

Chapter – 15

LATE BLIGHT OF POTATO CAUSED BY *PHYTOPHTHORA INFESTANS* AND ITS INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT

Lokesh Ramachandran¹, *Ajay Raja Anandhan², Dinesh Devarajan³ and Keerthivasan Ragupathi⁴

¹Department of Plant Pathology, Centre for Plant Protection Studies, AC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

²Department of Vegetable Science, HC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

³Department of Agricultural Economics, AC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

⁴Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, HC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agriculture University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu

*Corresponding Email & DOI:

Email: ajayraja03111999@gmail.com

Doi:

Introduction

The potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is a cornerstone of global food security, ranking as the world's fourth-largest food crop after maize, wheat, and rice. Its high carbohydrate content, primarily as starch, along with essential nutrients like vitamin C, potassium, and dietary fiber, makes it a vital staple in diets worldwide, with adults in some regions consuming between 300-800 grams daily. The crop's adaptability to diverse climates and soils has facilitated its cultivation across millions of hectares, with India alone producing 56.17 million tonnes from 2.32 million hectares. Despite its agricultural importance, potato production is perpetually threatened by a formidable adversary: late blight caused by the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary. This disease is historically infamous for triggering the Irish Potato Famine of the 1840s, which led to mass starvation and emigration. Today, it remains the most damaging disease of potato globally, capable of causing catastrophic yield losses if left unmanaged (Agrios, 2005). Regional losses are particularly severe, reaching up to 44% in Sub-Saharan Africa, 36% in Latin America and the Caribbean, and 35% in Southeast Asia (Fry, 2008).

In India, average yield losses are estimated at 10-15%, though this can escalate to 70-100% in specific regions like Karnataka under favorable disease conditions (Lal *et al.*, 2018). The management of late blight is complex due to the pathogen's high genetic variability, capacity for both sexual and asexual reproduction, and rapid adaptability to control measures, including fungicide resistance. This chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the late blight pathogen, its biology, the disease cycle, and the integrated management strategies essential for sustainable potato production. It synthesizes current knowledge, highlighting traditional practices alongside modern technological advancements in forecasting, biotechnology, and biological control.

The Pathogen: *Phytophthora infestans* **Taxonomy and Biology**

Phytophthora infestans belongs to the class Oomycetes, a group of fungus-like organisms often referred to as water molds due to their dependency on free water for reproduction and infection. Its mycelium is endophytic, consisting of hyaline, coenocytic (aseptate), and highly branched hyphae that grow intercellularly within the host tissue. The pathogen forms specialized feeding structures called haustoria, which penetrate host cells to extract nutrients (Judelson, 1997). The asexual spores, known as sporangia, are hyaline, thin-walled, and typically lemon-shaped with a distinct papilla at the apex. Under cool, wet conditions, these sporangia can either germinate directly via a germ tube or, more commonly, release motile, biflagellate zoospores. These zoospores can swim in water films on plant surfaces, encyst, and then germinate to initiate infection, making free moisture a critical factor for disease development (Fry, 2008).

Life Cycle and Genetic Variability

The life cycle of *P. infestans* features both asexual and sexual phases, which contribute to its epidemic potential and evolutionary adaptability.

Asexual Reproduction: This is the primary driver of epidemic spread during the growing season. Sporangia are produced on sporangiophores that emerge from stomata on infected tissue, appearing as a white, downy growth. These sporangia are easily dislodged and dispersed by wind, rain splash, or human activity. The asexual cycle can be completed in as little as 4-5 days under optimal conditions, leading to exponential disease increase.

Sexual Reproduction: This occurs when two compatible mating types (A1 and A2) come into contact. The sexual union leads to the formation of thick-walled oospores. These oospores can survive in soil and plant debris for extended periods, serving as a primary inoculum source in subsequent seasons and introducing significant genetic recombination, which fuels the emergence of new, more aggressive strains (Drenth *et al.*, 1995). The high genetic variability of *P. infestans* allows it to rapidly overcome host resistance genes and develop resistance to chemical fungicides, presenting a continuous challenge for disease management.

Disease Development and Epidemiology

Symptomatology

Late blight symptoms can manifest on all aerial parts of the potato plant and on tubers.

Foliar Symptoms: Initial symptoms appear as pale green to dark brown, water-soaked lesions, often beginning at the leaf tips or margins (Fry, 2008). Under cool, humid conditions, these lesions rapidly expand into large, brown to purplish-black necrotic areas. A definitive diagnostic sign is the presence of a white, cottony sporulation of the pathogen on the underside of leaves, particularly at the margins of lesions. Severe infections lead to extensive blighting, causing the entire foliage to collapse, desiccate, and die, presenting a appearance similar to frost damage.

Stem Symptoms: Stems and petioles develop dark brown to black elongated lesions. These lesions can girdle the stem, leading to the death of the portion of the plant above the infection point.

Tuber Symptoms: Tuber infection occurs when sporangia are washed down from infected foliage into the soil. Infected tubers show irregular, slightly sunken, coppery-brown to purplish lesions on the skin. Upon cutting, a characteristic non-watery, granular, reddish-brown rot is visible, extending variably from the skin into the tuber flesh. These infected tubers are prone to secondary soft rot by bacteria, leading to complete breakdown in storage.

Disease Cycle and Favorable Conditions

The disease cycle begins when sporangia or zoospores land on a susceptible potato plant. Penetration occurs directly through the epidermis or via natural openings like stomata.

Once inside, the mycelium colonizes the intercellular spaces, leading to tissue breakdown and the appearance of symptoms (Birch and Whisson, 2001). The epidemiology of late blight is heavily influenced by microclimate. The disease is favored by:

Temperature: Moderate temperatures of 15-25°C are optimal.

Relative Humidity: Sustained high humidity ($\geq 90\%$) is critical for sporulation and infection.

Leaf Wetness: Prolonged periods of leaf wetness (≥ 10 hours) are necessary for sporangia to germinate and for zoospores to swim and infect.

Primary inoculum can originate from infected seed tubers, volunteer potato plants, cull piles, or soil-borne oospores. Secondary spread is rapid via wind-dispersed sporangia, which can travel long distances, initiating new infection foci.

Integrated Management of Late Blight

Given the destructive potential and adaptability of *P. infestans*, reliance on a single control method is futile. An Integrated Disease Management (IDM) approach, which combines cultural, biological, chemical, and host resistance strategies, is essential for effective and sustainable control.

Cultural Practices

Cultural methods form the first line of defense by reducing the initial inoculum and creating an environment less conducive to disease development.

Use of Certified Seed: Planting certified, disease-free seed tubers is paramount to preventing the introduction of the pathogen into the field.

Field Sanitation: This involves the removal and destruction of volunteer potatoes and cull piles, which can serve as reservoirs for the pathogen (Davis *et al.*, 2009).

Crop Rotation: Implementing rotations of three or more years with non-host crops significantly reduces the risk of soil-borne inoculum from oospores or infected debris (Bodker *et al.*, 2006).

Canopy Management: Avoiding overhead irrigation, ensuring proper plant spacing, and timing nitrogen applications to avoid excessive canopy density help reduce leaf wetness duration and improve air circulation.

Chemical Control

Fungicides remain a critical component for managing late blight epidemics, particularly in susceptible varieties and conducive environments.

Protectant Fungicides: Compounds like chlorothalonil and mancozeb (e.g., applied at 1.5 Kg/ha and 2.0 Kg/ha, respectively) form a protective barrier on the plant surface, preventing spore germination and infection.

Systemic Fungicides: Fungicides like metalaxyl (e.g., Ridomil at 2.5 Kg/ha) are absorbed by the plant and can inhibit the pathogen after infection has occurred. However, the overuse of metalaxyl has led to widespread resistance in *P. infestans* populations globally, including in India (Arora *et al.*, 1987).

Anti-Resistance Strategies: To mitigate resistance, it is crucial to rotate or mix fungicides with different modes of action. The use of pre-packaged mixtures, such as Metalaxyl 8% + Mancozeb 64%, is a common practice (Gisi *et al.*, 2011).

Biological Control

Biological control offers an environmentally friendly alternative by harnessing antagonistic microorganisms.

Antagonists: Several microorganisms have demonstrated efficacy against *P. infestans*. *Trichoderma* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. are among the most studied. *Bacillus subtilis* B5 has been shown to directly inhibit the pathogen's growth in dual culture assays (Ajay and Sunaina, 2005).

Mode of Action: These biocontrol agents suppress the pathogen through various mechanisms, including competition for space and nutrients, antibiosis (production of inhibitory compounds), and induction of systemic resistance in the host plant (Whipps, 2001).

Other Bioagents: Other promising antagonists include *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, and *Penicillium* sp. (Lal *et al.*, 2018). Ongoing research focuses on formulating these agents for practical field application.

Host Plant Resistance

Breeding for genetic resistance is the most sustainable long-term strategy for late blight management.

Conventional Breeding: The Central Potato Research Institute (CPRI) in India has developed several varieties with moderate to high resistance, such as Kufri Anand, Kufri Arun, and Kufri Girdhari. Resistance is often introgressed from wild potato relatives (*Solanum* spp.) that carry specific Resistance (R) genes.

Challenges and Durability: The major challenge is the rapid evolution of *P. infestans*, which can overcome R genes through mutations in the corresponding effector genes. Therefore, breeding efforts now focus on pyramiding multiple R genes or deploying quantitative, field-based resistance to achieve more durable control (Bradshaw and Bonierbale, 2010).

Biotechnological Approaches: Advances in genomics and biotechnology are revolutionizing resistance breeding. The genome sequence of *P. infestans* has provided insights into its pathogenicity mechanisms. Furthermore, gene-editing technologies like CRISPR/Cas9 are being explored to precisely modify R genes in potato or to disrupt susceptibility factors, offering new avenues for developing resilient varieties (Vleeshouwers and Oliver, 2014).

Technological Advancements in Management Forecasting and Decision Support Systems

Disease forecasting models are powerful tools for optimizing fungicide applications and improving the efficiency of management.

JHULSACAST Model: Developed for the plains of Uttar Pradesh, India, this computerized model predicts the first appearance of late blight and provides decision rules for need-based fungicide application (Singh *et al.*, 2000). It has been successfully calibrated for other Indian regions, including the Tarai region of Uttarakhand and the plains of West Bengal.

Mechanism: These models integrate weather data (temperature, relative humidity, rainfall) with knowledge of pathogen biology and host susceptibility to generate disease risk alerts, allowing farmers to apply fungicides prophylactically only when truly needed.

Machine Learning and Remote Sensing

Emerging technologies are enhancing the precision and speed of disease detection.

Image-Based Phenotyping: Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), a form of deep learning, are being used to automatically classify and diagnose late blight from images of potato leaves. An optimized CNN model named POT-Net was reported to achieve a classification accuracy of 99.12%, enabling rapid and large-scale monitoring (Kiran Pandiri *et al.*, 2022).

Early Warning Systems: Machine learning algorithms that process real-time meteorological data can provide proactive warnings for late blight onset, supporting precision agriculture initiatives.

Conclusion and Future Directions

Late blight of potato, incited by *Phytophthora infestans*, continues to be a dynamic and formidable threat to global food security. Its capacity for rapid evolution and destruction necessitates a vigilant and multifaceted management approach. The integration of cultural practices, judicious chemical use, validated biological controls, and durable host resistance forms the cornerstone of sustainable management. The future of late blight control lies in the intelligent integration of new technologies with established principles. Genomic tools will accelerate the development of resistant varieties, while advanced forecasting models and machine learning will enable more precise and environmentally responsible interventions. The promotion of biocontrol agents is particularly promising for reducing dependency on chemical fungicides. Ultimately, mitigating the impact of this historic disease requires continued collaboration among researchers, extension agents, farmers, and policymakers to ensure that innovative, practical, and sustainable solutions are developed and deployed effectively, safeguarding the vital potato crop for future generations.

References

1. Agrios, G. N. (2005) Plant Pathology. 5th Edition. Academic Press, London, New York. pp: 922.
2. Ajay, S. and Sunaina, V. (2005) Direct inhibition of *Phytophthora infestans*, the causal organism of late blight of potato by Bacillus antagonist. Potato J. 32: 179-80.
3. Arora, D. K., Upadhyay, R. S. and Singh, C. S. (1987) Biological control of plant pathogens using antagonistic fungi. Indian Phytopathology. 40(3): 334-341.
4. Birch, P. R. J. and Whisson, S. C. (2001) *Phytophthora infestans* enters the genomics era. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2(5): 257-263.
5. Bodker, L., Pedersen, H., Kristensen, K., Moller, L., Lehtinen, A., Hannukkala, A. (2006) Influence of crop history of potato on early occurrence and disease severity of potato late blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans*. In: Westerdijk, C. E. and Schepers, H. T. A. M. (Eds.), Proc. 9th Workshop of an European Network for Development of an Integrated Control Strategy of Potato Late Blight. PPO Special Report. No. 11: 53-56.
6. Bradshaw, J. E. and Bonierbale, M. (2010) Potatoes. In: Carena, M.J. (Ed.), Handbook of Plant Breeding. 7: 11-56. Springer.
7. Davis, R. M., Nunez, J., Aegerter, B. J. (2009) Potato Late Blight. Statewide IPM Program, Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of California.
8. Drenth, A., Janssen, E. M., Govers, F. (1995) Formation and survival of oospores of *Phytophthora infestans* under natural conditions. Plant Pathol. 44(1): 86-94.
9. Fry, W. E. (2008) *Phytophthora infestans*: The plant (and R gene) destroyer. Mol. Plant Pathol. 9(3): 385-402.
10. Gisi, U., Cohen, Y., Silue, D. (2011) Fungicide resistance in *Phytophthora infestans*: Problems and perspectives. In: Lamour, K., Kamoun, S. (Eds.), Oomycete Genetics and Genomics: Diversity, Interactions and Research Tools. Wiley-Blackwell: 243-258.

11. Judelson, H. S. (1997) The genetics and biology of *Phytophthora infestans*: Modern approaches to a historical challenge. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 22(2): 65-76.
 12. Kiran Pandiri, K., Murgan, D. N., Goel, R., Sharma, T., Singh, N., Sen, A.K. and Burah, T. (2022) POT-Net: *Solanum tuberosum* (Potato) leaves disease classification using an optimized deep convolutional neural network. *The Image Science Journal*. 70(6): 387-403.
 13. Lal, M., Sharma, S., Yadav, S., Kumar, S. (2018) Management of late blight of potato. Potato from Incas to All over the World. doi: <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72472>.
 14. Singh, B. P., Ahmad, I., Sharma, V. C., Shekhawat, G. S. (2000) JHULSACAST: A computerized forecast of potato late blight in western Uttar Pradesh. *Potato J.* 27: 25-34.
 15. Vleeshouwers, V. G. A. A. and Oliver, R. P. (2014) Effectors as tools in disease resistance breeding against biotrophic, hemibiotrophic and necrotrophic plant pathogens. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*. 27(3): 196-206.
-