Phenology, growth, and drought: how species interactions shape intra-annual tree dynamics in Mediterranean Forests

Przemysław A. Jankowski
przemyslawjankow@gmail.com
Institute for Forest Research
Marta Pardos
Institute for Forest Research
Torben Hilmers
Technical University of Munich
Guillermo Madrigal
Institute for Forest Research
Matías García
Institute for Forest Research
Rafael Calama
Institute for Forest Research

Research Article

Keywords: Intra-annual growth, Interspecific competition, Mediterranean forests, Tree water deficit, Mixed stands, Band dendrometers, Stress-gradient hypothesis

Posted Date: July 10th, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-7049303/v1

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at European Journal of Forest Research on April 15th, 2026. See the published version at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-026-01893-7.

Phenology, growth, and drought: how species interactions shape intra-annual tree dynamics in Mediterranean Forests

[1,3] Przemysław A. Jankowski, [1]Marta Pardos, [2]Torben Hilmers, [1]Guillermo Madrigal, [1]Matías García, [1]Rafael Calama

[1]*Institute for Forest Research (CIFOR, INIA-CSIC), Ctra A Coruña km 7.5., Madrid 28040, Spain*

[2] *Professorship for Tree Growth and Wood Physiology, School of Life Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Hans-Carl-von-Carlowitz-Platz 2, 85354 Freising, Germany*

[3]*Baligród Forest District, State Forests National Forest Holding, ul. Bieszczadzka 15, 38-606 Baligród, Poland*

Corresponding author. Przemysław A. Jankowski, przemyslawjankow@gmail.com

Abstract In Mediterranean forests, where seasonal drought imposes severe physiological constraints, the timing and dynamics of tree growth are shaped not only by climate but also by interspecific interactions. However, how these factors influence intra-annual growth phenology remains poorly understood. Here, we used biweekly band dendrometer data from 154 trees across seven pure and mixed sample plots in the Spanish Northern Plateau to investigate how stand composition and interspecific competition affect phenological events, seasonal growth rates, and tree water deficit (TWD) in four tree species: *Pinus pinea*, *Pinus pinaster*, *Quercus ilex* and *Juniperus thurifera*. We found that the identity of surrounding species significantly altered the timing and magnitude of growth, particularly in spring and early summer. Species mixing tended to advance spring onset in *J. thurifera*, but delayed it in *Q. ilex* and *P. pinaster*. Under strong interspecific competition most species showed increased growth rates during dry springs, consistent with the stress-gradient hypothesis. In contrast, *Q. ilex* exhibited the opposite pattern under wetter conditions, suffering increased TWD and reduced growth in mixtures. These divergent responses were driven by species-specific water-use strategies and seasonal asynchrony in root activity. Our findings demonstrate that interspecific interactions can either buffer or amplify climatic stress, depending on species identity and season. By linking cambial phenology, growth dynamics, and water deficit under varying competition contexts, this study provides new insight into the mechanisms of climate buffering in Mediterranean forests – and underscores the importance of species composition in supporting forest resilience under climate change.

Keywords. Intra-annual growth, Interspecific competition, Mediterranean forests, Tree water deficit, Mixed stands, Band dendrometers, Stress-gradient hypothesis

Funding. The study is supported by ETN Skill-For.Action project that has received funding from the European Union's HORIZON 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement No. 936355.

Authors' contributions. Conceptualization P.J., T.H., M.P. and R.C.; methodology P.J., T.H.; data collection – P.J., G.M., M.G, M.P., R.C.; data curation P.J.; formal analysis P.J.; writing—original draft P.J.; writing, review and editing P.J., M.P., R.C., T.H.; project administration M.P.; supervision M.P. and R.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests. The Authors declare no conflicts of interests.

Availability of data and material. 10.5281/zenodo.15808868

Ethics approval. Not applicable.

Consent to participate. Not applicable.

Consent for publication. Not applicable.

Acknowledgments. Authors wish to thank the technical staff of the Forest Service of Valladolid, Junta de Castilla y León, for their invaluable support in installing and maintaining the experimental trial at "El Carrascal" forest.

1. Introduction

Forests in the Mediterranean Basin are crucial to the environmental and economic stability of the region. They regulate ecosystem functions, buffer extreme weather events, and support vital services like water purification and aquifer recharge (David et al. 2016; Vicente et al. 2018; Appiagyei et al. 2022). However, the region is increasingly threatened by aridification, water scarcity, and land degradation (Kutiel 2019; Noto et al. 2023b), largely driven by declining spring precipitation (Bevacqua et al. 2022; Gonzalez-Hidalgo et al. 2024). These trends undermine forest productivity and carbon sequestration (Díaz-Martínez et al. 2023) and increase mortality risks (Noto et al. 2023a). Understanding the factors that sustain forest stability in Mediterranean forests under climate change is therefore essential. While forest management can reinforce resilience (MedECC 2020), the health of individual trees – reflected in their secondary growth – plays a crucial role. This growth results from complex physiological processes that unfold at an intra-annual scale and respond to climatic fluctuations (De Swaef et al. 2015; Lambers and Oliveira 2019).

Band dendrometers enable high-resolution monitoring of forest growth dynamics, providing insights into plant water status (De Swaef et al. 2015), the relationship between growth and short-term climatic conditions (Miller et al. 2022) and the amount of carbon uptake (McMahon and Parker 2015). Cambium activity in trees is broadly influenced by soil water availability, which regulates growth dynamics across seasons (Vieira et al. 2019), resulting in a more pronounced bimodal growth in drier sites (Tumajer et al. 2021). For instance, Mediterranean forests typically follow a bimodal pattern of intra-annual tree growth (Camarero et al. 2010; Campelo et al. 2018, 2021), with active growth occurring during favourable water conditions in spring and autumn, while pausing during the dry summer. Nevertheless, Mediterranean tree species differ in their sensitivity to climate, often resulting in species-specific growth rates – even within the same site, although differences are not always apparent (Tumajer et al. 2021). Such variability can alter the general bimodal pattern of intra-annual growth, demonstrating the plasticity of Mediterranean trees in adapting to diverse conditions (Gutiérrez et al. 2011; Camarero et al. 2021). Nevertheless, multiple factors modulate the timing and intensity of xylogenesis throughout the year. A deeper understanding of these processes is crucial for developing strategies to support tree vitality and forest stability under changing environmental conditions.

Under wetter conditions, when water availability is not the primary limiting factor, the bimodal growth pattern may decrease or even disappear (Martínez-Sancho et al. 2017; Campelo

et al. 2018; Askarieh et al. 2024). In general, Mediterranean tree speciesnahow a convergent respons to favourable spring weather, during which most of the annual growth is concentrated (Gutiérrez et al. 2011; Lempereur et al. 2015; Vieira et al. 2020). In summer, however, growth becomes highly variable, being closely linked to both current precipitation regime and residual soil moisture from spring (Vieira et al. 2014; Martín et al. 2014; Moreno-Fernández et al. 2021), which together shape plant water potential (Lempereur et al. 2015). Interestingly, drought tolerance varies considerably among species: less drought-tolerant, isohydric species (e.g., *Pinus pinea*) tend to strictly follow a bimodal growth pattern , while more drought-tolerant, anisohydric, species (e.g., *Quercus ilex*) can maintain summer growth (Mayoral et al. 2015; Sperlich et al. 2019; Campelo et al. 2021), thereby avoiding carbon starvation (Calama et al. 2023). Resuming cambial activity in autumn allows trees to recover from the stem shrinkage caused by summer drought (Martín et al. 2014; Vieira et al. 2019). Autumn growth depends on late summer and autumn precipitation, and only occurs if water availability is sufficient (Aldea et al. 2017; Vieira et al. 2020; Campelo et al. 2021). In winter, low temperatures and short photoperiods (Huang et al. 2020) inhibit cambial activity (Gutiérrez et al. 2011; de-Dios-García et al. 2018). However, in Mediterranean regions, winter temperatures may not be low enough to fully supress cambial activity, allowing for limited cambial activity even in colder month (Martín et al. 2014).

As Mediterranean tree species respond to seasonal fluctuations in water availability and temperature, they adjust their growth patterns through distinct phenological events. These adaptations are reflected in the phenology of both primary meristems (budburst, flowering, leafing) and secondary meristems (cambial activity), which together define annual growth cycles. However, as long-lived organisms, trees have limited capacity to track rapid environmental changes through phenological adjustments (Kramer et al. 2000; Huang et al. 2020). Photoperiod remains the dominant cue for growth initiation and cessation in many species (Huang et al. 2020). While warmer temperatures can advance growth onset and potentially prolong the wood formation period (Gordo and Sanz 2010; Rossi et al. 2016; Gao et al. 2022),these responses are not strictly linear (Morin et al. 2010). In fact, drought can override temperature-induced phenological shifts, constraining growth (Díaz-Martínez et al. 2023) and ultimately increasing mortality risk (Morin et al. 2010; Valeriano et al. 2021). The duration of cambial activity, determined by the onset and cessation of xylogenesis, may greatly influence annual growth accumulation, as shown for *Quercus ilex* (Lempereur et al. 2015, 2017). However, this relationship is not universal. In certain conifer species, such as

Pinus pinaster, Pinus ponderosa, and *Juniperus przewalskii,* it is the rate of growth, rather than the duration of the growth period, that primarily determines final wood production (Vieira et al. 2014, 2015; Ren et al. 2019). While temperature-driven responses tend to be consistent across species, responses to soil water balance are often species-specific. As a result, phenological patterns remain relatively stable in areas with moderate temperatures, whereas in drier environments, year-to-year variability in growth phenology can be substantial (Tumajer et al. 2021).

Interspecific interactions, such as competition or facilitation (Grossiord 2020), can significantly modify tree responses to environmental conditions and also shape intra-annual growth dynamics (Metz et al. 2020; Pretzsch 2022). For example, *Pinus pinaster* trees in mixed-species stands have shown enhanced intra-annual growth (Askarieh et al. 2024). Reducing stand density – and thereby relieving competition pressure – can promote the growth of remaining individuals, as demonstrated in thinning experiments involving *Quercus spp.*(Moreno-Fernández et al. 2021) and in mixed *Pinus spp.* and *Quercus spp.* forests in Catalonia (Collado et al. 2023). Daily growth of *Pinus pinea* and *Juniperus thurifera appears to be constrained* conspecific neighbours than by heterospecifics, indicating a competition reduction effect in mixed stands (de-Dios-García et al. 2018). Similarly, suppressed *Quercus ilex* individuals responded only weakly to increased light and water availability when surrounded by conspecifics, even after thinning (Aldea et al. 2017). However, other studies suggest that intra-specific competition may not significantly affect *Quercus ilex* growth (de-Dios-García et al. 2018). Both *Pinus spp.* and *Quercus spp.* exhibited synchronous daily growth responses to temperature and precipitation, particularly in spring (Sánchez-Costa et al. 2015a; Campelo et al. 2021), indicating they may compete within similar spatio-temporal niche (Aldea et al. 2018). However, such niche overlap appears limited to periods when water availability is not a major limiting factor, and competition remains low. As the dry season progresses, species may access different soil water pools, as shown for *Pinus halepensis* (shallow water use) and *Quercus ilex* (deep water uptake) (del Castillo et al. 2016).

A growing body of evidence indicates that in Mediterranean ecosystems, species with contrasting hydraulic traits can benefit from growing in mixed stands through *complementary mechanisms*, which may enhance growth and mitigate stress under climate change conditions (de-Dios-García et al. 2015; Calama et al. 2019; del Río et al. 2021; Pardos et al. 2021a; Vergarechea et al. 2021; Jankowski et al. 2024). Yet, few studies have investigated how interspecific interactions impact xylogenesis phenology and subsequent growth patterns. If

facilitation or more acute competition occurs in mixed stands, it may lead to altered responses to climatic conditions compared to pure stands, potentially resulting in distinct growth patterns.

Band dendrometers offer valuable means to capture these dynamics, as they allow fine-scale detection of tree responses to short-term climatic fluctuations under varying competition regimes (Deslauriers et al. 2007). Despite these advances, the role of interspecific interactions in shaping the timing and dynamics of intra-annual wood formation remains insufficiently understood - particular in water-limited environments, where seasonal climate constraints and and species-specific physiology interact in complex ways.

In this study, we investigate the intra-annual growth dynamics of *Pinus pinea* L. (*P. pinea*)*, Pinus pinaster* Ait. (*P. pinaster*)*, Juniperus thurifera* L. (*J. thurifera*), and *Quercus ilex* L. (*Q. ilex*), which are the primary tree species of pure and mixed stands of the Spanish Northern Plateau. We address the following questions: 1) Does stand composition (mixed vs. pure) alter the growth phases across species? 2) Do interspecific interactions modulate tree growth differently among species and across the growing season? 3) Is summer growth depression intensified or mitigated by interspecific interactions? These questions form the basis for three hypotheses, guided by the expectation that mixed stands generally promote higher growth performance and buffer environmental stresses.

Hypothesis I. Stand composition influences the phenology of the studied species, with trees in mixed stands showing shifts in growth onset and cessation compared to those in pure stands.

Hypothesis II. Interspecific interactions have seasonally distinct effects on tree stem growth. Specifically, we hypothesize that mixed stands enhance growth rates during favourable periods (spring and autumn) and to buffer environmental stress during summer.

Hypothesis III. Summer growth depression is less pronounced in mixed stands due to interspecific interactions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Area of the study

The study was conducted on the Public Forest "El Carrascal" (41°35'17.9"N 4°21'28.0"W), located in the Spanish Northern Plateau, at 885 m a.s.l. The forest covers a uniform area of ~ 1100 ha and is composed mainly of *P. pinea, P. pinaster, J. thurifera* and *Q. ilex* tree species, which constitute pure and mixed stands within the unit. The main soil types are calcareous cambisols and arkosic sands with water holding capacity of 176 mm × m^{-1} (de-Dios-García et al. 2018). Since 1985, the forest is owned and managed by the Forest Service of Junta de Castilla y León.

The climate is continental Mediterranean. Between 1996 and 2021, the climate was characterized by a) very hot summers (average maximum daily temperatures of 30 ± 2.5 °C during June - September); b) cold winters (daily temperatures during December-February of 0.2 ± 1.8 °C on average); c) lack of water supply during summer months (June - September), when the water deficit reached - 89 ± 31 mm. The mean temperature was 12.2 ± 6.5 °C and the yearly precipitation sum reached 444 ± 105 mm (Fig. 1: 1996-2021, Table S1). During the study period (2022–2024), climatic conditions varied across years, especially in autumn and winter. Autumn and winter were wetter in 2023 and 2024 compared to 2022. In contrast, spring and summer conditions were more stable, with modest interannual variation in temperature and consistently low summer rainfall. Spring precipitation ranged from 45 mm in 2023 to 136 mm in 2024, while summer rainfall remained below 80 mm each year. Temperatures showed only slight differences across seasons and years (Fig. 1, Table S2).

2.2. Experimental design

We collected the data on seven rectangular sample plots, ranging between 0.15 and 0.48 ha, which were installed on November 2021. The plots cover a total area of 2.05 ha. Four of the plots represent pure stands of the analysed species (*J. thurifera* (Jt)*, P. pinea* (Pp)*, P. pinaster* (Pt)*, Q. ilex* (Qi)) and three of them are mixed stands.

The characteristics of the plots and species-level dendrometric attributes are detailed in Table S3. All trees with diameter at breast height (DBH) over 7 cm (in *P. pinea, P. pinaster*) or 5 cm (in *J. thurifera*, *Q. ilex*) were inventoried. Basic dendrometric measurements of the trees were taken, including DBH (cm, tape), height (m; Vertex®) and position (X, Y coordinates, using distance from the plot centre [m] and azimuth [°]; Suunto®). On April 2022, we installed 15 stainless-steel band dendrometers (precision up to 0.01 mm) per plot and species, making

up to a total of 154 dendrometers. Trees selected for dendrometer installation spanned the full DBH range of each species. Detailed characteristics by species, stand type, and DBH class are presented in Table S4. Initial DBH after dendrometers installation was recorded. Since installation, changes in circumference were recorded 44 times, at intervals of 16 ± 1 days (every 30 ± 7 days during winter), spanning over a total of 26 months.

2.3. Single tree competition

Using the position of trees within each plot, we calculated the competition experienced by each tree where dendrometers were installed (subject tree). We used the distance-dependent *Hegyi competition index* (HGCI),which describes competition as the ratio of DBH of every *k-th* competitor tree (DBH_k), and the product between the DBH of *i-th* subject tree's (DBH_i) and the distance between the *i-th* subject tree and the *k-th* neighbour tree ($dist_{ik}$). The ratios are then summed up over all *n* competitors separately for every subject tree (Hegyi 1974):

$$HGCI_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n} {DBH_k}/{(DBH_i \times dist_{ik})}$$

We also quantified how much of this overall competition is due to heterogeneous species influence on each *i-th* dendrometer tree, as:

$$CI.inter_i = {CI.inter_{Abs|i}}/{HGCI_i} \times 100\ [\%]$$

where:

$CI.inter_{Abs|i}$: sum of competition exerted by heterogenous tree's species neighbours on dendrometer tree *i*.

$CI.inter_i$: interspecific competition rate (as percentage), indicating the proportion of total competition that is inter-specific.

2.4. Data preparation

Cumulative basal area increment (CBA; cm^2) was derived from raw girth increment measurements recorded on dendrometers. First, each tree DBH was converted to an initial girth. Subsequent changes in girth (as recorder by the dendrometers) were then summed up to estimate girth at each measurement date. From these girth values, we derived the corresponding basal area for each measurement. Next, we computed the daily basal area increment (DBA; cm^2 / day) by dividing the difference between consecutive basal area measurements by the number of days separating those measurements. The CBA for each tree was then obtained by summing up these daily basal area increments from the first measurement date until the last.

Finally, we reset CBA curves at the start of each year. A detailed step-by-step explanation of the calculations is available in Supplementary Information (SI), paragraph 1.

2.5. Phenological events identification

In order to analyse the course of observed values of CBA, we identified the *phenological events* (PE), as days of year (DOY) when a significant change in the tree's growth dynamics occurred. Taking into account the bimodal pattern of annual growth typical of Mediterranean species (Camarero et al. 2010), four main *phenological events* were proposed:

- *Growth onset (PE_1);* representing the moment when tree restarts girth growth after winter stop.
- *Summer cessation (PE_2):* representing the moment when tree reduces or even stops growth as a response to summer limiting conditions.
- *Autumn onset (PE_3):* representing the moment when, at the end of summer and in coincidence with the beginning of fall season rain, tree experiences a second period of significant growth.
- *Year cessation (PE_4);* representing the moment when tree reduces or even stops growth as a response to winter limiting conditions.

The PEs were identified separately for every *i-th* tree of the *j-th* plot within each year *y*. To prevent bias due to subjectivity on visual identification of the PEs, we used an automatized algorithm described in Jankowski et al (2025). The proposed approach relies on the premise that the physiological activity of the cambium depends primarily on temperature and water availability (Lambers and Oliveira 2019). The algorithm uses mean biweekly temperatures and precipitation sums to make a preliminary identification of growth periods. Phenological events are then identified by searching an inflection point within the period, defined as the moment when a certain CBA threshold is surpassed. The different steps of the algorithm can be summarised as:

- *Step 1. Determination of thermal seasons.* Assigning each two-week period of *y-th* year to a thermal season (winter, spring, summer and fall) and based on average temperature (< 8ºC, 8ºC - 20ºC, > 20ºC, 8ºC - 20ºC).
- *Step 2. Standardization of daily basal area increment.* To make daily basal area increment values comparable across years and trees within a species, we standardized them for all trees of a species, year by year, creating the standardized daily basal area variation measure.

- *Step 3. Identification of spring and autumn thresholds:* growth and autumn onset are identified based on the DOY within a thermal season when each tree surpasses an established threshold of standardized daily basal area increment.

- *Step 4. Identification of summer threshold.* Identified as the first maximum in the growth curve once seasonal summer has started.

2.6. Duration of the seasons

Because Mediterranean trees exhibit a bimodal growth pattern, each year contains more than one growing phase (Camarero et al. 2010; Vieira et al. 2013). From the four PEs described above, we additionally calculated the duration of the intra-annual growth periods, separately for each tree and year. It is common to establish intra-annual growth periods common to all the trees within a study (Vieira et al. 2013; Aldea et al. 2018). As we intended to explain the variability of period duration, we calculated them separately for each tree, as follows:

Spring growth duration ($SG_{|ijy}$) = $PE_{2|ijy} - PE_{1|ijy}$

Summer cessation duration (SC_{ijy}) = $PE_{3|ijy} - PE_{2|ijy}$

Autumn growth duration ($AG_{|ijy}$) = $PE_{4|ijy} - PE_{3|ijy}$

2.7. Periodical growth variables

In order to analyse intra-annual growth dynamics of the species, we calculated the rates of yearly cumulative basal area increment (ΔCBA_{Year} ; $mm^2 \times day^{-1}$) and periodical cumulative basal area increment (ΔCBA_p ; $mm^2 \times day^{-1}$), for two growth periods (*p = Spring, Autumn*). The periodical growth rate was defined as the sum of DBA, separately for *i-th* tree from *j-th* plot, within *y-th* year of analysis, using the respective PEs for each tree, and then divided by the duration of the respective period.

$$\Delta CBA_{Spring|ijy} = \left(\sum_{PE_{1|ijy}}^{PE_{2|ijy}} DBA_{ijy}\right) \Big/ SG_{|ijy} = CBA_{Spring} \Big/ SG_{ijy}$$

$$\Delta CBA_{Autumn|ijy} = \left(\sum_{PE_{3|ijy}}^{PE_{4|ijy}} DBA_{ijy}\right) \Big/ AG_{ijy} = CBA_{Autumn} \Big/ AG_{ijy}$$

$$\Delta CBA_{Year|ijy} = \left(\sum_{PE_{1|ijy}}^{PE_{4|ijy}} DBA_{ijy}\right) \Big/ (PE_{4|ijy} - PE_{1|ijy}) = CBA_{Year} \Big/ (PE_{4|ijy} - PE_{1|ijy})$$



293 **Figure 1.** Walther – Lieth climatograms representing the climate of the study site during the period 1996 -2021, and separately for each year of the study (2022 – 2024).
294



295 **Figure 2.** Illustration of phenological events determination using cumulative basal area variation (CBA) for tree no 0030 (*P. pinea*). The black solid line represents observed
296 CBA values, with annotations showing phenological events (PEs), seasonal growth durations and cumulative growth phases.

297 **2.8. Tree water deficit approximation**

298 An additional characteristic to the bimodal growth pattern of Mediterranean tree species is
299 the fact their stem shrinks during summer months, due to water loss from elastic tissues (De
300 Swaef et al. 2015; Lambers and Oliveira 2019). A significant related metric is the *Tree Water*
301 *Deficit* (TWD), which is observed when the stem size does not exceed the previously attained
302 maximum size, water loss solely being a causative factor (Zweifel et al. 2016). High-resolution
303 studies often examine TWD on a daily basis, using automated dendrometers (Schäfer et al.
304 2019). Our study adopts the TWD concept, but scales it to a lower temporal resolution
305 (biweekly), allowing us to evaluate the severity of summer drought stress using data from band
306 dendrometers. Our method defines TWD as the area between the CBA curve and a horizontal
307 line at $y = \mathrm{CBA}_{\mathrm{MaxSpring}}$, which represents the maximum CBA reached at the start of summer's
308 growth cessation (Fig. 3). The x-axis interval extends from the day of maximum spring CBA
309 ($\mathrm{DOY}_{\mathrm{MaxSpring}}$) to the day CBA recovers during autumn ($\mathrm{DOY}_{\mathrm{FullRehydr}}$).
310 The absolute TWD ($\mathrm{TWD}_{\mathrm{Abs.}}$; cm^2) calculation is mathematically represented as follows:

311 $$TWD_{Abs.} = \int_{DOY_{MaxSpring}}^{DOY_{FulRehydr}} (CBV_{MaxSpring} - f(CBV))d(DOY)$$

312 This integral quantifies the TWD as the area between the CBA curve and the horizontal line
313 established by $\mathrm{CBA}_{\mathrm{MaxSpring}}$, across the specified DOY interval.
314 In order to account for the fact that the absolute growth depression during summer may be
315 dependent on the individual tree's size, we calculated *relative TWD* (TWD). This metric is
316 defined as the ratio between $\mathrm{TWD}_{\mathrm{Abs}}$ and the area under the CBA curve limited from DOY 150
317 until DOY 365, assuming no growth depression occurs during summer ($\mathrm{CBA}_{\mathrm{Optimal}}$):

318 $$TWD = {TWD_{Abs}}\Big/{\int_{DOY=150}^{DOY=365} f(CBV_{Optimal})d(DOY)} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

319 We used the period from DOY 150 until DOY 365, as
320 this was the time common to both 2022 and 2023 years, for which complete summer data was
321 available.



Figure 3. Representation of the concept of absolute Tree Water Deficit (the area between red lines) calculated for low temporal (biweekly) scale. TWD is the proportion between red lined area and blue lined area.

2.9. Data analysis

In order to contrast Hypotheses I-III we proposed a generalised linear mixed model (GLMM) approach. For each hypothesis we fitted different GLMMs, and compared (i) Gaussian, Tweedie or Beta conditional distribution, (ii) inclusion of a random intercept acting at sampling plot (ω_j) or not, (iii) possible heteroscedasticity on the residuals and dependency of the dispersion parameter on several explanatory variables, (iv) identification of significant two-way interactions to enter the model. At the end of every step, the final model was established by AIC minimisation (Fieberg 2022). Once the final model for each hypothesis was selected, post-hoc comparisons between the different levels of the main explaining factors were carried out. All the statistical analyses were performed in the R Environment for Statistical Computing (R Core Team 2022). We fitted all the models using glmmTMB package (Brooks et al. 2017). The selection of optimal dispersion parameter dependency structure as of two-way interactions within fixed effects model's part was done using MuMIn package (Bartoń 2024). The post-hoc analyses were performed using the emmeans (Lenth 2023) and marginaleffects (Arel - Bundock 2023) packages. Residuals analysis – conditional distribution appropriateness, dependency, homoscedasticity and within group homogeneity was carried out using DHARMa package (Hartig 2022). Finally, we assessed multicollinearity

of predictors and models' posterior predictions using performance package (Lüdecke et al. 2021).

2.9.1. Hypothesis I. Stand composition (mixed-pure) influences the phenology of the studied species

Hypothesis I tried to unravel if the phenology of the trees, represented by the phenological events (PE_1-PE_4) and the growth period duration (SG, SC, AG), can vary significantly depending on the type of stand. To this aim we fitted seven different GLMM (one for each phenological trait), including as predictors the species, stand type, year of measurements, diameter at breast height and the global competition experimented by the tree. The full (saturated) models were formulated as:

$$Y_{n|ijy} = \beta_1 Species_{ij} + \beta_2 StandType_j + \beta_3 Year + \beta_4 DBH_{ij} + \beta_5 HGCI_{ij} + f(Two - Way\ Interactions) + \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijy} \quad (3)$$

where:

$Y_{n|ijy}$: each of the seven phenological traits *(PE_1-PE_4, SG, SC, AG)* computed for the tree *i* from plot *j* within year *y*.

$Species_{ij}$: factor with four levels determining the tree's species (*Jt, Pp, Pt, Qi*).

$StandType_j$: factor with two levels determining the stand type (*Mixed, Pure*).

DBH_{ij} : diameter at breast height of tree *i*, standardized (DBH-μ/σ).

$HGCI_{ij}$: overall competition exerted on tree *i*.

Year : factor with three levels (*2022, 2023, 2024*).

f(Two – Way Interactions) : all possible two-way interactions between categorical × categorical and categorical × continuous predictors.

ω_j : random plot intercept.

ε_{ijy} : random error term.

The post hoc analysis consisted in pairwise comparisons performed between marginal means estimated for each *Species* and *StandType* across *Years* (if respective interaction was included). All other covariates were maintained at their mean values, computed separately for every *Species.*

2.9.2. Hypothesis II. Inter-specific competition as modifier of periodical intra-annual growth

To answer the question stated in Hypothesis II, we tried to explain the periodical cumulative basal area increment rate (ΔCBA_p) attained by each *i-th* tree from *j-th* plot within every *Year* by the inter-specific competition share in total competition (*CI.inter*). Additionally, we

accounted for tree size and overall competition influences, by adding DBH and HGCI as their proxies to the model, respectively. The full-model formulation was therefore, as follows:

$$\Delta CBA_{p|ijy} = \beta_1 Species_{ij} + \beta_2 Year + \beta_3 DBH_{ij} + \beta_4 HGCI_{ij} + \beta_5 CI.inter_{ij} + f(Two - Way\ Interactions) + \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijy} \quad (4)$$

where:

$\Delta CBA_{p|ij}$: cumulative basal area increment rate during period p = *(Spring, Autumn, Total)* [$cm^2 \times day^{-1}$].

$CI.inter_{ij}$: inter-specific competition share within overall (HGCI) competition [%].

The rest of symbols as stated before

Post hoc analyses consisted in testing the trend (slope β_5) of CI.inter over ΔCBA_p, calculated at each level of *Species* (and *Year*) against 0, therefore checking if true influence of competition on growth exists. Additionally, we made pairwise comparisons between the beforementioned slopes across *Species* within the same years.

2.9.3. Hypothesis III. Species-specific competition influence on summer's tree water deficit

The main aim of Hypothesis III was to analyze how the inter-specific competition (CI.inter) impacts the summer growth depression experimented by trees, measured by TWD (Eq. 1). Specifically, we wanted to check if the competition exerted by a given species might have differing impact on summer water deficit, depending which species was exposed to that competition. We added DBH to the model – larger (higher) trees tend to be more susceptible to cavitation, and therefore to water stress impact (Bennett et al. 2015). Therefore, the model structure became:

$$TWD_{ijy} = \beta_1 Species_{ij} + \beta_2 Year + \beta_3 DBH_{ij} + \beta_4 HGCI_{ij} + \beta_5 CI.inter_{\%|ij} + f(Two - Way\ Interactions) + \omega_j + \varepsilon_{ijy} \quad (5)$$

where:

TWD_{ijy} : tree water deficit, experienced by tree i, from plot j within year y (Eq. 1.).

The rest of symbols as stated before.

Post hoc analyses were performed by testing the trend of TWD over CI.inter against 0. Also, pairwise comparisons of TWD trend over CI.inter across all species within different years were done in order to check, if the inter-specific competition may affect TWD differently, depending on the species.

3. Results

It was not possible to reliably identify PEs in trees exhibiting irregular growth – such as decaying trees with a negative annual trend in the CBA curve due to water loss, or in trees that have died. In some instances, the algorithm also failed to pinpoint PEs. Consequently, depending on the specific model, 7–25% of observations had to be excluded (Table S5, showing the counts per variable and year).

3.1. Phenology of trees is influenced by the surrounding stand composition

The timing of phenological events that mark the first phase of annual growth – namely spring onset and summer cessation of growth – varied among species and according to the surrounding stand composition. This was evidenced by the inclusion of the *Species* × *StandType* interaction in the final models (Table S6). These differences also extended to the duration of spring and summer growth periods. In contrast, stand composition did not influence the timing of phenological events during the second phase of annual cycle –autumn onset and year cessation. For these variables, neither *StandType* nor *Species* × *StandType* terms were significant (Table S6). Notably, *Type* × *Year* interaction were absent in nearly all PEs final models, indicating that when stand composition influenced phenology, the effect was consistent across years. The only partial exception was autumn onset: in 2022 trees in mixed stands tended to resume growth slightly earlier (by 4 ± 3 days), but the difference was not statistically significant (p-val. = 0.12; Table 2). These trends are summarized in Table 1, which synthesizes phenological and growth differences across species and traits.

The growth phenology of *J. thurifera* showed little variation between pure and mixed stands. The only significant difference was an earlier spring onset occurring 9 ± 3 days earlier compared to pure stands (p-val. = 0.003; Table 2, Fig. 4). The duration of growth periods did not differ significantly between stand types. Although the trees in mixed stands showed a trend toward a longer spring growth phase, this difference was not statistically significant (Table 3, Fig. 4). The overall model structure for phenological variables confirmed this limited stand type effect in *J. thurifera* (Table S6).

The growth dynamics of *Q. ilex* also differed notably between pure and mixed stands. Spring growth onset occurred significantly later in mixed stands (by 9 ± 2 days, p-val. < 0.001), as did summer cessation (by 14 ± 4 days. p-val. < 0.001). In contrast, no significant differences were found for autumn onset or year cessation. Regarding growth period duration, *Q. ilex* showed a markedly shorter summer cessation phase in mixed stands (by 20 ± 5 days, p-val. < 0.001;

Table 3, Fig. 4), while spring and autumn growth durations remained similar across stand types. Model significance patterns supported these findings (Table S6).

For *P. pinea*, intra-annual growth patterns did not differ significantly between pure and mixed stands, except for summer cessation phase, which was shorter by 6 ± 3 days in mixed stands (p-val. = 0.015; Table 2, Fig. 4). Accordingly, *P. pinea* trees in mixed stands tended to extend growth slightly longer into summer and resume it earlier in autumn, although these differences were not statistically significant (Table 2, Fig. 4.). In contrast, *P. pinaster* showed more pronounced phenological differences. In mixed stands, spring onset was delayed (by 9 ± 3 days, p-val. < 0.001), while summer cessation occurred earlier (by 7 ± 4 days, p-val. = 0.07; Table 2, Fig. 4). Autumn onset and year cessation remained unaffected by stand composition. Consistent with these shifts, *P. pinaster* experienced considerably shorter spring growth in mixed stands (by 26 ± 12 days, p-val. ≈ 0.03), while summer cessation duration increased (by 6 ± 2 days, p-val. = 0.01; Table 3, Fig. 4). These results align with the phenological model outputs (Table S6).

3.2. Inter-specific competition influence on periodical growth rate

The main inter-specific competition term (CI.inter), was consistently significant across species, showing a positive effect on cumulative basal area increment rate (ΔCBA) at both seasonal (spring, autumn) and annual scales (Table S7). Notably, the magnitude of this effect varied between species, as indicated by the significant *CI.inter*$_{\%}$ × *Species* interaction in all three models. In the case of spring growth rate (ΔCBA_{Spring}) the influence of CI.inter$_{\%}$ also varied between years, supported by a significant *Year* × *CI.inter*$_{\%}$ interaction (Table S7).

An increasing share of inter-specific competition had a consistent positive effect on spring growth rates, for *P. pinea* and *J. thurifera*, with the strongest effects in 2023 (P. pinea: + 0.062 ± 0.011 to 0.173 ± 0.019 cm^2/day; J. thurifera: + 0.016 ± 0.001 to 0.024 ± 0.001 cm^2/day; Fig. 5, Table 4). For *P. pinaster*, the effect was also positive in spring 2023 (+ 0.067 ± 0.008 cm^2/day), but it disappeared in 2024. Similarly, *Q. ilex* showed a weak positive trend in 2023 (+0.002 ± 0.00 cm^2/day), which shifted to a negative one in 2024 (- 0.007 ± 0.001 cm^2/day) (Table 4, Fig. 5).

The strength of CI.inter effects on ΔCBA_{Spring} clearly differed across species and years, as shown by pairwise comparison (Table 4). In absolute terms, *P. pinea* showed the strongest growth enhancement from interspecific competition, followed by *P. pinaster* and *J. thurifera*.

Q. ilex exhibited only a minor positive trend in 2023 and a weakening effect in 2024. By that year, the response of *P. pinaster* had also diminished, aligning more closely with the patterns observed for *J. thurifera* and *Q. ilex* (Fig. 5 “Spring”).

During autumn, a positive effect of CI.inter on growth (ΔCBA_{Autumn}) was observed only in *J. thurifera* during 2022 (+ 0.013 ± 0.006 cm^2/day). In all other species, and in 2023, this effect disappeared entirely (Table 4, Fig. 5 “Autumn”).

In line with the seasonal trends, interspecific competition also enhanced annual growth (ΔCBA_{Year}) in *P. pinea* (+ 0.048 ± 0.026 cm^2/day) and *J. thurifera* (+ 0.015 ± 0 cm^2 / day). *Q. ilex* showed a marginally positive effect (+ 0.003 ± 0 cm^2/day), whereas *P. pinaster* displayed no response to increasing $CI.inter_{\%}$ (Table 5, Fig. 5 “Year”).

3.3. Summer growth depression and species- specific competition effects

A higher share of heterospecific neighbours in overall competition was associated with reduced summer growth depression, as indicated by decreasing $TWD_{\%}$ with increasing $CI.inter_{\%}$ (Fig. 6). This pattern held true across all species and years, with one notable exception: in *Q. ilex*, TWD actually increased with higher CI.inter, only in 2022 (+ 0.033 ± 0.02) any effect disappearing in 2023 (0.003 ± 0.006). Among the remaining species, *P. pinea* benefited the most from interspecific interactions with TWD reductions ranging from –0.29 ± 0.06 to –0.123 ± 0.039, especially in 2022. *P. pinaster* and *J. thurifera* showed similar, but no so marked responses, the differences between the two species being not statistically significant (Table 6). Pairwise comparisons confirmed that *Q. ilex* differed significantly from the other species in its response to interspecific competition, exhibiting an opposite TWD trend under increased CI.inter (Table 6).

Table 1. Summary of stand composition effects across all phenological events and intra-annual growth traits, analysed for each species. Green arrows indicate a positive effect of mixed stands compared to pure stands; red arrows indicate a negative effect; hyphens denote no effect; bars indicate variable effects across study years. Horizontal arrows refer to moments in time (left arrow – sooner, right arrow – later). Vertical arrows describe quantities (upper – increase, lower – decrease). The interpretation follows the structure: "Trait of species X was higher (sooner) / lower (later) in mixed stands compared to pure stands." Years analysed for each metric are specified in the footnotes.

Species	Spring onset	Summer cessation	Autumn onset	Year cessation	Spring growth duration	Summer cessation duration	Autumn growth duration	Spring growth rate[1]	Autumn growth rate[2]	Yearly growth rate	TWD
Juniperus thurifera	←	–	–	–	–	–	–	↑	↑/–	↑	↓
Quercus ilex	→	→	–	–	–	↓	–	↑/↓	–	↑	↑
Pinus pinea	–	–	–	–	–	↓	–	↑	↑/–	↑	↓
Pinus pinaster	→	→	–	–	↓	↑	–	↑/–	–	–	↓

The years under study were [1] 2023 and 2024 or [2] 2022 and 2023.



Figure 4. Phenological events and duration of intra-annual growth periods (estimated marginal means) of analysed species across pure (dashed line) and mixed stands (solid line) presented over years of study. Pp – P. pinea; Pt – P. pinaster; Jt – J. thurifera; Qi – Q. ilex.

Table 2. Timing of intra-annual phenological events (PE_1–PE_4) for analysed species in pure and mixed stands. Estimated marginal means for each phenological event [days of year] are presented for both stand types (*PE Mixed/Pure*), along with their differences (*Mixed - Pure*) and significance levels (*p-value*). Significant differences (p-val. < 0.10) between pure and mixed stands are highlighted in bold. Negative values of differences (*Mixed-Pure*) depict sooner occurrence of a given PE in mixed stand, than in pure stand.

Phenological Event	Species	Year	PE Mixed	PE Pure	Mixed - Pure	p-value
Spring onset (PE_1)	Jt	Global effects	99 ± (2)	108 ± (3)	-9 ± (3)	**0.0025**
	Pp		101 ± (2)	99 ± (3)	2 ± (3)	0.5561
	Pt		115 ± (2)	106 ± (2)	9 ± (3)	**0.0008**
	Qi		138 ± (2)	129 ± (2)	9 ± (2)	**0.0002**
Summer cessation (PE_2)	Jt	Global effects	181 ± (3)	178 ± (3)	3 ± (4)	0.4551
	Pp		186 ± (2)	182 ± (3)	4 ± (3)	0.3019
	Pt		184 ± (4)	191 ± (3)	-7 ± (4)	**0.0709**
	Qi		186 ± (3)	172 ± (4)	14 ± (4)	**0.0004**
Autumn onset (PE_3)	Jt	2022	270 ± (5)	274 ± (5)	-4 ± (3)	0.115
	Pp		285 ± (2)	290 ± (2)	-4 ± (3)	0.115
	Pt		264 ± (5)	269 ± (4)	-4 ± (3)	0.115
	Qi		285 ± (4)	289 ± (2)	-4 ± (3)	0.115
	Jt	2023	239 ± (3)	239 ± (3)	0 ± (0)	0.617
	Pp		251 ± (1)	251 ± (1)	0 ± (0)	0.617
	Pt		246 ± (2)	246 ± (2)	0 ± (0)	0.617
	Qi		237 ± (1)	237 ± (1)	0 ± (0)	0.617
Year cessation (PE_4)	Jt	2022	334 ± (3)	336 ± (3)	-2 ± (2)	0.4162
	Pp		351 ± (1)	352 ± (1)	-1 ± (1)	0.4143
	Pt		347 ± (2)	348 ± (1)	-1 ± (1)	0.4203
	Qi		318 ± (12)	321 ± (11)	-2 ± (3)	0.4293
	Jt	2023	340 ± (3)	341 ± (3)	-1 ± (2)	0.4188
	Pp		346 ± (2)	347 ± (2)	-1 ± (1)	0.4192
	Pt		331 ± (4)	333 ± (4)	-2 ± (2)	0.4235
	Qi		328 ± (6)	330 ± (5)	-2 ± (2)	0.4228

Residual degrees of freedom: PE_1 = 269; PE_2= 247; PE_3= 211; PE_4 = 216.

Table 3. Duration of intra-annual growth phases for analysed species in pure and mixed stands. Estimated marginal means are presented for each species and stand type (*EMM Mixed/Pure*) alongside their differences (*Mixed - Pure*) with corresponding significance levels (*p-value*). Significant differences (p-val. < 0.10) between pure and mixed stands are highlighted in bold.

Phenological Event	Species	Year	EMM Mixed	EMM Pure	Mixed - Pure	p-value
Spring growth duration (SG) (natural days)	Jt	Global effects	90 ± (7)	78 ± (11)	12 ± (12)	0.325
	Pp		85 ± (6)	82 ± (10)	3 ± (12)	0.7945
	Pt		60 ± (7)	86 ± (10)	-26 ± (12)	**0.0292**
	Qi		65 ± (7)	60 ± (11)	5 ± (13)	0.6735
Summer cessation duration (SC) (natural days)	Jt	Global effects	62 ± (2)	62 ± (3)	0 ± (3)	0.9986
	Pp		76 ± (2)	82 ± (3)	-6 ± (3)	**0.0148**
	Pt		73 ± (2)	67 ± (2)	6 ± (2)	**0.001**
	Qi		45 ± (4)	65 ± (4)	-20 ± (5)	**< 0.001**
Autumn growth duration (AG) (natural days)	Jt	Global effects	87 ± (3)	85 ± (4)	2 ± (2)	0.5219
	Pp		83 ± (2)	81 ± (2)	2 ± (2)	0.5219
	Pt		85 ± (3)	83 ± (3)	2 ± (2)	0.5219
	Qi		63 ± (20)	61 ± (20)	2 ± (2)	0.5219

Residual degrees of freedom: SG = 270; SC= 130; AG = 215.



Figure 5. Relationship between cumulative basal area increment rate (ΔCBA_p) [$cm^2 \times day^{-1}$] and inter-specific competition share in overall competition ($CI.inter_{\%}$) across species and the study years, for different intra-annual growth periods. Remaining model variables (diameter at breast height, overall competition) were held at their species-specific mean values.

Table 4. Pairwise comparisons of interspecific competition share effects (trends) ($\beta_A - \beta_B \pm SE$) on periodical (*p = Spring, Autumn*) basal area growth rates ($\beta\Delta CBA|_{CI.inter} \pm SE$) between species and across years of study. Significant differences between trends (p-value < 0.10, in bold) highlight where inter–specific competition substantially differed in its impact into ΔCBA_p between two species. The results include t-ratio and p-value for each comparison between species.

Year	Contrast (A – B)	$\beta\Delta CBA\|_{CI.inter}$ (A)	$\beta\Delta CBA\|_{CI.inter}$ (B)	$\beta_A - \beta_B$	t-stat	p-value
			p = Spring			
2023	Pt - Pp	0.067 ± 0.008	0.173 ± 0.019	-0.106 ± 0.021	-5.056	**< 0.001**
	Jt - Pp	0.024 ± 0.001	0.173 ± 0.019	-0.149 ± 0.019	-8.014	**< 0.001**
	Qi - Pp	0.002 ± 0	0.173 ± 0.019	-0.171 ± 0.019	-9.187	**< 0.001**
	Jt - Pt	0.024 ± 0.001	0.067 ± 0.008	-0.043 ± 0.008	-5.459	**< 0.001**
	Qi - Pt	0.002 ± 0	0.067 ± 0.008	-0.065 ± 0.008	-7.834	**< 0.001**
	Qi - Jt	0.002 ± 0	0.024 ± 0.001	-0.022 ± 0.001	-28.41	**< 0.001**
2024	Pt - Pp	0.013 ± 0.012	0.062 ± 0.011	-0.049 ± 0.017	-2.94	**0.003**
	Jt - Pp	0.016 ± 0.001	0.062 ± 0.011	-0.046 ± 0.011	-4.181	**< 0.001**
	Qi - Pp	-0.007 ± 0.001	0.062 ± 0.011	-0.069 ± 0.011	-6.312	**< 0.001**
	Jt - Pt	0.016 ± 0.001	0.013 ± 0.012	0.003 ± 0.012	0.294	0.769
	Qi - Pt	-0.007 ± 0.001	0.013 ± 0.012	-0.019 ± 0.012	-1.644	0.1
	Qi - Jt	-0.007 ± 0.001	0.016 ± 0.001	-0.023 ± 0	-63.103	**< 0.001**
			p = Autumn			
2022	Pt - Pp	-0.005 ± 0.009	-0.022 ± 0.018	0.017 ± 0.019	0.91	0.363
	Jt - Pp	0.013 ± 0.006	-0.022 ± 0.018	0.035 ± 0.014	2.48	**0.013**
	Qi - Pp	-0.005 ± 0.021	-0.022 ± 0.018	0.017 ± 0.035	0.477	0.634
	Jt - Pt	0.013 ± 0.006	-0.005 ± 0.009	0.018 ± 0.008	2.185	**0.029**
	Qi - Pt	-0.005 ± 0.021	-0.005 ± 0.009	0 ± 0.02	-0.024	0.981
	Qi - Jt	-0.005 ± 0.021	0.013 ± 0.006	-0.018 ± 0.024	-0.75	0.453
2023	Pt - Pp	0.011 ± 0.031	0 ± 0.016	0.011 ± 0.019	0.606	0.544
	Jt - Pp	0.015 ± 0.025	0 ± 0.016	0.015 ± 0.014	1.034	0.301
	Qi - Pp	-0.001 ± 0.046	0 ± 0.016	-0.001 ± 0.034	-0.035	0.972
	Jt - Pt	0.015 ± 0.025	0.011 ± 0.031	0.004 ± 0.008	0.474	0.635
	Qi - Pt	-0.001 ± 0.046	0.011 ± 0.031	-0.012 ± 0.019	-0.642	0.521
	Qi - Jt	-0.001 ± 0.046	0.015 ± 0.025	-0.016 ± 0.023	-0.711	0.477

Residual degrees of freedom: Spring = 243; Autumn = 272.

Table 5. Inter-specific competition effects on yearly basal area growth rate of a given species ($\beta\Delta CBA|_{CI.inter} \pm SE$) and pairwise comparisons between them ($\beta_A - \beta_B \pm SE$). The results include t-statistic (*t-stat*) and *p-value* for each comparison between species. Significant differences between trends (p-val. < 0.10, in bold) highlight where inter–specific competition substantially differed in its impact into ΔCBA_{Year} between two species.

Year	Contrast (A – B)	$\beta\Delta CBA\|_{CI.inter}$ (A)	$\beta\Delta CBA\|_{CI.inter}$ (B)	$\beta_A - \beta_B$	t-stat	p-value
2023	Pt - Pp	-0.01 ± 0.011	0.048 ± 0.026	-0.058 ± 0.02	-2.923	**0.003**
	Jt - Pp	0.015 ± 0	0.048 ± 0.026	-0.033 ± 0.026	-1.254	0.21
	Qi - Pp	0.003 ± 0	0.048 ± 0.026	-0.045 ± 0.027	-1.687	**0.092**
	Jt - Pt	0.015 ± 0	-0.01 ± 0.011	0.025 ± 0.011	2.299	**0.022**
	Qi - Pt	0.003 ± 0	-0.01 ± 0.011	0.013 ± 0.011	1.124	0.261
	Qi - Jt	0.003 ± 0	0.015 ± 0	-0.012 ± 0.001	-22.844	**< 0.001**

Residual degrees of freedom = 130.

Table 6. Trends in tree water deficit caused by varying inter-specific competition share ($\beta TWD|_{CI.inter} \pm SE$), across studied species and years and differences between species trends ($\beta_A - \beta_B \pm SE$). The results include t-statistic (*t-stat*), and *p-value* for each comparison between species. Significant differences (p-val. < 0.10, in bold) highlight where inter–specific competition substantially differed in its impact into TWD between two species.

Year	Contrast (A-B)	$\beta TWD\|_{CI.inter}$	$\beta TWD\|_{CI.inter}$	$\beta_A - \beta_B$	t-stat	p-value
2022	Pt - Pp	-0.094 ± 0.026	-0.29 ± 0.06	0.196 ± 0.075	2.605	**0.009**
	Jt - Pp	-0.063 ± 0.009	-0.29 ± 0.06	0.227 ± 0.066	3.441	**0.001**
	Qi - Pp	0.033 ± 0.02	-0.29 ± 0.06	0.323 ± 0.045	7.176	**< 0.001**
	Jt - Pt	-0.063 ± 0.009	-0.094 ± 0.026	0.031 ± 0.022	1.376	0.169
	Qi - Pt	0.033 ± 0.02	-0.094 ± 0.026	0.127 ± 0.04	3.201	**0.001**
	Qi - Jt	0.033 ± 0.02	-0.063 ± 0.009	0.097 ± 0.024	4.039	**< 0.001**
2023	Pt - Pp	-0.034 ± 0.022	-0.123 ± 0.039	0.089 ± 0.05	1.766	**0.077**
	Jt - Pp	-0.025 ± 0.004	-0.123 ± 0.039	0.098 ± 0.041	2.4	**0.016**
	Qi - Pp	0.003 ± 0.006	-0.123 ± 0.039	0.125 ± 0.035	3.546	**< 0.001**
	Jt - Pt	-0.025 ± 0.004	-0.034 ± 0.022	0.009 ± 0.023	0.407	0.684
	Qi - Pt	0.003 ± 0.006	-0.034 ± 0.022	0.037 ± 0.026	1.407	0.159
	Qi - Jt	0.003 ± 0.006	-0.025 ± 0.004	0.028 ± 0.008	3.517	**< 0.001**

Residual degrees of freedom = 255.



Figure 6. Influence of inter-specific competition (CI.inter) on Tree Water Deficit (TWD) suffered by each species (*Pinus pinea* [Pp], *Pinus pinaster* [Pt], *Quercus ilex* [Qi] and *Juniperus thurifera* [Jt]). TWD (y-axis) is shown as a function of the share of competition experienced from other species (x-axis). Remaining model variables (diameter at breast height, overall competition) were held at their species-specific mean values.

4. Discussion

Our findings show that interspecific interactions significantly shape intra-annual growth dynamics in the studied Mediterranean tree species, though with strong species-specific differences. Stand composition altered the timing of spring onset and summer cessation in most species (*J. thurifera*, *P. pinaster*, *Q. ilex*), but had no consistent effect on autumn onset or year cessation (Tables 2-3, Fig. 4), partially supporting Hypothesis I. In line with Hypothesis II, competition effects were most evident in spring, where species experiencing intensified interspecific competition (*J. thurifera*, *P. pinea*, *Q. ilex*) showed higher spring and annual growth (Tables 4-5, Fig. 5), reinforcing the critical role of spring growth in determining yearly increments (Lempereur et al. 2017; Camarero et al. 2021). Hypothesis III received strong support: in nearly all species and years, increased interspecific competition mitigated summer water stress, as reflected by reduced TWD (Table 6, Fig. 6).

4.1. Surrounding stand composition shifts phenological events

Stand composition altered phenology mainly during spring and early summer, while autumn onset and winter cessation remained unaffected. In mixed stands, *J. thurifera* advanced spring growth, likely due to facilitation: as a shallow-rooted understory species, it may exploit topsoil moisture retained under *P. pinea* canopies, where shading reduces evaporation (Camarero et al. 2021; Pardos et al. 2021b).

In *P. pinaster*, both delayed spring onset and earlier summer cessation in mixtures likely result from intensified water competition with *P. pinea*. Both are overstory, light-demanding, isohydric species with similar physiological thresholds. Importantly, *P. pinea* is less strictly isohydric (Férriz et al. 2021; Aranda et al. 2024), which allows it to maintain gas exchange longer under drought, potentially depleting shared soil reserves. Therefore, mixed stands may delay rehydration of *P. pinaster's* cambium and limit its ability to sustain growth into summer (Vieira et al. 2014, 2015; Lempereur et al. 2015). However, this pattern should not be attributed solely to competition, as it has been observed that *P. pinaster* reaches its peak radial increment near the vernal equinox, independent of soil moisture conditions (Vieira et al. 2020).

Q. ilex typically resumes growth after winter even one month later than *Pinus spp.* (Campelo et al. 2021), and this reactivation was further delayed in mixtures (Table 2), probably by water pre-emption from the already competing pines. In wet conditions, *Q. ilex* uses the same water pool as *Pinus spp.*, and shifts to deeper water sources during drought (del Castillo et al. 2016).

This may explain its shortened summer cessation period in mixtures, effectively extending *Q. ilex'* growth season (Aldea et al. 2017) (Tables 2-3). Moreover, *Q. ilex* can capitalize on early-summer moisture, by maintained photosynthesis under water potentials lower than other species (Mayoral et al. 2015). Yet, this activity could intensify competition in autumn, particularly with isohydric species that remain inactive during dry spells (Sperlich et al. 2019; Campelo et al. 2021).

Q. ilex may also facilitate *P. pinea* during summer, which also exhibited a reduced summer cessation period in 2023 in mixtures (Tables 1, 3; Fig. 4). This facilitation may involve *Q. ilex* extracting deeper soil moisture that *P. pinea* could later exploit, though only when drought conditions are not too prolonged or severe (del Castillo et al. 2016; Zalloni et al. 2019; Muñoz-Gálvez et al. 2021). Additionally, when *P. pinea* grows in mixture with *P. pinaster*, it may benefit from greater water availability during drought periods, as *P. pinaster* tends to reduce transpiration earlier.

4.2. Species-specific growth rate differences and their facultative bimodality

Species differed markedly in their daily growth rates (Tables 4–5, Fig. 5). *P. pinea* showed the highest rates, followed by *P. pinaster*, while *J. thurifera* and *Q. ilex* remained consistently lower. These differences reflect contrasting ecological strategies: pines are fast-growing, light-demanding pioneers (de-Dios-García et al. 2018; Aldea et al. 2018) whereas *Q. ilex* and *J. thurifera* follow more conservative trajectories. *Pinus spp.* can photosynthesize during mild winters, accumulating carbohydrates outside the main growth period (Lebourgeois et al. 2009), which enables rapid early-season increments.

Autumn reactivation occurred consistently at our site, likely due to spring-like temperatures and sufficient post-summer rainfall (Fig. 1, Table S2), promoting a clear bimodal pattern – also reported in other Mediterranean sites (Aldea et al. 2018). In *P. pinaster* autumn growth was minimal in the drier 2022 but resumed in 2023, confirming its reliance on sufficient autumn moisture for cambial reactivation (Vieira et al. 2019, 2020). In contrast, *Q. ilex* displayed minimal autumn growth in both years, suggesting limited recovery from summer photoinhibition (Campelo et al. 2018, 2021) and aligning with its characterization as weakly bimodal (Tumajer et al. 2022). Notably, even a wet autumn did not trigger growth if spring had been too dry (Table S2), contradicting earlier suggestions of seasonal compensation (Martín et al. 2014). Our results highlight that both adequate moisture and temperature are needed to trigger second growth phase (Tumajer et al. 2021).

4.3. Interspecific interactions enhance the growth dynamics

Interspecific interactions had a marked influence on intra-annual growth, particularly during spring and in drier years (Tables 4 and S2, Fig. 5). Among the clearest examples is *J. thurifera*, which consistently benefited from species mixing (de-Dios-García et al. 2018). Its shallow root system and anisohydric strategy allow it to avoid direct competition with deeper-rooted neighbours, like *P. pinea* (Camarero et al. 2021), and to photosynthesize under higher water stress (Mayoral et al. 2016; Aranda et al. 2024). This spatio-temporal decoupling reinforced *J. thurifera's* growth in mixed stands during the dry autumn of 2022, while the effect vanished in 2023, when water availability improved for all species (de-Dios-García et al. 2018) (Table S2).

P. pinea and *P. pinaster* also showed increased spring growth rates in mixed stands, particularly in 2023. As discussed above (Section 4.1), functional trait differences – such as earlier growth onset in *Pinus spp.* and shallow rooting in *J. thurifera* – enable partitioning of water use in mixed stands. However, the facilitative effect for *P. pinaster* was modest and confined to spring (Tables 4 – 5, Fig. 5), when water was still available after winter recharge (Table S2). The beforementioned (Section 4.1) lack of vertical niche differentiation between *P. pinea* and *P. pinaster* shifts the competition primarily to water resources (Férriz et al. 2021; Askarieh et al. 2024), which *P. pinaster* loses with more flexible *P. pinea,* risking carbon starvation (Perdiguero et al. 2015; Calama et al. 2023). Once active, *Q. ilex* can respond synchronously with *Pinus spp.* (*P. pinea* and *P. pinaster*) to temperature and precipitation, restoring competition for the same spatio-temporal niche (Sánchez-Costa et al. 2015b; Aldea et al. 2018; Campelo et al. 2021).

In contrast, *Q. ilex* shifted from benefitting slightly from facilitation in dry year of 2023 to experiencing growth suppression in the wetter 2024 season. Probably, during the dry year *Pinus spp.* reduced its competitive effect on *Q. ilex* by diminished water uptake triggered by their isohydric strategy (Zalloni et al. 2019). Moreover, even if active, *Pinus spp.* might shift from deeper to shallow water uptake in dry periods, while *Q. ilex* could increase its reliance on deeper layers (Barbeta et al. 2015; del Castillo et al. 2016), the effect being stronger at higher *Pinus spp.* presence (del Castillo et al. 2016). These seasonal shifts suggest that competitive and facilitative interactions may evolve throughout the growing season, depending on species physiology and climatic conditions.

These observations align with the stress-gradient hypothesis, which predicts that under dry conditions, niche complementarity tends to dominate and reduce competition – though only up to a certain threshold of resource scarcity (Forrester 2014; Grossiord 2020; Haberstroh and Werner 2022). To summarize, ecological distinctiveness in traits such as rooting depth, stomatal regulation or photosynthetic thresholds may enhance the stability of facilitative interactions under drought stress (Pardos et al. 2021a).

4.4. Summer growth depression is alleviated by inter-specific interactions

Tree water deficit (TWD) was substantially higher in 2022 across all species – a year marked by low winter and early spring precipitation (Fig. 1 and 6; Tables 6 and S2). This underscores the importance of winter–spring water balance not only for supporting spring growth (Aldea et al. 2017; Camarero et al. 2021), but also for sustaining stem hydration well into the summer. In 2023, higher winter rainfall replenished groundwater, reducing TWD across all species, regardless of stand composition (Table 6, Fig. 6), in line with the stress-gradient hypothesis (Forrester 2014).

Q. ilex exhibited the highest TWD, especially in 2022 – despite spring rainfall nearly doubling that of 2023 (Fig. 1; Table S2) – suggesting that total rainfall alone is not sufficient to buffer drought. Its anisohydric behaviour and relatively large leaf area enables gas exchange under high temperatures, but also increase transpirational demand and diurnal stem shrinkage (Aldea et al. 2018; Aranda et al. 2024). *Q. ilex's* TWD increased with higher interspecific competition (Table 6; Fig. 6), likely because *P. pinea*, with its large sapwood and less dense wood, efficiently stores and uses spring water, reducing availability for *Q. ilex* during its summer-active phase (Zalloni et al. 2018; Lambers and Oliveira 2019; Camarero et al. 2021). Although *Q. ilex* may improve water use efficiency in mixtures with *P. pinea* (Zalloni et al. 2019), its carbon gain might be allocated to roots or reserves rather than stem growth (Montagnoli et al. 2019).

In contrast, *J. thurifera* experienced lower TWD in more heterospecific neighbourhood (Table 6, Fig. 6), likely due to the same facilitative mechanism observed for growth: canopy shading by *P. pinea* reduces evaporative demand and improves surface moisture conditions. This is consistent with enhanced regeneration and drought tolerance of *J. thurifera* under pine canopies (Pardos et al. 2021b; Pardos and Calama 2022). Although *J. thurifera* is drought-tolerant – due to anisohydry and cavitation – resistant xylem (Camarero et al. 2014; Camarero 2019; Aranda et al. 2024) – it still exhibited higher TWD than *P. pinea*, partly confirming earlier findings (de-Dios-García et al. 2018).

P. pinea and *P. pinaster* both showed reduced TWD under interspecific competition, but only in 2022. As early starters (Fig. 4), they may have filled stem reservoirs during wet spring conditions (Lebourgeois et al. 2009) (Table S2). In 2023, low spring rainfall delayed water availability until June (Fig. 1), by which time the anisohydric species – *Q. ilex* and *J. thurifera* – had resumed transpiration, increasing water demand. This may have neutralized any facilitative effects. For isohydric *Pinus spp.*, the identity of neighbours in summer appears to play a limited role. Their drought responses – early stomatal closure and reliance on stored stem water – are largely determined during spring. Thus, facilitation or competition likely takes place before summer, during the critical window of spring water accumulation.

4.5. Final considerations

Understanding the interaction between xylem phenology and interspecific competition is crucial, as the timing of cambial activity controls both annual growth and carbon sequestration—two key processes under climate change (Lempereur et al. 2015, 2017). While warming may extend the growing season by advancing spring onset, it also intensifies drought, potentially offsetting these gains—a phenomenon known as the climate hiatus effect (Tumajer et al. 2021). Our results show that species mixing can enhance spring growth and reduce summer water deficits, especially in water-limited sites. This suggests that combining species with contrasting hydraulic traits may help reduce mortality risks in Mediterranean forests facing increasing aridity (Peñuelas and Sardans 2021; Aranda et al. 2024). While not assessed in this study, site-specific conditions – like soil water holding capacity or local climate – may influence when facilitation turns into competition (Sánchez-Costa et al. 2015a; Zalloni et al. 2019; Moreno-Fernández et al. 2021).

To our knowledge, this is among the first studies to link phenological shifts in cambial activity to interspecific competition across multiple growth phases. However, band dendrometers may blur the distinction between stem hydration and true growth—particularly in autumn (Zweifel et al. 2016; Vieira et al. 2020). Our mechanistic interpretations are based on literature, not direct physiological measurements. Moreover, the observed acceleration of growth in *P. pinaster* may reflect a compressed growth period rather than higher productivity, highlighting the limitations of rate-based metrics. Finally, the temporal scope of our dataset is limited to one full growing year, so results should be interpreted cautiously. Still, this study provides new insight into how interspecific interactions shape intra-annual tree growth under climatic stress.

5. Bibliography

Aldea J, Bravo F, Bravo-Oviedo A, et al (2017) Thinning enhances the species-specific radial increment response to drought in Mediterranean pine-oak stands. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 237–238:371–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2017.02.009

Aldea J, Bravo F, Vázquez-Piqué J, et al (2018) Species-specific weather response in the daily stem variation cycles of Mediterranean pine-oak mixed stands. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 256–257:220–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2018.03.013

Appiagyei BD, Belhoucine-Guezouli L, Bessah E, et al (2022) A Review on Climate Change Impacts on Forest Ecosystem Services in the Mediterranean Basin. Journal of Landscape Ecology 15:1–26. https://doi.org/10.2478/jlecol-2022-0001

Aranda I, Martin-Benito D, Sánchez-Gómez D, et al (2024) Different drought-tolerance strategies of tree species to cope with increased water stress under climate change in a mixed forest. Physiologia Plantarum 176:e14562. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.14562

Arel - Bundock V (2023) marginaleffects: Predictions, Comparisons, Slopes, Marginal Means, and Hypothesis Tests.

Askarieh A, del Río M, Aldea J, et al (2024) Radial increment dynamics of Maritime pine (Pinus pinaster Ait.) in pure and mixed stands with Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) under changing environmental conditions. Eur J Forest Res 143:671–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-023-01650-0

Barbeta A, Mejía-Chang M, Ogaya R, et al (2015) The combined effects of a long-term experimental drought and an extreme drought on the use of plant-water sources in a Mediterranean forest. Global Change Biology 21:1213–1225. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12785

Bartoń K (2024) MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference

Bennett AC, McDowell NG, Allen CD, Anderson-Teixeira KJ (2015) Larger trees suffer most during drought in forests worldwide. Nature Plants 1:15139. https://doi.org/10/f7wx7q

Bevacqua E, Zappa G, Lehner F, Zscheischler J (2022) Precipitation trends determine future occurrences of compound hot–dry events. Nat Clim Chang 12:350–355. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01309-5

Brooks M E, Kristensen K, Benthem K J ,van, et al (2017) glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. The R Journal 9:378. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-066

Calama R, Conde M, de-Dios-García J, et al (2019) Linking climate, annual growth and competition in a Mediterranean forest: Pinus pinea in the Spanish Northern Plateau. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 264:309–321. https://doi.org/10/gm7wwh

Calama R, Martínez C, Gordo J, et al (2023) The impact of climate and management on recent mortality in Pinus pinaster resin-tapped forests of inland Spain. Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/cpad023

733 Camarero JJ (2019) Linking functional traits and climate-growth relationships in
734 Mediterranean species through wood density. IAWA Journal 40:215-S2.
735 https://doi.org/10.1163/22941932-40190225

736 Camarero JJ, Olano JM, Parras A (2010) Plastic bimodal xylogenesis in conifers from
737 continental Mediterranean climates. New Phytologist 185:471–480.
738 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03073.x

739 Camarero JJ, Rozas V, Olano JM (2014) Minimum wood density of Juniperus thurifera is a
740 robust proxy of spring water availability in a continental Mediterranean climate.
741 Journal of Biogeography 41:1105. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12271

742 Camarero JJ, Rubio-Cuadrado Á, Gazol A (2021) Climate windows of intra-annual growth
743 and post-drought recovery in Mediterranean trees. Agricultural and Forest
744 Meteorology 308–309:108606. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108606

745 Campelo F, Gutiérrez E, Ribas M, et al (2018) The facultative bimodal growth pattern in
746 *Quercus ilex* – A simple model to predict sub-seasonal and inter-annual growth.
747 Dendrochronologia 49:77–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2018.03.001

748 Campelo F, Ribas M, Gutiérrez E (2021) Plastic bimodal growth in a Mediterranean mixed-
749 forest of *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*. Dendrochronologia 67:125836.
750 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2021.125836

751 Collado E, Piqué M, Coello J, et al (2023) Close-to-nature management effects on tree growth
752 and soil moisture in Mediterranean mixed forests. Forest Ecology and Management
753 549:121457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2023.121457

754 David TS, Pinto CA, Nadezhdina N, David JS (2016) Water and forests in the Mediterranean
755 hot climate zone: a review based on a hydraulic interpretation of tree functioning.
756 Forest systems 25:12. https://doi.org/10.5424/fs/2016252-08899

757 De Swaef T, De Schepper V, Vandegehuchte MW, Steppe K (2015) Stem diameter variations
758 as a versatile research tool in ecophysiology. Tree Physiol 35:1047–1061.
759 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv080

760 de-Dios-García J, Manso R, Calama R, et al (2018) A new multifactorial approach for
761 studying intra-annual secondary growth dynamics in Mediterranean mixed forests:
762 integrating biotic and abiotic interactions. Can J For Res 48:333–344.
763 https://doi.org/10/gdbqwp

764 de-Dios-García J, Pardos M, Calama R (2015) Interannual variability in competitive effects in
765 mixed and monospecific forests of Mediterranean stone pine. Forest Ecology and
766 Management 358:230–239. https://doi.org/10/f7xn3g

767 del Castillo J, Comas C, Voltas J, Ferrio JP (2016) Dynamics of competition over water in a
768 mixed oak-pine Mediterranean forest: Spatio-temporal and physiological components.
769 Forest Ecology and Management 382:214–224.
770 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.025

771 del Río M, Vergarechea M, Hilmers T, et al (2021) Effects of elevation-dependent climate
772 warming on intra- and inter-specific growth synchrony in mixed mountain forests.

773 Forest Ecology and Management 479:118587.
774 https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118587

775 Deslauriers A, Rossi S, Anfodillo T (2007) Dendrometer and intra-annual tree growth: What
776 kind of information can be inferred? 12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2007.05.003

777 Díaz-Martínez P, Ruiz-Benito P, Madrigal-González J, et al (2023) Positive effects of
778 warming do not compensate growth reduction due to increased aridity in
779 Mediterranean mixed forests. Ecosphere 14:e4380. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4380

780 Férriz M, Martin-Benito D, Cañellas I, Gea-Izquierdo G (2021) Sensitivity to water stress
781 drives differential decline and mortality dynamics of three co-occurring conifers with
782 different drought tolerance. Forest Ecology and Management 486:118964.
783 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.118964

784 Fieberg J (2022) Statistics for Ecologists: A Frequentist and Bayesian Treatment of Modern
785 Regression Models. An open-source online textbook.

786 Forrester DI (2014) The spatial and temporal dynamics of species interactions in mixed-
787 species forests: From pattern to process. Forest Ecology and Management 312:282–
788 292. https://doi.org/10/f5m6dp

789 Gao S, Liang E, Liu R, et al (2022) An earlier start of the thermal growing season enhances
790 tree growth in cold humid areas but not in dry areas. Nat Ecol Evol 6:397–404.
791 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01668-4

792 Gonzalez-Hidalgo JC, Trullenque-Blanco V, Beguería S, Peña-Angulo D (2024) Seasonal
793 precipitation changes in the western Mediterranean Basin: The case of the Spanish
794 mainland, 1916–2015. International Journal of Climatology 44:1800–1815.
795 https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8412

796 Gordo O, Sanz JJ (2010) Impact of climate change on plant phenology in Mediterranean
797 ecosystems. Global Change Biology 16:1082–1106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
798 2486.2009.02084.x

799 Grossiord C (2020) Having the right neighbors: how tree species diversity modulates drought
800 impacts on forests. New Phytol 228:42–49. https://doi.org/10/gfs3rf

801 Gutiérrez E, Campelo F, Camarero JJ, et al (2011) Climate controls act at different scales on
802 the seasonal pattern of Quercus ilex L. stem radial increments in NE Spain. Trees
803 25:637–646. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-011-0540-3

804 Haberstroh S, Werner C (2022) The role of species interactions for forest resilience to
805 drought. Plant Biol J plb.13415. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.13415

806 Hartig F (2022) DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed)
807 Regression Models. In: DHARMa. http://florianhartig.github.io/DHARMa/. Accessed
808 13 Dec 2023

809 Hegyi F (1974) A simulation model for managing jackpine stands. In: Growth Models for
810 Tree and Stand Simulation: Proceedings of Meetings in 1973. Royal College of
811 Forestry

812 Huang J-G, Ma Q, Rossi S, et al (2020) Photoperiod and temperature as dominant
813 environmental drivers triggering secondary growth resumption in Northern
814 Hemisphere conifers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117:20645–
815 20652. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2007058117

816 Jankowski PA, Calama R, Aldea J, et al (2025) Improving phenological event identification in
817 trees using manually measured dendrometer data: conventional approaches vs. the
818 novel two-stage threshold approach. Front For Glob Change 8:.
819 https://doi.org/10.3389/ffgc.2025.1589579

820 Jankowski PA, Calama R, Madrigal G, Pardos M (2024) Enhanced interannual drought
821 resilience in mixed stands: unveiling possible complementarity effects between tree
822 species of the Spanish Northern Plateau. Eur J Forest Res.
823 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-024-01685-x

824 Kramer K, Leinonen I, Loustau D (2000) The importance of phenology for the evaluation of
825 impact of climate change on growth of boreal, temperate and Mediterranean forests
826 ecosystems: an overview. Int J Biometeorol 44:67–75.
827 https://doi.org/10.1007/s004840000066

828 Kutiel H (2019) Climatic Uncertainty in the Mediterranean Basin and Its Possible Relevance
829 to Important Economic Sectors. Atmosphere 10:10.
830 https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10010010

831 Lambers H, Oliveira RS (2019) Plant Physiological Ecology. Springer International
832 Publishing, Cham

833 Lebourgeois F, Rathgeber C, Ulrich E (2009) Sensitivity of French temperate coniferous
834 forests to climate variability and extreme events (Abies alba, Picea abies and Pinus
835 sylvestris). Journal of Vegetation Science 21:364–376. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-
836 1103.2009.01148.x

837 Lempereur M, Limousin J-M, Guibal F, et al (2017) Recent climate hiatus revealed dual
838 control by temperature and drought on the stem growth of Mediterranean Quercus
839 ilex. Global Change Biology 23:42–55. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13495

840 Lempereur M, Martin-StPaul NK, Damesin C, et al (2015) Growth duration is a better
841 predictor of stem increment than carbon supply in a Mediterranean oak forest:
842 implications for assessing forest productivity under climate change. New Phytologist
843 207:579–590. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13400

844 Lenth RV (2023) emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, aka Least-Squares Means_. R
845 package version 1.8.6

846 Lüdecke D, Ben-Shachar MS, Patil I, et al (2021) performance: An R Package for
847 Assessment, Comparison and Testing of Statistical Models. Journal of Open Source
848 Software 6:3139. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03139

849 Martín D, Vázquez-Piqué J, Fernández M, Alejano R (2014) Effect of ecological factors on
850 intra-annual stem girth increment of holm oak. Trees 28:1367–1381.
851 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-014-1041-y

852 Martínez-Sancho E, Vásconez Navas LK, Seidel H, et al (2017) Responses of Contrasting
853 Tree Functional Types to Air Warming and Drought. Forests 8:450.
854 https://doi.org/10/gcqf8w

855 Mayoral C, Calama R, Sánchez-González M, Pardos M (2015) Modelling the influence of
856 light, water and temperature on photosynthesis in young trees of mixed Mediterranean
857 forests. New Forests 46:485–506. https://doi.org/10/f7fgh4

858 Mayoral C, Pardos M, Sánchez-González M, et al (2016) Ecological implications of different
859 water use strategies in three coexisting mediterranean tree species. Forest Ecology and
860 Management 382:76–87. https://doi.org/10/f9bq2s

861 McMahon SM, Parker GG (2015) A general model of intra-annual tree growth using
862 dendrometer bands. Ecology and Evolution 5:243–254.
863 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1117

864 MedECC (2020) Climate and Environmental Change in the Mediterranean Basin – Current
865 Situation and Risks for the Future. First Mediterranean Assessment Report. MedECC
866 Reports. MedECC Secretariat, Marseille, France. URL
867 https://zenodo.org/doi/10.5281/zenodo.7224821

868 Metz J, Annighöfer P, Westekemper K, et al (2020) Less is more: Effects of competition
869 reduction and facilitation on intra-annual (basal area) growth of mature European
870 beech. Trees 34:17–36. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-019-01894-7

871 Miller TW, Stangler DF, Larysch E, et al (2022) A methodological framework to optimize
872 models predicting critical dates of xylem phenology based on dendrometer data.
873 Dendrochronologia 72:125940. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dendro.2022.125940

874 Montagnoli A, Dumroese RK, Terzaghi M, et al (2019) Seasonality of fine root dynamics and
875 activity of root and shoot vascular cambium in a *Quercus ilex* L. forest (Italy). Forest
876 Ecology and Management 431:26–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.06.044

877 Moreno-Fernández D, Aldea J, Gea-Izquierdo G, et al (2021) Influence of climate and
878 thinning on Quercus pyrenaica Willd. coppices growth dynamics. Eur J Forest Res
879 140:187–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-020-01322-3

880 Morin X, Roy J, Sonié L, Chuine I (2010) Changes in leaf phenology of three European oak
881 species in response to experimental climate change. New Phytologist 186:900–910.
882 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03252.x

883 Muñoz-Gálvez FJ, Herrero A, Esther Pérez-Corona M, Andivia E (2021) Are pine-oak mixed
884 stands in Mediterranean mountains more resilient to drought than their monospecific
885 counterparts? Forest Ecology and Management 484:118955.
886 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2021.118955

887 Noto LV, Cipolla G, Francipane A, Pumo D (2023a) Climate Change in the Mediterranean
888 Basin (Part I): Induced Alterations on Climate Forcings and Hydrological Processes.
889 Water Resour Manage 37:2287–2305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-022-03400-0

890 Noto LV, Cipolla G, Pumo D, Francipane A (2023b) Climate Change in the Mediterranean
891 Basin (Part II): A Review of Challenges and Uncertainties in Climate Change

892 Modeling and Impact Analyses. Water Resour Manage 37:2307–2323.
893 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-023-03444-w

894 Pardos M, Calama R (2022) Adaptive Strategies of Seedlings of Four Mediterranean Co-
895 Occurring Tree Species in Response to Light and Moderate Drought: A Nursery
896 Approach. Forests 13:154. https://doi.org/10.3390/f13020154

897 Pardos M, del Río M, Pretzsch H, et al (2021a) The greater resilience of mixed forests to
898 drought mainly depends on their composition: Analysis along a climate gradient across
899 Europe. Forest Ecology and Management 481:118687. https://doi.org/10/gh6qdd

900 Pardos M, Madrigal G, de Dios-García J, et al (2021b) Sapling recruitment in mixed stands in
901 the Northern Plateau of Spain: a patch model approach. Trees 35:2043–2058.
902 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-021-02171-2

903 Peñuelas J, Sardans J (2021) Global Change and Forest Disturbances in the Mediterranean
904 Basin: Breakthroughs, Knowledge Gaps, and Recommendations. Forests 12:603.
905 https://doi.org/10.3390/f12050603

906 Perdiguero P, Soto Á, Collada C (2015) Comparative analysis of Pinus pinea and Pinus
907 pinaster dehydrins under drought stress. Tree Genetics & Genomes 11:70.
908 https://doi.org/10/gnjvqg

909 Pretzsch H (2022) Facilitation and competition reduction in tree species mixtures in Central
910 Europe: Consequences for growth modeling and forest management. Ecological
911 Modelling 464:109812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2021.109812

912 R Core Team (2022) R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

913 Ren P, Ziaco E, Rossi S, et al (2019) Growth rate rather than growing season length
914 determines wood biomass in dry environments. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
915 271:46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2019.02.031

916 Rossi S, Anfodillo T, Čufar K, et al (2016) Pattern of xylem phenology in conifers of cold
917 ecosystems at the Northern Hemisphere. Global Change Biology 22:3804–3813.
918 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13317

919 Sánchez-Costa E, Poyatos R, Sabaté S (2015a) Contrasting growth and water use strategies in
920 four co-occurring Mediterranean tree species revealed by concurrent measurements of
921 sap flow and stem diameter variations. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 207:24–
922 37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.03.012

923 Sánchez-Costa E, Poyatos R, Sabaté S (2015b) Contrasting growth and water use strategies in
924 four co-occurring Mediterranean tree species revealed by concurrent measurements of
925 sap flow and stem diameter variations. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 207:24–
926 37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.03.012

927 Schäfer C, Rötzer T, Thurm EA, et al (2019) Growth and Tree Water Deficit of Mixed
928 Norway Spruce and European Beech at Different Heights in a Tree and under Heavy
929 Drought. Forests 10:577. https://doi.org/10.3390/f10070577

930 Sperlich D, Chang CT, Peñuelas J, Sabaté S (2019) Responses of photosynthesis and
931 component processes to drought and temperature stress: are Mediterranean trees fit for
932 climate change? Tree Physiology 39:1783–1805.
933 https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpz089

934 Tumajer J, Serra-Maluquer X, Gazol A, et al (2022) Bimodal and unimodal radial growth of
935 Mediterranean oaks along a coast-inland gradient. Agricultural and Forest
936 Meteorology 327:109234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2022.109234

937 Tumajer J, Shishov VV, Ilyin VA, Camarero JJ (2021) Intra-annual growth dynamics of
938 Mediterranean pines and junipers determines their climatic adaptability. Agricultural
939 and Forest Meteorology 311:108685. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2021.108685

940 Valeriano C, Gazol A, Colangelo M, Camarero JJ (2021) Drought Drives Growth and
941 Mortality Rates in Three Pine Species under Mediterranean Conditions. Forests
942 12:1700. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12121700

943 Vergarechea M, Calama R, Pretzsch H, et al (2021) Short- and long-term growth response to
944 climate in mixed and monospecific forests of Pinus pinea and Pinus pinaster. Eur J
945 Forest Res 140:387–402. https://doi.org/10/gm7wwm

946 Vicente E, Vilagrosa A, Ruiz-Yanetti S, et al (2018) Water Balance of Mediterranean Quercus
947 ilex L. and Pinus halepensis Mill. Forests in Semiarid Climates: A Review in A
948 Climate Change Context. Forests 9:426. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9070426

949 Vieira J, Campelo F, Rossi S, et al (2015) Adjustment Capacity of Maritime Pine Cambial
950 Activity in Drought-Prone Environments. PLOS ONE 10:e0126223.
951 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0126223

952 Vieira J, Carvalho A, Campelo F (2020) Tree Growth Under Climate Change: Evidence From
953 Xylogenesis Timings and Kinetics. Front Plant Sci 11:.
954 https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00090

955 Vieira J, Moura M, Nabais C, et al (2019) Seasonal adjustment of primary and secondary
956 growth in maritime pine under simulated climatic changes. Annals of Forest Science
957 76:1–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-019-0865-4

958 Vieira J, Rossi S, Campelo F, et al (2014) Xylogenesis of Pinus pinaster under a
959 Mediterranean climate. Annals of Forest Science 71:71–80.
960 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-013-0341-5

961 Vieira J, Rossi S, Campelo F, et al (2013) Seasonal and daily cycles of stem radial variation of
962 Pinus pinaster in a drought-prone environment. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology
963 180:173–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.06.009

964 Zalloni E, Battipaglia G, Cherubini P, et al (2019) Wood Growth in Pure and Mixed Quercus
965 ilex L. Forests: Drought Influence Depends on Site Conditions. Front Plant Sci
966 10:397. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00397

967 Zalloni E, Battipaglia G, Cherubini P, et al (2018) Contrasting physiological responses to
968 Mediterranean climate variability are revealed by intra-annual density fluctuations in

969 tree rings of Quercus ilex L. and Pinus pinea L. Tree Physiology 38:1213–1224.
970 https://doi.org/10/gk83ng

971 Zweifel R, Haeni M, Buchmann N, Eugster W (2016) Are trees able to grow in periods of
972 stem shrinkage? New Phytol 211:839–849. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13995

973

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- SupplementaryInformationPhenologygrowthanddroughtJankowskietal.v.01.docx