



Correction: Genetic diversity of wild *Musa acuminata* in Sumatra

Fajarudin Ahmad¹ · Yuyu S. Poerba¹ · Lulut D. Sulistyaningsih² · Siti Subandiyah³ · Hans de Jong⁴ · Gert H. J. Kema⁵ · Hugo A. Volkaert^{6,7}

Published online: 14 March 2026

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2026

**Correction to: Plant Systematics and Evolution (2025)
311:38**

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-025-01958-x>.

In this published article, the legend for figure 4 was incorrectly published. The complete correct Fig. 4 is given below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-025-01958-x>.

-
- ✉ Fajarudin Ahmad
fajarudin.ahmad@brin.go.id
- ✉ Gert H. J. Kema
gert.kema@wur.nl
- ✉ Hugo A. Volkaert
hugo.a.volkaert@gmail.com

- ¹ Research Center for Applied Botany, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Bogor 16911, Indonesia
- ² Research Center for Biosystematic and Evolution, National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Bogor 16911, Indonesia
- ³ Faculty of Agriculture, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

- ⁴ Laboratory of Genetics, Wageningen University and Research, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands
- ⁵ Laboratory of Phytopathology, Wageningen University and Research, P.O. Box 16, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands
- ⁶ Center for Agricultural Biotechnology, Kasetsart University Kamphaengsaen Campus, Nakhon Pathom, Thailand
- ⁷ Center of Excellence on Agricultural Biotechnology (AG-BIO/MHESI), Bangkok, Thailand




Fig. 4 Population structure analysis for 55 samples of wild *Musa acuminata* from Sumatra and 10 samples from other regions of Indonesia. **a** Partitioning of individuals among K=2, 4, 5 and 7 potential subpopulations. From K=4 the subsp. malaccensis, subsp. sumatrana and subsp. halabanensis are consistently separated. **b** Determination

of the optimal K with the Puechmaile (2016) method. **c.** Graphs plotting the rate of change of likelihood and "Delta K" from the Evanno et al. (2005) method indicating that there might only be 2 subpopulations




Fig. 4 (continued)

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated

otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.