

Invitro Evaluation of Antibacterial Activities of Selected Ethno-Medicinal Plants from West Shewa Zone

Bacha Abata (✉ bachaabaataa@gmail.com)

Horo Guduru Wolega Agricultural and Livestock Office, Shambu, Ethiopia

Wesenu Berhanu

Sebeta sub-city Agricultural Office, Sebeta, Ethiopia

Kebede Abdisa

Ambo University, School of Veterinary Medicine, Ambo, Ethiopia

Research Article

Keywords: Antibacterial Activity, Crude Extract, Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Posted Date: November 30th, 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3667028/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Abstract

An *in vitro* experimental study was conducted in Ambo University, Mamo Mezemir Campus from November, 2020 to August, 2021 to evaluate antibacterial effect of Leaves of *W. somnifera*, steam of *Cl. ansita*, leaves of *C. papaya*, fruit of *S. incanum*, roots of *R. nepalensis* and leaves of *N. tabacum* against Bacterial species (*Escherichia coli*/ATCC 25322, *Staphylococcus aureus*/ATCC 13076, *Enterococcus faecalis*/ATCC 29212 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*/ATCC 27853). The antimicrobial activities of the extract against test organisms were tested by using agar well diffusion assay and the MIC and MBC values were determined by agar dilution assay. The results revealed that the methanol extracts of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya*, *Rumex nepalensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera* had most antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*, but Methanol extract of *Clausena anisata*, had no activity against *E. coli*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*. The methanol extract of *Rumex nepalensis* had most activity than other extracts against *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*, with MIC ranged from 6.25mg/ml to 25mg/ml and MBC ranged from 12.5mg/ml to 50mg/ml. Moderate antibacterial activity result has also been recorded from leaves of *W. somnifera* and stem of *Clausena ansita* extract against *S. aureus* (18.83 ± 0.76 mm) and (13 ± 0) respectively. The methanolic extract of *Carica papaya* exhibited maximum activity against *S. aureus* (25.67 ± 0.58 mm) and least towards *E. coli* (7.67 ± 0.58 mm). The main components of plant-derived phytochemicals revealed from present studies are flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, saponins, phenolics and Phlobatanins. However, Phytosterols were found only in *Solinum incanum* extracts. Generally, the current experimental study showed that all extracts have antibacterial activities against all tested standard organism which, play a vital role to substitute resist drugs as evidenced by high zone of inhibition. However, further antibacterial activity tests are needed to be performed using other methods for that plant that did not show any antibacterial activity in this study.

1. INTRODUCTION

Plants are naturally God gifted for the synthesis of medicinal compound and provide a great help in a new discovery in the area of chemical diversity because of the unknown availability either as a standardized extract or as a pure compound (1). There are various medicinal plants have proved their significance with curing diseases including bacteriological infections and some life threatening serious diseases (2). Medicinal plants also play a major role and constitute the backbone of TM (Traditional System of medicine) practices. Hence, developing countries should endeavor to develop and utilize local medications that are most appropriate to their local circumstances especially for Primary Health Care (PHC) in order to cut down on huge cost associated with incessant drug importation (3).

Traditional medicine is the sum of total knowledge and practices used in diagnosis, prevention and elimination of physical, mental, or societal imbalance and relying exclusively on practical experience and observation handed down from generation to generation, whether verbally or in writing (4). Nowadays, eighty percent of the world's populations depend on traditional medicines as an essential source of their primary health care (5).

In Ethiopia, there are more than 80 ethnic groups, each having their indigenous knowledge on use of traditional medicine. About 80% of the population uses traditional medicine for primary health care (6). Ethiopia is considered the home of some of the most diverse plant species in Africa that serve as sources of many traditional medicinal plants. It has been reported that approximately 800 species of the medicinal plants grown in Ethiopia are used for treating about 300 medical conditions (7).

There are various forms of medicinal plants including trees, shrubs, climbers, and herbs; of those herbal medicinal plants are dominantly used for different human and animal treatments in Ethiopia. These plants are collected mainly from riverbanks, cultivated areas, bushlands, forest, woodlands, and grasslands, among others (8). Availability of some ethno-veterinary medicinal plants were affected by season; many of the plants were available all the time, some were available seasonally and the rest difficult to get. According to the informants, both pounding and powdering as a strategy permit to preserve the plant materials that are not available all seasons (9).

Although synthetic antimicrobial agents have been already approved in many countries, yet the usage of natural compounds that are derived from microbial, animals, or plants attracts the attention of many researchers (10). These compounds have exhibited promising results in overcoming the emergence of antibiotic resistance in bacterial pathogens (11). Among all the available options, plant-derived compounds have displayed more potential applications in fighting bacterial infections. The extensive existence of these compounds has demonstrated beneficial advantages in terms of antioxidant, antibacterial, and antifungal activities. They can restore the clinical application of older antibiotics by increasing their potency and as a consequent, avoid the development of resistance (12).

Staphylococcus aureus, *Escherichia coli*, and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* have well established history of pathogenicity and have been implicated in various human and animal's infections with varying degrees of severity. Although antibiotics have been developed against these pathogenic organisms, the twin problems of drug toxicity and antibiotic resistance have made the continuous search for newer

antibiotics against these pathogens a necessity (13). Effective treatment of a disease requires the development of new pharmaceuticals or some capability source of novel drugs. Commonly used medicinal plants of our community could be an excellent source of drugs to fight off this problem (14).

The fresh extracts of *C. papaya* leaves and bark of *Cl. anisata* showing good antibacterial activity. These extracts directly can be used as natural alternative preventives to control various food poisoning diseases and preserve food stuff avoiding health hazards of chemically antimicrobial agent applications (15, 16). *N. tabacum* is a well-known medicinal plant that is used for human and veterinary disease treatment (17). *R. nepelensis*, has the potential to act as a rich source of drug against life threatening diseases due to its remarkable biological activities (18). *W. somnifera* and *S. incanum* possessed good antibacterial activity (19, 20).

1.1. Statement of the Problem

Emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance, as well as the evolution of new strains of disease causing agents, are of great concern to the global health community. The occurrence of the evolution of resistance has caused the existing antibacterial drugs to become less effective or even ineffective. However, commonly used medicinal plants of our community could be an excellent source of drugs which play a vital role to substitute resist drugs. Therefore, the main significance of this study was to check the in vitro antibacterial activities of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya* linn., *Clausena anisata*, *Rumex nepelensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera* to carry out further qualitative investigation of secondary metabolites found in these medicinal plants. Those plants were highly effective against those resistant bacteria's since they are new remedies in the areas.

1.2. Objectives

General Objective

- To evaluate antibacterial activities of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya* L., *Clausena anisata*, *Rumex nepelensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera* in West Shewa zone of Oromia Regional State, Ethiopia.

Specific Objectives

- To screen the antibacterial activity against standard pathogenic bacteria (*E. coli*, *E. faecalis*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa*) of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya*., *Clausena anisata*, *Rumex nepelensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera* in vitro on the basis of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC).
- To determine minimum bactericidal concentration of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya* L., *Clausena anisata*, *Rumex nepelensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera*.
- To compare the efficacy of the antibacterial activity of extracts of *Nicotiana tabacum*, *Carica papaya* L., *Clausena anisata*, *Rumex nepelensis*, *Solinum incanum* and *Withania somnifera* with standard antibiotics against the test organisms.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Plant Collection Area

The plants were collected from Toke Kutaye District, West Shoa Zone of Oromia Regional State of Ethiopia, and located 126 km away from Addis Ababa (Fig. 1). According to (21), stated in the figure 1 below the area is located on 8°58'N 37°46'E, latitude and longitude respectively. The topography of the zone is flat, which makes the area an ideal place for agriculture. The mean annual temperature and rain fall range from 10–29°C and 1000mm respectively. The crop-livestock mixed farming system is a common practice. The soil type of the area is black soil (Vertisol). It is slightly acidic with PH range of 5.5-6

2.2. Study Design

An *in vitro* experimental study was conducted in Ambo University, Mamo Mezemir Campus from November, 2020 to August, 2021 to evaluate antibacterial effect and phytochemical screening of Leaves of *W. somnifera* (L), steam of *Cl. ansita*, leaves of *C. papaya*, fruit of *S. incanum*, roots of *R. nepalensis* and leaves of *N. tabacum* against *E. coli*, *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*. Methanol solvents were used for plant extraction. Average value of zone of bacterial growth inhibition by each plant extracted and MIC test were evaluated.

2.3. Plant Collection and Processing

Medicinal plants were collected based on frequencies of their indigenous use and repeated claims by traditional healers. Scientific name of the selected plants is confirmed by Mr Fikadu Lebeta from the department of plant science and Ambo University Mega Project. Six medicinal plants which were known to be used by traditional healers for the treatment of diarrhea, wounds, and other infections have been identified for evaluation of biological activities (Table 1). Different parts of selected medicinal plants collected from field were separately cleaned carefully with tap water, dried under shade, mechanically grinded with mortar and Pestle and coarsely powdered using grinder machine. The powder was collected and stored at room temperature until extraction procedures undergone. The powdered specimen were then be subjected to extraction using solvent (methanol) to extract bioactive compounds.

Table 1: Medicinal plants/herbs selected for the study.

NO	Scientific name	Parts	Local name	Effective evaluation for	Plant collection area
1	<i>Withania somnifera</i>	Leaf	Awashanga	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda
2	<i>Carica papaya</i>	Leaf	Papayaa	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda
3	<i>Clausena anisata</i>	Steam	Ulumaa	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda
4	<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	Leaf	Tamboo	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda
5	<i>Solanum incanum</i>	Fruit	Hiddii	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda
6	<i>Rumex nepalensis Spreng</i>	Root	Shultii	Antibacterial	Toke kutaye Woreda

2.4. Plant Extractions

Plant extracts were prepared by maceration. A total of 250g of the pounded materials were soaked in 1000 ml of methanol (99.90%) (1:4 ratios as enough to cover the extracted powder), followed by shaking with Shaker rotator (Ltd, China) filtered. This was repeated three times (with frequent agitation for 72 hours) to allow the solvents extract substantial quantities of the chemical constituents from the pounded plant materials. The mixture was filtered using vacuum pipe and the filtrate was passed through sterile filter papers (Whatman No. 1-3, Whatman Ltd. England). The fractions obtained were concentrated under vacuum on rotary evaporator and dried by Oven at a temperature of 40oC. The crude extracts were stored at 4oc until used. Finally, the extracted plant reconstituted into solvents 5% of Dimethyl Sulfoxide (Sisco Reaserch Laboratories Pvt, Ltd, India) to do serial dilution for antibacterial activities.

2.5. In Vitro Evaluation of Antibacterial Activities

2.5.1. Test microorganisms

Standard bacterial (*Escherichia coli* ATCC 25322, *Staphylococcus aureus*/ATCC 13076, *Enterococcus faecalis*/ATCC 29212 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*/ATCC 27853) strains, were used to check the effectiveness of medicinal plants. These bacteria were obtained from Ethiopian Public Health Institute and selected for this study because they are the main bacteria for the cause of diarrhea, wounds, and drug resistance development.

2.5.2. In vitro antibacterial activity of extracts

Antimicrobial assay of extracts of different plants were performed by agar well diffusion method in Mueller Hinton Agar plates (Sisco Reaserch Laboratories Pvt, Ltd, India). The solidified medium was streaked entirely on the surface with culture of test organism which was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland turbidity using sterile swab stick. Then the well or holes of equidistant diameter was made on surface of the seeded plates using with sterile cork-borer of 6mm. According to the concept of Burman (22) 100µl of each extract prepared at (50, 100, 200, 400 mg/ml) concentration and dissolvent solution was poured into respective wells by using of micropipette and then standard drug (Gentamicin 10µg (Merseyside, UK), Penicillin 10unit (Merseyside, UK), and Ciprofloxacin 5µg (Merseyside, UK) distributed on the agar surface. Gentamicin, Penicillin, and Ciprofloxacin antibiotics were used as positive control against the test organisms whereas 5% DMSO (solvent) served as a negative control. The plates left at room temperature for 1hrs to let the extracts and controls diffuse in the agar medium and incubate at 370C for 24 hours. After 24 hours of incubation the antibacterial activity was evaluated by measuring the

diameter of the zone of inhibition including the well size (23). Finally, antimicrobial activity of each extract against test organism was determined based on the CLSI's (2020) by measuring their zones of inhibition in millimeter (mm).

2.5.3. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC was defined as the lowest concentration of the extract preventing growth of the microorganisms (24). Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of each plant extracted was tested by Broth dilution method according to the procedure described by Enejioy (25) Nutrient broth (2 ml) was added to 0.5 ml of varying concentrations of extracts (6.25mg/ml, 12.5mg/ml, 25mg/ml, 50 mg/ml, 100 mg/ml, 200 mg/ml and 400 mg/ml) in a test tube, followed by addition of loopful of the test microorganisms. The same procedure was used for all the test organisms at varying concentrations and a test tube containing nutrient broth and loopful of organisms was used as a control. All the test tubes were inoculated at 37°C for 24 hours after which the test tubes were observed for turbidity. The lowest concentration of the extract that showed no observed turbidity was recorded as MIC for the extract.

2.5.4. Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) Determination

The minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC) was defined as the lowest concentration of an antibiotic killing the majority (99.9%) of bacterial inoculums. Following a broth dilution MIC test, from each tube that shows no growth, 50µl of suspension were removed and distributed on nutrient agar plates. After incubation (37°C) the concentration at which no visible growth was seen noted as the MBC (26).

2.5.5. Phytochemical Screening

Preliminary phytochemical screening was performed on methanol extract Leaves of *W. somnifera* (L), *C. papaya*, *N. tabacum*, stem of *Cl. ansita*, fruit of *S. incanum*, and roots of *R. nepalensis* to determine the presence of Saponins, Phenols, phlobotannins, Glycosides, Tannins, Flavonoids and Phytosterols.

Phenols: Approximately, two ml of extracts was dissolved in equal amount of 3% FeCl₂. Formation of deep blue color indicates the presence of phenol (27).

Phlobotannins: About two to five drops of extract was boiled along with 1% HCl. Formation of red precipitate indicates the presence of phlobotannins (27).

Glycosides: Two ml of chloroform and 2 ml of acetic anhydride was added to 2 ml of extract. Formation of violet to blue to green reddish brown ring indicates the presence of glycosides (26).

Saponins: Three ml of extract was mixed with six ml of distilled water in a test tube. The test tube was shaken vigorously for about 5 minutes and it was allowed to stand for 10 to 25 minutes and observed for froth. It indicates the presence of saponins (27).

Flavonoids: One ml of concentrated hydrochloric acid was added to the extract; then warmed on water bath for 15 minutes and stay for an hour. A strong red or violet color indicates presence of flavonoids (28).

Phytosterols: The Extracts was treated with 5ml of concentrated chloroform and filtered. After filtration, it was treated with two drops of acetic anhydride, boiled, and cooled. Then 3ml of concentrated H₂SO₄ was added. Formation of brown ring at the junction indicates the presence of phytosterols (28).

Tannins: Done ferric chloride test; the extract (0.05g) was dissolved in five ml of distilled water.

2 to 5 drops of 5% ferric chloride solution are then added. A dark green color indicates the presence of tannins (28).

2.6. Data Analysis

All data were recorded in Microsoft excel 2007 and analyzed using SPSS software version 20. Antimicrobial efficacy of the plant extracts and the minimum inhibitory concentration were articulated with mean $\pm$ standard error of the mean of the zone of growth inhibition and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used to compare means between groups. A p - value of less than 0.05 (P<0.05) was taken as significant at 95% confidence interval.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Antibacterial Activities of Plant Extracts

The current attempt was made due to resistance development in bacteria to available drugs. The selected medicinal plant species (Table 1) commonly reported by local healers were evaluated for their effectivities *in vitro* against 4 bacteria species: *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*. Different zones of inhibition were recorded from each plant extract and from different concentrations for each species of bacterium.

The methanol extracts of the plant species listed in Table (2 and 3) were tested using different concentrations (50, 100, 200, and 400 mg/ml) against four bacterial species: *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*. The all extracts showed dose-dependent potential activity and affect the tested pathogens. Of all the plants tested, *R. nepalensis* showed a wide spectrum of antibacterial activities against the investigated bacterial species. Regarding the dosage, 400 mg/ml showed best antibacterial activities in all plant species. Better antibacterial activities with maximum zone of inhibition (31.50 mm) in *R. nepalensis* were recorded in methanol crude extracts at a concentration of 400 mg/ml against *S. aureus* followed by *S. incanum* (31.33 mm) at the same dose (Table 3). Methanol extracts of *Cl. ansita* did not show inhibit growth of any bacterial species except *S. aureus* (13 ± 0), while *N. tabacum* show antibacterial activities against all standard bacteria used in this study (Tables 2). The methanol extracted fraction of *W. sominifera* and *Cl. ansita* showed 18.83 ± 0.76 mm and 13 ± 0 mm zone of inhibition with *S. aureus* respectively, which is comparatively less than that *R. nepalensis*, *S. incanum*, *C. papaya* and *N. tabacum* extracted.

Table 2
Mean zone inhibition (mm) of different bacteria at various concentrations of the test extracts of the experimental plants.

Organism	Extracts in mg	Extracts			
		<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>P. aerogenosa</i>	<i>E. coli</i>
<i>C. papaya</i>	400	25.67 ± 0.58	13 ± 0	NA	7.67 ± 0.58
	200	21.8 ± 0.29	11.33 ± 0.58	NA	NA
	100	15.67 ± 0.58	9 ± 0	NA	NA
	50	7.5 ± 0.5	NA	NA	NA
<i>Cl. ansita</i>	400	13 ± 0	NA	NA	NA
	200	12 ± 0	NA	NA	NA
	100	10.33 ± 0.58	NA	NA	NA
	50	7.83 ± 0.29	NA	NA	NA
<i>W. sominifera</i>	400	18.83 ± 0.76	9.33 ± 0.58	7.93 ± 0.12	NA
	200	15 ± 0	NA	NA	NA
	100	13.33 ± 0.58	NA	NA	NA
	50	11.67 ± 0.58	NA	NA	NA
<i>N. tabacum</i>	400	22.6 ± 0.53	12.33 ± 0.58	13 ± 1	13 ± 0
	200	20.67 ± 0.58	10.33 ± 0.58	11.67 ± 0.58	7.53 ± 0.50
	100	14.67 ± 0.58	NA	8.33 ± 1.15	0 ± 0
	50	10.83 ± 0.76	NA	0 ± 0	0 ± 0
NA- No activities					

Table 3
Mean zone inhibition (mm) of different bacteria at various concentrations of the test extracts of the experimental plants.

Organism	Extracts in mg	Extracts			
		<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>P. aerogenosa</i>	<i>E. coli</i>
	400	31.33 ± 1.53 1	14.30 ± 0.61	NA	15 ± 1
<i>S. incanum</i>	200	24.67 ± 0.58	9.67 ± 0.58	NA	7.33 ± 0.58
	100	23.00 ± 0.00	8 ± 1	NA	NA
	50	16.00 ± 0.00	NA	NA	NA
	400	31.50 ± 0.50	29.17 ± 0.76	22.5 ± 1.32	21.33 ± 0.58
	200	23.13 ± 0.81	23.60 ± 0.53	19.17 ± 1.04	16.00 ± 1.00
<i>R. nepalensis</i>	100	19.33 ± 0.58	22 ± 1	16.20 ± 0.72	14.97 ± 1.00
	50	18.50 ± 0.50	9.17 ± 0.76	14 ± 0.87	12.33 ± 0.58
Ciprofloxacin	5µg	18	18	15	25
Penicillin	10uni	25	22	-	-
Gentamicin	10µg	18	22	18	20
5%DMSO		NA	NA	NA	NA

NA- No activities; the values are mean ± SEM (n = 3); significant at P < 0.05; a compared to Gentamicin, Penicillin, and Ciprofloxacin; the negative control has shown no antibacterial activity.

3.2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC)

The MIC for crude extracts of each plant for the respective test bacterium was done using the agar dilution method. The minimum inhibitory concentration values of active plant extracts shown in Table 4, ranged from 6.25mg/ml to 400mg/ml. All the crude extracts of evaluated *C. papaya*, *Cl. ansita*, *N. tabacum* and those from the *W. sominifera* had considerable antibacterial activity with MIC (50mg/ml) and MBC (100mg/ml) value, while *S. incanum* showed MIC at 12.5mg/ml against standard strains of *S. aureus*. The lowest value between 6.25 and 25 Mg/ml of MIC was recorded from methanol extracts of *R. nepalensis* roots, against all the test bacteria. For *N. tabacum*, the MICs were between 12.5 and 400 in all the test bacteria. *Cl. ansita* showed MIC at 50mg/ml concentration to *S. aureus*, but exhibit the growth of all bacteria employed in this study. Methanol extracts of *W. sominifera* showed MIC at 400mg/ml for *E. faecalis* and *P. aerogenosa* whereas *S. incanum* confirmed MIC 100mg/ml for *E. faecalis* and 200mg/ml for *E. coli*. The methanolic extract *C. papayas* reveal MIC at 100mg/ml and 400mg/ml for *E. faecalis* and *E. coli* respectively.

Table 4
Minimum inhibitory concentration and Minimum bactericidal concentration of Methanol extract of selected plants against selected microbial strains.

Organism	Extrats											
	C. papaya		Cl. ansita		W. sominifera		N. tabacum		S. incanum		R. nepalensis	
	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC	MIC	MBC
<i>S. aureus</i>	50	100	50	100	50	100	50	100	12.5	25	6.25	12.5
<i>E. faecalis</i>	100	200	-	-	400	-	400	-	100	200	6.25	12.5
<i>P. aerogenosa</i>	-	-	-	-	400	-	100	200	-	-	25	50
<i>E. coli</i>	400	-	-	-	-	-	200	400	200	400	25	50

3.3. Phytochemical Screening.

All methanol extracts of selected plants were showed antibacterial activities against tested organism. Phytochemical analyses of methanolic extracts of selected experimental plants are summarized in Table 5, Tannins, Phenols, Saponins and Glycoside were found in all tested extracts. However, Phytosterols were found only in *S. incanum* extracts.

Table 5
Qualitative phytochemical screening results of plants extracts.

no	Extracts	Solvents	Secondar metabolite						
			Phenols	Tannins	Sapo nins	flavo noids	Glyco sides	Phytos terols	Phlobat anins
1	<i>C. papaya</i>	Meth	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
2	<i>Cl. ansita</i>	Meth	+	+	+	-	+	-	+
3	<i>W.somnifera</i>	Meth	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
4	<i>N. tabacum</i>	Meth	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
5	<i>S. incanum</i>	Meth	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
6	<i>R. nepalensis</i>	Meth	+	+	+	+	+	-	+
Keys: (+)-presence; (-)-Absence									

4. DISCUSSION

Infectious diseases are the cause of major deaths world-wide (29). Due to a high incidence of antibiotic resistance, evaluating the antibacterial effect of herbal medicines as potent agents of treating animal as well as human health problems is imperative (30). In the present study, the antibacterial activity of the extract and isolated compounds of studied plants were examined at a concentration of 50mg/ml-400mg/ml against four pathogenic bacterial strains: two Gram-positive bacteria, *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* and two Gram-negative bacteria, *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* (Table 2 and 3).

For most of the test extracts, the highest concentration (400 mg/ml) exhibited a significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) zone of inhibition as compared to the respective lowest concentration (50 mg/ml). The highest activity was recorded with the crude extract of *R. nepalensis* at 400 mg/ml concentration against 4 pathogens, *E. coli* (21.33 ± 0.58), *S. aureus* (31.50 ± 0.50), *E. faecalis* (29.17 ± 0.76 mm) and *p. aerogenosa* (22.5 ± 1.32 mm) followed by the extracts of *S. incanum*, *C. papaya*, *N. tabacum* and *Cl. ansita* against a standard strain of *S. aureus*. This suggests that the extract of this plant has a broad spectrum of antibacterial activities. However, the methanol extracts of *Cl. ansita* stem showed no activities against all bacteria used in this study except *S. aureus* (13 ± 0); this is less recorded even against *S. aureus* when compare with present studied plants (Table 2 and 3).

The medicinal plants investigated in the present study showed good antimicrobial potential and were found to be most effective against *S. aureus*. *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* is most sensitive to *R. nepelensis* and *S. incanum* (Table 3). The possible reason may be due to the cell membrane and cell wall structural sensitivity of Gram positive bacteria (31). However, *N. tabacum* is more effect to *P. aerogenosa* and *E. coli* than *E. faecalis*. This is may be, because each of the class of phytochemicals reported in this study contains several compounds that can affect a diversity of microorganisms in many ways (32)

Moderate antibacterial activity result has also been recorded from leaves of *W. sominifera* extract against *S. aureus* (18.83 ± 0.76 mm), but not effective against *E. coli*. The methanolic extract of *C. papaya* exhibited maximum activity against *S. aureus* (25.67 ± 0.5 8mm) in contrary to study reported by Ajiboye and Olawoyin, (33) with acetone extract 17.2 ± 0.3 mm at 400 mg/ml and least towards *E. coli* (7.67 ± 0.58 mm) which, have comparable agreement to studies that reported antibacterial activity of methanolic extract of *C. papaya* on *E. coli* (5.33 ± 0.57) at 400mg/ml by Unaeze (34). This indicates that solvent used and may influence antimicrobial activity of the extracted compounds. The methanol extract of fruit *S. incanum* at 100mg/ml concentration against *S. aureus* is 23mm which is nearest recorded with ethanol extract 26mm reported by Indhumathi and Mohandass, (35). The difference in result may be due to solvent used and different geographical area from where the plant was obtained.

Root of *R. nepalenses* extract with methanol on *E. coli* achieved 16mm at 200mg/ml while, study reported by Waqas (36) showed 15mm zone of inhibition at 300mg/ml that indicate both leaf and root of *R. nepalenses* have good antibacterial activities against *E. coli*. The methanol extract of *C. papaya* and *S. incanum* were not effective against *P. aerogenosa*. This may be due to solvent and part of plant

used. *Solinum incanum* exhibited much higher antibacterial activity to *S. aureus* in lesser concentration comparative to *Cl. ansita*. The antibacterial properties of the active plants may be due to the presence of different bioactive chemical agents in the extracts which, are known to act by a different mechanism to exert antibacterial action.

The current observation on the inhibitory activity of *R. nepalensis*, *S. incanum*, *C. papaya*, *N. tabacum* against *S. aureus* was more pronounced than a standard antibiotic used (Ciprofloxacin (18mm) and Gentamicin (18mm), while *C. papaya* against *S. aureus* (25.67 ± 0.58) and *R. nepelensis* against *E. coli* (21.33 ± 0.58) showed comparable activities with Pencillin (25mm) and

Gentamicin (20mm) respectively. The methanolic extraction of leaves of *W. sominifera* showed less activity with *P. aerogenosa* 7.93 ± 0.12 mm and with *E. faecalis* 9.33 ± 0.58 mm zone of inhibition as compared with the standard antibiotics such as gentamicin and ciprofloxacin, while they have comparable inhibitory activities against *S. aureus* (18.83 ± 0.76 mm) shown in (Tables 2 and 3). However, *Cl. ansita* was observed to be significantly lower than that of the standard antibacterial drug used as positive control in this study. This could be due to the fact that the sample extracts used in the test were crude preparation which may not necessarily contain enough of the active chemical.

The Minimum inhibitory concentration assay was employed to evaluate the effectiveness of the extracts to inhibit the growth of the tested bacteria. The plant extracts with high activity against a particular organism usually give low MIC value while the extracts with low activity give high MIC value (37). In agreement with this general assertion, in the present study, the MIC value of the extracts agreed with their corresponding antibacterial activities. All of tested plants showed MIC value ranged from 6.25mg/ml to 50mg/ml against *S. aureus*. The MIC value of *C. papaya* and *N. tabacum* ranged from 50 mg/ml to 400.00 mg/ml against *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis* and *E. coli*. However, the methanol extract of *Rumex nepalensis* had most activity than other extracts against *S. aureus*, *E. coli*, *E. faecalis* and *P. aeruginosa*, with MIC ranged from 6.25mg/ml to 25mg/ml and MBC ranged from 12.5mg/ml to 50mg/ml. The obtained result shows that root of this plant have potential antimicrobial activity that makes it a good candidate for further exploration regarding drug discovery.

The Minimum inhibitor concentration of methanolic extract of *C. papaya* and *S. incanum* was 100mg/ml against *E. faecalis*, whereas *P. aeruginosa* were insensitive to all concentrations of this extract in contrast to *S. aureus* that was affected by all concentrations. Among from organism tested *P. aerogenosa* is less susceptible to the extract than the other in opposite to *S. aureus*, however, negative results do not indicate that the bioactive constituents are absent or that the plant is inactive. This is may be due to active compounds present in insufficient quantities in the crude extracts or might be due to the difference in morphological constituents between these microorganisms.

The main components of plant-derived phytochemicals revealed from present studies are flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, saponins, phenolics and Phlobatanins. However, Phytosterols were found only in *S. incanum* extracts. Previous studies indicated that the flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, saponins and phenolics were positively tested in the leaves of *Nicotiana tabacum* L (38), leaves of *Withania sominifera* (39), roots of *Rumex nepelensis* (40), leaves of *Carica papaya* (41) and stem of *Clausena ansita* (42); which is similar to our result demonstrating the presence of flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, saponins and phenolics. The presences of those phytochemicals in different extracts were an indication of that extracts have antibacterial activity and can be used as alternative medicine.

5. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Resistance to antimicrobial agents has become a major source of morbidity and mortality worldwide. The current experimental study showed that all extracts have antibacterial activities against all tested standard organism which, play a vital role to substitute resist drugs as evidenced by high zone of inhibition. The lowest value of MIC was recorded from extracts of *R. nepalensis* roots, against all the test bacteria. The obtained result shows that root of this plant have potential antimicrobial activity that makes it a good candidate for further exploration regarding drug discovery. These agents can act alone or in combination with antibiotics to enhance the antibacterial activity against a wide range of bacteria. However, the methanol extracts of *Cl. ansita* stem showed less activities against all bacteria used in this study except *S. aureus*. The main components of plant-derived phytochemicals revealed from present studies are flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, saponins, phenolics and Phlobatanins. The presences of these phytochemicals in different extracts were an indication of that extracts have antibacterial activity and made this plant extract very interesting from bioactive point of view.

Based on above conclusion the following recommendations were forwarded:

- Further antibacterial activity tests are needed to be performed using other methods for that plant did not show any antibacterial activity in this study.

- Further analysis and fractionation should be conducted on plant components showing antimicrobial activity to isolating bioactive compounds in its purest form and used for new drug development programs.
- It is also recommended that the extracted plants that did not show antibacterial activity tests should be performed against other bacterial species that were not tested.
- *In-vivo* studies should also be conducted in order to confirm the safety and efficacy of this plant's secondary metabolites.

Declarations

Ethical Approval and consent to participate

The review was carried out with high regard for plant collection and it was approved by Ambo University, College of Veterinary Medicine.

Consent of publication: Not applicable in the manuscripts

Data Availability

All contents of data is available in Ambo University, Ethiopia archive, which is currently in process to be available in its repository.

Competing of Interests

The all contents of this manuscript belongs to the authors (Bacha Abata Chala, Wesenu Berhanu Enoro, and Kebede Abdisa) report no conflicts of interest for this work.

Funding

No funding is associated with this work. The authors did all parts of this manuscripts. This manuscript did not receive any grant from governmental and non-governmental organization. The authors did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Author Contributions

Bacha Abata Chala did data collection, laboratory work, data analysis, and writing up the manuscript. Wesenu Berhnau Enoro did the edition of the manuscript for publication and will be responsible for email exchange with the journal to which the manuscript was sent, while Kebede Abdisa was the advisor for the manuscript during the thesis write-up.

Acknowledgement

The authors will like to thank to all contributors during plant collection and Ambo University for allowed us to do the collected data in Microbiology laboratory. In addition I would like to thanks Ambo University Mega project, traditional healers, Ethiopian Public Health Institution and Veterinary laboratory where the study were conducted.

Author information

Bacha Abata Chala (DVM, MSc in Veterinary Microbiology)

Contact: Email- bachaabaataa@gmail.com

Wesenu Berhanu (DVM, MSc, in **Veterinary Microbiology**)

Contacts: +251910419486, email- kuuliikoo63@gmail.com

Kebede Abdisa (DVM, MSc, Assistance Professor), Ambo University, Ethiopia

Contact: Email- kebedeabdisa021@gmail.com

References

1. Hassan, A and Ulla, H (2019). Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities of the Medicinal Plant *Veronica biloba*. *Journal of Chemistry*, Article ID 5264943, Pp 1-7.
2. Tyagi, R., Sharma, G., Jasuja, N and Menghani, E (2016). Indian Medicinal Plants As An Effective Antimicrobial Agent. *J Crit Rev*, **3** (2), 69-71.
3. Tadele, A (2017). Ethiopian Herbal Medicine Research Article Profile. *Ethiopian Public Health Institute*: 1-343
4. World Health Organization (2017). Planning for cost-effective traditional medicines in the new century: A discussion paper. Centre for Health Development.
5. Batiha, G., Alkazmi, L., Wasef, L., Beshbishy, A., Nadwa, E and Rashwan, E (2020). *Syzygium aromaticum* L. (Myrtaceae): Traditional uses, bioactive chemical constituents, pharmacological and toxicological activities. *Biomolecules*, **10**: 202.
6. Bultum, L., Woyessa, A and Lee, D (2019). ETM-DB: Integrated Ethiopian traditional herbal medicine and phytochemicals database. *BMC complementary and Alternative Medicine*, **19** (212)
7. Gebrehiwet, T and Gebremichael, G (2019). Medicinal Plants Used in Traditional Medicine by Ethiopians: A Review Article. *J Respir Med Lung Dis*, **4**(1): 1040.
8. Admasu, M and Yohannes, M (2019). Ethiopian Common Medicinal Plants: Their Parts and Uses in Traditional Medicine-Ecology and Quality Control: DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86202>.
9. Guluma, K., Debela, A and Morka, A (2017). Ethno-knowledge of plants used in veterinary practices in Midakegn district, west showa of Oromia region, Ethiopia. *JMPS*, **5**(5): 282- 288.
10. Moloney, M (2016). Natural products as a source for novel antibiotics. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*, **37**(8): 689–701.
11. Rossiter, S., Fletcher, M and Wuest, W (2017). Natural products as platforms to overcome antibiotic resistance. *Chem Rev*, **117**(19): 12415–74.
12. Barbieri, R., Coppo, E., Marchese, A., Daglia, M., Sobarzo-Sanchez, E and Nabavi S (2017). Phytochemicals for human disease: An update on plant-derived compounds antibacterial activity. *Microbiol Res*, **196**: 44–68
13. Price, L., Hungate, B., Koch, B., Davis, G and Liu, C (2017). Colonizing opportunistic pathogens (COPs): the beast in all of us. *PLoS Pathog*, **13**(8): e1006369.
14. Manandhar, S., Luitel, S and Dahal, R (2019). In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity of Some Medicinal Plants against Human Pathogenic Bacteria. *Journal of Tropical Medicine*, Volume 2019:1-5.
15. Lawal, I., Grierson, D and Afolayan, a (2015). The antibacterial activity of *Clausena anisate* hook, a South African medicinal plant. *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med*, **12**(1):23-27.
16. Lonkala, S and Reddy, a (2019). Antibacterial Activity of *Carica papaya* Leaves and *Allium sativum* Cloves Alone and in Combination against Multiple Strains. *Pharmacog. J*, **11**(3): 600- 2.
17. Ameya, G., Manilal, A and Merdekios, B (2017). In vitro Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of *Nicotiana tabacum* L. Extracted in Different Organic Solvents. *The Open Microbiology Journal*, **11**: 352-359.
18. Shaikh, S., Shriram, V., Srivastav, A., Barve, P., Kumar, V (2018). Critical review on Nepal Dock (*Rumex nepalensis*): A tropical herb with immense medicinal importance. *AsianPac J Trop Med*; **11**(7):405-414.
19. Eshetu, G., Dejene, T., Telila, L and Bekele, D (2015). Ethnoveterinary medicinal plants: preparation and application methods by traditional healers in selected districts of southern Ethiopia. *Veterinary world*, **8**:674.
20. Kumari, M and Gupta, P (2015). *In vitro* antibacterial effect of *Withania somnifera* root extract on *Escherichia coli*. *Veterinary World*, **8**(1): 57-60.
21. Nigussie, D., Nigussie, W., Mulugeta, A., Molla, Z. Bishaw, C. Biradar (2019) GIS-Based multi-criteria land suitability mapping for scaling faba bean varieties in Ethiopia: Arc GIS Computation and Ethiopian Map, 2019.
22. Burman, S., Bhattacharya, K., Mukherjee, D and Chandra, G (2018). Antibacterial efficacy of leaf extracts of *Combretum album* Pers. against some pathogenic bacteria. *BMCComplementary and Alternative Medicine*, **18**(213):1-8.
23. Gonelimali, M., Lin, J., Miao, W., Xuan, J., Charles, F., Chen, M and Hatab, S (2018). Antimicrobial Properties And Action Of Some Plant Extracts Against Food Pathogens And Spoilage Microorganisms. *Front. Microbial*, **9**:1639
24. Sbhatu, D and Abraha, H (2020). Preliminary Antimicrobial Profile of *Solanum incanum* L.: A Common Medicinal Plant. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*: 1- 6, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/3647065>.
25. Enejiyon, S., Abdulrahman, A., Adedeji, A., Abdulsalam, R and Oyedum, M (2020). Antibacterial Activities of the Extracts of *Allium sativum* (Garlic) and *Allium cepa* (Onion) Against Selected Pathogenic Bacteria. *Tanz. J. Sci. Vol.* **46**(3): 914-922.

26. Usman, M and Osuji C (2007). Phytochemical and *in vitro* antimicrobial assay of the leaf extracts of new bouldia leaves. *African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicines* **4**(4):1476-1480.
27. Karthikeyan, G and Vidya, K. (2019): Phytochemical analysis, antioxidant and antibacterial activity of pomegranate peel. *J. Life Sci. Inf. Publ.*, **5**(1):220-222.
28. Teresa, B (2018): Extraction and Qualitative Phytochemical Screening of Medicinal Plants, *Int. J. Applied. Pharmaceut. Bio. Res*, **2**(6):15-23.
29. Manish, P (2019). "Antimicrobial Activity of *Lagenaria siceraria* Fruit Extract Against Pathogenic Bacterial Strains". *EC Pharmacology and Toxicology* **7**(8): 825-830.
30. Divya, N., Tenmozhi, S., Sureshkumar, B and M. Selvan (2014). M"Antibacterial activity of medicinal plant against wound infected pathogens. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*, 5(11):4942–4947.
31. Reygaert, W (2018). An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria. *AIMS Microbiology*, **4**(3): 482–501. DOI: 10.3934/microbiol.2018.3.48
32. Cushnie, T., Cushnie, B and Lamb, A (2014). "Alkaloids: an overview of their antibacterial, antibiotic-enhancing and antivirulence activities". *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, **44** (5):377–386.
33. 33. Ajiboye, A and Olawoyin, R (2020). Antibacterial activities and phytochemical screening of crude extract of carica papaya leaf against selected pathogens. *Global journal of pure and applied sciences*, **26**: 165-170.
34. Unaeze, B., Ochiabuto, O., Ejike, E., Obi, M and Nwankpa, S (2018). Antimicrobial activities of *Carica papaya* leaf against diarrhoea causing agents. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Science (IJAERS)*, **5** (8): 310, <https://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijaers.5.8.38> ISSN: 2349-6495(P).
35. Indhumathi, T and Mohandass, S (2014). Efficacy of Ethanolic extract of *Solanum incanum* fruit extract for its antimicrobial activity. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*, **3**(6):939-949.
36. Waqas, M., Madia ayaz, M., Afridi, S., Ahmad, N., Sohail, M., Hayat, A., Ramzan, R and Jadoon, M., Rehman, M and Niaz, M (2016). Antimicrobial Activity of Rumex Nepalensis and Urtica Diocia. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 5(3). 1563-1566.
37. Doughari, J., Elmahmood, A and Manzara, S (2007). Studies on the antibacterial activity of root extracts of Carica papaya L. *African Journal of Microbiology Research*, **2**:067–072.
38. Oeung, S., Nov, V., Ung, H., Roum, K., Yin, V., Keo, S and Chea, S (2017). Phytochemical analysis of different extracts of leaves of *Nicotiana tabacum* N L. of Cambodia. *Asian Journal of Pharmacognosy*, **1**(3):18-26.
39. Saleem, S., Muhammad, G., Hussain, M., Altaf, M and Bukhari, S (2020). *Withania somnifera* L.: Insights into the phytochemical profile, therapeutic potential, clinical trials, and future prospective. *Iran J Basic Med Sci*, **23**:1501-1526. doi: 10.22038/IJBMS.2020.44254.10378.
40. Gonfa, Y., Beshah, F., Tadesse, M., Bachheti, A and Bachheti, R (2021). Phytochemical investigation and potential pharmacologically active compounds of Rumex nepalensis: an appraisal. *J Basic Appl Sci*, **10** (18), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43088-021-00110-1>.
41. Awah, N., Agu, K., Ilkedinma, J., Uzoechi, A., Eneite, H., Victor-Aduloju, A., Umeoduagu, N., Onwuatuwegwu, J and Ilikannu S (2017). Antibacterial Activities of the Aqueous and Ethanolic Extracts of the Male and Female *Carica papaya* Leaves on Some Pathogenic Bacteria. *Bioengineering and Bioscience*, **5**(2):25-29, <http://www.hrpub.org> DOI: 10.13189/bb.2017.050201.
42. Lawal, I., Grierson, D and Afolayan, A (2015). The antibacterial activity of *Clausena anisate* hook, a South African medicinal plant. *Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med*, **12**(1):23-27.

Figures



Figure 1

Map of Experimental Area

Source: Adopted from Arc GIS Computation and Ethiopian Map, 2019.