

Karyological diversity among six medicinally important species of Acanthaceae in Bangladesh

Nowshin Anjum^a, Chandan Kumar Dash^a, Syeda Sharmeen Sultana^{a,*}

^a*Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh*

Authors' detail:

Nowshin Anjum^a: Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka, Dhaka. Email: anjumnowshin02@gmail.com

Chandan Kumar Dash^a: Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka, Dhaka. Email: chandandash@du.ac.bd, ORCID iD: 0000-0003-3019-3336

Syeda Sharmeen Sultana^a: Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka, Dhaka. Email: syedasharmeensultana@du.ac.bd

*Corresponding author : Syeda Sharmeen Sultana, Email: syedasharmeensultana@du.ac.bd

Running Head: Karyological diversity among six Acanthaceae species

ABSTRACT

Six medicinally important species of Acanthaceae family namely, *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) T. Anderson, *Barleria cristata* L., *Braleria prionitis* L., *Ruellia simplex* C. Wright, *Thunbergia erecta* (Benth.) T. Anderson and *Thunbergia mysorensis* (Wright) T. Anderson were cytogenetically investigated to evaluate the karyotype diversity and chromosomal relationship. The somatic chromosome numbers and the centromeric formulas of these species were $2n = 2x = 24$ ($22m + 2sm$) for *A. gangetica*, $2n = 4x = 40$ ($38m + 2sm$) for *B. cristata*, $2n = 4x = 40$ ($36m + 4sm$) for *B. prionitis*, $2n = 2x = 36$ ($34m + 2sm$) for *R. simplex*, $2n = 4x+6 = 62$ ($56m + 6sm$) for *T. erecta* and $2n = 2x = 34$ ($34m$) for *T. mysorensis*. Considering the karyotype assessment parameters, *T. erecta*, *R. simplex*, *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* were shown to be cytogenetically more advanced than *A. gangetica* and *T. mysorensis*. Three categories of karyotypes were observed such as 1B type in *B. cristata*, *B. prionitis*, *R. simplex* and *T. mysorensis*, whereas the most primitive one 1A in *A. gangetica* and the most advanced karyotype 2B in *T. erecta*. Three new reports of somatic chromosome count yielded in case of *A. gangetica*, *R. simplex* and *T. mysorensis* which might have been resulted from aneuploidy or allopolyploidy. The cytological data presented for six species of the Acanthaceae family demonstrated significant variation and distinctiveness from one another, assisting in the evaluation of the karyological diversity that aided in the understanding of evolutionary changes.

KEYWORDS Chromosomes; karyotype; *Asystasia*; *Barleria*; *Ruellia*; *Thunbergia*;

Introduction

The family Acanthaceae consists of approximately 240 genera and 3250 species of herbs and shrubs distributed throughout the world (Wasshausen and Wood 2004). Indo-Malaya, Africa, Brazil and Central America are the four main centers of distribution of this family (Cramer 1998). So far, 39 genera and 107 species under the family Acanthaceae have been reported from Bangladesh (Ahmed et al. 2008). Among these species *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) T. Anderson, *Barleria cristata* L., *Braleria prionitis* L., *Ruellia simplex* C. Wright, *Thunbergia erecta* (Benth.) T. Anderson and *Thunbergia mysorensis* (Wright) T. Anderson are most widely distributed in Bangladesh with promising medicinal and ecological values (Ahmed et al. 2008). These plants showed the presence of important secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, steroidal aglycones, saponins, flavonoids, reducing sugars, triterpenoids and have been claimed to have anti-inflammatory, anti-diabetic, anti-asthmatic, analgesic and broncho-spasmolytic properties (Gambhire et al. 2009; Singh and Kimothi 2018; Begum et al. 2019). These plants are also used for the treatment of toothache, anemia, snake bite, diabetes, lungs disorders, gastrointestinal disorders, blood diseases, boils, glandular swellings, ulcers, greying of hairs, fever, cough, jaundice, liver diseases, arthritis, etc. (Akah et al. 2003; Kale et al. 2003; Chen et al. 2006; Daniel 2006; Khare 2007; Gambhire et al. 2009; Singh and Kimothi 2018; Begum et al. 2019).

According to previous literature, in the case of *A. gangetica*, $2n = 26$ was observed in most of the studies where the basic chromosome number was $x = 13$ (Gadella 1977; Ugborogho and Adetula 1988; Pandit et al. 2006). In addition, $2n = 25$ (Narayanan 1951; Kumar and Subramaniam 1987), $2n = 28$ (Subramanian and Govindarajan 1980, Govindarajan and Subramanian 1983) and $2n = 44, 48, 50$ and 52 (Narayanan 1951; Grant 1955; Ellis 1962; Kaur 1965; Sarkar et al. 1978; Kumar and Subramanian 1987) were reported by different scientists (Table 1). A wide range of somatic chromosome number for *B. cristata* had been reported such as $2n = 32, 34, 35, 38, 40$ and 42 (Narayanan 1951; Datta and Maiti 1970; Saggo and Bir 1986; Kumar and Subramanian 1987; Devi and Mathew 1991). *Braleria prionitis* has comparatively less chromosome number variation than *B. cristata* as $2n = 32$ and 40 were reported in the previous literature (Datta and Maiti 1970; Krishnaswami and Menon 1974; Moore 1977; Saggo and Bir 1986; Devi and Mathew 1991; Shendage 2022). Only $2n = 34$ had been confirmed as somatic chromosome number of *R. simplex* (Grant 1955; Long 1964; De 1966; Bedi et al. 1981; Saggo 1983; Daniel and Chuang 1998). *Thunbergia erecta* has wide range of variation in somatic chromosome number. $2n = 16, 52, 56, 60, 62$

and 64 were reported previously (Narayanan 1951; Grant 1955; Mangenot and Mangenot 1957; Mangenot and Mangenot 1962; Kaur 1969; Sareen and Sanjogta 1976; Subramanian and Govindarajan 1980). Goldblatt and Jhonson (1981) reported $2n = 28$ chromosomes in *T. mysorensis* (Table 1).

Consequently, karyotype might be viewed as a wonderful source of data for evolutionary research among various species (Stace 2000; Dobigny et al. 2004; Guerra 2012). In Bangladesh, the chromosome analysis of these six important species under the family Acanthaceae has not yet been initiated. The diversity regarding somatic chromosome counts and the multi-basic nature make those species attractive for cytogenetical research. Karyological data can be utilized to assess the taxonomic relationship among taxa for better understanding the evolutionary relationship and diversity (Guerra 2008). Therefore, in this present study, a detailed karyological analysis of six species of the Acanthaceae family was carried out to evaluate the chromosomal relationships among them with morphological implications regarding the evolutionary perspective.

Materials and Methods

The plant materials of this investigation, *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) T. Anderson, *Barleria cristata* L., *Braleria prionitis* L., *Ruellia simplex* C. Wright, *Thunbergia erecta* (Benth.) T. Anderson and *Thunbergia mysorensis* (Wright) T. Anderson were collected from different nurseries of Dhaka city and Dhaka University campus. The experimental plots were maintained in the Botanical Garden, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka.

The root tips were collected and soaked on a filter paper to remove surface water and kept in 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.002 M) for 4 h at 10–12 °C for pre-treatment. The pre-treated roots were removed from 8-hydroxyquinoline (0.002 M) and preserved in Carnoy's solution (Absolute alcohol: Glacial acetic acid = 3: 1) at 4 °C. The pretreated RTs were hydrolyzed for 8 min at 65 °C in a mixture of 1N HCl and 45% acetic acid (2:1). Then the hydrolyzed RTs were soaked on a filter paper and taken on a clean oil free slide. The meristematic region, which is a small portion of the root tip, was cut with a fine blade. A drop of 1% aceto-orcin was added to the material and kept in an acetic acid chamber for 2 h 30 min. Then, a clean cover glass was placed on the material. At first, the materials were tapped gently by a toothpick and then squashed by placing thumbs. The slides were observed under Euromax Axion microscope. To get an accurate measurement of lengths, chromosomes from five metaphase plates were measured for each species. KaryoType software was used to measure

the length of the chromosomes (Altinordu et al. 2016). The average arm length was used to prepare the karyotype. The chromosomes were arranged gradually from longer to shorter in length. The short arm was placed on the upper side of the axis and the long arm on the lower side. The haploid idiograms were prepared with the help of KaryoType software to represent the karyotypes more clearly (Altinordu et al. 2016). The box plot was prepared by R-programming. The method by Levan et al. (1964) was used to determine the centromeric type of chromosomes. Based on Stebbins' (1971) classification, karyotypes were categorized. KaryoType software (Altinordu et al. 2016) was used to calculate a variety of karyotypic symmetric and asymmetric indices including total form percent (TF %) by Huziwara (1962), karyotype asymmetry index (AsK%) by Arano (1963), chromosome size resemblance index (Rec%) and karyotype symmetry index (Syi%) by Greilhuber and Speta (1976), intrachromosomal asymmetry index (A_1) and interchromosomal asymmetry index (A_2) by Zarco (1986), dispersion index (DI) of Lavania and Srivastava (1992), degree of karyotype asymmetry (A) by Watanabe et al. (1999), asymmetry index (AI), coefficient of variation of the centromeric index (CV_{CI}) and coefficient of variation of the chromosome length (CV_{CL}) by Paszko (2006) and mean centromeric asymmetry (M_{CA}) by Peruzzi and Eroğlu (2013). For the cluster analysis, we used the UPGMA algorithm (unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic means) implemented in the online software DendroUPGMA, <http://genomes.urv.cat/UPGMA/>.

Results

The morphological descriptions such as habit, plant's height, leaf type and arrangement, leaf size, leaf shape, number of stamen, petal and sepal, inflorescence type, flowering and fruiting time, flower colour, fruit types and number of seeds per fruit of six Aanthaceae species are provided in table 2. The results regarding the extensive karyological analysis such as somatic chromosome number, total chromosomal length, average chromosomal length, range of chromosomal length and different symmetric and asymmetric karyotypic indices are presented in table 3.

Chromosome number and karyotype formula

Asystasia gangetica was found to possess $2n = 24$ chromosomes (Figure 1a). The karyotypic formula was $22m + 2sm$ (Figure 2a, Table 3). The chromosomal length ranged from 1.17 – 1.77 μm and the total chromosome length was 33.71 μm . The average chromosome length for this species was 1.40 μm (Table 3). The somatic chromosome was found to be $2n = 40$ in

both *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* (Figures 1b, 1c). However, they differed in terms of karyotypic formula i.e., $38m + 2sm$ for *B. cristata* and $36m + 4sm$ for *B. prionitis* (Figures 2b, 2c). The total and average chromosomal length was $92.76 \mu m$ and $2.32 \mu m$ in *B. cristata* and $112.81 \mu m$ and $2.82 \mu m$ in *B. prionitis*, sequentially. The range of longest and shortest chromosomes was $1.29 - 3.72 \mu m$ in *B. cristata* and $1.88 - 4.25 \mu m$ in *B. prionitis* (Table 3). $2n = 36$ chromosomes were observed in *R. simplex* in which 34 chromosomes were metacentric and 2 were submetacentric (Figures 1d, 2d). The length of chromosomes ranged from $1.16 - 3.28 \mu m$. The total and average chromosomal length was $69.73 \mu m$ and $1.94 \mu m$, respectively (Table 3). The somatic chromosome count was $2n = 62$ for *T. erecta* and $2n = 34$ for *T. mysorensis* (Figure 1e, 1f). The karyotype formula of *T. erecta* was $56m + 6sm$ whereas all the chromosomes were metacentric in *T. mysorensis* (Figure 2e, 2f, Table 3, for details, see supplementary tables).

Assessment of symmetric and asymmetric karyotypic indices

The CV_{CI} values for *A. gangetica*, *B. cristata*, *B. prionitis*, *R. simplex*, *T. erecta* and *T. mysorensis* were 7.10, 6.16, 6.48, 7.73, 8.65 and 3.78, whereas the CV_{CL} values were 12.64, 26.21, 21.38, 23.92, 24.84 and 19.05, respectively. The asymmetry index (AI) was higher in *T. erecta* (2.15) and relatively lower in *R. simplex* (1.85), *B. cristata* (1.61), *B. prionitis* (1.39), *A. gangetica* (0.90) and *T. mysorensis* (0.72) (Table 3). All the studied species were in the same category (1B) except *A. gangetica* (1A) and *T. erecta* (2B) according to Stebbins (1971). The karyotype asymmetry (AsK%) index was highest in *B. prionitis* (54.79%) and lowest in *T. mysorensis* (53.14%). In case of karyotype symmetry (Syi%) index, the value was found lowest in *B. prionitis* (82.50%) and highest in *T. mysorensis* (88.17%). The mean centromeric asymmetry (M_{CA}) in *A. gangetica*, *B. cristata*, *B. prionitis*, *R. simplex*, *T. erecta* and *T. mysorensis* were observed as 8.16, 6.46, 9.91, 6.69, 6.31 and 5.99 and total form value (TF%) was 45.74, 46.79, 45.21, 46.34, 46.41 and 46.86, sequentially. The index of chromosomal size resemblance (Rec%) was highest in *A. gangetica* (79.31), whereas *B. cristata*, *B. prionitis*, *R. simplex*, *T. erecta* and *T. mysorensis* showed 62.35, 66.29, 58.90, 68.15 and 61.17, accordingly. However, A_1 , A_2 , A and DI values for *A. gangetica* (0.15, 0.13, 0.08, 5.89), *B. cristata* (0.12, 0.26, 0.06, 12.28), *B. prionitis* (0.18, 0.21, 0.10, 9.85), *R. simplex* (0.12, 0.24, 0.07, 11.13), *T. erecta* (0.11, 0.25, 0.06, 11.35) and *T. mysorensis* (0.11, 0.19, 0.06, 8.89) were recorded, respectively (Table 3).

Discussion

New chromosome count and karyotype for Asystasia gangetica

Asystasia gangetica was found to possess $2n = 24$ chromosomes which is a new chromosome count (Figures 1a, 2a, Table 3). Previously, all the cytological reports regarding this species were confined mostly to chromosome counting and no karyotype has been reported so far except the report of Govindarajan and Subramanian (1983). In this observation, 22 metacentric along with 2 submetacentric chromosomes were found with the range of $1.17 - 1.77 \mu\text{m}$ (Table 3). Different scientists mentioned $2n = 25$ for this species (Narayanan 1951; Mangelot and Mangelot 1957, 1962; Kumar and Subramaniam 1987). Previously published chromosomal reports showed that mostly $2n = 26$ was observed with basic chromosome number $x = 13$ (Gadella 1977; Ugborogho and Adetula 1988; Daniel 2000; Pandit et al. 2006) which was not observed in the present study (Table 1). Pandit et al. (2006) reported 13 ring bivalents in most of the cases at meiotic metaphase I. $2n = 28$ for this species might be found due to hyper-aneuploidy or the presence of B-chromosome as observed by Govindarajan and Subramanian (1983) and Subramanian and Govindarajan (1980). $2n = 50$ (Sarkar et al. 1978) and $2n = 44, 48$ (Narayanan 1951; Grant 1955; Kumar and Subramaniam 1987) were also observed. The presence of $2n = 4x = 52$ strongly supported the basic chromosome number $x = 13$ (Narayanan 1951; Grant 1955; Ellis 1962; Kaur 1965). Saggo and Bir (1986), on the other hand, suggested four alternative basic chromosome numbers for *Asystasia*, $x = 11, 12, 13$ and 16 . According to earlier reports, we can consider $x = 13$ to be the most predominantly basic chromosome number for *Asystasia* and it is possible that it was caused by aneuploidy or allopolyploidy. Pandit et al. (2006) hypothesized that the hybridization of two-parent genomes, one with $2n = 12$ and another with $2n = 14$, gave rise to the hybrid genome ($2n = 13$) and further genome duplication may have resulted in an allopolyploid with $2n = 26$. However, $2n = 24$ for *A. gangetica* in the present study indicated the probable occurrence of new basic $x = 12$ as they showed normal vegetative growth with viable seed rather considered as aneuploidy ($2n - 2 = 24$). Whatever the reason, $2n = 24$ is the new report for *A. gangetica* from Bangladesh.

Ploidy status and karyomorphology of two Barleria species

In the present study, $2n = 40$ chromosomes were found in *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* (Figures 1b, 1c, 2b, 2c) which correlates with most of the previous findings (Krishnaswami and Menon 1974; Moore 1977; Saggo and Bir 1986; Devi and Mathew 1991; Shendage 2022). In

this context, *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* could be regarded as tetraploid ($2n = 4x = 40$). *Barleria* possesses a less diversified chromosome count according to previous reports (Table 1). Somatic counts that deviate from $2n = 40$ such as $2n = 30, 32, 34, 36, 38$ and 42 have been attributed to aneuploid changes and hybridization in several horticultural cultivars of *Barleria cristata* (Ranganath and Krishnappa 1990). Shendage (2022) reported 16 large and 24 relatively small metacentric chromosomes in *B. prionitis*, and categorized as 2B according to Stebbins's (1971) classification. In this present investigation, both *Barleria* showed 1B karyotype which was not supported by the previous findings. According to Shendage (2022), the length of haploid chromosome complements of *B. prionitis* was $72.35 \mu\text{m}$. Here, the total length of diploid chromosome complements of *B. prionitis* was $112.81 \mu\text{m}$ with average length of $2.82 \mu\text{m}$ (Table 3). The variation of chromosomal length may be due to the effect of pretreating agents and time.

2n = 36 is a new report for Ruellia simplex

In this investigation, the studied *R. simplex* possess $2n = 36$ which is different from most of the previous literature indicating the probable occurrence of cytotype (Figures 1d, 2d, Table 3). Several scientists found diploid chromosome number $2n = 34$ with the most frequent basic chromosome number $x = 17$ for this species (Grant 1955; Long 1964; De 1966; Bedi et al. 1981; Saggoo 1983; Daniel and Chuang 1998). The diversity in chromosome number can be explained either by non-disjunction or possible hybridization within different cytotypes among these species belonging to the same genus. Grant (1955) reported $2n = 34$ chromosome numbers for 27 taxa under the genus *Ruellia*, as well as a few unusual counts of $n = 16$ (*R. cordata*) (Rao and Mwasumbi 1981). Additionally, different scientists reported $2n = 32$ in *R. patula* and *R. tuberosa*, $2n = 36$ in *R. amoena*, *R. ciliate* and *R. dipteracanthus* (Fedorov 1974; Govindarajan and Subramanian 1983) which revealed the occurrence of multiple basic chromosome numbers $x = 16$ and 18 along with the most common $x = 17$.

Variable chromosome number in Thunbergia genus with new count for T. mysorensis

In this investigation, *Thunbergia* L. species showed variation in somatic chromosome counts such as $2n = 62$ in *T. erecta* and $2n = 34$ in *T. mysorensis* with different basic chromosome numbers (Figures 1e, 1f, 2e, 2f, Table 3). According to the previous chromosome number records, $2n = 16$ (Govindarajan and Subramanian 1983) and $2n = 52, 56, 60, 62$ (Narayanan 1951; Grant 1955; Mangenot and Mangenot 1957; Kaur 1969; Sareen and Sanjogta 1976; Mangenot and Mangenot 1962) were reported for *T. erecta*. Grant (1955) proposed $x = 7$ as

the actual basic chromosome number for the Acanthaceae family. Later, Long (1970) hypothesized that the lowest haploid number for this family observed in *Thunbergia*, i.e., $n = 7$ could be Acanthaceae's basic primitive number, from which a series of basic numbers along with the most common $n = 14$ could have been originated (Piovano and Bernardello 1991). According to Saggoo and Bir (1982), *Thunbergia* represents multibasic chromosome numbers such as $x = 9, 10, 14, 16$. The present findings ($2n = 62$) supported the previous report of Sareen and Sanjogta (1976), and the ploidy level could have been $4x + 6$. Sareen and Sanjogta (1976) did not explain the probable cause of that hyper-tetraploidy. Cytogenetically *T. mysorensis* was very less studied and there was no report on the chromosome count except $2n = 28$ (Goldblatt and Jhonson 1981) which was not supported by the present finding $2n = 34$. Therefore, $2n = 34$ is the new report of *T. mysorensis* (Figure 1f, Table 3). Therefore, the chromosome number variation for this genus might be originated from secondary modification of polyploids or hybridization among different cytotypes. Unstable and variable chromosome count in this genus suggested that aneuploidy and polyploidy played an important role in the evolution of a series of new basic chromosome numbers, accompanied by the diversification of species within the genus *Thunbergia*.

Karyotype diversity among six species

Predominantly all the studied species possess metacentric chromosomes with few submetacentric chromosomes and the centromeric formulae are $22m + 2sm$, $38m + 2sm$, $36m + 4sm$, $34m + 2sm$, $56m + 6sm$ and $34m$ for *A. gangetica*, *B. cristata*, *B. prionitis*, *R. simplex*, *T. erecta*, and *T. mysorensis*, respectively (Table 3). *T. erecta* has more heterogeneous karyotype due to the presence of more submetacentric chromosomes than the other five species. The boxplot illustrates the range and variation of the chromosomal length of six species analyzed (Figure 3). *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* have larger average chromosomal lengths (ACL) with a wider dispersion (Figure 3). The ACL was similar for *T. erecta* and *T. mysorensis*, as well as for *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis*, indicating a close relationship between the species.

The centromeric formula does not capture the complete degree of asymmetry. That is why several karyotypic symmetric and asymmetric indices have been developed to evaluate karyological variability among closely related species. Among the karyotypic parameters, $Sy_i\%$ and $AsK\%$ have preferably displayed a complete negative correlation. The higher $Sy_i\%$ and lower $AsK\%$ represent more symmetric karyotype than the lower $Sy_i\%$ and higher $AsK\%$, respectively (Arano 1963; Greilhuber and Speta 1976). The $Sy_i\%$ and $AsK\%$

displayed a little variation which was ranging from 82.50–88.17 and 53.14–54.79, respectively (Table 3). Recently, the AI was developed to generate a single number that examined karyotype asymmetry, with higher AI indicating more degrees of karyotypic heterogeneity (Paszko 2006). In this present investigation, the higher AI value of *T. erecta* (2.15) indicates higher karyotype asymmetry among these studied species. According to the foregoing discussion, *T. erecta*, *R. simplex*, *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* were shown to be more advanced than *A. gangetica* and *T. mysorensis* (Table 3). Stebbins (1971) reported that the symmetric karyotype is primitive and the asymmetric is advanced from an evolutionary point of view. From this point, we could say that *T. erecta* showed advanced characteristics among the studied species. Interestingly, the two comparatively primitive species *A. gangetica* and *T. mysorensis* represents racemes types of inflorescence whereas the other studied species shows cyme types of inflorescence (Table 2). We prepared a dendrogram from the karyotypic features. As can be seen in figure 4, all six studied species formed two major clusters. All the species were grouped into the same cluster except *A. gangetica*. The distance was higher between *A. gangetica* and *R. simplex* (1.287) and lower between *B. cristata* and *B. prionitis* (0.021).

Acknowledgements

Authors are grateful to the Department of Botany, University of Dhaka for laboratory facilities.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding information

The authors are grateful to the University of Dhaka for providing financial assistance under the grant from University Grant Commission (UGC), Govt. of the Peoples' Republic of Bangladesh of fiscal year 2022-2023 (Grant letter no.: 79515-17).

Notes on contributors

Nowshin Anjum. MS student, Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka. *Contribution:* carried out the experiments, data analysis and prepared the manuscript.

Chandan Kumar Dash. Assistant Professor, Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka. *Contribution:* funded, participated in the collection of the plant materials, conceived and designed the study, data analysis and manuscript preparation.

Syeda Sharmeen Sultana, PhD. Associate Professor, Cytogenetics Laboratory, Department of Botany, University of Dhaka. *Contribution:* funded, conceived and designed the study, supervised experimentation, read and approved the final version of manuscript.

References

- Ahmed, Z. U., M. A. Hassan, Z. N. T. Begum, M. Khondker, S. M. H. Kabir, M. Ahmad, A. T. A. Ahmed, A. K. A. Rahman, and E. U. Haque. 2008. “Encyclopedia of Flora and Fauna of Bangladesh. Angiosperms: Dicotyledons (Acanthaceae – Asteraceae).” *Asiatic Society Bangladesh* 6: 7–73.
- Akah, P. A., A. C. Ezike, S. V. Nwafor, C. O. Okoli, and N. M. Enwerem. 2003. “Evaluation of the anti-asthamaty property of *Asystasia gangetica* extracts.” *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 89 (1): 25–36.
- Altinordu, F., L. Peruzzi, Y. Yan, and X. He. 2016. “A tool for the analysis of chromosomes: KaryoType.” *Taxon* 65 (3): 586–592.
- Arano, H. 1963. “Cytological studies in subfamily Carduoideae (Compositae) of Japan IX. The karyotype analysis and phylogenetic considerations on *Pertya* and *Ainsliaea*.” *Botanical Magazine* 76: 32–39.
- Bedi, Y. S., S. S. Bir, and B. S. Gill. 1981. “In Chromosome number reports LXXI.” *Taxon* 30: 153.
- Begum, A., A. Hossen, A. A. Moly, M. M. Bhuiyan, and M. S. Shahed-Al. 2019. “In vivo sedative and anxiolytic activities of *Thunbergia erecta* (Acanthaceae) leaves activate gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) mediated hyperpolarization in Swiss albino mice.” *Pharmacology and Pharmacy* 10 (4):177–193.
- Chen, F. A., A. B. Wu, P. Shieh, D. H. Kuo, and C. Y. Hsieh. 2006. “Evaluation of the antioxidant activity of *Ruellia tuberosa*.” *Food Chemistry* 94: 14–18.
- Cramer, L. H. 1998. “Acanthaceae.” *A Revised Handbook to the Flora of Ceylon* 12: 1–140.
- Daniel, M. 2006. *Medicinal plants: chemistry and properties*. 1st ed. USA: Science Publishers. pp. 78.

- Daniel, T. F., and T. I. Chuang. 1998. *Chromosome numbers of cultivated Acanthaceae and systematic implications*. In P. Mathew & M. Sivadasan (editors), *Diversity and Taxonomy of Tropical Flowering Plants*. Mentor Books, Calicut. Pp. 309–330.
- Datta, P. C., and R. K. Maiti, 1970. “Relationships of Justicieae (Acanthaceae) based on cytology.” *Genetica* 41: 437–450.
- De, A. 1966. “Cytological, anatomical and palynological studies as an aid in tracing affinity and phylogeny in the family Acanthaceae. I.” *Cytological Studies. Transactions of the Bose Research Institute, Calcutta* 29: 139–175.
- Devi, G. V., and P. M. Mathew. 1991. “Cytological studies in the south Indian Acanthaceae: I. genus *Barleria* L.” *Cytologia* 56: 353–357.
- Dobigny, G., J. F. Ducroz, T. J. Robinson, and V. Volobouev. 2004. “Cytogenetics and cladistics.” *Systematic Biology* 53: 470–484.
- Ellis, J. L. 1962. “Chromosome numbers in some members of Acanthaceae.” *Science and culture* 28: 191–192.
- Fedorov, A. N. A. 1974. *Chromosome Numbers of Flowering Plants*. Otto Koetz Science Publishers, Königstein.
- Gadella, T. W. J. 1977. “In IOPB chromosome number reports LVI.” *Taxon* 26: 257–274.
- Gambhire, M. N., S. S. Wankhede, and A. R. Juvekar. 2009. “Anti-inflammatory activity of aqueous extract of *Barleria cristata* leaves.” *Journal of Young Pharmacists* 3: 220–224.
- Goldblatt, P., and Jhonson, D. E. 1981. *Index to Plant Chromosome Numbers*. Missouri Botanical garden.
- Govindarajan, T., and D. Subramanian. 1983. “Karyomorphological studies in south Indian Acanthaceae.” *Cytologia* 48: 491–504.
- Grant, W. F. 1955. “A cytogenetic study in the Acanthaceae.” *Brittonia* 8 (2): 121–149.
- Greilhuber, J., and F. Speta. 1976. “C-banded karyotypes in the *Scilla hohenackeri* group, *S. persica* and *Puschkinia* (Liliaceae).” *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 126: 149–188.
- Guerra, M. 2008. “Chromosome Numbers in Plant Cytotaxonomy: Concepts and Implications.” *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 120: 339–350.

- Guerra, M. 2012. "Cytotaxonomy: the end of childhood." *Plant Biosystems* 146 (3): 703–710.
- Huziwara, Y. 1962. "Karyotype analysis in some genera of Compositae. VIII. Further studies on the chromosomes of *Aster*." *American Journal of Botany* 49:116–119.
- Kale, B. P., M. A. Kothekar, H. P. Tayade, J. B. Jaju, and M. Mateeddin. 2003. "Effect of aqueous extract of *Azadirachta Indica* leaves on hepatotoxicity induced by antitubercular drugs in rats." *Indian Journal of Pharmacology* 35: 177.
- Kaur, J. 1965. "Chromosome numbers in Acanthaceae I." *Current Science* 34: 295.
- Kaur, J. 1969. "Chromosome numbers in Acanthaceae IV." *Science and Culture* 35: 61–63.
- Khare, C. P. 2007. "Indian medicinal plants: an illustrated dictionary." 1st ed. New York: Springer Science. pp. 82–83.
- Krishnaswami, S., and P. M. Menon. 1974. "Cytomorphological study on some species and an interspecific hybrid of *Barleria* L." *Cytologia* 39: 397–402.
- Kumar, V., and B. Subramaniam. 1987. *Chromosome Atlas of Flowering Plants of the Indian Subcontinent: Dicotyledons*. Botanical Survey of India, Kolkata.
- Lavania, U. C., and S. Srivastava. 1992. "A simple parameter of dispersion index that serves as an adjunct to karyotype asymmetry." *Journal of Biosciences* 17: 179–182.
- Levan, A. K., K. Fredga, and A. A. Sandberg. 1964. "Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes." *Hereditas* 52: 201–220.
- Long, R. W. 1964. "Biosystematic investigations in South Florida populations of *Ruellia* (Acanthaceae)." *American Journal of Botany* 51: 842–852.
- Long, R. W. 1970. "The genera of Acanthaceae in the southeastern United States." *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum* 51: 257–309.
- Mangenot, S. and Mangenot, G. 1962. "Enquete sur les nombres chromosomiques dans une collection d'especes tropicales." *Bulletin de la Société Botanique de France* 25: 411–447.
- Mangenot, S., and G. Mangenot. 1957. "Foutrel and G. de la Monsbruge, Sur les nombres chromosomiques de 150 especes d'Angiospermes d'Afrique tropicale." *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences* 245: 559–562.

- Moore, R. J. 1977. "Index to Plant Chromosome Numbers for 1973/74." *Regnum vegetabile* 96: 1–257.
- Narayanan, C. R. 1951. "Somatic chromosomes in the Acanthaceae." *Journal of the Madras University* 21: 220–231.
- Pandit, M. K., H. T. W. Tan, and M. S. Bisht. 2006. "Polyploidy in invasive plant species of Singapore." *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 151 (3): 395–403.
- Paszko, B. 2006. "A critical review and a new proposal of karyotype asymmetry indices." *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 258: 39–48.
- Peruzzi, L., and H. E. Eroğlu. 2013. "Karyotype asymmetry: again, how to measure and what to measure." *Comparative Cytogenetics* 7: 1–9.
- Piovano, M., Bernardello, L. 1991. "Chromosome Numbers in Argentinean Acanthaceae." *Systematic Botany* 1991 Jan 1.
- Ranganath, R. M., D. G. Krishnappa. 1990. "Karyotypic studies in a few species of *Barleria* L. (Acanthaceae) from south India." *Cytologia* 55 (2): 175–179.
- Rao, P. N., and L. A. Mwasumbi. 1981. "In Chromosome number reports LXX." *Taxon* 30: 79–80.
- Saggoo, M. I. 1983. "Cytomorphological studies on plants of economic importance of Bicarpellatae from India." *Journal of Palynology* 19: 243–277.
- Saggoo, M. I. S. and S. S. Bir. 1982. "Cytological studies of certain Acanthaceae from Central India." *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy* 91: 479–486.
- Saggoo, M. I., and S. S. Bir. 1986. "Meiotic studies in certain members of family Acanthaceae from south India." *The Journal of Indian Botanical Society* 65: 310–315.
- Sareen, T. S., and K. Sanjogta. 1976. "Chromosome numbers in some species of Acanthaceae." *Cytologia* 41 (2): 283–290.
- Sarkar, A. K., M. Chakroborty, N. C. Saha, S. K. Das, and D. Hazra. 1978. "In IOPB chromosome number reports LXII." *Taxon* 27: 519–535.
- Shendage, S. 2022. "Karyomorphological analysis of *Barleria prionitis* Linn. (Acanthaceae) from India" *Bulletin of Environment Pharmacology and Life Sciences* (1): 1502–1504.

- Singh, M., and G. Kimothi. 2018. “Phytochemical estimation and antioxidative potential of *Thunbergia mysorensis* (wight) t. Anders. Ex bedd in Uttarakhand India.” *International Journal of Pharma and Bio Sciences* 9 (3): 271–279.
- Stace, C. A. 2000. “Cytology and cytogenetics as a fundamental taxonomic resource for the 20th and 21st centuries.” *Taxon* 49: 451–477.
- Stebbins, G. L. 1971. *Chromosomal evolution in higher plants*. Addison-Wesley publishing company, California, USA. pp. 208.
- Subramanian, D., and T. Govindarajan. 1980. “Cytotaxonomy of some species of Acanthaceae.” *Journal of Cytology and Genetics* 15: 90–92.
- Ugborogho, R. E., and O. A. Adetula. 1988. “The biology of the *Asystasia gangetica* complex (Acanthaceae) in Lagos State, Nigeria.” *Feddes Repertorium* 99: 507–517.
- Wasshausen, D. C., and J. R. I. Wood. 2004. “Acanthaceae of Bolivia.” *Contributions from the United States National Herbarium* 49: 1–152.
- Watanabe, K., T. Yahara, T. Denda, and K. Kosuge. 1999. “Chromosomal evolution in the genus *Brachyscome* (Asteraceae, Astereae): Statistical tests regarding correlation between changes in karyotype and habit using phylogenetic information.” *Journal of Plant Research* 112: 145–161.
- Zarco, R. C. 1986. “A new method for estimating karyotype asymmetry.” *Taxon* 35: 526–530.

Table 1. Previous chromosome number records of six species of Acanthaceae family.

Name of species	Chromosome number	References
<i>A. gangetica</i>	2n = 25	Narayanan1951; Kumar and Subramaniam 1987
	2n = 26	Gadella 1977; Ugborogho and Adetula 1988; Pandit et al. 2006
	2n = 28	Subramanian and Govindarajan 1980; Govindarajan and Subramanian 1983
	2n = 44, 48	Narayanan 1951; Kumar and Subramaniam 1987
	2n = 50	Sarkar et al. 1978
	2n = 52	Grant 1955; Ellis 1962; Kaur 1965; Narayanan 1951
<i>B. cristata</i>	2n = 32	Datta and Maiti 1970
	2n = 34	Narayanan 1951
	2n = 36	Narayanan 1951
	2n = 38	Narayanan 1951
	2n = 40	Saggo and Bir 1986, Devi and Mathew 1991
	2n = 42	Kumar and Subramaniam 1987
<i>B. prionitis</i>	2n = 32	Datta and Maiti 1970
	2n = 40	Krishnaswami and Menon 1974, Moore 1977, Saggo and Bir 1986, Devi and Mathew 1991, Shendage 2022
<i>R. simplex</i>	2n = 34	De 1966a, Grant 1955, Long 1964, Saggoo 1983, Bedi et al. 1981, Daniel and Chuang 1998
<i>T. erecta</i>	2n = 16	Subramanian and Govindarajan 1980
	2n = 52, 56, 60,	Narayanan 1951, Grant 1955, Mangenot and Mangenot
	62, 64	1957, Kaur 1969, Sareen and Sanjogta 1976, Mangenot and Mangenot 1962
<i>T. mysorensis</i>	2n = 28	Goldblatt and Jhonson 1981

Table 2. Morphological description of six species from Acanthaceae family.

Plant Features	<i>A. gangetica</i>	<i>B. cristata</i>	<i>B. prionitis</i>	<i>R. simplex</i>	<i>T. erecta</i>	<i>T. mysorensis</i>
Habit	Climbing sub-shrub	Shrub	Shrub	Erect herb	Shrub	Climber
Plant's height	Up to 0.5 m	Up to 2 m	Up to 1.8 m	30-50 cm	Up to 2 m	Up to 6 m
Leaf type and arrangement	Simple, opposite	Simple, opposite	Simple, opposite	Simple, opposite	Simple, opposite	Simple, opposite
Leaf Size	~2 cm×1–2 cm	2-10×1–4 cm	4–10.5×1.8–5.5 cm	6–12 inches	4–8×2.0–3.5 cm	10–15 cm
Leaf Shape	Elliptic, ovate	Elliptic-oblong to lanceolate	Elliptic to ovate	Leaves lanceolate, narrowed at both ends, serrate	Ovet- elliptic to obovate, serrate, acute	Elliptic or oblong lanceolate
Stamen	Four, included	Four, didynamous	Four, didynamous	Four, didynamous	Four, didynamous	Four, didynamous
Petal and sepal	Calyx 5-lobed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed	Calyx deeply 4-lobed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed	Calyx deeply 4-lobed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed	Calyx deeply 5-lobed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed	Calyx 15 toothed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed	Calyx 15 toothed; Corolla funnel-shaped, 5-lobed
Inflorescence	Axillary racemes	short and dense cymes	Cyme	Axillary cyme	Cyme	Racemes
Flowering and fruiting time	September to February	November to February	November to February	July to October	October to January	January to March
Flower colour	White	White	Yellow	Violet-blue	Blue	Brownish red with yellow
Fruit	Capsule	Capsule	Capsule	Capsule	Capsule	Capsule
Seeds per fruit	up to 4 seeded	4 seeded	2 seeded	4-28 seeded	2–4 seeded	2–4 seeded

Table 3. Comparative karyological analysis of six species of Acanthaceae family.

Species Features	<i>A. gangetica</i>	<i>B. cristata</i>	<i>B. prionitis</i>	<i>R. simplex</i>	<i>T. erecta</i>	<i>T. mysorensis</i>
2n	24	40	40	36	62	34
CF	22m + 2sm	38m + 2sm	36m + 4sm	34m + 2sm	56m + 6sm	34 m
TCL (µm)	33.71	92.76	112.81	69.73	107.72	58.93
ACL (µm)	1.40	2.32	2.82	1.94	1.74	1.73
RCL (µm)	1.17 – 1.77	1.29 – 3.72	1.88 – 4.25	1.16 – 3.28	0.65 – 2.55	1.08 – 2.88
CV _{CI}	7.10	6.16	6.48	7.73	8.65	3.78
CV _{CL}	12.64	26.21	21.38	23.92	24.84	19.05
M _{CA}	8.16	6.46	9.91	6.69	6.31	5.99
AsK %	54.26	53.21	54.79	53.33	53.59	53.14
TF %	45.74	46.79	45.21	46.34	46.41	46.86
Syi %	84.30	87.93	82.50	86.38	86.60	88.17
Rec %	79.31	62.35	66.29	58.90	68.15	61.17
A ₁	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.12	0.11	0.11
A ₂	0.13	0.26	0.21	0.24	0.25	0.19
A	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.06
AI	0.90	1.61	1.39	1.85	2.15	0.72
DI	5.89	12.28	9.85	11.13	11.35	0.89
Category	1A	1B	1B	1B	2 B	1B

[2n = Somatic chromosome number, CF = Centromeric formula, TCL = Total chromosome length (µm), ACL = Average chromosome length (µm), RCL = Range of chromosomal length, CV_{CI} = Coefficient of variation of centromeric index, CV_{CL} = Coefficient of variation of chromosome length, M_{CA} = Mean centromeric asymmetry, AsK% = Karyotype asymmetry index (%), TF% = Total form value (%), Syi% = Karyotype symmetry index (%), Rec% = The index of chromosomal size resemblance, A₁ = Intrachromosomal asymmetry index, A₂ = Interchromosomal asymmetry index, A = Degree of asymmetry of karyotypes, AI = The asymmetry index, DI = The dispersion index, m = metacentric chromosome, sm = sub-metacentric chromosome].

Figure Caption:

Figure 1. Orcein-stained metaphase chromosomes of six species of Acanthaceae family; (a) *Asystasia gangetica*; (b) *Barleria cristata*; (c) *Braleria prionitis*; (d) *Ruellia simplex*; (e) *Thunbergia erecta*; (f) *Thunbergia mysorensis* Scale bar = 10 μ m.

Figure 2. Idiograms of six species of Acanthaceae family; (a) *Asystasia gangetica*; (b) *Barleria cristata*; (c) *Braleria prionitis*; (d) *Ruellia simplex*; (e) *Thunbergia erecta*; (f) *Thunbergia mysorensis* Scale bar = 10 μ m.

Figure 3. Boxplot representing the chromosomal length diversity among six studied species.

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree with cluster analysis by UPGMA on the basis of Pearson correlation coefficient of the karyotypes parameters among six examined species.







