

A comparative study on the carbon storage and oxygen release capacity of *Swietenia macrophylla* king. and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* Dehn. in northwest Bangladesh

Mohammed Mukhlesur Rahman

Bangladesh Forest Research Institute

Mizanur Rahman

Bangladesh Forest Research Institute

Md. Najmus Sayadat Pitol

najmus.sayadat@gmail.com

Bangladesh Forest Research Institute

Research Article

Keywords: Plantation, non-destructive method, biomass, carbon, global warming

Posted Date: January 20th, 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5348859/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Abstract

Plantation sequesters atmospheric carbon and produces oxygen through photosynthesis and stores it as a reserve. Estimating the carbon sequestration capacity of forest tree species is essential for implementing massive plantation programs in developing countries such as Bangladesh. The present study was conducted on the carbon storage and release oxygen capacity of *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* which were planted forest tree species in the same ecological condition. Allometric equations were applied to estimate organic carbon in two species of trees. The diameter increased with increasing height and positive correlations were found in *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* respectively ($p < 0.05$). The maximum carbon storage of *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* were $17.24 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and $21.73 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ at twenty years old tree respectively. The lowest carbon storage of *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* were 5.03 and $9.24 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ at five years old, respectively. There was no significant difference ($df = 11$; $p = 0.658$) found between the DBH of the two species while their DBH were significantly different among their ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.000$). Besides, the height of these two species was significantly difference ($df = 11$; $p = 0.002$) but not significant in their ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.694$). The height and DBH growth became slower with the increase of the age of the plantations. The biomass, carbon stock, carbon-di-oxide storage and O_2 releasing potentiality were related to each other and significantly differed from their ages (p -value varied from 0.001 to 0.023). Comparatively higher growth performances were observed in *E. camaldulensis* than *S. macrophylla* in the same environmental conditions, management and equal ages. The findings indicated that *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* both can be selected in the massive plantation programs in this area which will contribute to large carbon storage and play a vital role in mitigating climate change.

Introduction

Declination of biodiversity and increased CO_2 have been recognized as two major concerns nowadays (Kumar, 2011). One is the result, and another is the cause of global warming and climate change while the plantations are the most important tool for reducing their effects. We know only plants can seize the atmospheric CO_2 through photosynthesis, store it as biomass, and produce a massive quantity of oxygen (Lukito and Rohmatiah, 2013, Pitol and Mian, 2023). Plantation may lead to the enhancement of forestation though it reduces the diversity (Rahman et al. 2021). There are two types of plantations (such as artificial and natural plantations) (Menne, 2015) while the number of artificial plantations is increasing more rapidly than natural plantations in the tropical regions of the world. Artificial forests contribute to the declining pressure on timber extraction from natural forests and play a vital role in the conservation of forest resources (Kaul *et al.*, 2010). It is not possible to increase the forest and agriculture lands, but the production will be maximized by converting the traditional lands into sustainable uses like agroforestry home gardens, tea plantations and woodlot plantations (Nair and Kumar. 2006, Pitol et al. 2019). Plantation forests give us hope for sustainable use of forest resources. Approximately, the world has 187,086 (000 ha) plantation forests with a new planting rate of 4493(000 ha) per year (FAO 2010). Planted forests supply 35% of total wood demand with a projected increase to 44% by 2020 (FAO, 2010, ABARE & Jaakko Pöyry, 1999).

Homestead forests have enormously increased in different parts of Bangladesh to fulfill the wood and fuel wood demand. They are increased based on special types of timber species which are fast growing and highly timber quality. The selection of plant species plays a vital role in mitigating global warming. In Bangladesh, the plantation forests increased from 238.81 (000 ha) to 278.11(000 ha) from 1990 to 2005 (FAO, 2010) while Jashimuddin and Inoue (2012) recorded that 48,420 ha of roadside plantations, 30,666 ha of woodlots and 8778 ha of agroforestry plantations during the last 30 years. However, the plantation activities are continuing without assessment of the carbon storage capacity of tree species in many regions of the world as well as Bangladesh. There is an urgent need to estimate biomass, carbon storage and released oxygen in different species for implementing massive plantation programs. Biomass is an important parameter to assess the assimilation of carbon by plants. Biomass and carbon storage play an important role in the global carbon cycle (Cairns *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2011; Zhao *et al.*, 2014) and are now considered for creating any woodlot (Ekholm 2016; Gren and Zeleke 2016; Riutta et al. 2018; Nonini and Fiala 2019; Rinnamang et al. 2020).

Normally, homestead forests are established with single tree species which is known as monoplantation. Monoplantations are increasing a geometrical rate in northern parts of Bangladesh to fulfill the local wood-related demands. Numerous experts recommended that the homestead flora of Bangladesh provides about 70% of all wood consumed and 90% of all fuel wood and

bamboo (Alam *et al.*, 1990). Many kinds of exotic and indigenous forest tree species are planted in the homestead forests of Bangladesh while *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* are the best choice for their fast-growing and well adaptable potentiality. Private landowners plant fast-growing tree species for local consumption such as fuel wood, poles, posts, and small wood and cottage industries (BBS, 2014). Moreover, the adaptation ability, growth performances and carbon storage capacity of planted tree species in most of the areas in Bangladesh have not been estimated yet. It is essential to know the role of plantation forests in carbon trade and ecosystem services (Nair, 2012). It improves the country's negotiations for REDD + and carbon trade mechanisms (Nair, 2012; Jashimuddin and Inoue, 2012). Direct and indirect methods are mostly used for biomass calculation while indirect methods are based on allometric equations using measurable parameters (Salazar-Iglesias *et al.*, 2010). This method is easy and suitable for the estimation of carbon storage in tropical forests (Razakamanarivo *et al.*, 2012; Rahman, *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, keeping this point in mind, an attempt was made to estimate a comparative study of the biomass, carbon storage and oxygen release between *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in the northern district of Bangladesh. The findings of the study will provide essential data on biomass, carbon storage and oxygen release which will be used for carbon monitoring at the national and international levels.

Materials and Methods

The study area

The study was conducted on homestead forest areas at Natore Sadar upzila of Natore district in Bangladesh. Geographically, the study area is situated between 24° 07' to 24° 43' north latitudes and between 88° 17' to 88° 58' east longitudes (Fig. 1). This area falls under the tropical region, also known as Bangladesh's hottest district. The climatic condition is a hot-humid summer with moderate rainfall and a mild winter with foggy sometimes. The summer season is considered from April to the last of June. The rainy season starts at the end of June and stays up to September. The winter season comes from the middle of November and lasts up to the end of February. Temperature variation appears that the average annual temperature is about 26-36°C. The minimum and maximum mean temperature during winter varies from 9° to 14°C. The minimum and maximum mean temperatures vary from 25.50 °C to 40.70 °C during summer. The soil of the study area is rich in alluvium and clay texture with pH 7.22 on average. This soil is perfect for agriculture and horticulture (BBS, 2022). The study area was covered by various planted timber tree species. The following planted species were dominant such as *Mangifera indica*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Swietenia macrophylla*, *Albizia richardiana*, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, *Samanea saman*, *Artocarpus heterophyllus*, *Delonix regia*, *Caesalpinia pulcherrima* and *Citrus maxima* etc. Homestead forests are accelerating at a geometrical rate to fulfill the demand for fuel wood and timber. Massive plantations have been started in the study area with the help of some selected forest tree species. Before plantation, the area was included in cultivation land and different types of agronomical and horticultural crops were grown such as *Oryza sativa*, *Corchorus capsularis*, *Saccharum officinarum*, *Litchi chinensis*, *Manilkara zapota*, *Ziziphus mauritiana*, *Averrhoa carambola*, *Psidium guajava* and *Musa sapientum*, etc.

Sampling and measurements

The study was carried out from January 2023 to December 2023. A systematic sampling method was used for the selection of plots. The geographical location of each plot was recorded using a global positioning system (GPS) and the size of each plot was 10 m×10 m. A total of land was 20 hectares for each species separately. Brown's model (Brown *et al.*, 1989) was used to estimate the aboveground biomass of each tree of each experiment plot. Several scientists suggested that allometric equations are one of the most suitable methods for biomass estimation in tropical forests (Alves *et al.*, 1997; Schroeder *et al.*, 1997). Trees height and diameter at breast height (DBH > 5cm) from ground level (1.30 m) of all trees were measured using a clinometer and DBH tape respectively. Trees on the border were included in a plot if 50% of their basal area fell within the plots and excluded if 50% of their basal area fell outside the plot. Trees overhanging the plots were excluded, but with their trunk inside the sampling plots, and branches out were included. Care was taken to ensure the diameter tape was put on the stem exactly at the measurement point.

Biomass, carbon stock, CO and release O estimation

The study was conducted in planted forest areas and all trees were measured with the help of tape and a clinometer. It was impossible to cut all the trees to estimate of biomass and carbon of trees. Some models were developed by Brown (1997), Luckman *et al.* (1997), Negi *et al.* (1988) and Brown *et al.* (1989). Brown's models (Brown *et al.*, 1989) was used to determine aboveground biomass because this method is the most suitable method for tropical forests (Alves *et al.* 1997; Brown 1997; Schroeder *et al.* 1997; Miah *et al.* 2011; Ullah and Al-Amin, 2012). This is the simplest method of estimating forest tree biomass in the tropics as it requires only tree diameter at breast height, total height and wood-specific gravity. While other models or regression equations require sectional diameter, this simply deals with diameter at breast height. The model is as follows:

$$Y = \exp. \{-2.4090 + 0.9522 \ln (D^2HS)\}$$

Where Y = Aboveground biomass in kg, H = Height of the trees in meters, D = Diameter at breast height (1.30 m) in cm, and S = Wood density in units of tons m^{-3} for a specific species (Brown 1997; Sattar *et al.* 1999).

Using these models' the aboveground biomass of each tree was estimated. From aboveground biomass of each individual's tree was calculated and biomass was converted to tons ha^{-1} and added to get the total aboveground biomass. Belowground biomass was calculated considering 15% of the aboveground biomass (MacDicken 1997; IPCC 2003; Miah *et al.* 2011). Belowground biomass was calculated for each tree. Aboveground and belowground biomass of trees was added to get the total biomass of trees.

$$\text{BGB} = \text{AGB} \times (20/100).$$

The total carbon (TC) of the tree was determined by using the following formula.

$$\text{TC} = (\text{AGB} + \text{BGB}) \times 0.50$$

Where, 0.50 is the conversion factor (Schroeder, 1997).

Besides, the carbon-di-oxide capturing (CO_2) was calculated by multiplying the total carbon stock by 3.67 (Kauffman and Donato, 2012) and then the released oxygen was calculated by multiplying the total carbon-di-oxide capturing by 0.727 (Pitol and Mian, 2022).

Data analysis

Biomass and carbon storage per tree were finally calculated using Xcel-365 software and figures were also made using Xcel and PowerPoint-365. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed by using Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS-20).

Results

Diameter and height of different ages plantations

Diameter, height and wood density are the most important indicators for estimating biomass and carbon of trees. Biomass and carbon were calculated based on the diameter, height and wood density of planted forest tree species in the study area. The study revealed that the diameter and height were 27.78 cm and 29.65cm; and 17.44m and 9.55m were found in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Swietenia macrophylla* at 20 years old respectively (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference ($df = 11$; $p = 0.658$) found between the DBH of the two species (Appendix 1) while their DBH were significantly different among their ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.000$) (Appendix 2). Moreover, the height of these two species was significantly difference ($df = 11$; $p = 0.002$) but not significant in their ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.694$) (Appendix 1 and Appendix 2). Comparatively higher growth performances were observed in *E. camaldulensis* than *S. macrophylla* in the same environmental conditions, management and equal ages (Fig. 1).

Mean Annual increment of diameter, height, biomass, carbon stock, CO_2 capturing and O_2 releasing potentiality of different ages plantations

The mean annual increment of DBH was insignificant ($df = 11$; $p = 0.629$) between these two species when they significantly varied among the ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.000$). Besides, the mean annual height increment was significantly varied ($df = 11$; $p = 0.034$) between these two species when they were not considerably diverse among the ages ($df = 11$; $p = 0.321$). It was found that the mean annual diameter increments and mean annual height increments were 1.93 cm and 2.06 cm; and 0.78 m and 1.24 m for *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* respectively (Fig. 3). The present study revealed that the value of the mean annual diameter increments and mean yearly height increment of *E. camaldulensis* was comparatively higher than *S. macrophylla*. The height and DBH growth became slower with the increase of the age of the plantation.

The aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, total biomass, aboveground carbon, belowground carbon, total carbon, carbon-di-oxide storage and O_2 releasing potentiality were related to each other and significantly differed from their ages (p -value varied from 0.001 to 0.023). The value was maximum for both species in 20-year-old plantations and minimum for 5-year-old plantations (Table 1). The highest aboveground biomass, belowground biomass and total biomass were $574.55 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $114.91 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $689.46 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ and $724.38 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $144.88 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $869.26 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ in *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* at twenty years old respectively (Table 1). The lowest aboveground biomass, belowground biomass and total biomass were $41.94 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $8.39 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $50.33 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ and $76.99 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $15.40 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $92.39 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ in *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* at five years old respectively (Table 1). Moreover, the maximum aboveground carbon, belowground carbon and total carbon were $287.28 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $57.46 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $344.73 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ and $362.19 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $72.44 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $434.63 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ in *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* at twenty years old. The lowest aboveground carbon, belowground carbon and total carbon were $20.97 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $4.19 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $25.16 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ and $38.50 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $7.70 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$, $46.20 \text{ kg tree}^{-1}$ in *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* at five years old (Table 1). However, the carbon storage varied from 5.03 to $17.24 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ while capturing CO_2 varied from 18.46 to $63.26 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and releasing O_2 varied from 13.42 to $45.99 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ for *S. macrophylla*. In addition, the carbon storage varied from 9.24 to $21.73 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ while capturing CO_2 varied from 33.91 to $79.75 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ and releasing O_2 varied from 24.65 to $57.98 \text{ kg tree}^{-1} \text{ year}^{-1}$ for *E. camaldulensis* (Table 1).

Table 1

Aboveground biomass, belowground biomass, total biomass, aboveground carbon, belowground carbon, total carbon, carbon storage, CO₂ capturing and O₂ releasing potentiality of *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in different ages

Species Name	Age of Plantation (years)	AGB per tree (kg)	BGB per tree (kg)	TB per tree (kg)	AGC per tree (kg)	BGC per tree (kg)	TC per tree (kg)	Carbon storage kg tree ⁻¹ year ⁻¹	Capturing CO ₂ kg tree ⁻¹ year ⁻¹	Releasing O ₂ kg tree ⁻¹ year ⁻¹
<i>Swietenia macrophylla</i>	5	41.94	8.39	50.33	20.97	4.19	25.16	5.03	18,46	13.42
	8	72.14	14.43	86.57	36.07	7.21	43.28	5.41	19,85	14.43
	10	98.16	19.63	117.79	49.08	9.82	58.90	5.89	21,62	15.72
	12	174.13	34.83	208.96	87.06	17.41	104.48	8.71	31,95	23.23
	15	326.20	65.24	391.44	163.1	32.62	195.72	13.05	47,89	34.82
	20	574.55	114.91	689.46	287.28	57.46	344.73	17.24	63,26	45.99
<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	5	76.99	15.40	92.39	38.50	7.70	46.20	9.24	33,91	24.65
	8	115.58	23.12	138.70	57.79	11.56	69.35	8.67	31,81	23.13
	10	169.07	33.81	202.88	84.53	16.91	101.44	10.14	37,23	27.07
	12	259.51	51.90	311.41	129.75	25.95	155.70	12.96	47,62	34.62
	15	418.36	83.67	502.03	209.18	41.84	251.01	16.73	61,41	44.65
	20	724.38	144.88	869.26	362.19	72.44	434.63	21.73	79,75	57.98
**AGB = Aboveground biomass, BGB = Belowground biomass, TB = Total biomass, AGC = Aboveground carbon, BGB = Belowground carbon and TC = Total carbon										

Discussion

The basic two functions of trees are capturing carbon dioxide and producing oxygen for curbing climate change and survival of life on this earth respectively. Nowadays we are concerned about the carbon and carbon dioxide storage capacity of trees. In this study, we also checked the oxygen release potentiality of two widely used fast-growing species in Bangladesh. Comparatively higher growth performances were observed in *E. camaldulensis* than *S. macrophylla* in the same environmental conditions, management and equal ages. It revealed that the diameter and height were 27.78 cm and 29.65cm; and 17.44m and 9.55m in *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* and *Swietenia macrophylla* at 20-year-old plantations respectively. The height of *S. macrophylla* increased very slowly and *E. camaldulensis* was very fast while both showed similar dbh growth (Fig. 2). Normally growth parameters are mainly influenced by genetic criteria which is known as genotype. In this regard, the following equation may be regarded as phenotype = genotype + environment. The present findings indicated that growth performances varied between the two species due to the genotypic criteria. The phenotype depends on genotype and environmental factors. Several scientists worked on the estimation of the diameter and height of forest tree species in Bangladesh. Rahman (2022a) found that the dbh and height of *Casuarina equisetifolia* at Inani and Teknaf Forest Ranges 14.10 cm and 12.70 m; 17.10 cm and 17.10 m; and 23.54 cm and 20.33 m for 5-years, 10-years and 20-years old plantation separately. (Rahman, 2022b). Besides, the dbh and height of *Acacia auriculiformis* were 5.03 cm and 4.27 m; and 10.35 cm and 8.28 m for 5-year and 10-year plantations at Pomra, Hosnabad, Rajanagar and Parua Forest Ranges under the Chattogram North Forest Division in Bangladesh respectively (Rahman, 2022b). Dey et al. (2022) found the dbh and height of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* were 10.6 m and 11.9 cm for a 5-year plantation, and 30.9 m and 42.7 cm for a 21-year plantation while Azad et al. (2021) found the dbh for 10.14 cm, 26.47 cm and 29.21cm for 5-year, 15-year, and 20-year plantations individually. It seemed that the height and dbh growth of *E. camaldulensis* was higher at every year plantations than the *C. equisetifolia*, *A. auriculiformis* and *S. macrophylla* and very close to *Hevea brasiliensis* plantations.

In our study, the mean annual diameter increments and mean annual height increments were 1. 93cm and 2. 06 cm; and 0.78m and 1. 24 m for *S. macrophylla* and *E. camaldulensis* respectively (Fig. 3). The present study revealed that the value of the mean

annual diameter increments and mean yearly height increment of *E. camaldulensis* was comparatively higher than *S. macrophylla*. Moreover, the height and DBH growth became slower with the increase of the age of the plantation. It exhibited that the tree grows faster at an early age. However, the mean annual diameter increment rate and mean annual height increment rate also varied from species to species and age to age. The mean annual diameter increment rates were 3.08 cm, 1.71 cm and 1.17 cm while the mean annual height increment rates were 2.54 m, 1.71 m and 1.02 m found in *Casuarina equisetifolia* at 5, 10 and 20-year trees (Rahman, 2022b). In addition, the mean annual diameter increment rates were 1.01 cm and 1.04 cm found in *Acacia auriculiformis* while the mean annual height increment rates were 0.94 m and 0.91 m found in *Acacia auriculiformis* at 5 and 10-year trees. There was a positive correlation between diameter and height, but the diameter and height rates varied from species to species.

The biomass, carbon, carbon-dioxide storage and O₂-releasing potentiality were related to each other and significantly differed from their ages (p-value varied from 0.001 to 0.023). There was a positive relation between the age and biomass, carbon, carbon-dioxide storage, and O₂-releasing potentiality of trees. The present study was conducted in the northern parts of Bangladesh which is situated in the hottest tropical regions of Bangladesh. Normally, biomass and carbon storage vary in different regions of the world. Scientists observed that carbon storage capacity varied from species to species due to ecological and management conditions (Rahman et al., 2019, 2020). A study was conducted in the tropical forests of Badamalai hills in India and reported that the average carbon stock of single tree species was 0.04tC/tree. It was also reported that the maximum value was 0.68 t C/tree found in *Ficus benghalensis*, followed by *Tamarindus indica*, *Spondias pinnata*, *Diospyros ebenum* and *Ficus beddomei* 0.51 t C/tree, 0.46 t C/tree, 0.30 t C/tree, and 0.22 t C/tree respectively (Pragasan et al., 2015). In this case, their findings were higher than the findings of the present study. However, wide variations in the biomass potential of a tree may occur due to differences in provenances, stand density, tree age, site characteristics, management, etc. Several scientists (observed that the total aboveground biomass in the range of 9.80 to 306.01 kg tree⁻¹ for *Gmelina arborea* and 7.25 to 314.61 kg tree⁻¹ for *Swietenia macrophylla* (Kawahara et al., 1981; Pitol et al. 2019; Pitol and Mian, 2022). On the other hand, Buante (1997) observed the total aboveground biomass of *Acacia auriculiformis* and *Gmelina arborea* in the ranges of only 15.71 to 49.08 kg tree⁻¹ and 9.18 to 68.58 kg tree⁻¹. The following tree species were included such as *Eucalyptus deglupta*, *paraserianthes falcataria*, *Swietenia macrophylla*, *Acacia auriculiformis* and *Gmelina arborea* and their values were 365.70 kg tree⁻¹, 90.70 kg tree⁻¹, 156.30 kg tree⁻¹, 248.20 kg tree⁻¹ and 114.80 kg tree⁻¹ respectively (Dey et al. 2022; Kawahara et al., 1981; Pitol et al. 2019; Pitol and Mian, 2022. Generally, the total biomass and carbon were estimated based on aboveground and belowground biomass all over the world. Several scientists reported that total biomass and carbon varied from species to species and different ages such as 776.90 kg tree⁻¹ to 1574 kg tree⁻¹ biomass was found in *Mangifera indica* at 25 years old (Ganeshamurthy et al., 2016).

Carbon dioxide is the most effective greenhouse gas which traps heat and increases temperature in different levels of the atmosphere. The elevated temperature adversely affects biotic and abiotic components of all types of ecosystems which is the main obstacle to the sustainable development of the environment. In this case, plantations sequester carbon dioxide and produce oxygen from the atmosphere through photosynthesis and act as sinks which help to reduce global warming. Carbon storage capacity is the most important for the development of plantations based on species. The same species contained different amounts of carbon when grown in different regions. Scientists observed variations in carbon storage with the age of the forest, stand condition, species composition, climate condition, physiographical position and degree of disturbance (Kanime et al., 2013 and Kumar et al., 2016). Biomass, carbon storage, CO₂ and oxygen release assimilation vary with species. Scientists reported that higher values were found in *Eucalyptus spp.* while it was the lowest value in *S. javanica* (Ganeshamurthy et al., 2019). Some species such as *C. camphora*, *S. babylonica*, *P. roxburghii* *G. robusta* and *Diospyros* sp. also have high values of carbon storage, and carbon assimilation. Some species particularly, *M. koenigii*, *Mimosasp.*, *F. auriculata*, *F. lacor* and *Dalbergia* sp. have low values. Myrtaceae was the family with the highest carbon storage, carbon assimilation and followed by Lauraceae Salicaceae and Pinaceae (Kaul et al., 2010). Maximum scientists (Chave et al., 2014) opined that carbon sequestration depends on single or multiple factors such as age, size, density and climatic conditions, etc. However, our study was conducted in a narrow zone of the country. A massive survey is required to assess the feasibility and potentiality of plantation forests. It is also a prerequisite for our fair share in the global carbon trade mechanism.

Conclusion

Plantation sequesters carbon dioxide and acts as a carbon sink and oxygen source in the atmosphere. Elevated carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is harmful to all living organisms of the terrestrial environment. So, plantations should be increased to continue the equilibrium balance of the environment. The present findings of the study indicated that planted forests with fast-growing tree species play a vital role in sequestering carbon and generating oxygen and their utilization demands are also high for the quality of timber and fuel wood. It is also remarkable that the planted forest tree species are well adapted to the selected study areas. The socio-economic conditions can be easily developed through applying silviculture methods in the social plantation programs. Therefore, policymakers, administrators and planters can choose *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* for the massive plantations based on environmental conditions.

Declarations

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to be grateful to the owners of the orchards in different locations. The orchard's owners entirely help during the research period. The authors are highly thankful to the owners of the orchards for the permission to survey their areas during the survey. In addition, they provided the following information source of seeds, age of trees, plantation period and management techniques.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

Ethics and Biosecurity Statement

We conducted our survey in private woodlands and home garden areas. We took the permission of the owner of the home gardens and woodlots. The authors also declared that no trees were damaged during the study. We did not use quarantine organisms or take any data from any protected areas. The scientific names of the two species we used followed the guidelines of the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants.

Data Availability Statement

Data will be made accessible on request.

Funding Statement

The authors' self-fund was used for data collection.

Author Contribution

All Authors participate equally.

References

1. ABARE -Jaakko Poyry., (1999). Global Outlook for Plantations, ABARE Research Report 99.9, Canberra.
2. Alam, M. S. and Masum, K. M. (2005). Status of homestead biodiversity in the offshore island of Bangladesh. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences*, **1(3)**: 246-253.
3. Alam, M. S., Haque, M. F., Abedin, M. Z., Aktar, S. (1990). Homestead trees and household fuel uses in and around the FSR, Jessore. In: Abedin, M. Z., Lai, C. K., Ali, M. O., (Eds.), Homestead plantation and Agroforestry in Bangladesh. BARI, Joydebpur, Bangladesh, 106-119 pp.
4. Alves, D. S., Soares, J.V. S., Amaral, E. M. K., Mello, S. A. S., Almeida, O., Fernandes, S. and Silveria, A.M. (1997). Biomass of primary and secondary vegetation in Rondonia, Western Brazilian Amazon. *Global Change Biol.*, **3**: 451-462.

5. Azad, AKM. Abul Kalam., Pitol, MNS., and Hara, Y. (2021). The role of Rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) plantation in carbon storage at Bandarbans Hill Tract, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Current Research*, 13(05), pp.17373-17377.
6. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics. (2022). Statistics & Informatics Division, Ministry of Planning Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh Dhaka, Bangladesh. 558pp.
7. Berges, L., Nepveu, G. and France, A. (2008). Effects of ecological factors on radial growth and wood density components of sessile oak (*Quercus petraea* L.) in Northern France. *Forest and Ecology Management*, **225(3-4)**: 567-579.
8. Brown, S. (1997). Estimating biomass changes of tropical forests: a primer, FAO Forestry Paper 134 eds. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO UN), Rome, Italy.
9. Brown, S., Gillespie, A. J. and Lugo, A. E. (1989). Biomass estimation methods for tropical forests with applications to forest inventory data. *For Sci.*, **35**: 881-902.
10. Buante, C. R. (1997). Biomass production of *Acacia mangium* Willd, *Gmelina arborea* Roxb. and *Acacia auriculiformis* A. Cunn ex Benth as fuel wood species in leyte' in Development Agroforestry Research, Philippine Council for Agriculture, Forestry and Natural Resources research and Development, Los Banos, Laguna, pp. 224-246.
11. Cairns, M. A., Olmeted, I., Granadas, J. and Argaez, J. (2003). Composition and aboveground tree biomass of a dry semi-evergreen forest on Mexico's Yucatan Peninsula, *Forest Ecology and Management*, **186(1)**: 125-132.
12. Chave, J., Rejou-Mechain, M., burquez, A., Chidumayo, E., Colgan, M. S., Delitti, W. B.C., Duque, A., Eid, T., Fearnside, P. M., Goodman, R.C., and *et al.* (2014). Improved allometric models to estimate the aboveground biomass of tropical trees. *Global Change Biology*, **20(10)**: 2177-3190.
13. Dey, T., Islam, M.D.A., & Jubair, SMR. (2022). Biomass and carbon accumulation in Northern Bangladesh Eucalyptus plantations: Effects of stand structure and age. *Asian J For* 6: 126-132. DOI: 10.13057/asianjfor/r060207
14. Ekholm, T. (2016). Optimal forest rotation age under efficient climate change mitigation. *For Policy Econ.* 62, 62–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.10.007>.
15. Falster, D. S. (2006). Sapling strength and safety: The importance of wood density in tropical forests. *New Phytologist*, **171(2)**: 237-239.
16. FAO, (2010). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010, Country reports Bangladesh.
17. Ganeshamurthy, A. N., Ravindra, V., Rupa, T. R. and Bhat, P. M. (2019). Carbon sequestration potential of mango orchards in tropical hot and humid climate of konkan region of India, *Current Science*, **116(8)**: 1417-1423.
18. Ganeshamurthy, A. N., Ravindra, V., Venugopalan, R., Mathiazhagan. Malarvizhi and Bhatt, R. M. (2016). Biomass Distribution and Development of Allometric Equations for Non-Destructive Estimation of Carbon Sequestration in Grafted Mango Trees. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, **8(8)**: 201-211.
19. Gibbs, H. K., Brown, S., Niles, J. O. and Foley, J. A. (2007). Monitoring and estimating tropical forest carbon stocks: Making REED a reality. *Environmental Research Letters*, **2(4)**: 045023:1-13.
20. Gren, I.M., & Zeleke, A.A. (2016). Policy design for forest carbon sequestration: a review of the literature. *For Policy Econ* 70, 128–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2016.06.008>.
21. Gupta, S. R. and Kumar, R. (2014). Biodiversity Conservation and Ecosystem services of Forests in Siwaliks of Northern India. *International Journal of ecology and Environmental Sciences*, **40(1)**:15-28.
22. Henry, M., Picard, N., Trotta, C., Manlay, R. J., Valentini, R., Bernoux, M. and Saint-Andre, L. (2010). Estimation tree biomass of sub-Saharan African forests: a review of available allometric equations. *Silva Fernnica*, **45(3B)**: 477-569.
23. Hossain. M. K., Alam, M. K. and Md. Danesh Miah (2008). Forest restoration and rehabilitation in Bangladesh. In: *Keep Asia Green Volume III: "South Asia,"* Don Koo Lee (ed.), IUFRO World Series Volume 20-II Vienna, pp. 21-65.
24. Houghton. (2007). Balancing the global carbon budget. *Annual review of earth and Planetary sciences*, **35(1)**: 313-347.
25. IPCC. (2003). Good practice guidance for land use, land-use change and forestry. Institute for Global Environmental Strategies (IGES), Japan.
26. Jashimuddin, M., & Inoue, M. (2012). Management of village common forests in the Chittagong hill tracts of Bangladesh: historical background and current issues in terms of sustainability. *Open J. For.* 2 (3), 121–137. DOI:10.4236/ojfor.2012.23016

27. Kanime, N., Kaushal, R., Tewari, S. K., Raverkar, K. P., Cheturvedi, S. and Cheturvedi, O., P. (2013). Biomass production and carbon sequestration in different tree-based systems of Central Himalayan Tarai region. *Forest trees Livelihoods*, **22(1)**:38-50.
28. Kauffman, J.B., Donato, D.C., 2012. Protocols for the measurement, monitoring and reporting of structure, biomass and carbon stocks in mangrove forests. Working Paper 86. CIFOR, Bogor, Indonesia.
29. Kaul, M., Mohren, G. M. L. and Dadhwal, V. K. (2010). Carbon storage and carbon sequestration potential of selected tree species in India. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, **15(5)**: 489-510.
30. Kawahara, T., Kanazawa, Y., and Sakurai, S. (1981). Biomass and bet production of man-made forests in the Philippines'. *Journal of Japan Forest Sciences*, **63 (9)**: 320-327.
31. Knapic, S., Louzada, J. L., and Pereira, H. (2011). Variation in wood density components within and between *Quercus faginea* trees. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, **41(5)**: 1212-1219.
32. Kumar, B.M. (2011). Species richness and aboveground carbon stocks in the home gardens of central Kerala, India. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 140 (3–4), 430–440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.01.006>.
33. Kumar, P., Mishra, A. K., Chaudhari, S. K., Singh, R., Singh, K., Rai, P., Pandey, C. B. and Sharma, D. K. (2016). Biomass estimation and carbon sequestration in *Populus deltoids* plantation in India. *Journal of Soil Saline Water Quality*, **8(1)**: 25-29.
34. Kuyah, S., Dietz, J., Muthuri, C., Noordwijk, M. V. and Neufeldt, H. (2013). Allometry and partitioning of above and belowground biomass in farmed eucalyptus species dominant in western Kenya agricultural landscapes. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, **55**: 276-284.
35. Li, X., Yi, M. J., Son, y., Park, P.S., Lee, K. H. and *et al.* (2011). Biomass and carbon storage in an age sequence of Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) plantation forests in central Korea. *Journal of Plant Biology*, **54(1)**: 33-42.
36. Luckman, A., Baker, J., Mora, T., Corina-da-Costa, F. and Frery, C. A. (1997). A study of the relationships between radar backscatter and regeneration tropical forest biomass for space borne SAR instruments. *Remote Sens Environ.*, **60**: 1-13.
37. Lukito, M., & Rohmatiah, A. (2013). Estimated biomass and carbon of teak 5-year (Case of Nusantara Superior Teak Plantation Forest (JUN) Krowe Village, Lembayan District, Magetan Regency). *Agritek J* 14 (1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.12988/asb.2017.7924>.
38. Mac Dicken, K. G. (1997). *A guide to monitoring carbon storage in forestry and agro forestry projects* USA. Winrock Int. Institute Agri. Development, P-19-99.
39. Menne, W. (2015). *Important differences between timber plantation and forests*. World rainforest Movement, South Africa.
40. Miah, M. D., Shin, M.Y. and Koike, M. (2011). Forests to climate change mitigation: clean development mechanism in Bangladesh. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, ISBN 978-3-642-13252-0.
41. Nair, P.K.R., & Kumar, B.M. (2006) Introduction. In: Kumar BM, Nair PKR (eds) Tropical homegardens: a time-tested example of sustainable agroforestry. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 1–10
42. Negi, J. D. S., Sharma, S. C. and Sharma, D. C. (1988). Comparative assessment of methods for estimating biomass in forest ecosystem. *Indian For.*, **114**: 136-146.
43. Nonini, L., & Fiala, M. (2019). Estimation of carbon storage of forest biomass for voluntary carbon markets: preliminary results. *J For Res* 32 (1), 329–338. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-019-01074-w>.
44. Pitol, M.N.S, Khan, M.Z., & Khatun, R., (2019). Assessment of Total Carbon Stock in *Swietenia macrophylla* Woodlot at Jhenaidah District in Bangladesh. *Asian J. Res. Agric. For.* 2 (3), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.9734/AJRAF/2018/46922>.
45. Pitol, M.N.S., & Bachchu, M.M. (2023). High carbon storage and oxygen (O₂) release potential of Mahagony (*Swietenia macrophylla*) woodlot plantation in Bangladesh. *Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences*, **30(1)**, 103498. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2022.103498
46. Pragasan, L. A. (2015). Tree Carbon Stock Assessment from the Tropical Forests of Bodamalai Hills Located in India. *Earth Science Climatic Change*, **6(10)**: 314-319.
47. Rahman, M. M. (2022a). Carbon sequestration capacity of *Casuarina equisetifolia* in the coastal areas of Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, **4(8)**:830-838.
48. Rahman, M. M. (2022b). The ability of carbon storage of *Acacia auriculiformis* in different forest areas of Rangunia upzila under Chattogram district, Bangladesh. *International Journal of Advances in Engineering and Management*, **4(8)**:815-822.

49. Rahman, M.T., Gurung, D.B., & Pitol, M.N.S. (2020). Comparative study of understory between exotic monoculture plantation (*Acacia Sp.*) and adjacent natural Sal (*Shorea Robusta*) forest. *European Journal of agriculture and food science* 2 (6).DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejfood.2020.2.6.204>
50. Rahman, R. R, Rahman. S, H. and Al-Amin, M. (2019). Carbon Stocks in Forest of the Kaptai National Park in Bangladesh. *Indian Forester*, **145(8)**: 699-706.
51. Razakamanarivo, R. H., Razakavololona A., Razafindrakota, M. A., Vielledent, G. and Alberecht. A. (2012). Below ground biomass production and allometric relationship of eucalyptus coppice plantation in the central highlands of Madagascar. *Biomass and bioenergy*, **45**: (1-10).
52. Rinnamang, S., Sirirueang, K., Supavetch, S., Meunpong, P. (2020). Estimation of aboveground biomass using aerial photogrammetry from unmanned aerial vehicles in teak (*Tectona grandis*) plantation in Thailand. *Biodiversitas* 21 (6), 2369–2376. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210605>.
53. Riutta, T., Malhi, Y., Kho, L.K., Marthews, T.R., Huasco, W.H., Khoo, M. (2018). Logging disturbance shift net primary productivity and its allocation in Bornean tropical forest. *J. Glob Change Biol* 24 (7), 2913–2928. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14068>.
54. Salazar-Iglesia, S., Sanchez, L. E., Galinda, P. and Santa Regina, I. (2010). Aboveground tree biomass equations and nutrient pools for a para climax chestnut stand and for a climax oak stand in the Sierra de Francia Mountains, Salamanca Spain, *Academic Journals*, **5(11)**: 1294-1301.
55. Sattar, M. A., Bhattacharjee, D. K. and Kabir, M. F. (1999). *Physical and mechanical properties and uses of timber of Bangladesh*. Report 57, Seasoning and Timber Division, Bangladesh Forest Research Institute Chittagong, Bangladesh.
56. Schroeder, P., Brown, S., Mo, J., Birdsey, R. and Cieszewski, C. (1997). Biomass estimate for temperate broadleaf forests of the US using inventory data. *Forest Science*, **43(3)**: 424-434.
57. Ullah, M.R., Al-Amin, M. (2012). Above- and below-ground carbon stock estimation in a natural forest of Bangladesh. *J. For. Sci.* **58**: 372-379.
58. Zhao, J., Kang, F., Wang, L. *et al.* (2014). Patterns of Biomass and Carbon Distribution across a Chrono sequence of Chinese pine (*Pinus tabulaeformis*) forests. *PLoS ONE*, **9(7)**: e 94966.

Figures




Figure 1

Natore Sadar Upazila map, yellow line below shows the scale, the yellow circle upper right side shows the north sign, red circle and red shape on the left side shows the study area.




Figure 2

Diameter at breast height and height of *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in different ages.




Figure 3

Mean annual diameter increment rate and mean annual height increment rate of *Swietenia macrophylla* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [APPENDIX12.docx](#)