W) were measured on lateral-view photos (with the scale) of live fully flowering terminal capitulum. Together with the measured leaf features (see above) also – number of lateral lobes (= main leaf lobes excl. terminal; Lob_N) was counted (Example leaf in Fig. 1C thus has 14 lateral lobes) and average number of secondary lobes (Four of lobes – 2nd, 4th, 11th and 13th – in Fig. 1C have one secondary lobe while other 10 have none, thus the average number of secondary lobes is $[4 \times 1 + 10 \times 0] / 14 = 0.29 = \text{SLob}_N$ in this example leaf). Since many of these features vary depending on environmental conditions, management, plant size and developmental stage, we converted some of them into their less variable relative forms which better discriminate the potentially parental species and hybrids. From the habitual features (Fig. 1C) sum of lengths of three uppermost leaves (123Lf_L) and terminal foliage (Ter_Fol) were derived (Fig. 1G). From the features of leaves and their lobes (Fig. 1B, C), leaf shape (Lf_Sh), leaf spinosity (Lf_Sp), leaf division (Lf_Di), leaf lobe shape (Lob_Sh) were derived (Fig. 1H, J). From the features of capitulum (Fig. 1F), involucre spinosity or fuzzy (Inv_Sp), involucre shape (Inv_Sh) and involucre constriction (Inv_Con) were derived (Fig. 1I). For primary morphometric data of all 28 measured features see Suppl. Tables S5, S6).

To estimate detect/eliminate bias effect of sexual dimorphism (difference between hermaphrodites and females of the same taxon), the role of sex, taxon identity and their interaction were tested using factorial ANOVA for all morphometric data (Suppl. Table S7 – probabilities of the statistical tests are given after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparison error – 28 tests performed in total). The interspecific and/or intraspecific between-gender differences were visualized using boxplots accompanied by Tukey HSD (post-hoc) tests for illustrative purposes (Suppl. Table S8). F-statistics (“determination value”) of each morphological feature has been subsequently calculated using One-Way ANOVA (Suppl. Table S9) in respect to both parental hybrid combinations. All the statistical tests of flow cytometric or morphometric data were calculated and respective graphs prepared in Statistica 13 program (www.statsoft.com) and R version 3.6.1.

Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) was used to visualize the morphological relationships among respective species and approve the intermediate position of the hybrids using the R-script Morphotools

(Koutecký 2015). The standardized and transformed (to achieve normal distribution) morphological traits were used for the visualization. Prior to the analysis 7 characters were excluded: five characters were excluded due to their strong correlation with other characters (Suppl. Table S10): pappus length (correlated with corolla length), distance between terminal capitulum and 3rd highest leaf (correlated with terminal foliage), leaf width (correlated with leaf lobe length), leaf spinosity (correlated with spine length) and leaf division (correlated with sinus depth); capitulum width was excluded since neither of parental species pairs significantly differed in this character as well as the achene length because the ripe achene were not available for some individuals. DCA including remaining 21 characters was based on individuals of *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* and hybrid individuals were projected passively.

Results

Habitually intermediate individuals and co-occurring congeners in *C. bertolonii* populations

We examined five populations of *C. bertolonii* from the two distinct regions – one large population in the Apuan Alps and four smaller populations in the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines. The population in the Apuan Alps was located on the SW slope of Monte Sagro on marble bedrock in an open, grassy habitat, which is typical for *C. bertolonii* (Zanotti and Cristofolini 1985). The population was relatively abundant – a few hundreds of individuals/flowering shoots that were scattered over a relatively small area (approximately 2 ha). All *C. bertolonii* individuals from this locality were very spiny with only mildly lobed leaves, and their morphological appearance (Fig. 2A, D, G) corresponded to the neotype which comes from this locality (Zanotti and Cristofolini 1985: 35). Within the population there was another species – *C. acaulon* (Fig. 2C, F, I) – whose abundance was comparable to that of *C. bertolonii*. Seven habitually intermediate individuals were found here (Fig. 2B, E, H).

While searching for populations of *C. bertolonii* in the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines, namely on the slopes of Alpe Tre Potenze, we failed to find the species in open and grassy natural habitats similar to those in Apuan Alps. Nevertheless, we found four small populations in human-influenced habitats – along the roads and a tourist paths. Some of these populations were in open forests in sites partly shaded by trees. Unlike Monte Sagro, where we could choose among hundreds of individuals of *C. bertolonii*, in Alpe Tre Potenze the number of individuals in populations was limited and therefore we had to include in the analyses leaf samples of almost all individuals remote enough to not be clones. The individuals resembling *C. bertolonii* (Fig. 3B, E, H, Suppl. Fig. S2B) found in Alpe Tre Potenze were obviously habitually different from those from Monte Sagro (Fig. 3A, D, G, Suppl. Fig. S2A). Namely, their leaves were more deeply lobed and less spiny, which suggested they may be influenced by more shaded habitats or genetically eroded. Within the region, there were abundant populations of *C. erisithales* (Fig. 3C, F, I, Suppl. Fig. S2C), and some of them also occurred in proximity to the micro-populations of these individuals.

Molecular Approval Of Hybrid Nature Of Intermediate Individuals And Their Parental Affiliation

There were 604 AFLP polymorphic loci scored in 232 individuals from *C. bertolonii*, co-occurring *C. acaulon* and *C. erisithales*, putative hybrids, and all other 7 potentially parental *Cirsium*-species (occurring in Tuscany). The overall error rate was 0.4% for compared AFLP-replicates.

Within the STRUCTURE analyses the highest ΔK for 15 separate runs was yielded for $K = 10$ (Suppl. Table S2) confirming there are 10 distinct clusters representing 10 species. The STRUCTURE analysis (Fig. 4) computed the assignment probabilities of respective individuals for each cluster/species (assignment values range from 0 = no assignment to 1 = 100% assignment) and demonstrated that representatives of morphologically “pure” (non-hybrid) individuals from localities other than Monte Sagro and Alpe Tre Potenze are also genetically “pure”, because the assignment probabilities for their respective species clusters were above 0.9 (in accordance with Micháľková et al. 2018) and the assignment to other species clusters was negligible. The only exception was one *C. oleraceum* individual from locality A140 (Suppl. Table S1), whose assignment probability for the *C. oleraceum* cluster was slightly below arbitrary limit 0.9 (0.891; Fig. 4).

All morphologically “pure” individuals of *C. bertolonii* collected on Monte Sagro were confirmed to be also genetically “pure” as there was no eminent assignment to other species and the assignment probabilities for *C. bertolonii* clusters were over 0.9 (Fig. 4). Samples of habitually intermediates between *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* from the same locality showed significant admixture of both suspected parents, while the admixture of other analyzed species was negligible (Fig. 4). From all Alpe Tre Potenze individuals only two individuals found at the first locality – ATP1 – had the assignment probability for *C. bertolonii* cluster also over 0.9; nevertheless, in the first individual there was a visible admixture of the *C. erisithales* cluster (Fig. 4). In the remaining individuals from the Alpe Tre Potenze the assignment probabilities for the *C. bertolonii* cluster were substantially decreased due to considerable admixture of the *C. erisithales* cluster (Fig. 4), suggesting they are actually hybrids.

Distance based analyses of the AFLP data – NeighborNet and PCoA – largely confirmed the STRUCTURE results. The parental species – *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii*, and *C. erisithales* – formed three separated clusters (Fig. 5, Suppl. Fig. S3). Admixed individuals (habitually intermediates) from Monte Sagro were placed between the clusters of *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii*. Admixed individuals (habitually intermediates) from Alpe Tre Potenze were placed between the clusters of *C. bertolonii* (from Monte Sagro) and *C. erisithales*. Summarizing both analyses, we consider habitually intermediates from Monte Sagro to be hybrids between *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* (probably F1) and the intermediates from Alpe Tre Potenze (with an exception of locality ATP1) to be hybrids between *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales*. Both individuals from locality ATP1 were slightly shifted from *C. bertolonii* from Monte Sagro towards *C. erisithales* in NeighborNet and PCoA (Fig. 5, Suppl. Fig. S3). This indicates the individuals from ATP1 locality are introgressed rather than “pure” *C. bertolonii*.

Genome Size, Ploidy Level, And Genomic Gc Content In Hybrids And Parental Species

Flow cytometric analyses yielded high-resolution histograms with distinct peaks of samples and internal standard in 33 analyzed individuals representing *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* and in 17 putatively hybrid individuals. Genome size of representatives of *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* from Monte Sagro were significantly different from *C. erisithales*, but not from one another (Tukey HSD test; Fig. 6A).

Average genome size of *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* hybrids was $2C = 2244$ Mbp; standard deviation = 31 Mbp) were positioned between the parental genome sizes but did not statistically differ from them (Tukey HSD test; Fig. 6A). The DNA contents of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* individuals from Alpe Tre Potenze excluding the two introgressed individuals was $2C = 2144$; s.d. = 109 Mbp and differed statistically from both parents (Tukey HSD test; Fig. 6A). Genome size of the two individuals from locality ATP1 were $2C = 2210$ Mbp and $2C = 2162$ Mbp. Such a low value was not detected among any of the genetically pure *C. bertolonii* individuals from Monte Sagro (Fig. 6A) that is consistent with NeighborNet and PCoA analyses (Fig. 5, Suppl. Fig. S3) and confirms introgressive nature of the two individuals; the average genome size of all *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* individuals was $2C = 2152$ Mbp; s.d. = 99 Mbp.

Within the detected genomic GC contents, only *C. acaulon* was statistically different from other analyzed taxa (Tukey HSD test; Fig. 6B), yet the GC contents of hybrids were positioned more or less between the parental GC contents (Fig. 6B). The genomic GC content was 38.20%; s.d. = 0.30% for *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* and 38.95%; s.d. = 0.35% for *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids. GC contents of the two individuals from locality ATP1 were rather higher (mean = 39.3%) comparing to other *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* individuals (mean = 38.9%).

The chromosome numbers detected for *C. bertolonii* from the root tips of germinated achenes harvested from plants MS2a and MS2f from the locality Monte Sagro (Apuan Alps) were diploid $2n = 34$ (Fig. 7).

Morphometrics

28 vegetative and generative morphological characters were measured in 114 individuals representing *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* and in 15 putatively hybrid individuals. In Monte Sagro population, two sexes/genders – females and hermaphrodites – were identified among the plants of *C. bertolonii*, so the species need to be considered gynodioecious. Therefore, we balanced morphometric sample-sets of *C. acaulon* and *C. erisithales* (both also gynodioecious) to contain not only the same number of individuals but also the same proportion of genders. In three generative features – length of corolla, pappus and achenes – between-gender differences were detected within the species or hybrids: hermaphrodites have longer corollas and pappus than females (in the second case only marginally supported) while females have larger achenes than hermaphrodites (Fig. 8A, B, Suppl. Fig. S4A, Suppl. Table S7). Actually, the differences in the pappus or corolla length reliably distinguish all three parental species – *C. acaulon* (longest), *C. bertolonii* (medium) and *C. erisithales* (shortest) – regardless of the intraspecific differences between the sexes (Fig. 8A, B, Suppl. Table S7), nevertheless, in the case of hybrids the gender determination is necessary if the differences in pappus or corolla length between hybrids and the parental species are to be significant, i.e., only females with females or hermaphrodites with hermaphrodites should be compared to keep the differences between the species and hybrids significant (Fig. 8A, B, Suppl. Table S7).

For the determination/delimitation of respective hybrids the most valuable characters are involucre spinosity, involucre constriction, terminal foliage, distance from capitulum to 3rd highest leaf and number of capitula and leaf spinosity in the case of *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* (Table 1A) and corolla length, involucre spinosity, pappus length, length of spines, involucre width (incl. spines of bracts), leaf spinosity and leaf division in the

case of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* (Table 1B) since in these characters differ significantly not only between the parental species but also between the respective hybrid and each of its parents (Tables 1A, B).

Canonical discriminant analysis (CDA; Fig. 9) based on 21 of 28 analyzed features – 7 characters excluded (see Materials and methods) prior the analysis – well delimited the parental species. In the “morpho”-space the hybrids of *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* were projected intermediately between the parents as well as the hybrids of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales*, where some individuals were slightly shifted towards *C. bertolonii*. Such shift towards *C. bertolonii* was, however, not delimited in the introgressive individuals of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* from ATP1 (marked by red arrow).

Discussion

Applicability of molecular, cytometric and morphometric approaches in hybrid identification

Although AFLP is currently considered to be rather outdated and is slowly taken over by NGS markers allowing the analyses of tens to hundreds of thousands of markers (Wang 2014), in our study it undoubtedly demonstrated the hybrid nature and parental affiliation of samples of *Cirsium acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* and *Cirsium bertolonii* × *C. erisithales*, as within the STRUCTURE analysis hybrids contained admixture from both parental species (Fig. 4) and distance-based analyses positioned them between the respective parents (Fig. 5, Suppl. Fig. S3). The STRUCTURE analysis worked well not only for the three parental species and the hybrids, but also when including 7 more closely related species occurring in Tuscany. The 10 well delimited STRUCTURE entities (K = 10, Suppl. Table S2) correspond to 10 species included in our study, which would indicate that despite frequent interspecific hybridization in this genus, none of these species is of hybrid origin.

Genome size estimation via flow cytometry indicated that the hybrids are diploid, which is the most common ploidy level in the genus (detected in nearly 80% of *Cirsium* species in Europe and Asia – Bureš et al. 2004, 2018). Flow cytometry was not a suitable tool for detecting the parental affiliation of either of the two hybrids (when statistical significance > 0.05 was taken into account). This is primarily given by the small differences between the hybrids and respective parents due to low variation on genome size across the genus (Bureš et al. 2004, 2018; Micháľková et al. 2018) that is in an order of magnitude comparable to the error of individual cytometric estimation. Although the genome sizes and GC contents of hybrids were positioned more or less between the respective parental values, the difference was not always significant (Tukey HSD test; Fig. 6A, B), that is a consequence of the limited number of analyzed individuals and would likely be overcome by a larger number of measurements

Morphometric analysis detected some characters well delimiting respective parental species pairs and their hybrids (first five / seven characters in Tables 1A or B, respectively). Although the hybrids were usually positioned between the respective parents, they were usually shifted towards either of the parents (second and third sections in Tables 1A and B) or occasionally the hybrids were even out of parental range (e.g., *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* has smaller number of secondary leaf lobes and more spiny leaves – Suppl Fig. S4N, X – and *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* has narrower involucre, larger number of capitula and shorter distance from capitulum to 3rd stem leaf, than any of parents – Suppl Fig. S4C, H, I). The achenes of *C. acaulon* × *C.*

bertolonii were also smaller than of any of the parents, nevertheless, they were not ripe and cannot be considered out of the parental range. This situation well illustrates that hybrid plants are more frequently a mosaicism of parental features rather than their average.

Gynodioecy of *C. bertolonii* and fertility of its hybrids

The hybrids of *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii*, similarly as two of nine individuals of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* (BERT×ERIS-ATP2c, BERT×ERIS-ATP4a) did not contain developed pollen in their anther tubes. One would easily interpret it as hybrid sterility. However, these individuals were actually females whose anther sterility has been inherited from the parental species – *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* – which all they are gynodioecious, i.e., containing hermaphrodites and females (= individuals with hermaphrodite flowers but sterile rudimentary anther tubes (synantherium – Suppl. Fig. S1) regularly in their populations. Similar co-occurrence of females and hermaphrodites (with viable pollen) was previously detected in hybrids of *C. acaulon* (with *C. canum*, *C. oleraceum* or *C. pannonicum*) and in hybrids of *C. erisithales* (with *C. heterophyllum*, *C. oleraceum* or *C. palustre*) by Bureš et al. (2010). The gynodioecious sexual dimorphism recognized in 275 (~ 2%) of angiosperm genera (Dufay et al. 2014) has so far been found in more than 20 species European species of *Cirsium* sect. *Cirsium* (Delannay 1978; Bureš et al. 2010). In our study, the gynodioecy of *C. bertolonii* is reported for the first time.

Besides the sterility/fertility of anther tubes, the conspecific females and hermaphrodites in *Cirsium* can also differ in other generative features (Bureš et al. 2018) as also demonstrated in our study for all three analyzed species (*C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales*) whose hermaphrodites have longer corollas, pappus but smaller achenes than females (Fig. 8; Suppl. Fig. S4A). Although larger flowers of hermaphrodites in most gynodioecious species are well known to evolutionary biologists from the time of Charles Darwin (1877), this fact is often ignored in taxonomic studies and determination keys. In *Cirsium*, especially among closely related species, the differences between the females and hermaphrodites within the species could even be higher than between the species as demonstrated for closely related *C. greimleri* and *C. waldsteinii* by Bureš et al. (2018). This is, fortunately, not the case in our recent study where the differences among *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* are rather larger than between genders within species (Fig. 8; Suppl. Fig. S4A), but for hybrid delimitation against the parental species the comparison of females with females or hermaphrodites with hermaphrodites is more reliable than when not considering the gender (Fig. 8).

The analyzed hybrids of *C. bertolonii* with *C. acaulon* at Monte Sagro did not contain ripe achenes which one would interpret as a hybrid sterility. However, in *Cirsium*, the absence of ripe fruits or low fruit fertility is relatively common even in regular non-hybrid plants (Bureš, pers. observ.). Therefore we do not consider undeveloped achenes in *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* to be the evidence of reproductive barrier between these species via hybrid sterility. Fertility of *C. acaulon* hybrids with other *Cirsium* species has previously been confirmed e.g., by Pigott (1968), or by Bureš et al. (2010). In *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids from Alpe Tre Potenze well developed achenes were detected suggesting the hybrids might be fertile as was demonstrated for some other hybrids of *C. erisithales* (Bureš et al. 2010).

The hybrid samples from ATP1 locality in the Alpe Tre Potenze were situated outside the cluster of hybrids *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* towards the first of parents in STRUCTURE (Fig. 4) or in distance-based analyses

(Fig. 5; Suppl. Fig. S3), similar shift of these samples toward *C. bertolonii* can be seen in genome size and genomic GC content (Fig. 6A, B); in morphometric analysis such shift is more or less present in majority of characters except three characters related to leaf lobes where the samples from ATP1 were strongly shifted towards *C. erisithales* or even exceeded it that finally resulted into the absence of the shift to *C. bertolonii* in CDA (Fig. 9) otherwise observable in other analyses. One could speculate that this pattern resulted from backcrossing (of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* with *C. bertolonii*), however, it can also be the case of F2 resulting from random segregation of chromosomal homologs during gametogenesis, and selfing of homoploid F1 hybrid (or outcrossing between F1 hybrids prevailing in analyzed populations in Alpe Tre Potenze). In any case, the occurrence of such genetically shifted individuals supports the fact that primary hybrids (F1) of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* may be at least partially fertile as documented for other *Cirsium* hybrids (e.g., by Correns 1916; Bureš et al. 2010; Micháľková et al. 2018).

The possible threat of genetic erosion of *C. bertolonii*

Somatic DNA content estimated for *C. bertolonii* in our study is very similar to DNA contents of other diploid *Cirsium* species (Bureš et al. 2004, 2018; Micháľková et al. 2018) and chromosome number $2n = 34$ based on achenes sampled from the individuals in the type locality Monte Sagro also undoubtedly confirmed the diploid status of *C. bertolonii* as previously suggested Miceli and Garbari (1976). Therefore, previously reported $2n = 12$ (Garbari 1970) needs to be considered erroneous and neither different ploidy level nor some kind of chromosomal rearrangement do not protect *C. bertolonii* against hybridization with other frequently hybridizing and predominantly diploid species of *Cirsium* sect. *Cirsium* as it is the case for rare mountain endemic tetraploid ($2n = 68$) *C. appendiculatum* in Balkan Mountains or *C. waldsteinii* in the Carpathians (Bureš et al. 2018). Our study also confirmed that *C. bertolonii* really can produce (at least partially) fertile hybrids with two diploid relatively more abundant and more widespread congeners: *C. acaulon* and *C. erisithales* – *C. acaulon* (sensu stricto) occurs almost continually in Western and Middle Europe – from England, France and Northern Spain to Southern Sweden, the Baltics, Poland, Czech Republic, Austria, Italy, Slovenia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, with dispersed occurrences also in Slovakia, Hungary and Romania and *C. erisithales* is growing in most of the higher European mountains (or their parts) such as in Easternmost Pyrenees, Massif Central, the Alps, Northern and Central Apennines, the Dinarides and the Carpathians (Meusel and Jäger 1992). Across their nature ranges, both species willingly hybridize with most of their sympatric congeners (Pignatti 2018; Talavera 2017; Stace et al. 2015; Bureš 2004; Wagenitz 1987; Nyárády 1964) therefore, it is not surprising that they hybridize when co-occurring with the rare *C. bertolonii* with whom they share ecological preferences to calcareous substrates (Ferrarini 1967; Gabellini et al. 2006; Tomaselli et al. 2019).

In the area of *C. bertolonii* distribution, *C. acaulon* is scattered in both the Apuan Alps and Tuscan-Emilian Apennines (Bertoloni 1819; Caruel 1860; Archbald 1874; Gibelli and Pirotta 1882; Ferrarini 2000; Romagnoli and Foggi 2005; Venturi 2006; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014) while *C. erisithales* seems to be common only in the Tuscan-Emilian Apennines (Caruel, 1860; Archbald 1874; Gibelli and Pirotta 1882; Venturi 2006; Foggi et al. 2007; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014) and in the Apuan Alps it is probably restricted only to two localities – Monte Contrario (south of Minucciano) and Col di Favilla (north of Stazzema) (Ferrarini 2000; Pierini and Peruzzi 2014). The uneven distribution of *C. acaulon* and *C. erisithales* is rather reflected by hybridization observed in population of *C. bertolonii*. In Monte Sagro (Apuan Alps) where abundant populations of *C. acaulon* and *C.*

bertolonii are intermingled, their hybrids occur scarcely. In Alpe Tre Potenze (Tuscan-Emilian Apennines), we did not find a “pure” *C. bertolonii* as all sampled individuals were hybrids or genetically eroded (Fig. 4, 5). Since *C. spinosissimum* is absent in the Apuan Alps (Zanotti and Cristofolini 1985; Ferrarini 2000), the previous report on the hybrid *C. erisithales* × *C. spinosissimum* by Caruel (1865) – from the vicinity of Minucciano – needs to be ascribed to *C. bertolonii* [= *C. spinosissimum* var. *bertolonii* sensu Caruel, l.c.] × *C. erisithales* as also concluded by Pierini and Peruzzi (2014). The hybrid has therefore found by Caruel (1865) near of one of the two localities where *C. erisithales* occurs in the Apuan Alps – in northern slopes of Monte Contrario Mt. south of Minucciano (Ferrarini 2000). It should be noted that also relatively close to the second locality of *C. erisithales* in the Apuan Alps – Col di Favilla (Ferrarini 2000) – there was also in 19th century collected a herbarium specimen that is morphologically very similar to the plants identified as a hybrid of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* in our study (“*Sopra Campanice nelle Alpi Apuane*” [= above the settlement of Campanice], *sine collectore* 27th July 1863, MNHN-P-P04316612), further probably hybrid plant *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* has been collected in close locality south of this second occurrence at “Südeingang des ersten Strassentunnels, bei der Abzweigung nach Arni, sm. 1050 m by C. Simon (26th. June 1957 BASBG-00025459, BASBG-00025460).

The higher prevalence of hybrid between *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* may also be due to overlap in their flowering times during Late Spring–Early Summer; whereas *C. acaulon* blossoms later – in Summer–Early Autumn, depending on altitude (Micháľková and Bureš pers. observ.). The phenological difference of *C. acaulon* was notable during our repeated visits to Monte Sagro – in July, *C. bertolonii* was in pollination optimum, whereas *C. acaulon* had only developed inflorescence buds and the hybrid already had capitula, but was not flowering yet; in October the shoots of *C. bertolonii* were almost faded, whereas some of the hybrids were still blossoming as well as *C. acaulon*.

Scattered distribution of hybrids *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* in Monte Sagro and the exclusive presence of *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* in four studied populations in Alpe Tre Potenze suggest that the hybridization of *C. bertolonii* with both these species is repeatedly ongoing which is further confirmed by abovementioned herbarium specimens and literary report as well as by an older herbarium specimen of *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* collected probably by A. Bertoloni in Catino dell Sagro in Northern slope of Monte Sagro in the beginning of 19th century and later deposited in the Viennese Natural History Museum (Bertoloni s. a. W 1929/1457; Suppl. Fig. S5). From a taxonomic point of view, it is somewhat worrying that hybridization with *C. acaulon* takes place directly on the *locus classicus* of *C. bertolonii* – within the Monte Sagro population. However, based on Bertoloni’s “*Amoenitates*”, we can conclude that both species coexist here for at least 200 years without any of them getting extinct (Bertoloni 1819: 404–405); the neotype from this locality was selected by Zanotti and Cristofolini (1985: 35; <http://parlatore.msn.unifi.it/img72/FI001979.jpg>) does not evince any sign of hybridization.

Although, the situation in Monte Sagro illustrates a relatively long coexistence of *C. bertolonii* with *C. acaulon*, repeatedly ongoing hybridization, fertility of hybrids *C. bertolonii* with *C. erisithales*, as well as overlap in blossoming and ecological preferences could pose a serious threat for genetic integrity of the rare mountain North Apennine endemic *C. bertolonii* particularly by genetic erosion from *C. erisithales* in Alpe Tre Potenze or in the whole Tuscan-Emilian Apennines – similarly as for other rare diploid European narrowly distributed high

mountain thistles, such as *C. alsophilum*, *C. greimleri*, *C. carniolicum* or *C. rufescens* (Bureš et al. 2018; Michálková et al. 2018).

Taxonomic Conclusion – Description Of The Hybrids

Morphological intermediacy of the hybrids and their differences against parental species are best fitted by the features which variation is described in Tables 2A, B. Based on the morphological intermediacy of the found hybrid individuals (Figs. 2, 3, Suppl. Fig. S2) supported by molecular and cytometric analyses (Figs. 5 and 6), their repeated origin and the presence in herbarium collections, there are two new nothotaxa that can be recognized:

Cirsium *xsagrense* Michálková et Bureš, nothosp. nova

= *C. acaulon* (L.) Scop., Annus Hist.-Nat. 2: 62, 1769 × *C. bertolonii* Spreng., Syst. Veg., ed. 16. [Sprengel], 3: 377, 1826

Diagnosis: The hybrid differs from both parental species in its pinkish white corollas and is intermediate between them in stem length – 22–28 cm [1–5 (–35) cm in *C. acaulon*, 45–60 (–75) cm in *C. bertolonii*], in pappus length 19.8–21.2 mm [(21.4–) 22.1–27.5 (–29.5) in *C. acaulon*, (14.6–) 17.9–20.9 (–21.7) in *C. bertolonii*], in (1–) 2–5 capitula – [1–2 (–5) in *C. acaulon*, 2–8 in *C. bertolonii*], in 2.5–3 (–3.4) cm distance between lateral lobe apices in basal leaves [(0.8–) 1–2.2 (–3.0) cm, in *C. acaulon*, 3.2–4.4 cm in *C. bertolonii*]. From *C. acaulon* the hybrid differs in the hairy abaxial surface of involucre bracts (glabrous in *C. acaulon*), in longer (> 0.5 mm) terminal spines of outer and middle involucre bracts [either absent or very short (< 0.5 mm) in widespread *C. acaulon* subsp. *acaulon* but could be longer than 0.5 mm in Iberian endemics *C. acaulon* subsp. *gregarium* (DC.) Talavera], and in presence of uppermost subtending bracts (absent in *C. acaulon*). From *C. bertolonii* the hybrid differs in the densely woolly indumentum with undulated longer (> 1 mm) hairs [*C. bertolonii* has sparse indumentum with hairs shorter than 1 mm], in middle leaves that are 3.3–6.7-times longer than wide (*C. bertolonii* have middle leaves 1.1–3.2-times longer than wide), in involucre 1.5–1.8 times longer than wide (*C. bertolonii* have involucre 0.8–1.6 longer than wide); the indumentum of abaxial surface of involucre bracts is sparser and formed by non-glandulous hairs in the hybrid (denser, with glandulous hairs in *C. bertolonii*); terminal spines on outer and middle involucre bracts of the hybrid are shorter (0.5–1 mm) than those of *C. bertolonii* (> 1 mm).

Type: Italy: Apuan Alps; Vergheto: grassland slope between A Foce Pianza and Monte Sagro, 2 km SSE of the village; 44°06'40.3"N, 10°09'20.6"E; altitude 1496 m a.s.l.; coll. E. Michálková & S. Němejč, 6/Oct/2018 (holotype: BRNU; isotypes: PR; WU).

Description: Perennial spiny plant, 22–28 cm tall. Stem erect, rather branching on the top, unwinged, with dense woolly indumentum particularly in lower part formed by undulated hairs longer than 1 mm. Leaves oblanceolate, pinnately cleft-parted, spinous at the margins, densely woolly at abaxial side; bottommost leaves 21–30.2 cm long and 3.8–6.3 cm wide, middle 9.5–15 cm long and 1.8–3.7 cm wide; stem leaves gradually transiting to subtending bracts; uppermost subtending bracts narrow (2–10 mm wide), with perpendicularly protruding spines. Capitula (1–) 2–5 on separate peduncles. Involucre ovoid, 12.1–28.1 mm

wide (incl. protruding ends of involucre bracts); involucre bracts ovate-lanceolate, outermost short, glabrous on the outer (abaxial) surface, rarely with hairs on midrib in upper part, outer and middle with short (0.5–1 mm) terminal spine, middle 1.8–2.8 mm wide. Corolla pinkish white, 23.1–24.3 mm long. Pappus 19.8–21.2 mm long. Achenes dark brown, (3.8–) 4.3–4.9 mm long (not well developed). Flowering from late July until early October.

Etymology: The epithet is derived from the mountain Monte Sagro, where the hybrids between *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* were found.

Cirsium × *abetonense* Michálková et Bureš, nothosp. nova

= *C. bertolonii* Spreng., Syst. Veg., ed. 16. [Sprengel], 3: 377, 1826 × *C. erisithales* Scop., Annus Hist.-Nat. 2: 62, 1769

Diagnosis: The hybrid is intermediate between its parents by corolla length 17.1–20.4 mm [(12.8–) 13.6–17.6 (–17.9) mm in *C. erisithales*, (20.8–) 21.5–24 (–24.6) mm in *C. bertolonii*], by pappus length 15.6–18.7 mm [(11.7–) 12.0–16.2 (–17.1) mm in *C. erisithales*, (14.6–) 17.9–20.9 (–21.7) mm in *C. bertolonii*], in 2–7.4 (–9) mm long terminal spines of middle lobes of middle leaves [0.2–1.5 (–1.8) mm in *C. erisithales*, 3.3–10.3 (–14) mm in *C. bertolonii*], and in sparse glandular abaxial indumentum of outermost involucre bracts – [dense glandular in *C. bertolonii*, glabrous in *C. erisithales*]. From *C. erisithales* the hybrid differs by achene length 5–5.7 mm [(3.6–) 4–4.9 (–5.1) mm in *C. erisithales*], in presence of leaves in the upper part of the stem, in presence of narrow uppermost subtending bracts, and presence of 0.5–1 mm terminal spine of outer and middle involucre bracts [in *C. erisithales* is absent or very short (< 0.5 mm)]. From *C. bertolonii* the hybrid differs in deeply lobed basal leaves (entire or shallowly lobed that are in *C. bertolonii*), shorter (< 1 mm) terminal spines of outer and middle involucre bracts (> 1 mm in *C. bertolonii*), and by (1–4) narrow uppermost subtending bracts (> 5 in *C. bertolonii*).

Type: Italy: Tuscan-Emilian Apennines; Abetone: N slopes of the mountain range, 800 m S of Val di Luce; 44°7'20.4"N, 10°37'40.2"E; altitude 1677 m a.s.l.; coll. P. Bureš & E. Lajkepová, 5/Jul/2017 (holotype: BRNU; isotypes: PR; WU).

Description: Perennial spiny plant, 40–95 cm tall. Stem erect, branching, sometimes nodding on the top, unwinged, with pubescent indumentum particularly in lower part and below the capitula. Leaves oblongate, pinnately divided or even pinnatisect, spinous at the margins with sparse hairs on abaxial surface gradually transiting to subtending bracts; bottom leaves (40.2–) 40.4–57.3 (–60.3) cm long, (15.1–) 15.8–20.6 (–21.6) cm wide; middle leaves (16.6–) 20.1–38.3 (–41.2) cm long, (9.3–) 9.6–17.2 (–20.7) cm wide. Uppermost subtending bracts narrow (1–7 mm wide) with sparse glandular hairs on the margin and perpendicularly protruding shorter spines. Capitula 3–7 (–9) in clusters or on separate peduncles. Involucre ovoid, 20.1–40.9 mm wide (incl. protruding ends of involucre bracts); involucre bracts ovate-lanceolate, outermost short with sparse glandular hairs on the outer surface, outer and middle involucre bracts with short (0.5–1 mm) terminal spine, middle 1.5–2.2 mm wide. Corolla yellowish, 17.1–20.4 mm long. Pappus 15.6–18.7 mm long. Achenes light brown, 5–5.7 mm long. Flowering in July and August.

Etymology: The epithet is derived from the town Abetone in proximity of Alpe Tre Potenze, where the hybrids between *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* were found.

Declarations

Authors declare no competing interests.

Funding

This study was supported by Masaryk University (project no. MUNI/A/1334/2018).

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2012.02599.x>.

Acknowledgements

We thank Gianmaria Bonari and Melania Marchi for their valuable advices on where to find the rare populations of *Cirsium bertolonii*, Gianmaria Bonari, Bruno Foggi, Lorenzo Peruzzi and Francesco Roma-Marzio for their comments and suggestions regarding the older Italian floristic literature related to *Cirsium* occurrence in Tuscany and Stanislav Němejc for his help in the field.

References

1. Abbott R, Baird SJE, Bierne N, Buerkle CA, Cahan CH, Mallet J, Nolte AW, Parisod C, Pfennig K (2013) Hybridization and speciation. *J Evol Biol* 26: 229–246. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2012.02599.x
2. Ackerfield J, Susanna A, Funk V, Kelch D, Park DS, Thornhill AH, Yildiz B, Arabaci T, Dirmenci T (2020) A prickly puzzle: Generic delimitations in the *Carduus-Cirsium* group (Compositae: Cardueae: Carduinae). *Taxon* 69: 715–738.
3. Alix K, Gérard PR, Schwarzacher T, Heslop-Harrison JSP (2017) Polyploidy and interspecific hybridization: partners for adaptation, speciation and evolution in plants. *Ann Bot.* 120: 183–194. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcx079.
4. Archbald AB (1874) Flora dell'Alto Serchio e del Lima, ossia catalogo della Regione Appenninica Lucchese. Giusti, Lucca.
5. Arnold ML (1992) Natural hybridization as an evolutionary process. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 23: 237–261. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.23.110192.001321
6. Arnold ML, Hamrick JL, Bennett BD (1993) Interspecific pollen competition and reproductive isolation in *Iris*. *J Hered* 84: 13–16. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.jhered.a111269
7. Balao R, Casimiro-Soriguer R, García-Castaño JL, Terrab A, Talavera S (2014) Big thistle eats the little thistle: does unidirectional introgressive hybridization endanger the conservation of *Onopordum hinojense*? *New Phytol* 206: 448–458. doi: 10.1111/nph.13156
8. Baroni E (1897–1908) Supplemento generale al Prodromo della Flora Toscana di T. Caruel. Società Botanica Italiana, Firenze.

9. Barton NH (2001) The role of hybridization in evolution. *Mol Ecol* 10: 551–568. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-294x.2001.01216.x
10. Barton NH, Hewitt GM (1985) Analysis of hybrid zones. *Annu Rev Ecol Syst* 16: 113–148. doi: 10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185.000553
11. Bertoloni A (1819) *Amoenitates italicae sistentes opuscula ad rem herbariam at zoologiam Italiae spectantia*. Annfsii de Nobilibus, Bononiae.
12. Bonin A, Bellemain E, Bronken Eidesen P, Pompanon F, Brochmann C, Taberlet P (2004) How to track and assess genotyping errors in population genetics studies. *Mol Ecol* 13: 3261–3273. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2004.02346.x
13. Bottacci A, Crudele G, Zoccola A (2003) Ricolonizzazione vegetale di una frana nella Riserva Naturale Integrale di Sasso Fratino (Parco Nazionale delle Foreste Casentinesi, Monte Falterona e Campigna). *Quad Studi Nat Romagna* 18: 21–36.
14. Brilli-Cattarini AJB, Gubellini L (1991) Una nuova specie di *Cirsium* (*Compositae, Asteroideae, Cynareae*) dell'Appennino etrusco meridionale. *Webbia* 46: 7–17. doi: 10.1080/00837792.1991.10670507
15. Brochmann C (1984) Hybridization and distribution of *Argyranthemum coronopifolium* (*Asteraceae – Anthemideae*) in the Canary Islands. *Nord J Bot* 4: 729–736. doi: 10.1111/j.1756-1051.1984.tb02001.x
16. Brochmann C, Borgen L, Stabbetorp OE (2000) Multiple diploid hybrid speciation of the Canary Island endemic *Argyranthemum sundingii* (*Asteraceae*). *Plant Syst Evol* 220: 77–92. doi: 10.1007/BF00985372
17. Bureš P, Šmerda J, Michálová E, Šmarda P, Knoll A, Vavrínek M (2018) *Cirsium greimleri* – a new thistle species endemic to the Eastern Alps and Dinarids. *Preslia* 90: 105–134. <http://www.preslia.cz/P182Bures.pdf>
18. Bureš P, Wang YF, Horová L, Suda J (2004) Genome size variation in Central European species of *Cirsium* (*Compositae*) and their natural hybrids. *Ann Bot* 94: 353–363. doi: 10.1093/aob/mch151
19. Bureš P (2004) *Cirsium* Mill. – pcháč [*Cirsium* Mill. – thistle]. In: Slavík B, Štěpánková J (eds) *Květena České republiky [Flora of the Czech Republic]* 7: 385–419. Academia, Praha.
20. Bureš P, Tichý L, Wang YF, Bartoš J (2003) Occurrence of *Polypodium × mantoniae* and new localities for *P. interjectum* in the Czech Republic confirmed using flow cytometry. *Preslia* 75: 293–310. <http://www.preslia.cz/P034CBur.pdf>
21. Bureš P, Šmarda P, Rotreklová O, Oberreiter M, Burešová M, Konečný J, Knoll A, Fajmon K, Šmerda J (2010) Pollen viability and natural hybridization of Central European species of *Cirsium*. *Preslia* 82: 391–422. <http://www.preslia.cz/P104Bures.pdf>
22. Burke JM, Arnold ML (2001) Genetics and the fitness of hybrids. *Annu Rev Genet* 35: 31–52. doi: 10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.085719
23. Caruel T (1860) *Prodromo della Flora Toscana*. Le Monnier, Firenze.
24. Caruel T (1865) *Supplemento al Prodromo della Flora Toscana*. *Atti Soc Ital Sci Nat Mus Milano* 8: 429–479.
25. Čertner M, Kolář F, Schönschwetter P, Frajman, B (2015) Does hybridization with a widespread congener threaten the long-term persistence of the Eastern Alpine rare local endemic *Knautia carinthiaca*? *Ecol Evol* 5: 4263–4276. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1686

26. Conti F, Abbate G, Alessandrini A, Blasi C. (eds.) (2005) An annotated checklist of the Italian vascular flora. Ministero dell'Ambiente e della Tutela del Territorio, Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza", Roma.
27. Correns C (1916) Untersuchungen über Geschlechtsbestimmung bei Distelarten. Sitzungsber. Königl Preuss Akad Wiss Berlin 20: 448–477.
28. Darwin C (1877) The different forms of flowers on plants of the same species. John Murray, London.
29. Delannay X (1978) La gynodioécie dans le genre *Cirsium* Miller. Bull Soc Roy Bot Belg 111: 10–18.
30. Del Guacchio E, Bureš P, Iamónico D, Carucci F, De Luca D, Zedek F, Paolo Caputo C (2022) Towards a monophyletic classification of Cardueae: restoration of the genus *Lophiolepis* (= *Cirsium* p.p.) and new circumscription of *Epitrachys*. Plant Biosystems 156: 1269–1290. doi: 10.1080/11263504.2022.2131924
31. Dufay M, Champelovier P, Käfer J, Henry JP, Mousset S, Marais GAB (2014) An angiosperm-wide analysis of the gynodioecy–dioecy pathway. Ann Bot 114: 539–548. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu134
32. Earl DA, von Holdt BM (2012) STRUCTURE HARVESTER: A website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. Conserv. Genet Resour 4: 359–361. doi: 10.1007/s12686-011-9548-7
33. Ellstrand NC, Rieseberg LH (2016) When gene flow really matters: gene flow in applied evolutionary biology. Evol Appl 9: 833–836. doi: 10.1111/eva.12402
34. Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J (2005) Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. Mol Ecol 14: 2611–2620. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x
35. Ferrarini E (1967) Studi sulla vegetazione di altitudine delle Alpi Apuane: Vegetazione dei principali rilievi Apuani; Seconda parte: le Apuane sud-orientali. Webbia 22: 295–404. doi: 10.1080/00837792.1966.10669845
36. Ferrarini E (1984) Note fitogeografiche sull'appennino settentrionale nei suoi rapporti con le Alpi Marittime. Biogeographia 9: 211–226. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0761n9q9>
37. Ferrarini E (2000) Prodrómo alla Flora della regione Apuana. Vol. 3. Accademia Lunigianese di Scienze Giovanni Capellini, La Spezia.
38. Fiori A (1925–1929) Nuova Flora analitica d'Italia Vol. 2. M. Ricci, Firenze. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/40239#page/9/mode/1up>
39. Foggi B, Gennai M, Gervasoni D, Ferretti G, Rosi C, Viciani D, Venturi E (2007) La carta della vegetazione del SIC Alta Valle del Sestaione (Toscana nord-occidentale). Parlatorea 9: 41–78. http://www.scienzadellavegetazione.it/sisv/libreria/parlatorea/Parlatorea_Vol_9.pdf
40. Francis RM (2017) Pophelper: an R package and web app to analyse and visualize population structure. Mol. Ecol. Resour. 17: 27–32. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12509
41. Gabellini A, Lombardi L, Viciani D, Foggi B (2006) Contributo alla conoscenza della vegetazione dell'alta Garfagnana Appenninica (Toscana Settentrionale). Parlatorea 8: 65–98. http://www.scienzadellavegetazione.it/sisv/libreria/parlatorea/Parlatorea_Vol_8.pdf
42. Garbari F (1970) Aspetti citotassonomici del contingente endemico apuano. I. Lavori Soc. Ital. Biogeogr., ser. 2, 1: 192–201. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5cq8p068>

43. Gibelli G, Pirotta R (1882) Flora del Modenese e del Reggiano. Vincenzi & Nipoti, Modena.
<https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/40204#page/8/mode/1up>
44. Gómez JM, González-Megías A, Lorite J, Abdelaziz M, Perfectti F (2015) The silent extinction: climate change and the potential hybridization-mediated extinction of endemic high-mountain plants. *Biodivers Conserv* 24: 1843–1857. doi: 10.1007/s10531-015-0909-5
45. Goulet BE, Roda, F, Hopkins R (2017) Hybridization in plants: old ideas, new techniques. *Plant Physiol* 173: 65–78. doi: 10.1104/pp.16.01340
46. Gross BL, Rieseberg LH (2005) The ecological genetics of homoploid hybrid speciation. *J Hered* 96: 241–252. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esi026
47. Heywood VH (1975) Flora Europaea: Notulae systematicae ad Floram Europaeam spectantes No. 16. *Bot J Linn Soc* 70: 1–19. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8339.1975.tb00676.x
48. Huson DH, Bryant D (2006) Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Mol Biol Evol* 23: 254–267. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msj030
49. International Rice Genome Sequencing Project (2005) The map-based sequence of the rice genome. *Nature* 436: 793–800. <https://www.nature.com/articles/nature03895>
50. James JK, Abbott RJ (2005) Recent, allopatric, homoploid hybrid speciation: the origin of *Senecio squalidus* (*Asteraceae*) in the British Isles from a hybrid zone on Mount Etna, Sicily. *Evolution* 59: 2533–2547. doi: 10.1554/05-306.1
51. Kadota Y (1995) Tribe 9. Cardueae. In: Iwatsuki K, Yamazaki T, Boufford DE, Ohba H (eds) *Flora of Japan, Angiospermae Dicotyledonaeae Sympetalae* (b). Kodansha, Tokyo, pp 117–164.
52. Keil DJ (2006) *Cirsium* Miller. In: *Flora of North America Editorial Committee* (eds) *Flora of North America north of Mexico* 19: 95–163. Oxford University Press, New York & Oxford.
http://www.efloras.org/florataxon.aspx?flora_id=1&taxon_id=107139
53. Koutecký P (2015) MorphoTools: a set of R functions for morphometric analysis. – *Plant Syst Evol* 301: 1115–1121. doi: 10.1007/s00606-014-1153-2
54. Krahulcová A, Krahulec F, Kirschner J (1996) Introgressive hybridization between a native and an introduced species: *Viola lutea* subsp. *sudetica* versus *V. tricolor*. *Folia Geobot* 31: 219–244. doi: 10.1007/BF02812066
55. Levin DA, Francesco-Ortega J, Jansen RK (1996) Hybridization and the extinction of rare plant species. *Conserv Biol* 10: 10–16. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.10010010.x
56. Ma Y, Marczewski T, Xue D, Wu Z, Liao R, Sun W, Marczewski J (2019) Conservation implications of asymmetric introgression and reproductive barriers in a rare primrose species. *BMC Plant Biol* 19: 286. doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1881-0
57. Mallet J (2007) Hybrid speciation. *Nature* 446: 279–283.
58. Marques DA, Meier JI, Seehausen O (2019) A Combinatorial View on Speciation and Adaptive Radiation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 34: 531–544. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2019.02.008
59. Mayr E (1942) *Systematics and the Origin of Species* (Columbia Univ. Press, New York).
https://books.google.cz/books?id=mAljnLp6r_MC&printsec=frontcover&hl=cs&source=gbg_ge_summary_r&cad=0#v=onepage&q&f=false

60. Meusel H, Jäger EJ. (eds) (1992) Vergleichende Chorologie der zentraleuropäischen Flora. Vol. 3. Karten. – Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, Stuttgart & New York.
61. Miceli P, Garbari F (1976) Numeri cromosomici per la flora italiana: 255-262. Inform Bot Ital 8: 207–216.
62. Micháľková E, Šmerda J, Knoll A, Bureš P (2018) *Cirsium xsudae*: a new interspecific hybrid between rare Alpine thistles. Preslia 90: 347–365. <http://www.preslia.cz/P184Michalkova.pdf>
63. Nyárády EI (1964) Genul. 576. *Cirsium* Adans. In: Savulescu T (ed) Flora Reipublicae Popularis Romanicae 9: 672–743. Editio Academiae Reipublicae Popularis Romanicae, Bucuresti.
64. Otto F (1990) DAPI staining of fixed cells for high resolution flow cytometry of nuclear DNA. In: Crissman HA, Darzynkiewicz Z (eds) Methods Cell Biol 33: 105–110. Academic Press, New York. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0091679X08605166>
65. Pierini B, Peruzzi L (2014) Prodrómo della flora vascolare della Provincia di Lucca (Toscana nord-occidentale). Inform Bot Ital 46: 1–499. http://bot.biologia.unipi.it/flore_chklst/Pierini_Peruzzi.pdf
66. Pignatti S (2018) Flora d'Italia, Ed. 2, 3: 947–961 [103. *Cirsium* Millo – Cardo]. New Bussiness Media, Milano & Bologna.
67. Pigott CD (1968) Biological Flora of the British Isles: *Cirsium acaulon* (L.) Scop. J Ecol 56: 597–612.
68. Plume O, Raimondo FM, Troia A (2013) Hybridization and competition between the endangered sea marigold (*Calendula maritima* Asteraceae) and a more common congener. Plant Biosyst 149: 68–77. doi: 10.1080/11263504.2013.810182
69. Popelka O, Sochor M, Duchoslav M (2019) Reciprocal hybridization between diploid *Ficaria calthifolia* and tetraploid *Ficaria verna* subsp. *verna*: evidence from experimental crossing, genome size and molecular markers. Bot J Linn Soc 189: 293–310. doi: 10.1093/botlinnean/boy085
70. Prančl J, Koutecký P, Trávníček P, Jarolímová V, Lučanová M, Koutecká E, Kaplan Z (2018) Cytotype variation, cryptic diversity and hybridization in *Ranunculus* sect. *Batrachium* revealed by flow cytometry and chromosome numbers. Preslia 90: 195–223. <http://www.preslia.cz/P183Prancl.pdf>
71. Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P (2000) Inference of population structure using multilocus geno-type data. Genetics 155: 945–959. <https://www.genetics.org/content/155/2/945>
72. Rieseberg LH, Gerber D (1995) Hybridization in the Catalina Island Mountain Mahogany (*Cercocarpus traskiae*): RAPD Evidence. Conserv Biol 9: 199–203. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2386402?seq=1#metadata_info_tab_contents
73. Rieseberg LH, Wendel JF (1993) Introgression and its consequences in plants. In: Harrison RG (ed) Hybrid Zones and the Evolutionary Process. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp. 70–114.
74. Rhymer JM, Simberloff D (1996) Extinction by hybridization and introgression. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 27: 83–109. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.27.1.83
75. Romagnoli P, Foggi B (2005) Vascular Flora of the upper Sestaione Valley (NW-Tuscany, Italy). Fl Medit 15: 225–305. <http://www.herbmedit.org/flora/15-225.pdf>
76. Rouy MG (1904) Conspectus des espèces, sous- espèces, forms, variétés, sous- variétés et hybrids du genre *Cirsium* dans la flore française. (Part 1) Rev Bot Syst Géogr Bot 2: 1–11.
77. Segarra-Moragues JG, Villar L, López J, Pérez-Collazos E, Catalán P (2007) A new Pyrenean hybrid *Cirsium* (Asteraceae) as revealed by morphological and molecular analyses. Bot J Linn Soc 154: 421–

434. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8339.2007.00668.x
78. Soltis PS, Soltis DE (2009) The role of hybridization in plant speciation. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 60: 561–588. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092039
79. Soó R (1970) A Magyar flóra és vegetáció rendszertani növényföldrajzi kézikönyve [Systematical and geobotanical survey of Hungarian flora and vegetation]. Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest.
80. Šmarda P, Bureš P, Horová L, Foggi B, Rossi G (2008) Genome size and GC content evolution of *Festuca*: ancestral expansion and subsequent reduction. *Ann Bot* 101: 421–433. <https://academic.oup.com/aob/article/101/3/421/236196>
81. Stace CA (1997) New Flora of the British Isles. Ed. 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
82. Stace CA, Preston CD, Pearman DA (2015) Hybrid flora of the British Isles. Bot. Soc. of Britain & Ireland, Bristol.
83. Suda J, Kron P, Husband BC, Trávníček P (2007) Flow cytometry and ploidy: applications in plant systematics, ecology and evolutionary biology. In: Doležel J, Greilhuber J, Suda J (eds) Flow cytometry with plant cells: analysis of genes, chromosomes and genomes. Wiley, Weinheim, pp 103–130. doi: 10.1002/9783527610921.ch5
84. Talavera S (2017) 16. *Cirsium* Mill. In: Castroviejo S (ed) Flora Iberica 16/2: 136–177. Real Jardín Botánico, CSIC, Madrid.
85. ter Braak CJF, Šmilauer P (1998) CANOCO reference manual and user's guide to Canoco for Windows. Software for canonical community ordination (version 5). – Centre for Biometry, Wageningen.
86. Thiers B (2018) Index Herbariorum: a global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium, URL: <http://sciweb.nybg.org/science2/IndexHerbariorum.asp> (accessed January 2018).
87. Todesco M, Pascual MA, Owens GL, Ostevik KL, Moyers BT, Hübner S, Heredia SM, Hahn MA, Caseys C, Bock DG, Rieseberg LH (2016) Hybridization and extinction. *Evol Appl* 9: 892–908. doi: 10.1111/eva.12367
88. Tomaselli M, Carbognani M, Foggi B, Petraglia A, Rossi G, Lombardi L, Gennai M (2019) The primary grasslands of the northern Apennine summits (N-Italy): a phytosociological and ecological survey. *Tuexenia* 39: 181–213. https://www.zobodat.at/pdf/Tuexenia_NS_39_0181-0213.pdf
89. Venturi E (2006) Flora vascolare delle valli della Limentra orientale e della Limentrella (province di Pistoia e Prato). *Parlatorea* 8: 11–46. http://www.scienzadellavegetazione.it/sisv/libreria/parlatorea/Parlatorea_Vol_8.pdf
90. Veselý P, Bureš P, Šmarda P, Pavlíček T (2012) Genome size and DNA base composition of geophytes: the mirror of phenology and ecology? *Ann Bot* 109: 65–75. <https://academic.oup.com/aob/article/109/1/65/153365>
91. Viciani D, Gonnelli V (2014) Notulae sulla flora del Parco Nazionale delle Foreste Casentinesi, Monte Falterona e Campigna (Appennino tosco-romagnolo). 3: Revisione di alcuni campioni critici di *Cirsium* (Asteraceae) dell'Erbario Padula, con particolare riguardo a *C. bertolonii* Spreng. *Quad Studi Nat Romagna* 40: 31–37. <http://www.ssnr.it/40-2.pdf>

92. Vít P, Wolfová K, Urfus T., Tájek P, Suda, J (2014) Interspecific hybridization between rare and common plant congeners inferred from genome size data: assessing the threat to the Czech serpentine endemic *Cerastium alsinifolium*. Preslia 86: 95–117. <http://www.preslia.cz/P141Vit.pdf>
93. Wagenitz G (1987) *Cirsium* Mill. em. Scop. In: Gustav Hegi, Illustrierte Flora von Mitteleuropa. Ed. 2. 6/4: 866–916. Paul Parey, Berlin & Hamburg.
94. Wang Y (2014) Encyclopedia of Natural Resources – Land. Vol. I. Boca Raton, USA
95. Werner K (1976) *Cirsium* Miller. In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (eds) Flora Europaea 4: 232–242. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
96. White OW, Reyes-Betancort A, Chapman MA, Carine MA (2018) Independent homoploid hybrid speciation events in the Macaronesian endemic genus *Argyranthemum*. Mol Ecol 27: 4856–4874. doi: 10.1111/mec.14889
97. Whitney KD, Ahern JR, Campbell LG, Albert LP, King MS (2010) Patterns of hybridization in plants. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 12: 175–182.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1433831910000120/pdf?md5=36e22aed0a62fab938a4e81aa988558a&pid=1-s2.0-S1433831910000120-main.pdf>
98. Zanotti AL, Cristofolini G (1985) Studio sistematico e tipificazione di *Cirsium bertolonii* Sprengel (Asteraceae). Giorn Bot Ital 119: 27–36. doi: 10.1080/11263508509428004

Tables

Table 1A Evaluation of morphological characters of hybrid between *Cirsium acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* using F-statistics against parental species (=among-groups variation / within-groups variation) and Post hoc Tukey in One-Way ANOVA

<i>Cirsium acaulon</i> × <i>bertolonii</i>			Post hoc Tukey		
Character	F	p	<i>acaulon</i>	hybrid	<i>bertolonii</i>
Inv_Sp	296.9	0.00000	a	b	c
Inv_Con	170.7	0.00000	a	b	c
Ter_Fol	122.0	0.00000	a	b	c
3Lf_D	120.3	0.00000	a	b	c
Cap_N	88.7	0.00000	a	b	c
lbr_W	175.9	0.00000	a	a	b
Lob_L	104.0	0.00000	a	a	b
Lf_W	67.9	0.00000	a	a	b
Lf_Sh	53.7	0.00000	a	a	b
Inv_Sh	43.4	0.00000	a	a	b
Lob_Sh	38.6	0.00000	a	a	b
Nec_W	35.9	0.00000	a	a	b
Sin_D	35.1	0.00000	a	a	b
Lob_W	23.7	0.00000	a	a	b
Inv_W	22.2	0.00000	a	a	b
Cor_L	137.3	0.00000	a	b	b
Pap_L	120.0	0.00000	a	b	b
Nec_P	32.6	0.00000	a	b	b
Spi_L	32.5	0.00000	a	b	b
Inv_L	5.9	0.00401	a	b	b
Lf_Sp	16.6	0.00000	a	c	b
123Lf_L	22.7	0.00000	a	ab	b
Lf_L	5.8	0.00460	a	ab	b
Lob_N	3.9	0.02406	a	ab	b
Ach_L	62.7	0.00000	a	—*	b
Cap_W	10.3	0.00011	a	b	a
SLob_N	4.5	0.01418	a	b	ab
Lf_Di	2.8	0.06791	a	a	a

* Hybrid plants did not contain ripe achenes. For explanation of the characters see Fig. 1. and the chapter Material and methods.

Table 1B Evaluation of morphological characters of hybrid between *Cirsium bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* using F-statistics against parental species (=among-groups variation / within-groups variation) and Post hoc Tukey in One-Way ANOVA

<i>Cirsium bertolonii</i> × <i>erisithales</i>			Post hoc Tukey		
Character	F	p	<i>bertolonii</i>	hybrid	<i>erisithales</i>
Cor_L	223.2	0.00000	a	b	c
Inv_Sp	115.6	0.00000	a	b	c
Pap_L	92.1	0.00000	a	b	c
Spi_L	82.4	0.00000	a	b	c
lbr_W	65.0	0.00000	a	b	c
Lf_Sp	45.7	0.00000	a	b	c
Lf_Di	34.7	0.00000	a	b	c
3Lf_D	103.6	0.00000	a	a	b
SLob_N	100.6	0.00000	a	a	b
Ach_L	83.5	0.00000	a	a	b
Ter_Fol	65.6	0.00000	a	a	b
Lob_Sh	22.7	0.00000	a	a	b
123Lf_L	16.1	0.00000	a	a	b
Cap_N	14.4	0.00000	a	a	b
Inv_Sh	11.3	0.00000	a	a	b
Lf_W	7.4	0.00021	a	a	b
Lf_L	5.4	0.00194	a	a	b
Inv_L	42.2	0.00000	a	b	b
Sin_D	29.2	0.00000	a	b	b
Lob_N	21.5	0.00000	a	b	b
Nec_P	13.5	0.00000	a	b	b
Inv_W	7.0	0.00031	a	b	b
Nec_W	6.4	0.00062	a	b	b
Lob_W	6.5	0.00054	a	ab	b
Lob_L	4.2	0.00859	a	ab	b
Cap_W	2.1	0.10094	a	a	a
Lf_Sh	1.1	0.33955	a	a	a
Inv_Con	0.1	0.95463	a	a	a

For explanation of the characters see Fig. 1. and the chapter Material and methods.

Table 2A Most important characters differentiating hybrid of *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii* from parental species

Character ^a	Code ^a	<i>C. acaulon</i>	hybrid	<i>C. bertolonii</i>
Corolla length [mm]	Cor_L	(25.5–) 26.0–33.7 (–34.2)	23.0–24.3	(20.8–) 21.5–24.1 (–24.6)
Pappus length [mm]	Pap_L	(21.4–) 22.1–27.5 (–29.5)	19.8–21.2	(14.6–) 17.9–20.9 (–21.7)
Involucre spinosity	Inv_Sp	1.0–1.1	1.2–1.7	(1.5–) 1.7–2.6 (– 2.9)
Involucre constriction	Inv_Con	0.3–0.8	0.7–0.8	0.8–1.4
Terminal foliage	Ter_Fol	0.02–0.30	0.17–0.55	0.41–0.96 (–1.43)
Distance 3 rd leaf – term. capitulum [cm]	3Lf_D	(0.3–) 0.5–4.5 (– 5.9)	(3.5–) 3.7–18.2 (–20.3)	(11.0–) 12.6–30.8 (–42.7)
Number of capitula	Cap_N	1–2 (–5)	(1–) 2–5	2–8
Involucre (incl. bract. spines) width [mm]	lbr_W	(10.7–) 12.3–20.0 (–22.8)	12.1–28.1	(27.1–) 32.9–56.5 (–69.8)
Length of leaf lobe [mm]	Lob_L	(7.0–) 8.9–21.1 (– 27.7)	9.0–19.0	(19.3–) 22.3–60.1 (65.3)
Middle leaf shape	Lf_Sh	2.8–6.4	3.3–6.7	1.1–3.2
Involucre shape	Inv_Sh	1.1–2.6	1.5–1.8	0.8–1.6

^a For the definition of particular characters see the Morphometric section of Material and methods and Fig. 1.

Table 2B Most important characters differentiating hybrid of *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales* from parental species

Character ^a	Code ^a	<i>C. bertolonii</i>	hybrid	<i>C. erisithales</i>
Corolla length [mm]	Cor_L	(20.8–) 21.5– 24.0 (–24.6)	17.1–20.4	(12.8–) 13.6– 17.6 (–17.9)
Involucre spinosity	Inv_Sp	(1.5–) 1.7–2.6 (– 2.9)	1.4–2.2	1.0–1.4
Distance 3 rd leaf – term. capitulum [cm]	3Lf_D	11–43	10–23	25–85
Pappus length [mm]	Pap_L	(14.6–) 17.9– 20.9 (–21.7)	15.6–18.7	(11.7–) 12.0– 16.2 (–17.1)
Achene length [mm]	Ach_L	5.0–6.1	5.0–5.7	(3.6–) 4.0–4.9 (– 5.1)
Number of secondary lobes	Slob_N	0.7–3.2	0.2–2.8	0–0.8
Spine length	Spi_L	3.3–10.3 (–14.0)	2.0–7.4 (–9.0)	0.2–1.5 (–1.8)
Involucre (incl. bracteal spines) width [mm]	lbr_W	(27.1–) 33.0– 56.5 (–69.8)	(20.1–) 21.5– 36.6 (–41.0)	(13.7–) 15.6– 29.6 (–29.8)
Terminal foliage	Ter_Fol	0.41–0.96 (– 1.43)	0.36–0.91	0.84–2.33
Leaf spinosity	Lf_Sp	0.07–0.49	0.05–0.27	0.01–0.05
Leaf division	Lf_Di	(0.54–) 0.61– 0.94	0.82–0.95	0.91–1.00

^a For the definition of particular characters see the Morphometric section of Material and methods and Fig. 1.

Figures




Figure 1

Measurement of morphological features. Habitual features (A) measured on live plants or herbarium specimens. Features of the longest leaf lobe (B) measured on the scans of herbarized well developed middle leaf. Leaf features (C) measured from the same leaf – length, width and number of lateral lobes (indicated by their serial numbers); 2nd, 4th, 11th, and 13th lobe has one secondary lobe while other ten have none, thus other estimated leaf feature – average number of secondary lobes – is $(4 \times 1 + 10 \times 0) / 14 = 0.29$ in this example leaf. Achene length (D) and pappus and corolla lengths (E) measured on scanned achenes and flowers prepared from live or herbarized plants and putted into zipped plastic bags prior scanning with the scale. Capitulum features (F) measured on lateral-view photos (with scale) of live fully flowering capitula.



Figure 2

Habitus of *Cirsium acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* hybrid (B,E,H) and of the parental species *C. bertolonii* (A,D,G) and *C. acaulon* (C,F,I). Flower head (A–C; scale 1 cm); stem and its indumentum (D–F; scale 1 cm); whole plant (G–I; scale 5 cm).



Figure 3

Habitus of *Cirsium bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrid (B,E,H) and of the parental species *C. bertolonii* (A,D,G) and *C. erisithales* (C,F,I). Terminal cluster of flower heads (A–C; scale 5 cm); middle leaves (D–F; scale 5 cm); whole plant (G–I; scale 5 cm).




Figure 4

Results of the STRUCTURE analysis visualized as a bar plot showing Bayesian assignment probabilities for 10 clusters derived from AFLP profiles of *C. bertolonii* and hybrids from Monte Sagro (MS) and Alpe Tre Potenze (ATP) and the remaining 9 species occurring in Tuscany. *C. bertolonii* individuals sampled at Monte Sagro show assignment to *C. bertolonii* (cluster assignment probability over 0.9). Hybrids from Monte Sagro show equal assignment to *C. acaulon* and *C. bertolonii*; admixture of other species is negligible. From all individuals sampled in Alpe Tre Potenze, only the first two sampled at locality ATP1 have an assignment probability for the *C. bertolonii* cluster over 0.9, and the first of them has some admixture of *C. erisithales*. Remaining individuals from Alpe Tre Potenze are hybrids with equal assignment to both *C. bertolonii* and *C. erisithales*.




Figure 5

Genetic relationship among individuals collected at Monte Sagro (MS) and Alpe Tre Potenze (ATP) and representatives of co-occurring species visualized using NeighborNet based on Jaccard distances derived from AFLP profiles. *C. acaulon* = purple circles, *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* hybrids = green squares, *C. bertolonii* = yellow circles, *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids = deep blue squares, and *C. erisithales* = light blue circles. Numbers on labels refer to respective localities (Suppl. Table S1). While MS1 and MS2 refer to identical population sampled in two years, ATP1 – ATP4 refer to different populations.



Figure 6

Variability in genome size (A) and genomic GC content (B) detected in *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* and *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids and parental species *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii*, and *C. erisithales*. Boxplots show median (thick line), interquartile range (boxes), non-outlier range (whiskers), and outliers (empty circles). Boxplots marked with the same letter do not differ significantly at $p > 0.05$ (Tukey HSD test).




Figure 7

Cirsium bertolonii – $2n = 34$ of mitotic metaphase chromosomes from the locality of Monte Sagro (Alpuan Alps; sample BERT-MS2f).




Figure 8

Variability in pappus (A) and corolla length (B) detected in *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* and *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids and parental species *C. acaulon*, *C. bertolonii*, and *C. erisithales* with respect to gender (females and hermaphrodites). The length was measured on flowers extirpated from terminal capitulum. Boxplots show median (thick line), interquartile range (boxes), non-outlier range (whiskers), and outliers (empty circles). Boxplots marked with the same letter do not differ significantly at $p > 0.05$ (Tukey HSD test).



Figure 9

Spider web projection of canonical discriminant analysis (CDA) of *C. acaulon* = purple circles, *C. acaulon* × *C. bertolonii* hybrids = green squares, *C. bertolonii* = yellow circles, *C. bertolonii* × *C. erisithales* hybrids = deep blue squares, and *C. erisithales* = light blue circles based on 21 morphological characters. Lines are connecting respective individuals with the centroids of their taxa. The BC or F2 hybrid (as identified by AFLP) from ATP1 is marked with a red arrow.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplFigS1.pdf](#)
- [SupplFigS2.png](#)
- [SupplFigS3.png](#)
- [SupplFigS4AZ.pdf](#)
- [SupplFigS5.png](#)
- [SupplTablesS110.xlsx](#)