



Variation in the outcomes of an ant-plant system: Fire and leaf fungus infection reduce benefits to plants with extrafloral nectaries

L. P. Pires^a and K. Del-Claro^{b*}

Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Laboratório de Ecologia Comportamental e de Interação, Instituto de Biologia, MG, Brazil

Abstract

Interactions between species are evolutionary malleable and may suffer changes in small timescales. Environmental disturbances, such as fire, can deeply affect species interactions, but how they influence the outcome of a mutualistic interaction has yet to be studied. In order to test the hypothesis that an environmental disturbance, in this case fire, may produce differences in the outcome of the association of ants with the extrafloral-nectaries-bearing plant *Qualea multiflora* Mart. (Myrtales: Vochysiaceae), a previous study was replicated, but this time after fire incidence, at the same study site and with the same plant species. Eight ant species visited *Q. multiflora*, and the most abundant genera were *Crematogaster*, *Cephalotes*, and *Camponotus*. Herbivores were found in branches with and without ants with no statistical difference, but foliar herbivory was always higher in branches where ants were absent. Leaves were infested by fungi, and fungi spots were higher in branches where ants were present. Compared to the previous study, it was clearly observed that ant benefits to *Q. multiflora* varied over time. The most common ant species still protected leaves against chewing herbivores, but a new kind of leaf damage appeared, namely fungi spots. Data also support that ants may be acting as vectors of fungi spores on plants, as ant visited branches had higher fungus incidence than non-visited branches. Fire is a major source of disturbance in tropical savannas, and we suggest that it can cause strong variation in the outcomes of interactions between ants and plants with extrafloral nectaries in the Brazilian tropical savanna.

Keywords: arthropods, cerrado, fungus, mutualism, *Qualea multiflora*

Correspondence: ^a lpaulopires@yahoo.com.br, ^b delclaro@ufu.br, *Corresponding author

Editor: Nadir Erbilgin was editor of this paper.

Received: 30 August 2012 **Accepted:** 16 November 2012 **Published:** 7 July 2014

Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.

ISSN: 1536-2442 | Vol. 14, Number 84

Cite this paper as:

Pires LP, Del-Claro K. 2014. Variation in the outcomes of an ant-plant system: Fire and leaf fungus infection reduce benefits to plants with extrafloral nectaries. *Journal of Insect Science* 14(84). Available online: <http://www.insectscience.org/14.84>

Introduction

In ant-plant interactions, plants offer resources such as shelter (domatia, dead stems) and/or food (exudates, food bodies) to ants, who in return may protect the plants against herbivores, which they may prey upon or drive away (Rico-Gray and Oliveira 2007). Extrafloral nectar (EFN) is the most common nutritional reward that plants offer to ants (Koptur 1992), increasing survivorship, growth and reproduction of associated ant colonies (Byk and Del-Claro 2011). EFN-producing glands have been present in Angiosperms since at least the Oligocene (Pemberton 1992), attracting ants that eat, molest, or drive away herbivores, thus reducing leaf area loss (Rutter and Rausher 2004) and/or increasing fruit (Nascimento and Del-Claro 2010) and seed production (Vesprini et al. 2003), with a positive impact on seed viability (Sobrinho et al. 2002).

However, the effectiveness of ant services to plants may vary from positive to negative. For example, Nahas et al. (2012) showed complementary effects of multiple predators resulting in benefits to a plant possessing EFNs. Some authors pointed out a few ant partners as parasites (Ness et al. 2006; Byk and Del-Claro 2010), and others failed to detect any benefit of the presence of ants to plants (Rashbrook et al. 1992; Mody and Lisenmair 2004). Lack of ant protection can be explained by (1) differences in ant-foraging behavior among habitats (Inouye and Taylor 1979), (2) variable susceptibility of distinct herbivore groups to ant predation (Ito and Higashi 1991), (3) differences in the deterring skills among species of visiting ants (Dejean et al. 2000; Byk and Del-Claro 2010; Nahas et al. 2012) or (4) difficulties in assessing seasonal variation and long-term outcomes in the ant-plant-herbivore interactions (O'Dowd and

Catchpole 1983; Del-Claro and Oliveira 2000). In the latter case, although habitat disturbance, for example fire (Friese et al. 1997), is a cause of reduction in biodiversity (Bond et al. 2005), environmental disturbances influencing the outcome of plant-ant interactions have yet to be studied.

Of the world's biomes, ant-plant interactions are most frequent in tropical habitats, occurring in the full range of habitats where trees are found, from savannah to dense forest. These interactions are particularly pervasive in cerrado (Brazilian tropical savannah) due to the high incidence of insect- and plant-derived exudates on foliage, which promote intense ant activity on vegetation (Oliveira and Freitas 2004). The cerrado biome of South America covers about 2 million km², representing ca. 22% of the Brazilian land surface, and is the most diverse tropical savanna in the world (Oliveira and Marquis 2002). Despite its great importance in area and diversity, few studies on interspecific associations have been conducted in cerrados (Oliveira and Marquis 2002; Del-Claro and Torezan-Silingardi 2009).

In 1996, researchers performed an ant-exclusion experiment in a preserved cerrado reserve protected from fire occurrence, having as a model the tree *Qualea multiflora* Mart. (Myrtales: Vochysiaceae), which has extrafloral nectaries. The results showed that ants provide benefits to the plants, significantly reducing leaf area loss and increasing fruit set production (Del-Claro et al. 1996). By the end of the dry season of 1999 (October) up to 2003, that cerrado reserve suffered incidental fire that completely burned the area in the dry season for three consecutive years (K. Del-Claro, personal observations). Because fire is such an important environmental factor in savannah vegetation (Bond et al. 2005), it may

influence the nature of tritrophic interactions between ants, herbivores, and plants with EFNs. Thus, our current study repeated the experiments of Del-Claro et al. (1996) in the same study site and with the same system after measures to stop fires were taken and the vegetation clearly recovered. The main aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that environmental disturbance, in this case fire, may produce significant differences in the outcomes (reduction of leaf area loss and fruit set production) of an ant-plant association.

Materials and Methods

Field work was conducted from September 2008 to March 2009 in the cerrado *sensu stricto* (Oliveira-Filho and Ratter 2002) ecological reserve (400 ha) of Clube de Caça e Pesca Itororó de Uberlândia (CCPIU) – MG, Brazil (18°59'S; 48°18'W). Fishing and hunting activities in the area have been prohibited since 1984. Experiments took place at the same area in CCPIU where Del-Claro et al. (1996) established their field experiments. The regional climate is markedly seasonal with a dry winter (April to September) and a rainy summer (October to March) (for additional details about the study site see Réu, Del-Claro 2005).

Qualea multiflora is an arboreal, deciduous tree (Vochysiaceae) that possesses paired EFNs in leaf bases and floral pedicels. This species is common in the study site, at a density of 73 individual per hectare (see Del-Claro et al. 1996 for a detailed review). In September of 2008, trees (N = 19) of *Q. multiflora* of similar size (about 2 m high; similar number of branches and general aspect; phenological state: all of them without leaves and resprouting) were tagged with small plastic bands. Four branches similar in size and phenology (with resprouting gems) were selected in each

plant. By the flip of a coin, two of these branches were designated as control and two as treatment. In control groups, branches did not receive any manipulation and ants had free access to them. In treatment groups, ants were all manually removed from the branches, and the intersection of the branch and the trunk was covered with a 3 cm large adhesive paper strap and a layer of sticky resin was applied over it (Tree Tanglefoot®) to prevent ants from climbing. All structures that could be used by ants as bridges to get access to these experimental branches were removed, and the integrity of the sticky barrier was checked weekly. To ensure that the sticky resin did not interfere with the results in control branches, the branch and trunk intersection was covered with a paper strap, and the resin was applied only on one side of the branch, allowing ants to climb freely.

In order to sample EFN-visiting ants and plant herbivores, branches of both groups were checked fortnightly regarding number and species (or morpho species) of observed individuals. Voucher specimens were collected in non-experimental plants and deposited at the Museu de Biodiversidade do Cerrado of Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Brazil.

Herbivory (leaf area loss) in the first month of experiments (September) was considered zero due to the fact that plants were resprouting and without leaves. It was checked again three and six months later. To determine mean herbivory per branch, data of nine leaves per branch were recorded, three from the most apical part, three from the middle, and three from the most basal part, near the intersection with the trunk. This procedure was done without leaf removal. Measurements of herbivory rates were assessed by placing leaves on a transparent grid (divided into millimeters). An index of herbivory from each leaf was calcu-

lated as the proportion of points in the grid falling within damaged and undamaged areas of the leaf blade (e.g., Korndörfer and Del-Claro 2006). A new type of leaf area loss was observed during field data collection: leaf spot/blight fungus infection. This was also recorded. Additionally, the number of floral buds and fruits produced by each branch was counted.

The data on leaf herbivory per plant (%) was arcsine converted to achieve normality, and a repeated measures ANOVA (with a post comparison Fishers’ test) was performed to compare the mean percentage of herbivory between branches with and without ants over time (December and March). We used Mann-Whitney *U*-test to assess if the presence or absence of ants influenced the amount of herbivores in treatment and control branches. The Mann-Whitney *U*-test was also used to verify which of the groups (treatment or control) showed the higher percentages of foliar fungus spots. All statistical analyses were performed following Zar (1999).

Results

A total of eight ant species visited the EFNs of experimental plants, with the predominance of *Crematogaster*, *Camponotus* and *Cephalotes* genera (Table 1). All ant species visited all control plants, and in several cases more than two distinct species could be found simultaneously in the same plant. There was no

difference in the abundance of herbivores (chewing and/or sucking) in branches with (13.71 ± 8.06 ; $\bar{x} \pm SE$) and without (15.00 ± 8.09 ; $\bar{x} \pm SE$) ants ($U = 166$; $P = 0.879$, Mann-Whitney *U*-Test). However, the foliar herbivory differed between branches visited or not by ants ($F_{1,17} = 654.924$; $P < 0.01$, repeated measures ANOVA). Branches without ants showed a higher herbivory in both sample periods (Figure 1).

On the other hand, leaf fungus abundance was significantly higher ($U = 113$, $P < 0.05$; Mann-Whitney *U*-Test) in branches visited by ants (39.47 ± 2.59 ; $\bar{x} \pm SD$) than in branches not visited by ants (32.28 ± 2.205 ; $\bar{x} \pm SD$). Plants produced few buds and flowers, and there was no difference in fruit production between control and treatment branches.

Discussion

Interactions between species are evolutionary malleable and may suffer spatial-temporal changes even in small timescales in response to biotic and abiotic factors (Thompson 1999, 2012). Variations over time in meteorological and biotic (mainly species composition) conditions may directly influence the outcomes of ant-plant-herbivore sybranches (e.g., Rosumek et al. 2009). The results of our study support these hypotheses. Despite the maintenance of ant protection against chewing herbivores (reducing leaf area loss) over time (e.g., Del-Claro et al. 1996), a new type of loss in photo-

Table 1. Ant species visiting extrafloral nectaries of *Qualea multiflora* in the cerrado savanna vegetation of Uberlândia, MG, Brazil (September 2008 up to March 2009).

SPECIES		ABUNDANCE	MEAN OF INDIVIDUALS PER PLANT ± SD (STANDARD DEVIATION)
Ectatomminae	<i>Ectatomma tuberculatum</i> (Olivier, 1792)	8	0.80 ± 1.85
Formicinae	<i>Camponotus crassus</i> (Mayr, 1862)	73	7.30 ± 16.16
	<i>C. leydigi</i> (Forel, 1886)	36	3.60 ± 8.40
Myrmicinae	<i>Cephalotes pusillus</i> (Klug, 1824)	84	4.42 ± 9.78
	<i>Crematogaster</i> sp. 1	469	16.90 ± 51.18
	<i>Crematogaster</i> sp. 2	6	0.60 ± 1.85
Ponerinae	<i>Pachycondila villosa</i> (Fabricius, 1804)	4	0.40 ± 0.94
Pseudomyrmicinae	<i>Pseudomyrmex gracillis</i> (Fabricius, 1804)	3	0.30 ± 0.73
Total		383	20 ± 16




Figure 1. Leaf area loss (herbivory in %) between branches of *Qualea multiflora* (N = 19 trees) without (treatment – white bars) and with (control – grey bars) extrafloral-nectar-visiting ants three and six months after the beginning of experiments. Different letters point out statistical differences (repeated measures ANOVA, $p < 0.001$). High quality figures are available online.

synthetic leaf area appeared after fire occurrence: fungul infection, spots that covered, in some cases, almost the whole leaf (>90% of leaf area). It is important to say that one cannot assert that fungus occurrence was caused by fire, it just appeared after it. Why did this fungus emerge only after fire? Very little is known about the effects of fire on endophytic and parasitic fungi biota (see McMullan-Fischer et al. 2011 for a review), but some authors suggest that there may be an increase in fungi diversity and abundance following stress caused by fire (Friese et al. 1997), as symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi occurs in orchid species in Australia (Brundrett 2007, 2009).

In the *Q. multiflora* case, one might ask if visiting ants are directly associated to the spread of leaf fungus infection. It could be considered as a negative collateral effect of a mutualistic association. Data showed that branches visited by ants (control) were more infested with fungi than ant-excluded branches. There are no published studies showing a direct influence of fire on fungul infection in plant leaves. This fungus could be an opportunistic infection transmitted by air (Levetin and Dorsey 2006), the visit of some undetected sucking bug (Stout et al. 2006), or it can

also have ants as a vector (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990). However, this hypothesis requires further investigation.

Abiotic factors, including light and nutrient level, are influenced by fire occurrence in the Brazilian tropical savanna and can modify the outcome of ant-plant interactions (Kersch and Fonseca 2005; Del-Claro and Marquis 2012). Fire can be associated with reduction in fruit production in *Q. multiflora* trees. In cerrado, fire destroys mainly the apical meristem of trees, altering plant architecture and soil quality (Kauffman et al. 1994; Bond and Midgley 2001). These factors isolated or in combination can be responsible for the reduction in bud, flower, and fruit production in the plants (Kaufmann et al. 1994; Medeiros and Miranda 2008), which prevented an investigation of the effects of ants on plant fitness, as previously observed (Del-Claro et al. 1996). Additionally, the successive occurrence of fire between the years of 1999 and 2003 may have amplified the negative effects in this ant-plant interaction. Fire can reduce both ant biomass and diversity, potentially reducing the ants' protective effect on plants (Langevelde et al. 2003). However, neither ant diversity nor abundance reduction was observed after dis-

turbance caused by fire. Ant species visiting *Q. multiflora* varied in abundance and diversity when comparing our data with that from Del-Claro et al. (1996). However, the common species associated with EFNs in cerrado, such as *Camponotus*, *Ectatomma*, *Cephalotes*, and *Crematogaster*, were the same as previously observed and remained actively visiting *Q. multiflora* trees even after fire. Other studies demonstrated that these ants have also remained active in other plants in the same study site (e.g., Byk and Del-Claro 2010). Nests sheltered in thick trunks and roots can survive fire occurrence and quickly produce satellite nests in dead branches of neighboring plants in Brazilian cerrado (Yamamoto and Del-Claro 2008; Knoechelmann and Morais 2008).

A major fire-coping strategy among Cerrado woody plants is vigorous resprouting after fire (Vieira et al. 1996; Otterstrom and Schwartz 2006). Following resprouting, cerrado plants are especially attractive to herbivores, not only due to the presence of softer tissues that have higher nitrogen content (e.g., Vieira et al. 1996), but also due to the fact that there are fewer food options for herbivores (Price 1991). In the cerrado, young leaves of plants with EFNs will produce more nectar than old ones (Korndörfer and Del-Claro 1996). Thus, more nectar and more herbivores will also attract more ants to the plants, considering that the majority of ant partners on EFN-plants in cerrado are omnivorous, feeding primarily on meat (live arthropod prey) and nectar (e.g., Del-Claro and Oliveira 1993; Moreira and Del-Claro 2005; Sendoya et al. 2009). Thus, one can suppose that visiting ants are especially important as a biotic defense for plants with EFNs after fire occurrence.

Another important difference between this study and that of Del-Claro et al. (1996) is

that following the fungal infection, which reduced the leaf area available to herbivores by almost 40%, no difference in herbivore abundance was observed between control and ant-excluded branches. Microorganisms that infest plants, such as fungi, can deeply mediate herbivore-host plant interactions (Fisher et al. 1986; Bergelson and Lawton 1988). Endophytic fungi infection can negatively affect the performance and survival of herbivores on host plants (Hammon and Faeth 1992). Fungal infection may also promote physical and nutritional changes in plant tissues, mainly by the production of mycotoxins (Strongman et al. 1990), which make leaves less attractive to herbivores (e.g., Cheplick and Clay 1988). Furthermore, Oki et al. (2009) demonstrated that fungal infection is higher in older leaves, which may be an explanation for the non-significant slight increase in herbivory during the study period, since herbivores may avoid infected leaves. Thus, one might suspect that in continuance of fungal infection, the diversity of associated fauna to *Q. multiflora* trees will be reduced.

Ecological forces promote changes in the outcomes of mutualistic interactions, so that they may become conditional mutualisms (Del-Claro 2004). Environmental disturbances are examples of such forces and they can deeply affect community structure and species interactions in many ways (e.g., cascade effects) (Marquis 2005). Fire is a major source of disturbance in tropical savannas (Oliveira and Marquis 2002, and references therein), and the data obtained in this study suggest that it can cause variation in the association of ants and EFN-bearing plants in the Brazilian tropical savanna.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Vanessa S. S. Moreira, Jean C. Santos, and one anonymous reviewer for enlightening comments on earlier versions of the manuscript. L. Paulo Pires would like to thank Diego P. C. Teodoro for the valuable assistance during field work. L. Paulo Pires acknowledges Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU) and Fapemig for financial support to conduct this work. K. Del-Claro thanks CNPq (472046/2011-0; 473055/2012-0; 301248/2009-5) and CAPES for research grants.

References

- Bergelson JM, Lawton JH. 1988. Does foliar damage influence predation on the insect herbivores of birch? *Ecology* 69: 434-445.
- Bond WJ, Midgley JJ. 2001. Ecology of sprouting in woody plants: the persistence niche. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16: 45-51.
- Bond WJ, Woodward FI, Midgley GF. 2005. The global distribution of ecosystems in a world without fire. *New Phytology* 165: 525-538.
- Brundrett MC. 2007. Scientific approaches to Australian temperate terrestrial orchid conservation. *Australian Journal of Botany* 55: 293-307.
- Brundrett MC. 2009. Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant Soil* 320: 37-77.
- Byk J, Del-Claro K. 2010. Nectar- and pollen-gathering *Cephalotes* ants provide no protection against herbivory: a new manipulative experiment to test ant protective capabilities. *Acta Ethologica* 13: 33-38.
- Byk J, Del-Claro K. 2011. Ant-plant interaction in the Neotropical savanna: direct beneficial effects of extrafloral nectar on ant colony fitness. *Population Ecology* 53: 327-332.
- Cheplick GP, Clay K. 1988. Acquired chemical defences of grasses: the role of fungal endophytes. *Oikos* 52: 309-318.
- Dejean A, Orivel J, Durand JL, Ngnegueu PR, Bourgoin T, Gibernau M. 2000. Interference between ant species distribution in different habitats and the density of a maize pest. *Sociobiology* 35: 175-189.
- Del-Claro K. 2004. Multitrophic relationships, conditional mutualisms, and the study of interaction biodiversity in tropical savannas. *Neotropical Entomology* 33: 665-672.
- Del-Claro K, Berto V, Réu W. 1996. Effect of herbivore deterrence by ants on the fruit set of an extrafloral nectary plant, *Qualea multiflora* (Vochysiaceae). *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 12: 887-892.
- Del-Claro K, Oliveira PS. 2000. Conditional outcomes in a neotropical treehopper-ant association: temporal and species-specific variation in ant protection and homopteran fecundity. *Oecologia* 124: 156-165.
- Del-Claro K, Torezan-Silingardi HM. 2009. Insect-plant interactions: new pathways to a better comprehension of ecological communities in neotropical savannas. *Neotropical Entomology* 38: 159-164.
- Fisher PJ, Anson AE, Petrini O. 1986. Fungal endophytes in *Ulex europaeus* and *Ulex galli*.

Transactions of the British Mycological Society 86: 153-156.

Friese C, Morris S, Allen M. 1997. Disturbance in natural ecosystems: scaling from fungal diversity to ecosystem functioning. In: Wicklow D, Söderström BE, Editors. pp. 47-63. *The mycota: a comprehensive treatise on fungi as experimental systems for basic and applied research*. Springer-Verlag.

Hammon KE, Faeth SH. 1992. Ecology of plant herbivore communities: a fungal component? *Natural Toxins* 1: 197-208.

Hölldobler B, Wilson EO. 1990. The ants. Harvard University Press.

Inouye DW, Taylor OR. 1979. A temperate region plant-ant-seed predator system: consequences of extrafloral nectar secretion by *Helianthella quinquinervis*. *Ecology* 60: 1-7.

Ito F, Higashi S. 1991. A linear dominance hierarchy regulating reproduction and polyethism of the queenless ant *Pachycondyla sublaevis*. *Naturwissenschaften* 78: 80-82.

Kauffman JB, Cummings DL, Ward DE. 1994. Relationships of fire, biomass and nutrient dynamics along a vegetation gradient in the Brazilian cerrado. *Journal of Ecology* 82: 519-531.

Kersch MF, Fonseca CR. 2005. Abiotic factors and the conditional outcome of an ant-plant mutualism. *Ecology* 86: 2117-2126.

Knoechelmann CM, Morais HC. 2008. Visitas de formigas (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) a nectários extraflorais de *Stryphnodendron adstringens* (Mart.) Cov. (Fabaceae,

Mimosoidae) em uma área de cerrado frequentemente queimada. *Revista Brasileira de Zoologia* 10: 35-40.

Koptur S. 1992. Extrafloral nectar-mediated interactions between insects and plants. In: Bernays E, Editor. pp. 81-129 *Insect-plant interactions*. CRC Press.

Korndörfer AP, Del-Claro K. 2006. Ant defense versus induced defense in *Lafoensia pacari* (Lythraceae), a myrmecophilous tree of the Brazilian cerrado. *Biotropica* 38: 786-788.

Langevelde FV, Vijver CADMV, Kumar L, Koppel JV, Ridder N, Andel JV, Skidmore AK, Hearne JW, Stroosnijder L, Bond WJ, Prins HHT, Rietkerk M. 2003. Effects of fire and herbivory on the stability of savanna ecosystems. *Ecology* 84: 3337-3350.

Levetin E, Dorsey K. 2006. Contribution of leaf surface fungi to the air spora. *Aerobiologia* 22: 3-12.

Marquis RJ. 2005. Herbivore impacts on tropical plant diversity. In: Burslem D, Pinard M, Hartley S, Editors. pp. 328-346. *Biotic interactions in the tropics*. Cambridge University Press.

McMullan-Fisher SJM, May TW, Robinson RM, Bell TL, Lebel T, Catcheside P, York AK. 2011. Fungi and fire in Australian ecosystems: a review of current knowledge, management implications and future directions. *Australian Journal of Botany* 59: 70-90.

Medeiros MB, Miranda HS. 2008. Post-fire resprouting and mortality in cerrado woody plant species over a three-year period. *Edinburgh Journal of Botany* 65: 53-68.

- Mody K, Linsenmair E. 2004. Plant-attracted ants affect arthropod community structure but not necessarily herbivory. *Ecological Entomology* 29: 217-225.
- Moreira VS, Del-Claro K. 2005. The outcomes of an ant-treehopper association on *Solanum lycocarpum*: increased membracid fecundity and reduced damage by chewing herbivores. *Neotropical Entomology* 34: 881-887.
- Nahas L, Gonzaga MO, Del-Claro K. 2012. Emergent impacts of ant and spider interactions: herbivory reduction in a tropical savanna tree. *Biotropica* 44(4): 498-505.
- Nascimento EA, Del-Claro K. 2010. Ant visitation to extrafloral nectaries decreases herbivory and increases fruit set in *Chamaecrista debilis* (Fabaceae) in a neotropical savanna. *Flora* 205: 754-756.
- Ness JH, Morris WF, Bronstein JL. 2006. Variation in mutualistic potential among ant species tending extrafloral nectaries of *Ferocactus wislizeni*. *Ecology* 87: 912-921.
- O'Dowd DJ, Catchpole EA. 1983. Ants and extrafloral nectaries: no evidence for plant protection in *Helichrysum* spp.–ant interactions. *Oecologia* 59: 191–200.
- Oki Y, Soares N, Belmiro MS, Júnior AC, Fernandes GW. 2009. Influências dos fungos endofíticos sobre os herbívoros de *Baccharis dracunculifolia* (Asteraceae). *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* 4: 83-88.
- Oliveira PS, Freitas AVL. 2004. Ant-plant-herbivore interactions in the neotropical Cerrado savanna. *Naturwissenschaften* 91: 557-570.
- Oliveira PS, Marquis RJ. 2002. The cerrados of Brazil: ecology and natural history of a Neotropical savanna. Columbia University Press.
- Oliveira-Filho AT, Ratter JA. 2002. Vegetation physiognomies and woody flora of the cerrado biome. In: Oliveira PS, Marquis RJ, Editors. pp. 91–120. *The cerrados of Brazil: Ecology and natural history of a Neotropical savanna*. Columbia University Press.
- Otterstrom SM, Schwartz MW. 2006. Responses to fire selected tropical dry forest trees. *Biotropica* 38: 592–598.
- Pemberton RW. 1992. Fossil extrafloral nectaries, evidence for the ant-guard antiherbivore defense in an oligocene *Populus*. *American Journal of Botany* 79: 1242-1246.
- Price PW. 1991. The plant vigor hypothesis and herbivore attack. *Oikos* 62: 244-251.
- Rashbrook VK, Compton SG, Lawton JH. 1992. Ant–herbivore interactions: reasons for the absence of benefits to a fern with foliar nectaries. *Ecology* 73: 2167-2174.
- Réu WF, Del-Claro K. 2005. Natural history and biology of *Chlamisus minax* Lacordaire (Chrysomelidae: Chlamisinae). *Neotropical Entomology* 34: 357-362.
- Rico-Gray V, Oliveira PS. 2007. *The ecology and evolution of ant-plant interactions*. University of Chicago Press.
- Rosumek FB, Silveira FAO, Neves FS, Barbosa NP, Diniz L, Oki Y, Pezzini F, Fernandes GW, Cornelissen T. 2009. Ants on

plants: a meta-analysis of the role of ants as plant biotic defenses. *Oecologia* 160: 537-549.

Rutter MT, Rausher MD. 2004. Natural selection on extrafloral nectar production in *Chamaecrista fasciculata*: the costs and benefits of a mutualism trait. *Evolution* 58: 2656-2668.

Sendoya SF, Freitas AVL, Oliveira PS. 2009. Egg-laying butterflies distinguish predaceous ants by sight. *American Naturalist* 17: 134-140.

Sobrinho TG, Schoereder JH, Rodrigues LL, Collevatti RG. 2002. Ant visitation (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) to extrafloral nectaries increases seed set and seed viability in the tropical weed *Triumfetta semitriloba*. *Sociobiology* 39: 353-368.

Stout MJ, Thaler JS, Thomma BPHJ. 2006. Plant-mediated interactions between pathogenic microorganisms and herbivorous arthropods. *Annual Review of Entomology* 51: 663-689.

Strongman DB, Strunz GM, Guigere R, Yu CM. 1990. Trichothecene mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium sporotrichoides* DAOM 197255 and their effects on spruce budworm, *Choristoneura fumiferana*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 16: 1305-1609.

Thompson JN. 1999. The evolution of species interactions. *Science* 284: 2116-2118.

Thompson JN. 2012. O futuro dos estudos em interações entre plantas-animais. In: Del-Claro K, Torezan-Silingardi HM, Editors. pp. 291–305. *Ecologia das interações plantas-animais: Uma abordagem ecológico evolutiva*. Technical Books Editora.

Vieira EM, Andrade I, Price PW. 1996. Fire effects on a *Palicourea rigida* (Rubiaceae) gall midge: a test of the plant vigor hypothesis. *Biotropica* 28: 210-217.

Vesprini JL, Galetto L, Bernardello G. 2003. The beneficial effect of ants on the reproductive success of *Dyckia floribunda* (Bromeliaceae), an extrafloral nectary plant. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 81: 24-27.

Yamamoto M, Del-Claro K. 2008. Natural history and foraging behavior of the carpenter ant *Camponotus sericeiventris* Guérin, 1838 (Formicinae, Campotonini) in the Brazilian tropical savanna. *Acta Ethologica* 11: 55-65.

Zar JH. 1999. *Biostatistical analysis*. Prentice-Hall.