

Identification and expression analysis of sesquiterpenes biosynthesis-related genes during the bark regeneration process of *Aquilaria sinensis*

Mengyao Yan

Hainan University

Guangzhen Zhou

Hainan Normal University

Haifen Luo

Hainan University

Zheng Liu

Hainan University

Yinglang Wan

ylwan@hainanu.edu.cn

Hainan Normal University

Research Article

Keywords: Agarwood, bark regeneration, sesquiterpenes, gene expression profile

Posted Date: June 28th, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-4560853/v1>

License:   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Abstract

Agarwood is a secretion produced by certain trees of the *Aquilaria* genus in the Thymelaeaceae family after they suffer external damage. It is a mixture formed by the infiltration of various secondary metabolites, including chromones, sesquiterpenes, and resins, into the wood. Agarwood is a precious traditional medicinal material and fragrance, but the molecular mechanisms underlying its formation are not well-understood. In this study, we employed a ring-barking method to remove the bark of *Aquilaria sinensis* over a large area. During the bark regeneration process, agarwood substances were stably produced alongside the proliferation of secretory cells. After 35 days of implantation, an increase in secretory substances was detected in the regenerated bark. By the 50th day of formation, the ethanol extract content reached 12.9%, increasing to 19.1% by the 135th day. We further identified sesquiterpene synthesis-related genes in *A. sinensis*. Gene expression profile showed that the expression levels of the genes related to the 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate pathway gradually increased, while the expression levels of the genes related to the mevalonic acid pathway continued to decrease. After 360 days of agarwood formation, we extracted the essential oil from the regenerated cambium layer by supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. We identified 100 characteristic secondary metabolites of agarwood in essential oils through gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analysis, including 27 sesquiterpenoids. In conclusion, our study provides new clues for a deeper understanding of the molecular mechanisms of agarwood formation and lays the foundation for the breeding of new agarwood varieties.

1. Introduction

When subjected to external mechanical trauma, pathogen invasion, or animal browsing, various tree species secrete complex resinous secondary metabolites that aid in wound repair and regeneration [1, 2]. After injury, plants of the *Aquilaria* genus within the Thymelaeaceae family produce resins containing a variety of chromone and sesquiterpene compounds, which emit a pleasant aroma [3, 4]. Furthermore, as these substances permeate and accumulate within the wood, they form a dark brown to black material that is denser than water, hence the term "agarwood," which is also used to describe this specialized wood [5]. In China, the naturally distributed *Aquilaria* species include *Aquilaria sinensis*, commonly known as "white wood agarwood" or "native agarwood," which is the sole botanical source of traditional Chinese agarwood and is predominantly found in regions such as Hainan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Yunnan, and Fujian. This native agarwood is a precious medicinal plant unique to China, and it is classified as a second-level protected plant in the "National Key Protected Wild Plant List" [6, 7].

In China, the utilization of agarwood has a long history, with the earliest records dating back nearly 2000 years to the Han Dynasty [8]. The unique fragrance produced when agarwood is burned, coupled with its physical property of being denser than water, rendered it a precious fragrance in ancient courts and among literati, leaving behind a rich legacy of poetic and literary works [9]. The application of new technologies has enabled researchers to delve into the medicinal components of agarwood [10]. For example, technologies such as gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) and high-

performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) have identified a variety of sesquiterpenes and chromones in agarwood, including agarwood spiralan-type sesquiterpenes and benzene-2-(2-phenylethyl) chromones [11, 12]. Among them, 2-(2-phenylethyl) chromone compounds exhibit anti-inflammatory activity, β -caryophyllene possesses antioxidant and antimicrobial effects, and diterpenoid compounds have antidepressant effects [13, 14].

Traditional agarwood is mainly produced from natural agarwood, that is, farmers search for and collect agarwood produced when agarwood trees are physically or biologically damaged in nature [15]. This method has extremely low yield and requires cutting down trees to obtain the agarwood deep in the trunks, causing great damage to the naturally distributed wild agarwood resources. Furthermore, variety of physically traumatic methods, such as drilling, cutting, or burning [16], are used to destroy and stimulate trees to produce agarwood. However, these methods result in low agarwood production rates and extended formation periods, failing to meet the socioeconomic demands for agarwood [17]. Consequently, agarwood remains a scarce resource, leading to high market prices. In recent years, to satisfy market demands, researchers and producers have invented methods that utilize chemical damage or fungal stimulation to shorten the agarwood formation time and increase production yields [18, 19]. Nonetheless, these methods inflict considerable harm on agarwood trees, and the subsequent harvesting process involves the felling of entire trees, resulting in the loss of forest resources. Therefore, research into the mechanisms of agarwood formation, improvement of agarwood production methods, and the cultivation of new varieties are of paramount importance for sustainable development of the agarwood industry [20, 21].

In recent years, significant progress has been made in the molecular mechanisms underlying the formation of agarwood [22–24]. So far, transcriptome sequencing has described the expression of terpene synthesis– or stress response–related genes during the agarwood formation process, but the molecular mechanisms of agarwood formation are not yet fully understood [25]. Notably, 2-(2-phenylethyl) chromones and their derivatives have been identified as key markers in agarwood formation, and their biosynthetic pathways have been hypothesized [26]. Furthermore, high-quality whole-genome analysis of *A. sinensis* was completed, and transcriptome sequencing analysis related to agarwood formation was also conducted. It has been noted that genes related to the 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate (DXP) pathway and the mevalonic acid (MVA) pathway were identified in the *A. sinensis* genome and actively expressed in the agarwood formation areas [27]. In the present study, we employed a ring-barking method to facilitate the formation of agarwood. This approach involved the removal of the entire bark from *A. sinensis* trees and the application of a self-formulated silicate-based protective gel to ensure the survival of the agarwood tree. We continuously monitored the encumbering process, analyzing the agarwood formation and the gene expression trends of the regenerating cork layer within 135 days after bark removal. After one year of agarwood formation, we collected the cork layer from the regenerated bark and extracted the essential oil for further compositional analysis. This study provides a novel method for agarwood formation and offers new insights into understanding the agarwood formation mechanisms of *A. sinensis*.

2. Results

2.1 Microstructure of the cork layer in *A. sinensis* and changes in ethanol extracts

In this study, *A. sinensis* trees were treated using the bark-ringing method to induce agarwood formation (Figure 1a). We successfully obtained bark regeneration samples at different stages of the planting process. A distinct color change was observed in the newly formed cork layer at the junction between the trunk and the bark in the agarwood formation area. Upon heating, this area emitted the characteristic aromatic scent of agarwood (Figure 1b, indicated by the arrow). The color change in the cork layer of the regenerating bark was slower at 35 and 50 days after planting, but by 119 days after planting, the wood at the agarwood formation site turned into a deep yellow-brown color, and by 135 days after planting, the color deepened to dark brown (Figure 1c). To track and understand the bark regeneration process of *A. sinensis* following the bark-ringing method, we collected regenerating bark samples at 15, 68, and 83 days after planting for sectioning, staining, and microscopic observation (Figure 1d). Fifteen days after bark removal, the bark began to regenerate, and an increase in the number of phloem vessels was observed in the regenerating cork layer. By 83 days, the phloem vessels had developed extensive secretory cells. Furthermore, we processed the regenerating bark samples from 35 days after planting using ethanol extraction. The ethanol extract content increased with the duration of the planting process (Figure 1e). At 35 days after planting, the extract yield was 5.5%. The extract yield at 50, 119, and 135 days after planting was 12.9%, 14.3%, and 19.1%, respectively. HPLC analysis revealed that the characteristic peak of agarwood tetrol in the samples gradually increased over time, and the chromatograms at 119 and 135 days after planting exhibited increased complexity, indicating a growing diversity of compounds (Figure 1f). (Aquilaria agallocha standard and Aquilaria alba tetraol reference results are attached.)

2.2 Identification of differentially expressed genes

To further investigate the molecular mechanisms underlying agarwood formation, we extracted RNA samples from the continuously sampled materials as shown in Figure 1d and conducted transcriptome sequencing analysis. Upon examination, the RNA-extraction quality and the base quality of the samples met the sequencing standards (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The results of Pearson correlation analysis indicated that for the three groups of replicate samples at different stages after planting (15, 68, and 100 days), the correlation coefficients among samples within each group were all greater than 0.99 (Figure 2a). We also identified differentially expressed genes between different samples (Figure 2b). There were 4,707 upregulated Unigenes and 1,715 downregulated Unigenes between 15 and 68 days after planting. There were 4,077 upregulated Unigenes and 1,722 downregulated Unigenes between 15 and 100 days after planting. Between 68 and 100 days after planting, 1,590 Unigenes were upregulated and 2,668 Unigenes were downregulated. The results revealed a higher number of differentially expressed Unigenes between 15 and 68 days after planting, suggesting that many pathways and Unigenes were involved in the agarwood formation process during this stage. The Venn diagram of the

differentially expressed gene sets showed that there were 1,121 common differentially expressed genes among the three sample sets (Figure 2c).

2.3 Validation by quantitative fluorescent detection

During the agarwood formation process, to gain a deeper understanding of the expression patterns of the differentially expressed genes at various stages, we employed quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) to validate the selected genes. These genes were screened from transcriptome data and were considered to play a key role at different stages of agarwood formation. Samples were collected at three stages of plant agarwood formation (15, 68, and 100 days), and their total RNA was extracted and reverse-transcribed into cDNA. Subsequently, using quantitative fluorescent PCR technology, differentially expressed genes that had been determined in advance were analyzed for quantification. These genes are involved in key steps of the agarwood biosynthesis pathway, including the synthesis, transport, and metabolism of aromatic compounds. For example, acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase (ATOB) is involved in the transfer of acetyl-CoA, and catalyzes the production of acetoacetyl-CoA by acetyl-CoA. Furthermore, geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (GGPS) is an important terpenoid synthetase, which can catalyze the binding of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl pyrophosphate (DMAPP). It produces geranyl diphosphate and releases pyrophosphate (PPi). The experimental results showed that the expression patterns of most genes were consistent with those obtained from previous transcriptome sequencing data, further confirming the accuracy of the transcriptome data (Figure 3).

2.4 Expression of genes related to sesquiterpene biosynthesis pathways at different stages of agarwood formation

To further analyze the expression trends of the key genes in sesquiterpene synthesis during different stages of agarwood formation, this study focused on the MVA pathway and the DXP pathway within sesquiterpene biosynthesis. The results revealed that the expression levels of the differentially expressed genes involved in the MVA pathway showed a declining trend, with significant decreases in the expression of ATOB, HMGCS, HMGCR, and MVAD genes from 15 to 68 days after planting (Figure 4). However, there were no significant changes in expression from 68 to 100 days after planting, although the MVAK1 gene exhibited a downward trend, albeit not significant, from 15 to 68 days after planting. As for the DXP pathway, the expression levels of the differentially expressed genes all showed an increasing trend. Specifically, the DXS, DXR, and ISPF genes exhibited significant upregulation from 68 to 100 days after planting, while the ISPE and ISPG genes demonstrated a stable upward trend in expression from 15 to 100 days after planting. The expression level of the ISPD gene showed a marked increase from 15 to 68 days after planting, and a gradual upward trend in expression from 68 to 100 days after planting (Figure 4).

2.5 Detection of secondary metabolites in essential oil of agarwood

To further understand the quality of agarwood obtained by the bark-ringing method used in this study, samples of the regenerated cork layer were collected 360 days after agarwood formation. The agarwood essential oil was extracted using the supercritical carbon dioxide method. GC–MS analysis detected a total of 100 volatile components in the white wood agarwood essential oil sample, with their retention times, names, and relative percentage content presented in Table 1. Notably, the sample contained 27 types of sesquiterpene components (Table 2).

Table 1. Chemical composition and relative content of agarwood essential oil.

NO	Retention Time(min)	Name	CAS	Relative content
1	6.296	Benzaldehyde	100-52-7	0.61
2	13.645	Benzaldehyde, 4-(1-methylethyl)-	122-03-2	1.25
3	21.409	Isoaromadendrene epoxide	1000159-36-6	0.06
4	21.885	Cyclohexane, 1-butenylidene-	36144-40-8	0.08
5	22.14	Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane-2,5-diol, 1,7,7-trimethyl-, (2-endo,5-exo)-	10359-41-8	0.14
6	23.066	Alloaromadendrene	10359-41-8	0.1
7	23.125	(+)-2-Carene, 2-acetyl	1000151-09-7	0.07
8	23.321	.beta.-Clovane	1000163-00-2	0.06
9	23.423	.alpha.-Maaliene	489-28-1	0.16
10	23.499	.beta.-Panasinsene	1000159-39-0	0.07
11	23.61	cis-Thujopsene	470-40-6	0.45
12	23.797	Agarospirol	1460-73-7	1.08
13	23.899	Patchoulene	1405-16-9	0.07
14	24.162	Aromadendrene oxide-(1)	1000151-98-4	0.43
15	24.23	Arctiol	36061-11-7	0.38
16	24.323	3,5,11-Eudesmatriene	193615-07-5	0.06
17	24.476	Guaiol	489-86-1	0.15
18	24.587	.gamma.-Gurjunenepoxide-(1)	1000156-15-0	0.33
19	24.697	Longipinocarvone	1000151-87-1	0.31
20	24.782	.alpha.-Guaiene	3691/12/1	0.07
21	24.884	Caryophyllene	87-44-5	0.36
22	25.224	Tetrahydrofuran-2-ol, 3,4-di[1-butenyl]-	1000131-84-0	0.72

23	25.377	cis-Z-.alpha.-Bisabolene epoxide	1000131-71-2	0.37
24	25.666	.beta.-Oplophenone	28305-60-4	0.09
25	25.734	13-nor-Eremophil-1(10)-en-11-one	54275-21-7	0.09
26	25.946	Spiro[adamantane-2,5'-[1.2]dioxolan]-3'-one, 4'-methylene-	126255-68-3	0.73
27	26.056	Nerolidol	142-50-7	0.37
28	26.15	Isospathulenol	88395-46-4	0.06
29	26.252	.beta.-Humulene	116-04-1	0.12
30	26.379	.alpha.-Cadinol	481-34-5	0.85
31	26.456	Dehydroxy-isocalamendiol	1005276-30-1	0.19
32	26.558	Selin-6-en-4.alpha.-ol	118173-08-3	0.33
33	26.617	1,4,4,7a-Tetramethyl-2,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-1H-indene-1,7-diol	121747-53-3	0.12
34	26.804	9-Tetradecenal, (Z)-	53939-27-8	0.07
35	26.872	Neointermedeol	5945-72-2	0.3
36	27.059	Hexadecahydro-benzo[de]anthracene	1000371-48-1	0.56
37	27.161	E,E-6,8-Tridecadien-2-ol, acetate	1000131-35-4	0.68
38	27.203	Isolongifolol	1139-17-9	0.43
39	27.331	1-Bromo-3-(2-bromoethyl)-nonane	70928-49-3	0.39
40	27.552	2,6,10-Dodecatrienal, 3,7,11-trimethyl-, (E,E)-	502-67-0	0.88
41	27.704	Nootkatone	4674-50-4	5.63
42	27.823	.beta.-Santalol	77-42-9	0.56
43	28.019	1,1,4,7-Tetramethyldecahydro-1H-cyclopropa[e]azulene-4,7-diol	1212211-43-2	0.34
44	28.121	Arteannuin b	1000212-12-6	0.9

45	28.299	Isoshyobunone	1000360-30-1	0.21
46	28.444	1,8-Cyclopentadecadiyne	4722-42-3	0.75
47	28.563	cis-Z-.alpha.-Bisabolene epoxide	1000131-71-2	0.51
48	28.656	Valerenol	101628-22-2	2.76
49	28.775	1,8,11,14-Heptadecatetraene, (Z,Z,Z)-	10482-53-8	0.79
50	28.834	Isobutyl (5-isopropyl-2-methylphenyl) carbonate	1000378-27-3	0.25
51	28.936	7-(2-Hydroxypropan-2-yl)-1,4a-dimethyldecahydronaphthalen-1-ol	92857-25-5	0.72
52	29.072	Nootkaton-11,12-epoxide	1000374-20-3	0.17
53	29.183	Nerolidyl acetate	2306-78-7	0.38
54	29.429	6-(p-Tolyl)-2-methyl-2-heptenol, trans-	39599-18-3	2.58
55	29.59	Humulenol-II	19888-00-7	0.78
56	29.76	Silphiperfol-6-en-5-one	20405-58-7	1.2
57	29.905	L-Glutamine, N-ethoxycarbonyl-, methyl ester	1000452-41-9	0.1
58	29.973	6-Decen-4-yn-3-ol, 2-methyl-9-(2-methoxyethoxymethoxy)-, (E)-	1000156-83-6	0.24
59	30.1	Bohlmann k2631	80367-94-8	4.55
60	30.194	(3R,3aR,4aS,5R,9aS)-3,5,8-Trimethyl-3a,4,4a,5,6,7,9,9a-octahydroazuleno[6,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one	66873-38-9	1.3
61	30.279	1-(1-Adamantyl)-1-phenylethanol	303797-64-0	0.68
62	30.372	Valerenic acid	3569/10/6	0.87
63	30.482	Ambrosin	509-93-3	3.08
64	30.567	Isoaromadendrene epoxide	1000159-36-6	1.99

65	30.729	Acetate, 2-cyclohexenyl-3-[1-(2-oxopropyl)ethenyl]-2,4,4-trimethyl	1000196-78-1	0.97
66	30.831	Benzene, 1-isocyanato-2-methoxy-	700-87-8	0.2
67	30.941	Valerenic acid, ethyl ester	1000453-13-8	0.38
68	31.001	Oxacyclotetradeca-4,11-diyne	6568-32-7	0.29
69	31.145	Octanoic acid, 1-ethenyl-1,5-dimethyl-4-hexenyl ester	10024-64-3	0.19
70	31.298	Estr-4-en-3-one	4811-77-2	0.67
71	31.434	Zederone	7727-79-9	0.68
72	31.527	Spirafolide	130838-06-1	0.36
73	31.587	3-(Benzyloxymethyl)hex-5-ene-1,2-diol	1000192-76-5	1.08
74	31.689	7,10,13-Hexadecatrienoic acid, methyl ester	56554-30-4	0.49
75	31.893	1,8-Cyclopentadecadiyne	4722-42-3	0.07
76	32.012	6-(1-Hydroxymethylvinyl)-4,8a-dimethyl-3,5,6,7,8,8a-hexahydro-1H-naphthalen-2-one	1000190-51-4	0.78
77	32.122	2,9-Heptadecadiene-4,6-diyn-8-ol, (Z,E)-	50816-77-8	0.23
78	32.216	Z,Z,Z-4,6,9-Nonadecatriene	1000131-11-7	0.28
79	32.309	trans-Valerenyl acetate	101527-74-6	0.35
80	32.7	Benzene, 1,1'-(1,6-hexanediyl)bis-	1087-49-6	0.17
81	33.176	Cedran-diol, 8S,13- 8S,13-	88588-48-1	0.1
82	33.286	7-Benzofuranol, 2,3-dihydro-2,2-dimethyl-	1563-38-8	0.16
83	33.405	9-Octadecenal, (Z)-	2423/10/1	1.53
84	33.906	1-Pentyn-3-ol, 1,4-diphenyl-	1000162-87-4	0.38
85	34.441	Picrotoxin	124-87-8	0.12
86	34.509	1,1'-Butadiynylenedicyclohexanol	5768/10/5	0.14
87	35.282	Reynosin	28254-53-7	0.23

88	36.098	1,1-Diacetoxy-9,9-diformylnona-2,4,6,8-tetraene	104970-92-5	0.05
89	36.812	8-Naphthol, 1-(benzyloxy)-	326875-68-7	17.43
90	38.103	Neoisolongifolene-8-ol	1000159-36-9	0.06
91	39.224	1-Heneicosanol	15594-90-8	0.23
92	40.116	Phthalic acid, propyl 6-ethyl-3-octyl ester	1000315-17-3	0.11
93	40.473	2-(2'-Methoxyphenethyl)chromone	1000422-88-7	0.15
94	40.864	7-Methoxy-2-phenethylchromone	1000422-88-8	2.83
95	40.991	Acetamide, 2-methoxy-2-phenyl-N-[2-(4-chlorophenyl)ethyl]-	327991-45-7	9.12
96	41.509	8-Methoxy-2-phenethylchromone	1000422-89-0	0.12
97	43.497	Fumaric acid, 2,4,4-trimethylpentyl dodec-2-en-1-yl ester	1000405-61-4	12.16
98	43.786	13-Docosenamide, (Z)-	112-84-5	0.57
99	44.203	Squalene	111-02-4	0.48
100	44.772	6,7-Dimethoxy-2-phenethyl-4H-chromen-4-one	84294-87-1	1.84

Table 2. Twenty-seven sesquiterpene molecules.

NO.	Retention Time(min)	Name	CAS	Relative content
1	21.409	Isoaromadendrene epoxide	1000159-36- 6	0.06
2	23.066	Alloaromadendrene	10359-41-8	0.1
3	23.321	β -Chloranil	1000163- 00-2	0.06
4	23.423	α -Terpinene	489-28-1	0.16
5	23.499	β -Caryophyllene	1000159- 39-0	0.07
6	23.61	Desulfurized ketene	470-40-6	0.45
7	23.797	Agarospinol	1460-73-7	1.08
8	23.899	Patchoulene	1405-16-9	0.07
9	24.23	Arctiol	36061-11- 7	0.38
10	24.323	3,5,11-Dodecamethyltriene	193615- 07-5	0.06
11	24.476	Guaiol	489-86-1	0.15
12	24.697	Longipinocarvone	1000151- 87-1	0.31
13	24.884	Caryophyllene	87-44-5	0.36
14	26.056	Nerolidol	142-50-7	0.37
15	26.252	β -Himachalene	116-04-1	0.12
16	26.379	α -Cadinol	481-34-5	0.85
17	27.203	Isolongifolol	1139-17-9	0.43
18	27.704	Nootkatone	4674-50-4	5.63
19	28.121	Arteannuin b	1000212- 12-6	0.9
20	28.299	Isoshyobunone	1000360- 30-1	0.21
21	29.183	Nerolidyl acetate	2306-78-7	0.38
22	29.59	Himachalol II	19888-00- 7	0.78
23	30.1	Bohlmann k2631	80367-94- 8	4.55
24	30.372	Valerenic acid	609870	0.87
25	30.482	Ambrosin	509-93-3	3.08
26	31.434	Zederone	7727-79-9	0.68
27	31.527	Spirafolide	130838- 06-1	0.36

3. Materials and methods

3.1 Plant materials

Three 8-year-old *Aquilaria sinensis* trees grown in the Dongping Farm in Yangjiang Town, Qionghai City, Hainan province, were used in this study. The permission was obtained from the Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization of China to collect plant samples. The specimens were stored at the the Hainan Key Laboratory for Sustainable Utilization of Tropical Bioresources in Hainan University (specimen numbers 21612-21613). The plants were identified by the first author, Ms. Mengyao Yan.

The experimental materials consisted of eight-year-old *Aquilaria sinensis* trees with a healthy trunk diameter ranging from 15 to 18 cm. The agarwood planting commenced in February 2023, followed by the continuous collection and measurement of the regenerated bark. The planting date was selected based on clear weather conditions, ensuring no rainfall within 3 days after planting. During the planting process, a complete ring of agarwood bark was removed using a bark ring-baring knife at a height of 10 cm from the ground on the lower part of the trunk and approximately 2 m on the upper part.

Subsequently, the bark was entirely stripped between the upper and lower ring-baring sites, and a self-formulated protective gel was applied, composed of 5% glacial acetic acid, 2 g/L sodium silicate, 5 mg/L glycine, 5 mg/L nicotinic acid, 1 mg/L naphthaleneacetic acid, and 1 mg/L indole-3-acetic acid. Fifteen days after planting, a peelable layer of regenerated bark began to form, marking the initiation of ongoing related research. The agarwood harvesting process paralleled the planting procedure, which involved stripping the regenerated bark and applying the protective gel to ensure the survival of the trees.

3.2 Determination of agarwood quality

The identification of samples was conducted in accordance with the forestry industry standard LY/T 2904–2017 for "Agarwood." The determination of ethanol extract content in agarwood was performed as follows: (1) Sample quantity: 2 g of the pulverized sample was weighed. (2) The sample was placed in a 250-mL conical flask, to which 100 mL of 95% ethanol was added using a pipette, sealed, weighed, and sonicated for 1 hour before being allowed to cool. (3) After cooling, the conical flask was removed, and the contents were filtered through filter paper. A 25-mL aliquot of the filtrate was pipetted into a previously dried and weighed evaporation dish, which was then placed in an oven at 65°C for 3 hours to dry. The dish was removed and quickly reweighed. (4) The ethanol extract content was calculated using the following formula, and the measurement was conducted twice. The absolute error between the two determinations should not exceed 0.3%, and the arithmetic mean was taken as the result of the determination. The result was displayed to two decimal places.

Formula:

$$X(\%) = \frac{m_1 - m_2}{m_x \times (1 - w)} \times 400$$

where m is the mass of the ethanol extract and evaporation dish, m_0 is the mass of the evaporation dish, m_s is the mass of the sample, g ; and W is the moisture content in the sample, %. HPLC fingerprinting analysis: A total of 0.2 g of the pulverized agarwood sample was weighed and placed into a capped centrifuge tube. Then, 10 mL of 95% ethanol was added using a pipette, and the tube was weighed and subjected to ultrasonic treatment in a water bath for 1 hour. The mixture was allowed to cool, and reweighed. Any weight loss was replenished with 95% ethanol, followed by mixing well and incubation. The supernatant was taken and filtered through a 0.45- μ m membrane filter for subsequent analysis. Alternatively, 2 mL of the ethanol extract filtrate was taken, filtered through a 0.45- μ m membrane filter, and used as the sample solution.

We conducted chromatographic conditions and system suitability testing using acetonitrile as mobile phase A and 0.1% formic acid solution as mobile phase B, following the gradient elution program specified in the table below; the flow rate was set at 0.7 mL/min; the column temperature was maintained at 31°C; and the detection wavelength was 252 nm. The theoretical plate count, calculated based on the peak of agarwood tetrol, should not be less than 6000. We accurately aspirated 10 μ L of the agarwood sample solution and injected it into the HPLC system for analysis.

3.3 Microstructural analysis of cork

Microstructural analysis of the agarwood cork layer involved the following staining procedure [28]: (1) We obtained sections of the agarwood cork layer, approximately 5-mm-thick and up to 3 cm in maximum length, and fixed them in 70% formaldehyde–acetic acid (FAA) for 48 hours. (2) The samples were dehydrated through a graded series of alcohol concentrations (60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 95%) for 24–72 hours per gradient, followed by 100% (v/v) for 1:12 hours to 24 hours, 100% isopropanol (I) for 12 hours to 24 hours, and dimethylbenzene for 8–24 hours. (3) The samples were infiltrated with infiltration solution I at 4°C for 24–72 hours, infiltration solution II at 4°C for 24–72 hours, and infiltration solution III at 4°C for 24–72 hours. (4) The samples were placed in embedding capsules. An appropriate amount of embedding medium was added, and the samples were vacuum-infiltrated for 5 hours and polymerized in a 37°C water bath. (5) The samples were sectioned using a hard tissue microtome to a thickness of 10 μ m, and the slides were baked at 60°C overnight. (6) The deparaffinization process of the sections is as follows: First, immerse the sections in Eosin Y Xylene I for 6 hours at a temperature maintained at 37°C; then, leave them overnight in Eosin Y Xylene II, also at 37°C; next, treat the sections in Eosin Y Xylene III at room temperature for 10 to 15 minutes, followed by another 10 to 15 minutes at room temperature in Eosin Y Xylene IV. Afterward, sequentially immerse the sections in 100% Ethanol I, 100% Ethanol II, 95% Ethanol, 90% Ethanol, and 80% Ethanol for 10 minutes each, and finally rinse thoroughly with tap water. (7) For toluidine blue staining, we stained the sample sections in the dye solution for about 2 minutes, rinsed them with water, and examined them under a microscope. We performed appropriate differentiation or no differentiation based on the depth of staining, rinsed with tap water, and dried the slides in a 60°C oven. (8) The sections were clarified in clean dimethylbenzene for 5 minutes and mounted with neutral resin. (9) The sections were examined under a light microscope, and image capture and analysis were performed.

3.4 RNA extraction and cDNA preparation from the regenerating bark of *A. sinensis*

At three distinct stages after implantation, namely at 15, 68, and 100 days, samples of *A. sinensis* bark were rapidly frozen using liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C . Subsequently, the cork layer was ground into a fine powder using liquid nitrogen and subjected to RNA extraction. The integrity of the nucleic acid samples was assessed through agarose gel electrophoresis, followed by the construction of transcriptome libraries. Utilizing transcriptome sequencing technology, nine *A. sinensis* samples, with three replicates at each of the three stages (15, 68, and 100 days after implantation), underwent paired-end transcriptome sequencing, yielding raw sequencing data. The proportion of clean data obtained after filtering the raw data from each sample was above 99.2%, while the percentages of low-quality data, reads with a proportion of N bases greater than 10%, reads consisting entirely of A bases, and adapters were all at very low levels, meeting the requirements for analysis (Supplementary Table 1). The percentage of base quality reaching Q20 and above, and Q30 and above was greater than 97% and 93%, respectively, for the filtered samples. The GC content ranged between 45% and 48% (Supplementary Table 2). Additionally, the balanced base distribution indicated high data quality, suitable for subsequent data analysis.

cDNA was synthesized from RNA using the FastKing RT Kit in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, and used for real-time fluorescent quantitative analysis. Gene expression analyses were performed using BMKCloud (www.biocloud.net).

3.5 Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction

We weighed 20 kg of dried and pulverized bark and loaded it into an extraction vessel. The flow rate of CO_2 was controlled at 20 L/hour, with an extraction pressure of 25 MPa and an extraction temperature of 40°C . The extraction duration was set at 2 hours, after which the sample was collected [29].

3.6 GC-MS analysis

The mass of the sample for analysis was 1 g. The chromatographic column used was an HP-5ms Ultra Inert (30 m $\times$ 250 $\mu\text{m}\times$ 0.25 μm). The temperature program initiated at 50°C and was held for 0 minutes, then ramped up at a rate of $5^{\circ}\text{C}/\text{minute}$ to 310°C , where it was maintained for 10 minutes. The injection port temperature was maintained at 250°C , with an injection volume of 1 μL using a non-split injection method. The mass spectrometry conditions involved an electron ionization ion source with an electron energy of 70 eV. The ion source temperature was set at 230°C , and the MS detector transfer line temperature was maintained at 280°C .

4. Discussion

In this study, we report for the first time a pioneering method for the formation of agarwood. This method induces the regeneration of agarwood bark and stimulates the formation of secretory cells in the regenerated cork layer, leading to the production of agarwood. Compared with traditional methods of

agarwood formation, this approach is characterized by rapid and continuous agarwood formation. Following the removal of the bark in *A. sinensis*, we employed a silicate sol formed by sodium silicate and acetic acid as a protective film matrix to prevent the loss of moisture and microbial invasion in the short term after bark removal. Additionally, an appropriate amount of vitamins was added to this matrix as nutritional supplements for the cell regeneration process, along with a suitable concentration of plant auxins as signaling molecules for the regeneration of cork formation layer cells. This protective film for *A. sinensis* bark effectively prevented tree mortality in practice and, in the process of promoting bark regeneration, accompanied by the proliferation of vascular bundle cells and the formation of secretory cells, led to the acquisition of more agarwood secretions.

The variation in ethanol extract content within the regenerating bark indicates that the content of agarwood secretions increases progressively over time following the planting process. The content already surpassed the requirements for qualified agarwood as stipulated in the forestry industry standard LY/T 2904–2017, reaching over 10%, after 50 days after planting. Concurrently, HPLC analysis revealed an increase in the number of characteristic peaks over time, particularly in the samples collected at 119 and 135 days after planting, where the complexity of the chromatograms increased, indicating an enhanced diversity of secondary metabolites during this stage. Concurrently, the area of the characteristic peak for agarwood tetrol also gradually increased, indicating an improvement in the quality of agarwood with the extension of the planting time.

The agarwood formation site in this study is located beneath the regenerating bark, where the barrier of the bark reduces the influence of external microorganisms on the formation area. After 100 days of planting, numerous cracks appeared on the periphery of the regenerating bark, and the samples collected after this period were often contaminated with microorganisms, making it challenging to extract qualified RNA samples. Therefore, we were only able to perform transcriptome analysis on the regenerating bark samples collected at 15, 68, and 100 days after planting to elucidate the expression patterns of synthesis genes for important sesquiterpene compounds in agarwood secretions. In agarwood, the biosynthesis of sesquiterpenes is closely related to the synthesis of isoprenoid precursors. The synthesis of these precursors can occur through the MVA pathway, which takes place in the cytoplasm, as well as the DXP pathway, which takes place in the plastids. Under the conditions of this agarwood planting technique, the expression levels of the genes related to the MVA pathway showed a declining trend, while the expression levels of the genes associated with the DXP pathway increased, suggesting that the DXP pathway may play a more critical role in this agarwood formation process. Additionally, qPCR analysis of multiple key sesquiterpene genes and significantly differentially expressed genes identified through transcriptome analysis further supported the accuracy of the transcriptome results.

To assess the practical benefits of this agarwood formation method in production practice, we obtained samples of regenerated agarwood bark 360 days after formation and utilized the supercritical carbon dioxide extraction method to obtain agarwood essential oil. The oil composition included 27 types of sesquiterpene components, with a relative content of 1.08% for agarospirol. Sesquiterpenoid

compounds possess significant biological activity and pharmacological effects in agarwood essential oil; hence, analyzing and understanding the content and characteristics of these compounds is of great importance.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript. We thank Omicshare (<https://www.omicshare.com/>) for RNA-seq analysis. The authors thank the herbarium of the Hainan Key Laboratory for Sustainable Utilization of Tropical Bioresources in Hainan University, J.Q. Guan for voucher specimen numbers, and Z.L. Lu, and Y.Q. Jiang for helpful comments on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

MY conducted the majority of the experimental work and drafted the manuscript. MY, LZ, HF and contributed to the experimental work. YW and GZ supervised this study.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31960064).

Data Availability

Sequence data that support the findings of this study have been deposited in the NCBI with the primary accession code PRJNA1102621

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The authors declare that all the permissions or licenses were obtained to collect the *Aquilaria sinensis*. and that all studies comply with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation for plant ethics in the methods section.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Li, W.; Chen, H. Q.; Wang, H.; Mei, W. L.; Dai, H. F., Natural products in agarwood and *Aquilaria* plants: chemistry, biological activities and biosynthesis. *Nat Prod Rep* 2021, 38, (3), 528-565.
2. Kristanti, A. N.; Tanjung, M.; Aminah, N. S., Review: Secondary Metabolites of *Aquilaria*, a *Thymelaeaceae* Genus. *Mini Rev Org Chem* 2018, 15, (1), 36-55.
3. Sen, S.; Dehingia, M.; Talukdar, N. C.; Khan, M., Chemometric analysis reveals links in the formation of fragrant bio-molecules during agarwood (*Aquilaria malaccensis*) and fungal interactions. *Sci Rep* 2017, 7, 44406.
4. Yang, J. S.; Wang, Y. L.; Su, Y. L., Studies on the chemical constituents of *Aquilaria sinensis* (Lour.) Gilg. V. Isolation and characterization of three 2-(2-phenylethyl) chromone derivatives. *Yao Xue Xue Bao* 1990, 25, (3), 186-90.
5. Yang, L.; Yang, J. L.; Dong, W. H.; Wang, Y. L.; Zeng, J.; Yuan, J. Z.; Wang, H.; Mei, W. L.; Dai, H. F., The Characteristic Fragrant Sesquiterpenes and 2-(2-Phenylethyl)chromones in Wild and Cultivated "Qi-Nan" Agarwood. *Molecules* 2021, 26, (2).
6. Mengling, H.; Shuyuan, Q.; LanJuan, H., Induction of chromones in excised lateral roots culture of *Aquilaria sinensis*. *Chin Tradit Herbal Drugs* 2010, 41, 281-284.
7. Wang, S.; Yu, Z.; Wang, C.; Wu, C.; Guo, P.; Wei, J., Chemical Constituents and Pharmacological Activity of Agarwood and *Aquilaria* Plants. *Molecules* 2018, 23, (2).
8. Farah, A. H.; Lee, S. Y.; Gao, Z.; Yao, T. L.; Madon, M.; Mohamed, R., Genome Size, Molecular Phylogeny, and Evolutionary History of the Tribe Aquilarieae (*Thymelaeaceae*), the Natural Source of Agarwood. *Front Plant Sci* 2018, 9, 712.
9. Li, Z. X., On the Defamiliarization Effect in Zhang Ailing's "A Grain of Sand: The First Incense". *JinGu Creative Lit* 2023, (38), 4-6.
10. Ma, J.; Wang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Gao, Y.; Yin, Z.; Zhao, M.; Zhao, Y.; Pang, X.; Wang, J.; Xue, W.; Tu, P.; Li, J.; Zheng, J., 2-(2-Phenylethyl)chromone-enriched extract of Chinese agarwood (*Aquilaria sinensis*) inhibits atherosclerosis progression through endoplasmic reticulum stress-mediated CD36 expression in macrophages. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2024, 320, 117411.
11. Yagura, T.; Ito, M.; Kiuchi, F.; Honda, G.; Shimada, Y., Four new 2-(2-phenylethyl)chromone derivatives from withered wood of *Aquilaria sinensis*. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)* 2003, 51, (5), 560-4.
12. Lu, J. J.; Qi, J.; Zhu, D. N., Antioxidant activity and structure-activity relationship of the flavones from the leaves of *Aquilaria sinensis*. *Chin J Nat Med* 2008, 6, (6), 456-460.
13. Hashim, Y. Z.; Kerr, P. G.; Abbas, P.; Mohd Salleh, H., *Aquilaria* spp. (agarwood) as source of health beneficial compounds: A review of traditional use, phytochemistry and pharmacology. *J Ethnopharmacol* 2016, 189, 331-60.
14. Pang, Y.; Yu, W.; Liang, W.; Gao, Y.; Yang, F.; Zhu, Y.; Feng, L.; Yin, H.; Liu, Y., Solid-Phase Microextraction/Gas Chromatography-Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry Approach Combined with Network Pharmacology Analysis to Evaluate the Quality of Agarwood from Different Regions against Anxiety Disorder. *Molecules* 2024, 29, (2).

15. Zhang, P.; Li, X.; Cui, Z.; Xu, D., Morphological, physiological, biochemical and molecular analyses reveal wounding-induced agarwood formation mechanism in two types of *Aquilaria sinensis* (Lour.) Spreng. *Ind Crop Prod* 2022, 178, 114603.
16. Ma, Q. W., The secret of Agarwood fragrance and resource protection. *Life World* 2023, (05), 48-53.
17. Tan, C. S.; Isa, N. M.; Ismail, I.; Zainal, Z., Agarwood Induction: Current Developments and Future Perspectives. *Front Plant Sci* 2019, 10, 122.
18. Liu, Y.; Chen, H.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, J.; Meng, H.; Chen, W.; Feng, J.; Gan, B.; Chen, X.; Gao, Z.; Huang, J.; Chen, B.; Chen, H., Whole-tree agarwood-inducing technique: an efficient novel technique for producing high-quality agarwood in cultivated *Aquilaria sinensis* trees. *Molecules* 2013, 18, (3), 3086-106.
19. Yan, T.; Yang, S.; Chen, Y.; Wang, Q.; Li, G., Chemical Profiles of Cultivated Agarwood Induced by Different Techniques. *Molecules* 2019, 24, (10).
20. Azren, P. D.; Lee, S. Y.; Emang, D.; Mohamed, R., History and perspectives of induction technology for agarwood production from cultivated *Aquilaria* in Asia: a review. *J For Res* 2019, 30, 1-11.
21. Chen, Y.; Liu, H.; Heinen, J., Challenges in the Conservation of an Over-Harvested Plant Species with High Socioeconomic Values. In *Sustainability*, 2019; Vol. 11.
22. Zhang, Z.; Gao, Z. H.; Wei, J. H.; Xu, Y. H.; Li, Y.; Yang, Y.; Meng, H.; Sui, C.; Wang, M. X., The mechanical wound transcriptome of three-year-old *Aquilaria sinensis* *Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica*. 2012, 47, (08), 1106-1110.
23. Qing, W. H.; Lei, W.; Xin, H. E.; Ling, B.; Xia, G. X.; Jing, Y. H.; Min, Z. W.; Science, C. O., Cloning of sesquiterpene synthase gene *As-SesTPS* from *Aquilaria sinensis* and analysis its bioinformatics and expression. *Chin Tradit Herb Drugs* 2014, 45, (01), 94-101.
24. T, Z., Study on the Production of 2-(2-Phenylethyl)chromone in White *Aquilaria Sinensis* Callus Induced by MeJA. *Guangdong: Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine* 2018, 1-98.
25. Wang, J.; Mei, Q. X., On Li Shizhen's research and application of Agarwood and its modern value. *Lishizhen Med Mater Med* 2021, 32, (05), 1242-1248.
26. Xu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, M.; Wei, J.; Chen, H.; Gao, Z.; Sui, C.; Luo, H.; Zhang, X.; Yang, Y.; Meng, H.; Li, W., Identification of genes related to agarwood formation: transcriptome analysis of healthy and wounded tissues of *Aquilaria sinensis*. *BMC Genomics* 2013, 14, 227.
27. Ding, X.; Mei, W.; Lin, Q.; Wang, H.; Wang, J.; Peng, S.; Li, H.; Zhu, J.; Li, W.; Wang, P.; Chen, H.; Dong, W.; Guo, D.; Cai, C.; Huang, S.; Cui, P.; Dai, H., Genome sequence of the agarwood tree *Aquilaria sinensis* (Lour.) Spreng: the first chromosome-level draft genome in the Thymelaeaceae family. *Gigascience* 2020, 9, (3).
28. Gao, J. Q.; Liu, J.; Jiao, L. C.; Yin, Y. F.; Chai, X. Y.; Chen, S. Y.; Huang, L. Q., Microscopic histochemical comparison during mountain-agarwood formation. *Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi* 2020, 45, (16), 3797-3804.
29. Begum, M., Chemical constituents of agarwood oil in trade with special reference to Golaghat district of Assam. *Indian Perfumer* 2020, 64, (2).

Figures




Figure 1

Cambial regeneration process after ring-barking for agarwood formation

(a) On-site bark ring-barking of agarwood; (b) the trunk sections with regenerated phloem layers removed at 15, 85, and 135 days after bark removal; (c) samples of the regenerated phloem layers at 35, 50, 119, and 135 days after bark removal; (d) abbreviations: rph - regenerated phloem; xy - xylem vessels; (e) Bar chart of ethanol extract content: changes from 35 to 135 days, * indicates $P < 0.05$, ** indicates $P < 0.01$, *** indicates $P < 0.001$; (f) High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) characteristic peak chart.




Figure 2

Differential gene expression among samples at 15, 68, and 100 days after agarwood formation.

(a) Pearson correlation heat map for three different stages at 15, 68, and 100 days after implantation, with three replicates, totaling nine white *Aquilaria* samples; (b) bar chart of differentially expressed genes at three different stages after implantation. UP indicates the number of upregulated genes, DOWN indicates the number of downregulated genes; (c) Venn diagram of the differentially expressed genes at three different stages after implantation at 15, 68, and 100 days.




Figure 3

Expression levels of differential agarwood genes at various stages of formation.

The bar chart represents the transcriptomic expression levels, while the line chart represents the fluorescence quantitative expression levels. Gene symbols: ATOB: acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase; FDFTO: farnesyl-diphosphate farnesyltransferase; FDPS: farnesyl-diphosphate synthase; FLDH: NAD⁺-dependent farnesol dehydrogenase; GDPS: geranyl-diphosphate synthase; GGPS: geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase; ISPH: 4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl diphosphate reductase.




Figure 4

Expression of differential genes in the sesquiterpene biosynthetic pathway.

Abbreviations: Acetyl-CoA: acetyl-CoA; Acetoacetyl-CoA: acetoacetyl-CoA; HMG-CoA: 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA; MVA: mevalonic acid; MVAP: phosphomevalonic acid; MVAPP: mevalonate diphosphate; IPP: isopentenyl diphosphate; DMAPP: dimethylallyl diphosphate; PA + G3P: pyruvic acid + glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate; DXP: 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate; MEP: 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate; CDP-ME: cytidine diphosphate-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol; CDP-MEP: cytidine diphosphate-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 2-phosphate; MEcPP: 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphate; HMBPP: 1-hydroxy-2-methyl-2-(E)-butenyl 4-diphosphate.

ATOB: acetyl-CoA C-acetyltransferase; *HMGCS*: hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA synthase; *HMGCR*: hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA reductase (NADPH); *MVAK1*: mevalonate kinase; *MVAD*: diphosphomevalonate decarboxylase; *IDI*: isopentenyl-diphosphate Delta-isomerase; *DXS*: 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate synthase; *DXR*: 1-deoxy-D-xylulose-5-phosphate reductoisomerase; *ISPD*: 2-C-

methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate cytidyltransferase; *ISPE*: 4-diphosphocytidyl-2-C-methyl-D-erythritol kinase; *ISPF*: 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphate synthase; *SPG*: (E)-4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl- di phosphate synthase.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementaryTable.xlsx](#)