

Simultaneous Hydrodistillation of *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti and *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn: Optimization of anti-wood-decay fungal activity using mixture design methodology

Saoussan Annemer

University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah

Yassine Ez zoubi

University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah

Badr Satrani

Forestry Research Center - Rabat

Hamide Stambouli

Institute of Forensic Sciences of Gendarmerie Royal

Amine Assouguem

University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah

Taoufik Bouayoun

Institute of Forensic Sciences of Gendarmerie Royal

Abdellah Farah (✉ farah.abdellah1@gmail.com)

University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah

Research Article

Keywords: Anti-wood-decay fungal activity, *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti, mixture design, *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn, simultaneous hydrodistillation

Posted Date: November 3rd, 2022

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2214590/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Simultaneous Hydrodistillation of *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti and *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn: Optimization of anti-wood-decay fungal activity using mixture design methodology

Saoussan Annemer¹, Yassine Ez zoubi^{1,2}, Badr Satrani³, Hamide Stambouli⁴, Amine Assouguem¹, Taoufik Bouayoun⁴, and Abdellah Farah^{1*}

¹Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, University Sidi Mohammed Ben Abdellah, Fez B.P. 2202, Morocco

²Biotechnology, Environmental Technology and Valorization of Bio-Resources Team, Department of Bi-ology, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques Al-Hoceima, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tetouan, Morocco

³Forestry Research Center - Rabat, Avenue Omar Ibn Al Khattab, BP 763, - Rabat-Agdal. 10050, Morocco

⁴Institute of Forensic Sciences of Gendarmerie Royal, Rabat-Institute, B.P. 6597, Morocco

*Corresponding author E-mail: farah.abdellah1@gmail.com

Abstract

Chemical fungicides are often harmful to people and the environment because of their toxicity. The wood protection industry places a high priority on replacing them with natural products. Therefore, this investigation focused on developing a formulation of a binary combination of *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn and *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti obtained by Simultaneous hydrodistillation to protect the wood from decay using a mixture design methodology. The chemical composition of EOs was identified by Gas chromatography coupled with mass spectrometry (GC/MS), and their anti-wood-decay fungal activity was assessed using the macrodilution method against four fungi responsible for wood decay: *Coniophora puteana*, *Coriolus versicolor*, *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and *Poria placenta*. The results of GC/MS identified myrtenal as a new component appearing in all binary combinations. The optimum anti-wood-decay fungal activity was observed in a combination of 60% *S. rosmarinus* and 40% *C. atlantica* essential oils, providing an effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect (EC₅₀) value of 9.91 ± 1.91 and 9.28 ± 1.55 µg/mL for *C. puteana* and *C. versicolor*, respectively. The highest anti-wood-decay fungal activity for *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta* was found in the combination of 55% of *S. rosmarinus* and 45% of *C. atlantica* essential oils, with an EC₅₀ value of 11.48 ± 3.73 and 22.619 ± 3.79 µg/mL, respectively. Combined simultaneous hydrodistillation improved the antifungal effect of these essential oils. These results could be used to improve antifungal activity and protect wood against wood-decay fungi.

Keywords: Anti-wood-decay fungal activity, *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti, mixture design, *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn, simultaneous hydrodistillation.

1 Introduction

Although wood is an organic material, it is often damaged by microorganisms, including fungi, especially in hot, humid areas. Fungi decay significantly impacts the construction industry because of the considerable damage caused to wood in use and storage (Yue et al. 2018). Preserving wood to prevent decay and other biodegradation processes is essential during storage, transportation, and use. The two types of wood-decay fungi are white-rot

fungi and brown-rot fungi (Li et al. 2022; Aguiar et al. 2013). White-rot fungi attack lignin, cellulose, and hemicellulose, while brown-rot fungi degrade cellulose and the hemicellulose of cell walls.

Sometimes, wood-decay fungi demethylate, ionize, or weakly depolymerize lignin (El Ajjouri et al. 2008). Most wood products are treated with chemical fungicides, such as benzimidazoles, copper acid chromate, copper chromate arsenate, and copper zinc arsenate, to preserve their functionality and extend their lives (Barbero-López et al. 2020; Zyani et al. 2011). However, chemical fungicides are often harmful to people and the environment because of their toxicity (Schultz et al. 2007). Therefore, this re-search focused on developing effective, non-toxic, lower-cost, easy-to-use wood preservatives to protect wood from decay (Sil et al. 2020). Several scientists have suggested the utilization of aromatic and medicinal plants as agents to prevent wood-decay fungi (Cheng et al. 2011; Moutaouafiq et al. 2019; El Ajjouri et al. 2010; Ekhilil et al. 2016). Using volatile formulations derived from aromatic and medicinal plants could offer many advantages over current synthetic products. Indeed, essential oils are only mildly toxic to the environment and can exhibit higher biocidal activity (Hsu et al. 2022).

Wood extracts constitute an important class of secondary metabolites (Schultz and Nicholas 2002). Several wood species seem indebted contain chemical compounds (Bhardwaj et al. 2012; Wu et al. 2022). These compounds make trees resistant to insect attacks (Soidrou et al. 2013). One of these trees is the Atlas cedar, one of the most economically and ecologically important species in the mountains of Morocco. *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti (*C. atlantica*), known as the Atlas cedar, belongs to the Pinaceae family and is considered the oldest tree after Pinus genus (Moukrim et al. 2020). Cedarwood essential oil is antiseptic, anti-inflammatory (Shinde et al. 1999), antifungal, purifying, and relaxing (Zrira and Ghanmi 2016). Cedarwood essential oils are helpful in perfumery and cosmetics (Zrira 2013) because of the himachalenes they contain, such as β -hemachalene, α -hemachalene and γ -hemachalene (Ainane et al. 2018). It is also used in perfumery and cosmetology. Moreover, it is prescribed for dermatitis and skin inflammation.

The Mediterranean region is characterized by *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn (*S. rosmarinus*), commonly known as rosemary, which belongs to the Lamiaceae family. One of the most widely used medicinal plants worldwide, rosemary, is Morocco's most important wild species (Zrira 2017). The essential oil *S. rosmarinus* is widely used for treating various diseases due to its pharmacological properties (Habtemariam 2016). Several studies have shown that the essential oil *S. rosmarinus* presents antioxidant (Sivakanthan et al. 2020), antimicrobial (Messaoudi Moussii et al. 2020), antifungal (Özcan and Chalchat 2008), anti-inflammatory (Borges et al. 2018), insecticidal (Ahsaei et al. 2020), and antiparasitic (Dawood et al. 2021) activities. It can act against fungal phytopathogens (Felšöciová et al. 2020) due to its richness in monoterpene such as 1,8-cineole, camphor and α -pinene (Charles and Charles 2013).

The studied EOs' antifungal activity against wood-decay fungi has been previously reported for *S. rosmarinus* (Yang and Clausen 2007) and *C. atlantica* (Fidah et al. 2016). Yet no study has examined the synergistic effects of binary combinations in achieving effective antifungal activity at sufficiently low concentrations. This combination was chosen to facilitate sesquiterpene extraction using monoterpenes as a green solvent, thus reducing the extraction duration of sesquiterpenes by improving biological activity. This study aimed to develop a

formulation of the two essential oils obtained by a simultaneous hydrodistillation of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* to optimize the anti-wood-decay fungal activity against four wood-decay fungi using the methodology of experimental design.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Plant Material

The aerial parts of *S. rosmarinus* were harvested from the Talsint area (eastern Morocco) (latitude 32°32'18.29"N, longitude 3°26'32.143"W). *C. atlantica* sawdust was collected from a cedarwood sawmill in Azrou (the Middle Atlas Mountains in Morocco) (latitude 33°26'9.226"N, longitude 5°13'21.104"W). All plants were collected during the same period—in April—because it is the best time to exploit rosemary (Annemer et al. 2022). The herb should be dried in a shady place at an ambient temperature.




Figure 1: Combined-simultaneous hydrodistillation of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*

2.2 Essential Oils Extraction

The aerial parts of *S. rosmarinus*, the wood sweats of *C. atlantica*, and the binary mixtures of the two plants were distilled by hydrodistillation and simultaneous hydrodistillation, using a Clevenger-type apparatus, as performed by El Kharraf et al. (2021), Kharraf et al. (2021), and El kharraf et al. (2020). The two plants were placed in two layers, with *S. rosmarinus* at the bottom of the distillation flask and the wood sweats of *C. atlantica* at the top (Figure 1). The

ratio of the plants in the mixture varied according to the mixture design (Table 1). A total of 200 g of dried plants was put in a two L distillation flask containing one L of distilled water, and the mixture was heated for four hours to a boil, except for *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* alone, which were heated for 3 hours and 8 hours to a boil, respectively. The essential oils were collected, dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, and stored at 4 °C in an opaque green flask until used. The experiments were performed in triplicate.

2.3 Chromatographic analysis

The essential oils were chemically analyzed employing gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and flame ionization detection (GC-FID). GC-FID analysis was performed for component quantification, whereas GC/MS analysis was carried out for identification.

All the samples were analyzed with gas chromatography using a capillary column equipped with HP-5 (30 m × 0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25 µm), an FID detector, and an injector set at 275 °C. After five minutes, the oven temperature was increased from 50 °C to 250 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min. Nitrogen (1.8 ml/min) was used as the carrier gas. Samples were diluted 1/50 in methanol and injected in a 1 µl volume, utilizing a split mode at a 1/50 ratio and a flow rate of 72.1 ml/min. The component proportions of the EOs were given as percentages evaluated applying peak area normalization. The retention indices (RI) on the HP-5 MS column were calculated employing homologous series (C8–C28) alkanes.

The gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis was conducted using a Hewlett-Packard gas chromatographer (HP 6890) and a mass spectrometer (HP and stationary syringe 5973). The employed column was HP-5MS (30 m x 0.25 mm, 0.25 µm film thickness). The column temperature was set at 50 °C and increased to 250 °C at a rate of 2 °C/min. The carrier gas was helium (99.995% purity), the flow rate was 1.5 mL/min, the split ratio was 1/74.7, and the flow rate was 112 mL/min. The MS identities of the components were approved employing the NIST 98 spectral library. The ionization voltage was 70 eV, the ion source temperature was 230 °C, and the scan mass range was 35–450 m/z. Component identification was verified by checking the elution order of the compounds with the relative retention indices reported in the literature. All the experiments were conducted in triplicate.

2.4 Anti-Wood-Decay Fungal Activity

The anti-wood-decay fungal activities of the essential oils were evaluated against four wood-decay fungi using the methods of Remmal et al. (1993) and Satrani et al. (2001), with slight modification. The wood-decay fungal strains were one white-rot fungi (*Coriolus versicolor* [Linnaeus] Quélet, [ATCC 12679]) and tree brown-rot fungi (*Gloeophyllum trabeum* [Persoon ex Fries] Murril [ATCC 11539]), (*Coniophora puteana* [Schumacher ex Fries] Karsten [ATCC 9351]), and (*Poria placenta* [Fries] Cooke sensu J. Eriksson [ATCC 9891]). These fungi were taken from the Culture Collections of the Mycotheque of Microbiology and Mycology Laboratory at the Forest Research Center in Rabat, Morocco.

EOs diluted serially in a sterile agar solution at 0.2% 20 mL of solid medium malt ex-tract were kept in sterilized Petri dishes to obtain final concentrations of 7.81, 15.63, 31.25, 62.5, 125, 250, 500, and 1000 µg/L (w/v). A negative control was prepared by substituting the EOs with an agar solution of 0.2%. One fragment of 1 cm³ diameter was put in the center of the Petri dishes. Each Petri dish was closed with parafilm and incubated at 25°C for seven days.

Anti-wood-decay fungal assays were carried out in triplicate. The anti-wood-decay fungal activity was expressed as EC₅₀ (the effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect). EC₅₀ values (concentrations that are 50% inhibitory of mycelium growth) were calculated by the following measurement of the inhibition (percentage) of mycelial growth:

$$\text{Mycelial growth inhibition (\%)} = \frac{DC - DT}{DC} \times 100 \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

Where DC and DT are the average diameters (mm) of mycelial growth Zone in the control and the test, respectively, the positive control was Nystatin. The EC₅₀ values were calculated for each investigated EO using probit analysis (Finney 1971).

2.5 Experimental Mixture design

The mixture design was performed to optimize the Anti-wood-decay fungal activity (EC₅₀) against four wood-decay fungi with minimal experiments. This investigation was tested to determine an optimal formulation using a combination of two plants: *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*.

A simplex-lattice design of experiments (DoE) was performed to investigate the formulation of two components, *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*, for the EC₅₀ of four wood-decay fungi, *Coniophora puteana*, *Coriolus versicolor*, *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and *Poria placenta*, to minimize the response values. The experimental design comprised five experiments (Table 1), which included two pure components in the vertices of the segment (Experiments 1 and 7), the central point 0.5:0.5 (Experiment 3), and two axial points 0.75:0.25 and 0.25:0.75 (Experiment 2 and 6) (Figure 2). The sum of the components of the mixture was 100%.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 100\%$$




Figure 2. The segment of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* binary mixture design

Table 1. Simplex-lattice design for two components

Exp	<i>S. rosmarinus</i>	<i>C. atlantica</i>
1	1	0
2	0.75	0.25
3	0.5	0.5
4	0.5	0.5
5	0.5	0.5
6	0.25	0.75
7	0	1

The following Scheffe quadratic model was applied to the mixture design in Equation (2):

$$Y = a_1X_1 + a_2X_2 + a_{12}X_1X_2 + \varepsilon \quad Eq. (2)$$

Where Y is the measured response variable (the EC₅₀ of four wood-decay fungi), and a₁, a₂, and a₁₂ represent the linear terms and the interaction term coefficients, X_i represents the proportion of components, and ε represents the error related with the experiments.

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to test the fitted models, and the F-value was carried to determine whether the models were statistically significant. The determination coefficients (*R*² and *adjusted R*²) were performed to ensure the quality of the adopted models and their predictions (Ghafari et al. 2009; Abu Amr et al. 2016; Nam et al. 2018).

Finally, the significance of the regression coefficients in each component was determined using the t-student test (Ammar et al. 2010). All the tests were conducted at a 95% confidence level.

DESIGN EXPERT software version 13 (Stat-Ease, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) was performed in the DoE to treat all experimental design treatments.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

Experiments were carried out through an analysis of variance based on Tukey's test of *p* < 0.05 to determine the significance of the means of the essential oil yield of the mixture design using Origin 2021 software (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, Massachusetts, USA).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Essential oils yield

The results for the essential oil yields were calculated to evaluate the effect of hydrodistillation and simultaneous hydrodistillation (Figure 3). The yield of the essential oil varied from 2.25% to 3.20%. The highest yield (3.20%) was found in *C. atlantica* essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation. The binary mixture of *S. rosmarinus*: *C. atlantica* (0.25:0.75) presented with a value of 2.96 %, followed by *S. rosmarinus*: *C. atlantica* (0.5:0.5) mixture (2.54 %), and the *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* mixture (0.75:0.25) (2.41%), were obtained by simultaneous hydrodistillation. The lowest yield (2.25%) was observed in *S. rosmarinus* essential oil obtained by hydrodistillation. The plant ratio, in combination with simultaneous hydrodistillation, significantly affect EO yields. The results showed that the yield increases with an increase in the proportion of *C. atlantica*, which explains why *C. atlantica* contains more essential oil than *S. rosmarinus*. Our results differ from those observed by Kharraf et al. (2021), who found that the EO yields of the plant combinations were significantly higher than those of individual plants.




Figure 3. The average yield of the essential oils a function of the plant's ratio. Different letters (a, b, c, d, and e) listed in each column illustrate a significant difference ($p < 0.05$) according to the Tukey test.

3.2 Chemical composition of essential oil

The analyses of the essential oils of *S. rosmarinus*, *C. atlantica*, and their binary combination by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectroscopy allowed for the identification of sixty-six compounds representing 99.34–100% of total oils. Table 2 shows the retention indices, percentage composition, and identification methods.

As Table 2 indicates, the chemical analysis of *S. rosmarinus* EOs identified twenty-six components (100%) that were main volatile constituents, namely 1,8-cineole (50.86%), camphor (18.81%), α -pinene (9.36%), α -terpineol (4.40%), β -pinene (1.48%), borneol (3.90%), camphene (3.04%), p-cymene (2.21%), linalool (1.42%), and terpinen-4-ol (1.03%). The oxygenated monoterpenes represented the dominant class in *S. rosmarinus* EO ($81.64\% \pm 3.02\%$), followed by hydrocarbon monoterpene ($17.63\% \pm 1.41\%$), whereas sesquiterpenes were detected in much lower amounts. This composition is similar to that found by Annemer

et al. (2022) and Sabbahi et al. (2020), who also harvested in the Province of Figuig, the Oriental region of Morocco. The *S. rosmarinus* Eos from the Province of Ouezzan, in the north of Morocco, was composed of 1,8-cineole (23.70%), camphor (18.70%), borneol (15.50%), and α -pinene (14.10%) (Bouyahya et al. 2017). The rosemary from Loukkos had the following composition: camphor (21.30%), 1,8-cineole (17.0%), α -pinene (9.20%), β -pinene (8.60%), camphene (7.40%), terpinen-4-ol (2.80%), borneol (4.80%), and p-cymene (2.40%). However, the major components of *S. rosmarinus* EO from the Middle Atlas Mountains of Morocco were 1,8-cineole (46.2%), camphor (17.3%), borneol (6.80%), α -terpineol (5.30%), α -pinene (5.60%), camphene (2.60%), and terpinen-4-ol (2.2%) (Hannour et al. 2018). This Moroccan EO predominantly comprised 1,8-cineole and camphor.

Thirty-nine components were identified in *C. atlantica* EO with a mean percentage of 100%. It was dominated by oxygenated sesquiterpenes ($67.2\% \pm 2.05\%$) and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons ($31.6\% \pm 0.89\%$). However, the quantities of oxygenated monoterpenes and monoterpenes hydrocarbons nearly ceased to exist. The *C. atlantica* EO consisted of β -himachalene (11.46%), (E)- α -atlantone (10.87%), deodarnone (10.74%), Himachalol (10.52%), α -himachalene (7.55%), E(E)- γ -atlantone (6.96%), (Z)- α -atlantone (5.56%), and γ -himachalene (3.66%). Chemical analysis showed that the chemical composition of *C. atlantica* sawdust essential oil was similar to that indicated by Zrira and Ghanmi (2016), who identified the main compounds as α -(E)-atlantone (19.3 %), β -himachalene (15.1 %), 8-Cedren-13-ol, (13.1 %), α -himachalene (5.1 %), cedroxyde (4.6 %) and deodarone (4.6%). According to Bennouna et al. (2020), γ -himachalane (4.05%), β -himachalane (7.23%), γ -calamenene (7.77%), δ -cadinene (7.34%), iso-cedranol (13.78%), cedranone (19.35%), cedrol (4.44%), and caryophyllene oxide (8.73%) were the major compounds of Moroccan *C. atlantica* located in Azrou in the Middle Atlas region. In contrast, Fidah et al. (2016) found that E- γ -Atlantone (19.73%), E- α -Atlantone (16.86%), Isocedranol (11.68%), 9-iso-Thujopsanone (4.45%), Cedranone (4.13%), and Z α -Atlantone (4.02%) were the main components in Moroccan Cedarwood oil. Then, Ainane et al. (2018) determined that α -himachalene (15.63%), β -himachalene (31.24%), and γ -himachalene (14.46%) were the most abundant compounds in *C. atlantica*. The essential oil of *C. atlantica* from the Khemisset region (located in the central plains of Morocco) was found to consist of atlantones (19.73%), 5-isocedranol (11.70%), 9-iso-thujopsanone (4.45%), and cedranone (4.30%) (Salhi et al. 2020).

Forty-three components were identified in a binary combination of 75% of *S. rosmarinus* and 25% of *C. atlantica* (99.53%). The EO contained a significant proportion of oxygenated monoterpenes ($72.97\% \pm 2.28\%$) and monoterpene hydrocarbons ($15.18\% \pm 1.62\%$), but low proportions of the oxygenated sesquiterpene ($7.23\% \pm 1.09\%$) and hydro-carbon sesquiterpenes ($4.15\% \pm 0.98\%$). The major compounds were 1,8-cineole (44.85%), camphor (16.78%), α -pinene (9.36%), myrtenal (4.04%), and borneol (3.60%).

A total of forty-four compounds were identified in a binary combination of 50% of *S. rosmarinus* and 50% of *C. atlantica* (99.34%). The essential oils were featured by a substantial amount of oxygenated monoterpenes ($62.07\% \pm 1.52\%$) and oxygenated sesquiterpenes ($15.32\% \pm 1.61\%$). Conversely, low amounts of monoterpenes hydrocarbons ($13.78\% \pm 1.21\%$) and sesquiterpenes hydrocarbons ($8.17\% \pm 1.74\%$) were noted. The main constituents were 1,8-cineole (38.51%), camphor (14.09%), α -pinene (7.50%), myrtenal (3.41%), β -himachalene (3.39%), and borneol (3.19%).

The total number of identified compounds determined in a binary combination of 25% of *S. rosmarinus* and 75% of *C. atlantica*, with a total of 99.98%, was twenty-six. The oxygenated monoterpene and oxygenated sesquiterpenes ($37.15\% \pm 2.53\%$ and $35.49\% \pm 1.19\%$, respectively) were found at higher levels than the monoterpene hydrocarbons and sesquiterpenes hydrocarbons ($19.02\% \pm 1.56\%$ and $8.32\% \pm 1.01\%$, respectively) in the essential oil. The EO was dominated by 1,8-cineole (22.66%), camphor (8.11%), β -himachalene (6.96%), deodarnone (6.52%), (E)- α -atlantone (5.76%), Himachalol (5.08%), α -himachalene (4.71%), α -pinene (4.35%), and E(E)- γ -atlantone (4.13%).

These terpenes were previously found in the individual essential oils while in different amounts, notably 1,8-cineole, camphor, α -pinene, borneol, camphene, p-cymene, β -himachalene, deodarnone, β -pinene, (E)- α -atlantone, α -himachalene, himachalol, and E(E)- γ -atlantone. When the quantity of *C. atlantica* in the binary combination was high, the amount of sesquiterpene increased. Yet when the quantity of *S. rosmarinus* in the binary combination was increased, the amounts of monoterpene were elevated. During the hydrodistillation of the binary combinations of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*, new component appeared, namely, the myrtenal compound. The latter component was assumed to have a high value at a binary combination of 75% of *S. rosmarinus* - 25% *C. atlantica* (4.04%), followed by 50% of *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* (3.41%), and 25% of *S. rosmarinus* - 75% *C. atlantica* (2.02%).

Table 2. Chemical composition of *S. rosmarinus*, *C. atlantica* Eos and their binary combination

No. ^a	Compounds ^b	RI ^c	RI Lit. ^d	% Relative peak area				<i>C. atlantica</i> (100%)
				<i>S. rosmarinus</i> (100%)	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> : <i>C. atlantica</i> (75%-25%)	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> : <i>C. atlantica</i> (50%-50%)	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> : <i>C. atlantica</i> (25%-75%)	
1	α -pinene	936	938	9.36 $\pm$ 2.18	8.10 $\pm$ 1.10	7.50 $\pm$ 1.25	4.35 $\pm$ 0.51	-
2	Camphene	952	952	3.04 $\pm$ 1.15	2.68 $\pm$ 0.64	2.44 $\pm$ 1.79	1.46 $\pm$ 0.31	-
3	β -pinene	981	980	1.48 $\pm$ 1.21	1.34 $\pm$ 0.42	1.20 $\pm$ 0.32	0.68 $\pm$ 0.11	-
4	Myrcene	991	993	0.87 $\pm$ 0.19	0.74 $\pm$ 0.09	0.65 $\pm$ 0.21	0.37 $\pm$ 0.01	-
5	δ -3-carene	1007	1011	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01	-	-	-	-
6	α -terpinene	1019	1018	0.31 $\pm$ 0.18	0.14 $\pm$ 0.02	0.12 $\pm$ 0.04	0.08 $\pm$ 0.01	-
7	p-cymene	1026	1026	2.21 $\pm$ 1.65	1.89 $\pm$ 0.61	1.68 $\pm$ 0.21	1.01 $\pm$ 0.22	-
8	4-acetyl-1-methylcyclohexene	1035	1031	-	-	-	-	0.33 $\pm$ 0.04
9	1,8-cineole	1037	1033	50.86 $\pm$ 3.5	44.85 $\pm$ 2.30	38.51 $\pm$ 1.76	22.66 $\pm$ 1.54	-
10	γ -Terpinene	1059	1062	0.08 $\pm$ 0.02	0.09 $\pm$ 0.01	-	-	-
11	terpinolene	1088	1089	0.19 $\pm$ 0.08	0.2 $\pm$ 0.51	0.19 $\pm$ 0.65	0.18 $\pm$ 0.31	-
12	Linalool	1095	1098	1.42 $\pm$ 0.34	1.23 $\pm$ 0.33	1.03 $\pm$ 0.46	0.58 $\pm$ 0.11	-
13	fenchol	1116	1113	0.09 $\pm$ 0.06	0.09 $\pm$ 0.10	-	-	-
14	Trans rose oxyde	1130	1127	-	-	-	0.16 $\pm$ 0.02	0.87 $\pm$ 0.02
15	Camphor	1149	1144	18.81 $\pm$ 2.1	16.78 $\pm$ 1.21	14.09 $\pm$ 1.45	8.11 $\pm$ 0.99	-
16	pinocarvone	1164	1163	0.28 $\pm$ 0.08	0.22 $\pm$ 0.07	-	-	-
17	borneol	1170	1166	3.90 $\pm$ 1.14	3.60 $\pm$ 0.86	3.19 $\pm$ 1.12	1.79 $\pm$ 1.58	-
18	terpinen-4-ol	1179	1178	1.03 $\pm$ 0.12	0.95 $\pm$ 0.74	0.79 $\pm$ 0.11	0.43 $\pm$ 0.51	-
19	α -terpineol	1184	1189	4.40 $\pm$ 1.5	0.27 $\pm$ 0.02	0.44 $\pm$ 0.22	0.76 $\pm$ 0.41	-
20	Myrtenal *	1192	1194	-	4.04 $\pm$ 1.01	3.41 $\pm$ 0.52	2.02 $\pm$ 1.90	-

21	Dodecane*	1204	1200	-	-	-	0.19±0.01	-
22	verbenone	1213	1205	0.49±0.09	0.52±0.32	0.38±0.28	0.24±0.10	-
23	carvone	1241	1243	0.1±0.01	0.07±0.01	0.08±0.02	0.05±0.01	-
24	bornyl acetate	1280	1286	0.08±0.01	0.1±0.03	-	0.12±0.18	-
25	thymol	1286	1290	0.07±0.00	0.09±0.01	-	0.13±0.09	-
26	carvacrol	1297	1293	0.11±0.04	0.16±0.01	0.15±0.02	0.10±0.01	-
27	longifolene	1391	1387	-	-	-	0.27±0.14	0.55±0.04
28	tetradecane	1402	1399	-	-	-	0.38±0.08	0.74±0.09
29	himachala-2,4-diene	1409	1424	-	-	-	0.18±0.09	0.24±0.01
30	β-Caryophyllene	1431	1419	0.31±0.06	0.24±0.12	0.22±0.10	0.06±0.01	-
31	α-himachalene	1446	1447	-	1.15±1.03	1.84±0.99	4.71±2.69	7.55±1.1
32	Thujopsadiene	1463	1460	-	0.17±0.18	0.25±0.11	0.24±0.21	0.44±0.03
33	8,9-dehydro neoisolongifolène	1471	1469	-	-	-	0.19±0.02	0.23±0.01
34	γ-himachalene	1477	1476	-	0.36±0.12	0.75±0.05	2.23±1.15	3.66±1.5
35	γ-curcumene	1483	1480	-	-	-	0.11±0.07	0.36±0.03
36	(E)-β-ionone	1490	1485	-	-	-	0.28±0.03	0.29±0.01
37	β-himachalene	1499	1499	-	1.63±1.25	3.39±1.41	6.96±2.90	11.46±2.3
38	Cuparène	1502	1502	-	-	-	0.08±0.01	0.15±0.10
39	α-deshydro-ar-himachlene	1510	1511	-	0.09±0.02	0.27±0.12	0.14±0.09	0.34±0.03
40	δ-cadinene	1520	1524	-	0.07±0.00	0.1±0.01	0.76±0.12	0.85±0.1
41	γ-Dehydro-ar-himachalene	1528	1529	-	0.16±0.01	0.22±0.02	0.7±0.20	1.14±1.6
42	α-calacorene	1540	1542	-	0.18±0.07	0.2±0.03	0.38±0.01	1.38±1.2
43	β-calacorene	1561	1563	-	-	0.77±0.08	1.45±1.01	1.97±0.5
44	oxydo himachalene	1570	1574	-	-	-	1.03±0.05	1.35±0.07
45	Turmoil	1587	1578	-	-	0.25±0.09	0.64±0.02	1.38±0.8
46	carotol	1591	1594	-	-	-	0.56±0.04	0.71±0.01
47	Caryophyllene oxide	1599	1581	0.06±0.00	-	-	-	-
48	Cedrol	1603	1605	-	-	0.19±0.01	0.55±0.10	0.98±0.08
49	β-himachalene oxyde	1610	1611	-	0.52±0.12	1.04±0.91	1.21±1.00	2.77±0.7
50	Cedranone	1618	1620	-	0.28±0.01	0.32±0.19	-	2.7±1.2
51	1-epi-cubenol	1628	1628	-	0.33±0.15	0.67±0.02	1.73±1.10	2.52±2.6
52	3-iso- thujopsanone	1638	1637	-	0.65±0.10	1.36±0.78	1.63±0.34	2.65±2.1
53	α-cadinol*	1655	1653	-	-	-	0.14±0.01	-
54	Himachalol	1647	1647	-	1.06±1.01	1.42±0.59	5.08±1.39	10.52±2.5
55	Isocedranol	1661	1661	-	-	0.23±0.10	0.28±0.23	1.00±1.4
56	Cadalene	1667	1674	-	0.1±0.02	0.16±0.01	0.18±0.01	0.54±1.6
57	β-bisabolol	1670	1673	0.23±0.03	-	-	-	-
58	acorenone	1682	1685	0.13±0.02	0.17±0.10	0.19±0.09	0.49±0.45	0.69±0.1
59	deodarnone	1694	1694	-	1.43±0.31	2.50±0.16	6.52±1.10	10.74±3.3
60	E(E)-γ-atlantone	1707	1704	-	1.00±0.40	2.32±0.17	4.13±1.73	6.96±2.1
61	(Z)-α-atlantone	1719	1717	-	0.64±0.76	1.66±0.55	2.96±1.23	5.56±2.5

62	khusimol	1735	1736	-	-	0.24±0.01	0.35±0.11	0.76±0.10
63	benzyl benzoate	1763	1762	-	-	0.50±0.44	1.12±1.24	2.44±0.2
64	(E)- α -atlantone	1783	1773	-	1.15±1.40	2.43±1.52	5.76±0.13	10.87±2.3
65	4-hydroxy-muurolene	1795	1775	-	-	-	0.54±0.82	1.25±0.22
66	14 hydroxy- δ -cadinene	1808	1799	-	-	-	0.49±0.68	1.06±0.8
Monoterpene hydrocarbons				17.63±1.41	15.18±1.62	13.78±1.21	8.32±1.01	-
Oxygenated monoterpenes				81.64±3.02	72.97±2.28	62.07±1.52	37.15±2.53	1.2±0.81
Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons				0.31±0.02	4.15±0.98	8.17±1.74	19.02±1.56	31.6±0.89
Oxygenated sesquiterpenes				0.42±0.12	7.23±1.09	15.32±1.61	35.49±1.19	67.2±2.05
Total identified (%)				100±0.00	99.53±0.32	99.34±0.45	99.98±0.01	100±0.00

289 a: in order of elution in a HP-5 apolar column; b: compounds are identified by GC-FID , GC/MS; c: calculated
290 retention indices relative to n-alkanes (C8-C28) on the HP-5 MS column; d: retention indices from literature
291 (Aberchane et al. 2003; Elamrani et al. 2000). Volatile compounds and their proportions were identified from the
292 chromatograms obtained on the HP-5 MS column; - : absence; Data expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation of
293 triplicates; *: a new compound appeared in binary combination.

294 3.3 Anti-Wood-Decay Fungal Activities of the tested binary combinations

295 The effective concentrations of 50% of the maximal effect (EC₅₀) values obtained for each
296 essential oil and chemical fungicide (Nystatin) are indicated in Table 3. The anti-wood-decay
297 fungal activities of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* EOs and their binary combination of 50%
298 *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* against *Poria placenta* at different concentrations are
299 indicated in Figure 4. It shows that the mixture of 50% *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* and
300 Nystatin presented the lowest EC₅₀ values of all the studied fungi (9.98-26.22, 3. 07-12.25
301 $\mu\text{g/L}$), followed by the 75% *S. rosmarinus* - 25% *C. atlantica* mixture (16.92-37.62 $\mu\text{g/L}$), the
302 25% *S. rosmarinus* - 75% *C. atlantica* mixture (22.33-40.45 $\mu\text{g/L}$), and the *S. rosmarinus* EO
303 (29.42-85.93 $\mu\text{g/L}$) mixture. The EC₅₀ values were higher than those of *C. atlantica* EO
304 against white and brown wood rot fungi (60.00-130.44 $\mu\text{g/L}$). *C. versicolor* was the most
305 susceptible fungus in all treatments. A low concentration of 50% *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C.*
306 *atlantica* EO mixture (9.98 $\pm$ 0.61 $\mu\text{g/mL}$) was considered sufficient to inhibit 50% of the
307 mycelium growth of this fungus. *P. placenta* was the most resistant fungus to all treatments.
308 The 50% *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* mixture EO inhibited 50% of the *P. placenta*, with
309 an EC₅₀ value of 26.22 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The EC₅₀ values of the EOs indicated different action levels,
310 which may be due to the chemical composition of each mixture and the fungal species.

311 Table 3. Effective concentration values (EC₅₀) of different mixtures of essential oils (EO) of
312 *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* against wood rot fungi.

Wood decay fungi	Mixture		EC ₅₀ (µg/mL) (95% confidence intervals)	Slope ± SE	Intercept± SE	R ²	P-value
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> (%)	<i>C. atlantica</i> (%)					
<i>C. puteana</i>	100	0	31.62 (31.21-32.03)	1.34±0.20	2.94±0.45	0.91	0.00
	75	25	19.19 (18.19-20.19)	1.49±0.22	3.09±0.53	0.93	0.00
	50	50	11.28 (10.67-11.89)	1.47±0.23	3.43±0.54	0.92	0.00
	50	50	11.00 (10.45-11.55)	1.62±0.22	2.65±0.54	0.91	0.00
	50	50	10.89 (9.88-11.09)	1.61±0.22	2.65±0.56	0.92	0.00
	25	75	27.26 (26.04-28.48)	1.63±0.23	2.66±0.55	0.93	0.00
	0	100	71.03 (67.41-74.65)	0.96±0.11	3.23±0.26	0.97	0.00
	Nystatin		4.26 (3.40-5.12)	1.75±0.19	2.55±0.40	0.95	0.00
<i>C. versicolor</i>	100	0	29.42 (28.21-30.61)	1.39±0.25	2.96±0.59	0.91	0.00
	75	25	16.92 (15.97-17.87)	1.29±0.25	3.26±0.61	0.90	0.00
	50	50	10.67 (9.72-11.48)	1.26±0.19	3.69±0.46	0.92	0.00
	50	50	10.34 (9.41-11.27)	1.24±0.18	3.70±0.48	0.92	0.00
	50	50	9.98 (9.37-10.59)	1.27±0.20	3.68±0.47	0.91	0.00
	25	75	22.33 (20.88-23.78)	0.81±0.16	3.93±0.38	0.90	0.00
	0	100	60.00 (56.76-63.24)	0.73±0.07	3.89±0.16	0.97	0.00
	Nystatin		3.07 (2.21-3.93)	1.05±0.27	3.72±0.39	0.94	0.00
<i>G. trabeum</i>	100	0	67.51 (65.12-69.89)	1.69±0.29	1.91±0.69	0.90	0.00
	75	25	21.32 (20.37-22.27)	1.54±0.20	2.95±0.50	0.93	0.00
	50	50	15.97 (14.97-16.97)	1.55±0.26	3.13±0.62	0.91	0.00
	50	50	15.76 (14.84-16.63)	1.54±0.25	3.12±0.61	0.91	0.00
	50	50	15.69 (14.89-16.49)	1.55±0.24	3.12±0.63	0.92	0.00
	25	75	24.81 (23.37-26.25)	1.56±0.27	2.83±0.65	0.90	0.00
	0	100	103.46 (99.29-107.53)	0.93±0.08	3.12±0.20	0.96	0.00
	Nystatin		6.77 (5.78-7.76)	1.85±0.25	3.01±0.62	0.92	0.00
<i>P. placenta</i>	100	0	85.93 (81.49-90.37)	1.18±0.19	2.71±0.46	0.91	0.00
	75	25	37.62 (35.61-39.63)	1.25±0.22	3.03±0.53	0.90	0.00
	50	50	26.42 (25.66-27.18)	1.41±0.20	2.99±0.49	0.92	0.00
	50	50	26.32 (25.41-27.23)	1.42±0.21	3.01±0.50	0.93	0.00
	50	50	26.22 (25.21-27.23)	1.41±0.21	3.02±0.52	0.92	0.00
	25	75	40.45 (37.13-43.77)	1.13±0.18	3.11±0.43	0.91	0.00
	0	100	130.44 (125.71-135.17)	1.27±0.20	2.31±0.49	0.91	0.00
	Nystatin		12.25 (11.23-13.27)	1.34±0.19	3.50±0.45	0.94	0.00

313 EC₅₀: effective concentration of 50 percent of maximal effect

314 Slope ± SE: slope of the concentration/ inhibition regression line ± standard error

315 Intercept ± SE: intercept of the concentration/ inhibition regression line ± standard error

316 Df: degrees of freedom (Total)

317 Significant effect at P-value <0.05.




Figure 4. Anti-wood-decay fungal activities of (a) *S. rosmarinus*, (c) *C. atlantica* EOs and (b) their binary combination 50%-50% against wood decay fungi growth of *Coniophora puteana* after incubation at 25 ± 1 °C.

3.4 Establishment of response prediction models

The results of the seven tests obtained by the binary mixture design are presented in Table 4. Before proceeding to the analysis by mixture design, the results show that the 50% of *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* mixture indicated the best anti-wood-decay fungal activity against the four wood-decay fungi.

Table 4. Experimental design and observed response values of EC₅₀ of anti-wood-decay fungal using a simplex-lattice DoE with 7 essays, including three replicates of central points.

Essay	Mixture		Observed response values ^a			
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> (%)	<i>C. atlantica</i> (%)	CP EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	CV EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	GT EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	PP EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
1	100	0	31.62±0.41	29.42±1.20	67.51±2.38	85.93±4.44
2	75	25	19.19±1.00	16.92±0.95	21.32±0.95	37.62±2.01
3	50	50	11.28±0.61	10.67±0.88	15.97±1.00	26.42±0.67
4	50	50	11.00±0.55	10.34±0.93	15.76±0.62	26.32±0.91
5	50	50	10.89±1.01	9.98±0.61	15.69±0.80	26.22±1.01
6	25	75	27.26±1.22	22.33±1.45	24.81±1.44	40.45±3.32
7	0	100	71.03±3.62	60.00±3.24	103.46±4.12	130.44±4.73

EC₅₀: effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT; *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placenta*. a: The observed value of three replicates is given with standard deviation.

The results posted in the analysis of the variance (Table 5) show that the main effect of the regression is significant since the probability of *p-value* risk significance is less than 0.05.

Based on the table, the model does not show a lack of fit since the probability of risk significance (*p-value*) is greater than 0.05. The coefficient of determination values (R^2 and *adjusted* R^2) for the four models were close to 1 (between 0.95 and 0.98). These values demonstrate good correlation between the experimental and predicted values of the fitted models and their ability to predict the four responses.

Table 5. The coefficients of determination R^2 , the adjusted coefficient of determination R_{adj}^2 , and the Analysis of variance of CP EC₅₀, CV EC₅₀, GT EC₅₀, and PP EC₅₀

	Source	degrees of freedom	Sum of squares	F-value	<i>p-value</i>
CP EC ₅₀	Model	2	1388.64	13758.35	< 0.0001*
	Error	4	0.4037		
	Total	6	2777.69		
	Lack of Fit	2	0.3229	3.99	0.2003
	Pure Error	2	0.0809		
	R^2	0.99			
	R_{adj}^2	0.99			
CV EC ₅₀	Model	2	4183.03	1881.54	< 0.0001*
	Error	4	4.45		
	Total	6	4187.48		
	Lack of Fit	2	4.21	17.67	0.0536
	Pure Error	2	0.238		
	R^2	0.99			
	R_{adj}^2	0.98			
GT EC ₅₀	Model	2	6818.94	18017.91	< 0.0001*
	Error	4	0.7569		
	Total	6	6819.69		
	Lack of Fit	2	0.7144	16.82	0.0561
	Pure Error	2	0.0425		
	R^2	0.99			
	R_{adj}^2	0.98			
PP EC ₅₀	Model	2	9517.64	49628.37	< 0.0001*
	Error	4	0.3836		

Total	6	9518.03		
Lack of Fit	2	0.3636		
Pure Error	2	0.02	18.18	0.0521
R^2	0.99			
R_{adj}^2	0.98			


*: Statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. EC₅₀: effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT: *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placenta*

The graph (Figure 5) shows that most points are on a straight line, indicating that the curve of the observed values versus the predicted values will appear perfectly straight. This graph is in agreement with the coefficient of determination presented in Table 5.

CP EC₅₀

Color points by value of
CP EC₅₀:

10.89 69.03




(a)

CV EC₅₀

Color points by value of
CV EC₅₀:

9.95 81




(b)

GT EC₅₀

Color points by value of
GT EC₅₀:

15.69 103.46




(c)

PP EC₅₀

Color points by value of
PP EC₅₀:

26.22 130.44



(d)

Figure 5. Observed vs. predicted values curve of (a) CP EC₅₀, (b) CV EC₅₀, (c) GT EC₅₀, and (d) PP EC₅₀. EC₅₀: effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT: *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placenta*.

The effects of the two studied components were determined using the t-student test, with a significance level of 95% reported in Table 6. According to this table, all coefficients are statistically significant, with a *p-value* of less than 0.05. Therefore, the proposed model had to include all coefficients.

The mathematical models adopted for anti-wood-decay fungal activity against four wood-decay fungi are as follows:

$$CP\ EC_{50} = 34.73(S. \textit{rosmarinus}) + 69.09(C. \textit{atlantica}) - 162.741(S. \textit{rosmarinus} * C. \textit{atlantica}) + \varepsilon \quad Eq. (4)$$

$$CV\ EC_{50} = 44.86(S. \textit{rosmarinus}) + 80.28(C. \textit{atlantica}) - 210.08(S. \textit{rosmarinus} * C. \textit{atlantica}) + \varepsilon \quad Eq. (5)$$

$$GT\ EC_{50} = 67.29(S. \textit{rosmarinus}) + 103.45(C. \textit{atlantica}) - 279.17(S. \textit{rosmarinus} * C. \textit{atlantica}) + \varepsilon \quad Eq. (6)$$

$$PP\ EC_{50} = 87.14(S. \textit{rosmarinus}) + 130.28(C. \textit{atlantica}) - 329.37(S. \textit{rosmarinus} * C. \textit{atlantica}) + \varepsilon \quad Eq. (7)$$


Table 6. Estimated values of the regression coefficients of postulated models for CP EC₅₀, CV EC₅₀, GT EC₅₀, and PP EC₅₀

	Terms	Coefficient	Standard error	t-student	p-value
CP EC ₅₀	<i>S. rosmarinus</i>	34.73	0.2977	9.42	0.0007*
	<i>C. atlantica</i>	69.09	0.2977	18.97	< 0.0001*
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> * <i>C. atlantica</i>	-162.74	1.14	-11.40	0.0003*
CV EC ₅₀	<i>S. rosmarinus</i>	44.86	0.988	10.64	0.0004*
	<i>C. atlantica</i>	80.28	0.988	19.63	< 0.0001*
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> * <i>C. atlantica</i>	-210.08	3.80	-12.03	0.0003*
GT EC ₅₀	<i>S. rosmarinus</i>	67.29	0.407	9.71	0.0006*
	<i>C. atlantica</i>	103.45	0.407	13.97	0.0002*
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> * <i>C. atlantica</i>	-279.17	1.57	-10.51	0.0005*
PP EC ₅₀	<i>S. rosmarinus</i>	87.14	0.29	10.43	0.0005*
	<i>C. atlantica</i>	130.28	0.29	14.44	0.0001*
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> * <i>C. atlantica</i>	-329.37	1.12	-10.07	0.0005*


*: Statistically significant at *p* < 0.05. EC₅₀: effective concentration for 50 percent of maximal effect. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT: *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placenta*

After the models were validated, the next step consisted of a search for the optimal proportions that led to a minimal value of EC₅₀. Figure 6 illustrates that the desirable anti-wood-decay fungal activity against the four wood-decay fungi was in the compromise zone between 50% of *S. rosmarinus* - 50% *C. atlantica* and 75% of *S. rosmarinus* - 25% *C. atlantica*.


(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)




Figure 6. Binary mixture plot of (a) CP EC₅₀, (b) CV EC₅₀, (c) GT EC₅₀, and (d) PP EC₅₀ according to the two plants *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT: *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placenta*

The desirability function might help determine the best compromise among the various options for the optimum value of the studied response. Figure 7 indicates the desirability plots for the responses of CP EC₅₀, CV EC₅₀, GT EC₅₀, and PP EC₅₀ obtained by the validated model. Figure 8 shows that desirability achieved a maximum value of 0.99 for a CP EC₅₀ of 9.91 µg/mL and a CV EC₅₀ of 9.28 µg/mL when the proportion was 60% of *S. rosmarinus* and 40% of *C. atlantica*. The proportion should be 55% of *S. rosmarinus* and 45% of *C. atlantica* to attain a GT EC₅₀ of 11.48 µg/mL and a PP EC₅₀ of 22.62 µg/mL, with a desirability level of 0.99.




Figure 7. Desirability plot of (a) CP EC₅₀, (b) CV EC₅₀, (c) GT EC₅₀, and (d) PP EC₅₀. CP: *Coniophora puteana*, CV: *Coriolus versicolor*, GT: *Gloeophyllum trabeum*, and PP: *Poria placent*

Through experimental design methodology, which involves employing mixture design models, several studies have investigated the applications of experiment design as antimicrobial properties (Fadil et al. 2018; Chraïbi et al. 2021; Kachkoul et al. 2021; Falleh et al. 2019). Nonetheless, no studies have been published on applying mixture design models to inhibit wood-decay fungi. This study is the first to do this. In this study, the binary mixture of 55% *S. rosmarinus* and 45% *C. atlantica* showed the highest inhibition effect against white and brown wood-decay fungi. The efficiency of this mixture could be due to the synergistic effect of the main components of *S. rosmarinus*, mainly 1,8-cineole (50.86% $\pm$ 3.5%), camphor (18.81% $\pm$ 2.1%), and α -pinene (9.36% $\pm$ 2.18%), on those of *C. atlantica* (β -himachalene (11.46% $\pm$ 2.3%), deodarnone (10.74% $\pm$ 3.3%), himachalol (10.52% $\pm$ 2.5%), (E)- α -atlantone (10.87% $\pm$ 2.3%), and α -himachalene (7.55% $\pm$ 1.1%)). The synergy produced in this mixture may be due to the interactions between 1,8-cineole and β -himachalene belonging to oxygenated monoterpene and sesquiterpene hydrocarbons (alcohols and ketones), respectively. The minor components may also have helped achieve synergy. This interaction between the different compounds is supported by the appearance of new compounds in binary mixtures, including myrtenal and α -cadinol. These results align with those indicated in the literature (Yang and Clausen 2007): *S. rosmarinus* EO rich in camphor and 1,8-cineole presented a strong effect against *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta*. Another study found that *S. rosmarinus* essential oil containing 1,8-Cineole (19.60%), camphor (17.01%), α -pinene (15.12%) presented an EC₅₀ value of 517 μ g/mL against *Hexagonia apiaria* (Mohareb et al. 2013). Zhang et al. (2016) found that monoterpenes significantly contribute to protecting wood against white wood-decay fungi, *Trametes hirsuta*, *Schizophyllum commune*, and *Pycnoporus sanguineus*. Camphor compound exhibited a low EC₅₀ against *G. trabeum* and *C. versicolor*, with values of 0.123 and 1.5 mg/mL, respectively (Li et al. 2014). Moreover, *C. atlantica* EO containing E- γ -Atlantone (19.73%), E- α -Atlantone (16.86%), 5-Isocedranol (11.68%), 9-iso-Thujopsanone (4.45%), Cedranone (4.13%), and Z α -Atlantone (4.02%) exhibited significant antifungal activity against *T. versicolor*, *C. puteana*, *O. placenta*, and *G. trabeum* fungus, inhibiting their growth with 1/800, 1/400, 1/400, and 1/1000 v/v concentrations, respectively (Fidah et al. 2016). Rhafouri et al. (2014) found that both wood-decay fungi, *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta*, were stopped growth at a concentration of 1/250 v/v Atlas cedar seed essential oil. Another study indicated that the antifungal effect of sesquiterpenes against the brown-decay fungus *L. sulphureus* was high compared to that of the white-decay fungus *L. betulina* (Wu et al. 2022). In addition, Ljunggren et al. (2020) found that himachalene showed effective antifungal activity against *C. puteana*.

A test point was carried out on wood-decay fungi employing an optimal mixture to complete the testing of the proposed model's validity. Table 7 indicates no statistically significant difference between the experimental and predicted responses for the four wood-decay fungi. The binary mixture of 60% *S. rosmarinus* - 40% *C. atlantica* demonstrated significant wood-decay fungal activity against *C. puteana* and *C. versicolor*, with EC₅₀ values of 9.99 $\pm$ 1.52 μ g/mL and 9.33 $\pm$ 1.22 μ g/mL, respectively. The binary mixture 55% *S. rosmarinus* - 45% *C. atlantica* showed significant wood-decay fungal activity against *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta*, with EC₅₀ values of 11.50 $\pm$ 1.98 μ g/mL and 22.53 $\pm$ 2.51 μ g/mL, respectively. These findings support the theoretical results obtained by the desirability test, which revealed that the mixture of 60% *S. rosmarinus* - 40% *C. atlantica* could inhibit *C. puteana* and *C. versicolor*, with EC₅₀ values of 9.91 $\pm$ 1.91 μ g/mL and 9.28 $\pm$ 1.55 μ g/mL, respectively. In

contrast, the mixture of 55% *S. rosmarinus* - 45% *C. atlantica* could inhibit *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta* with EC₅₀ values of 11.48 ± 3.73µg/mL and 22.62 ± 3.79µg/mL, respectively.

Table 7. Predicted and observed values for test points achieved for the optimal mixture of four wood-destroying fungi.

Wood-Decay Fungi	Mixture		Predicted response values ^a EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	Observed response values ^b EC ₅₀ (µg/mL)
	<i>S. rosmarinus</i> (%)	<i>C. atlantica</i> (%)		
<i>Coniophora puteana</i>	60	40	9.91±1.91	9.99 ± 1.52
<i>Coriolus versicolor</i>	60	40	9.28±1.55	9.33±1.22
<i>Gloeophyllum trabeum</i>	55	45	11.48±3.73	11.50±1.98
<i>Poria placenta</i>	55	45	22.62±3.79	22.53±2.51

a: The predicted value is provided with the response's standard deviation determined by the model.

b: The observed value of three replicates is given with standard deviation.

4 Conclusions

In this study, we investigated the anti-wood-decay fungal activity of the essential oils of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*, alone and in binary combination, against four wood-decay fungi using a mixture design methodology. Most compounds of the studied mixture were the same as those of the EO alone, although new compounds appeared, namely, myrtenal. The percentage of these compounds decreased or increased in the mixture according to the proportions of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica*. The results indicated that the simultaneous extraction of the binary combination of *S. rosmarinus* and *C. atlantica* maximized anti-wood-decay fungal activity. The binary combination of 60% *S. rosmarinus* and 40% *C. atlantica* EOs resulted in the highest anti-wood-decay fungal activity against *G. trabeum* and *P. placenta*. The binary combination of 55% of *S. rosmarinus* and 45% of *C. atlantica* EOs exhibited the highest anti-wood-decay fungal activity against *C. puteana* and *C. versicolor*. These activities were explained by the synergistic effect between monoterpene and sesquiterpene, which consolidate to the appearance of myrtenal components. These results could allow for the use of the anti-wood-decay fungal properties of these mixtures in industrial wood preservation to prevent wood from deteriorating and protect the environment from chemical contamination. Future research should focus on developing natural products against wood rot fungi.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

All related data are within the manuscript

References

Aberchane M, Satrani B, Fechtal M, Chaouch A (2003) Effet de l'infection du bois de Cèdre

467 de l'Atlas par *Trametes pini* et *Ungulina officinalis* sur la composition chimique et
 468 l'activité antibactérienne et antifongique des huiles essentielles. *Acta Bot Gall* 150:223–
 469 229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2003.10515420>

470 Abu Amr SS, Aziz HA, Bashir MJK (2016) Application of Response Surface Methodology
 471 (RSM) for Optimization of Semi-Aerobic Landfill Leachate Treatment Using Ozone. In:
 472 Sewage and Landfill Leachate: Assessment and Remediation of Environmental Hazards.
 473 Berghahn Books, pp 225–246

474 Aguiar A, Gavioli D, Ferraz A (2013) Extracellular activities and wood component losses
 475 during *Pinus taeda* biodegradation by the brown-rot fungus *Gloeophyllum trabeum*. *Int*
 476 *Biodeterior Biodegrad* 82:187–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2013.03.013>

477 Ahsaei SM, Rodríguez-Rojas S, Salgado M, et al (2020) Insecticidal activity of spray dried
 478 microencapsulated essential oils of *Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Zataria multiflora* against
 479 *Tribolium confusum*. *Crop Prot* 128:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2019.104996>

480 Ainane A, Khammour, Charaf S, et al (2018) Chemical composition and insecticidal activity
 481 of five essential oils: *Cedrus atlantica*, *Citrus limonum*, *Rosmarinus officinalis*,
 482 *Syzygium aromaticum* and *Eucalyptus globules*. *Mater Today Proc* 13:474–485.
 483 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.04.004>

484 Ammar AH, Zagrouba F, Romdhane M (2010) Optimization of operating conditions of
 485 Tunisian myrtle (*Myrtus communis* L.) essential oil extraction by a hydrodistillation
 486 process using a 24 complete factorial design. *Flavour Fragr J* 25:503–507.
 487 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ffj.2011>

488 Annemer S, Farah A, Stambouli H, et al (2022) Chemometric Investigation and Antimicrobial
 489 Activity of *Salvia rosmarinus* Spenn Essential Oils. *Molecules* 27:2914.
 490 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27092914>

491 Barbero-López A, Monzó-Beltrán J, Virjamo V, et al (2020) Revalorization of coffee
 492 silverskin as a potential feedstock for antifungal chemicals in wood preservation. *Int*
 493 *Biodeterior Biodegrad* 152:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2020.105011>

494 Bennouna F, Lachkar M, El Abed S, Saad I (2020) *Cedrus atlantica* essential oil:
 495 Antimicrobial activity and effect on the physicochemical properties of cedar wood
 496 surface. *Moroccan J. Biol.* 16:35–45

497 Bhardwaj SK, Singla SK, Bhardwaj RK (2012) Evaluation of plant extracts as antifungal
 498 agents against wood rotting fungi *Coriolus versicolor* (L.: Fr.) Quelet. *J Indian Acad*
 499 *Wood Sci* 9:62–65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13196-012-0065-4>

500 Borges RS, Ortiz BLS, Pereira ACM, et al (2018) *Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oil: A
 501 review of its phytochemistry, anti-inflammatory activity, and mechanisms of action
 502 involved. *J Ethnopharmacol* 229:29–45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2018.09.038>

503 Bouyahya A, Et-Touys A, Bakri Y, et al (2017) Chemical composition of *Mentha pulegium*
 504 and *Rosmarinus officinalis* essential oils and their antileishmanial, antibacterial and
 505 antioxidant activities. *Microb Pathog* 111:41–49.
 506 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2017.08.015>

507 Charles DJ, Charles DJ (2013) Rosemary. *Antioxid Prop Spices, Herbs Other Sources* 495–
 508 507. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4310-0_48

509 Cheng SS, Lin CY, Gu HJ, Chang ST (2011) Antifungal activities and chemical composition

510 of wood and leaf essential oils from *cunninghamia konishii*. J Wood Chem Technol
511 31:204–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02773813.2010.515049>

512 Chraïbi M, Fadil M, Farah A, et al (2021) Antimicrobial combined action of *Mentha*
513 *pulegium*, *Ormenis mixta* and *Mentha piperita* essential oils against *S. aureus*, *E. coli* and
514 *C. tropicalis*: Application of mixture design methodology. LWT 145:.
515 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.111352>

516 Dawood MAO, El Basuini MF, Zaineldin AI, et al (2021) Antiparasitic and antibacterial
517 functionality of essential oils: An alternative approach for sustainable aquaculture.
518 Pathogens 10:1–38

519 Ekhilil B, Ghanmi M, Satrani B, et al (2016) Chemical quality, antibacterial and antifungal
520 activities of *Cotula cinerea* essential oil from South Morocco. Environemental Sci
521 12:209–216

522 El Ajjouri M, Ghanmi M, Satrani B, et al (2010) Composition chimique et activité
523 antifongique des huiles essentielles de *Thymus algeriensis* Boiss. & Reut. et *Thymus*
524 *ciliatus* (Desf.) Benth. contre les champignons de pourriture du bois. Acta Bot Gall
525 157:285–294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2010.10516206>

526 El Ajjouri M, Satrani B, Ghanmi M, et al (2008) Activité antifongique des huiles essentielles
527 de *Thymus bleicherianus* Pomel et *Thymus capitatus* (L.) Hoffm. & Link contre les
528 champignons de pourriture du bois d’œuvre. Biotechnol Agron Soc Env 12:345–351

529 El Kharraf S, El-Guendouz S, Farah A, et al (2021) Hydrodistillation and simultaneous
530 hydrodistillation-steam distillation of *Rosmarinus officinalis* and *Origanum compactum*:
531 Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and antibacterial effect of the essential oils. Ind Crops
532 Prod 168: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.113591>

533 El kharraf S, Farah A, Miguel MG, et al (2020) Two Extraction Methods of Essential Oils:
534 Conventional and Non-conventional Hydrodistillation. J Essent Oil-Bearing Plants
535 23:870–889. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2020.1843546>

536 Elamrani A, Zrira S, Benjilali B, Berrada M (2000) A study of moroccan rosemary oils. J
537 Essent Oil Res 12:487–495. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2000.9699572>

538 Fadil M, Fikri-Benbrahim K, Rachiq S, et al (2018) Combined treatment of *Thymus vulgaris*
539 L., *Rosmarinus officinalis* L. and *Myrtus communis* L. essential oils against *Salmonella*
540 *typhimurium*: Optimization of antibacterial activity by mixture design methodology. Eur
541 J Pharm Biopharm 126:211–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2017.06.002>

542 Falleh H, Ben Jemaa M, Djebali K, et al (2019) Application of the mixture design for
543 optimum antimicrobial activity: Combined treatment of *Syzygium aromaticum*,
544 *Cinnamomum zeylanicum*, *Myrtus communis*, and *Lavandula stoechas* essential oils
545 against *Escherichia coli*. J Food Process Preserv 43: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14257>

546 Felšöciová S, Vukovic N, JeAowski P, Kačániová M (2020) Antifungal activity of selected
547 volatile essential oils against *Penicillium* sp. Open Life Sci 15:511–521.
548 <https://doi.org/10.1515/biol-2020-0045>

549 Fidah A, Salhi N, Rahouti M, et al (2016) Natural durability of *cedrus Atlantica* wood related
550 to the bioactivity of its essential oil against wood decaying fungi. Maderas Cienc y
551 Tecnol 18:567–576. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-221X2016005000049>

552 Finney DJ (1971) Statisical logic in the monitoring of reactions to therapeutic drugs. Methods

553 Inf Med 10:237–245. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1636052>

554 Ghafari S, Aziz HA, Isa MH, Zinatizadeh AA (2009) Application of response surface
555 methodology (RSM) to optimize coagulation-flocculation treatment of leachate using
556 poly-aluminum chloride (PAC) and alum. J Hazard Mater 163:650–656.
557 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.07.090>

558 Habtemariam S (2016) The Therapeutic Potential of Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*)
559 Diterpenes for Alzheimer’s Disease. Evidence-based Complement Altern Med 2016:1–
560 14. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2016/2680409>

561 Hannour K, Boughdad A, Maataoui A, Bouchelta A (2018) Chemical composition of
562 *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Lamiaceae) essential oils and evaluation of their toxicity against
563 *Bruchus rufimanus* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae) in Morocco. Int J Trop
564 Insect Sci 38:192–204. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758418000012>

565 Hsu KP, Yang ML, Wei LY, et al (2022) Chemical Composition and In Vitro Anti-Wood-
566 Decay Fungal Activities of *Dysphania ambrosioides* Leaf Essential Oil From Taiwan.
567 Nat Prod Commun 17:. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1934578X221099971>

568 Kachkoul R, Benjelloun Touimi G, Bennani B, et al (2021) The Synergistic Effect of Three
569 Essential Oils against Bacteria Responsible for the Development of Lithiasis Infection:
570 An Optimization by the Mixture Design. Evidence-based Complement Altern Med
571 2021:. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/1305264>

572 Kharraf S El, Faleiro ML, Abdellah F, et al (2021) Simultaneous hydrodistillation-steam
573 distillation of *rosmarinus officinalis*, *lavandula angustifolia* and *citrus aurantium* from
574 morocco, major terpenes: Impact on biological activities. Molecules 26:.
575 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules26185452>

576 Li Q, Wang XX, Lin JG, et al (2014) Chemical Composition and Antifungal Activity of
577 Extracts from the Xylem of *Cinnamomum camphora*. BioResources 9:2560–2571.
578 <https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.9.2.2560-2571>

579 Li T, Cui L, Song X, et al (2022) Wood decay fungi: an analysis of worldwide research. J
580 Soils Sediments 22:1688–1702. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-022-03225-9>

581 Ljunggren J, Edman M, Jonsson BG, et al (2020) Evaluation of fractionally distilled *Picea*
582 *abies* TMP-turpentine on wood-decaying fungi: in vitro, microcosm and field
583 experiments. Wood Sci Technol 54:847–868. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00226-020-01192-3>
584 3

585 Messaoudi Moussii I, Nayme K, Timinouni M, et al (2020) Synergistic antibacterial effects of
586 Moroccan *Artemisia herba alba*, *Lavandula angustifolia* and *Rosmarinus officinalis*
587 essential oils. Synergy 10:. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synres.2019.100057>

588 Mohareb ASO, Badawy MEI, Abdelgaleil SAM (2013) Antifungal activity of essential oils
589 isolated from Egyptian plants against wood decay fungi. J Wood Sci 59:499–505.
590 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10086-013-1361-3>

591 Moukrim S, Lahssini S, Rifai N, et al (2020) Modélisation de la distribution potentielle de
592 *Cedrus atlantica* Manetti au Maroc et impacts du changement climatique. BOIS FORETS
593 DES Trop 344:3–16. <https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2020.344.a31888>

594 Moutaouafiq S, Farah A, Ez zoubi Y, et al (2019) Antifungal Activity of *Pelargonium*
595 *graveolens* Essential Oil and its Fractions Against Wood Decay Fungi. J Essent Oil-

596 Bearing Plants 22:1104–1114. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2019.1646164>

597 Nam SN, Cho H, Han J, et al (2018) Photocatalytic degradation of acesulfame K:
 598 Optimization using the Box–Behnken design (BBD). *Process Saf Environ Prot* 113:10–
 599 21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2017.09.002>

600 Özcan MM, Chalchat JC (2008) Chemical composition and antifungal activity of rosemary
 601 (*Rosmarinus officinalis* L.) oil from Turkey. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* 59:691–698.
 602 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09637480701777944>

603 Remmal A, Bouchikhi T, Rhayour K, et al (1993) Improved method for the determination of
 604 antimicrobial activity of essential oils in agar medium. *J Essent Oil Res* 5:179–184.
 605 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.1993.9698197>

606 Rhafouri R, Strani B, Zair T, et al (2014) Chemical composition, antibacterial and antifungal
 607 activities of the *Cedrus atlantica* (Endl.) Manettiex Carrière seeds essential oil. *Mediterr*
 608 *J Chem* 3:1027–1036. <https://doi.org/10.13171/mjc.3.5.2014.10.14.23.44>

609 Sabbahi M, El Hassouni A, Tahani A, El Bachiri A (2020) Moroccan Journal of Chemistry
 610 Altitude effect on the chemical composition and antioxidant activity of rosemary in the
 611 region of Talsint (Morocco). *J Chem* 8:866–875

612 Salhi N, Fidah A, Rahouti M, et al (2020) Preservative effect of *tetraclinis articulata* and
 613 *cedrus Atlantica* wood extractives against fungal decay. *Madera y Bosques* 26:1.
 614 <https://doi.org/10.21829/myb.2020.2632093>

615 Satrani B, Farah A, Fechtal M, et al (2001) Composition chimique et activité antimicrobienne
 616 des huiles essentielles de *Satureja calaminthe* et *Satureja alpine* du Maroc. *Ann Fals Exp*
 617 *Chim* 94:241–50

618 Schultz TP, Nicholas DD (2002) Development of environmentally-benign wood preservatives
 619 based on the combination of organic biocides with antioxidants and metal chelators.
 620 *Phytochemistry* 61:555–560. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(02\)00267-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(02)00267-4)

621 Schultz TP, Nicholas DD, Preston AF (2007) A brief review of the past, present and future of
 622 wood preservation. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 63:784–788

623 Shinde UA, Phadke AS, Nair AM, et al (1999) Membrane stabilizing activity - A possible
 624 mechanism of action for the anti-inflammatory activity of *Cedrus deodara* wood oil.
 625 *Fitoterapia* 70:251–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X\(99\)00030-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0367-326X(99)00030-1)

626 Sil A, Pramanik K, Samantaray P, et al (2020) Essential oils: A boon towards eco-friendly
 627 management of phytopathogenic fungi. ~ 1884 ~ *J Entomol Zool Stud* 8:1884–1891

628 Sivakanthan S, Rajendran S, Gamage A, et al (2020) Antioxidant and antimicrobial
 629 applications of biopolymers: A review. *Food Res Int* 136:.
 630 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109327>

631 Soidrou SH, Farah A, Satrani B, et al (2013) Fungicidal activity of four essential oils from
 632 *Piper capense*, *Piper borbonense* and *Vetiveria zizanioides* growing in Comoros against
 633 fungi decay wood. *J Essent Oil Res* 25:216–223.
 634 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2013.767758>

635 Wu CC, Huang SL, Ko CH, Chang HT (2022) Antifungal Sesquiterpenoids from *Michelia*
 636 *formosana* Leaf Essential Oil against Wood-Rotting Fungi. *Molecules* 27:.
 637 <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27072136>

- 638 Yang VW, Clausen CA (2007) Inhibitory Effect of Essential Oils on Decay Fungi and Mold
639 Growth on Wood. *Am Wood Prot Assoc* 62–70
- 640 Yue K, Cheng X, Chen Z, et al (2018) Investigation of decay resistance of poplar wood
641 impregnated with alkaline copper, urea–formaldehyde, and phenol–formaldehyde resins.
642 *Wood Fiber Sci* 50:392–401. <https://doi.org/10.22382/wfs-2018-051>
- 643 Zhang Z, Yang T, Mi N, et al (2016) Antifungal activity of monoterpenes against wood
644 white-rot fungi. *Int Biodeterior Biodegrad* 106:157–160.
645 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.10.018>
- 646 Zrira S (2017) Some Important Aromatic and Medicinal Plants of Morocco. *Med Aromat*
647 *Plants World - Africa* 3:91–125. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-024-1120-1_5
- 648 Zrira S, Ghanmi M (2016) Chemical Composition and Antibacterial Activity of the Essential
649 of *Cedrus atlantica* (Cedarwood oil). *J Essent Oil-Bearing Plants* 19:1267–1272.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2015.1137499>
- 651 Zriraa S (2013) The value chain of aromatic and medicinal plants in the maghreb. *Acta Horti*
652 *997*:297–304. <https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2013.997.36>
- 653 Zyani M, Mortabit D, El Abed S, et al (2011) Antifungal activity of Five Plant Essential Oils
654 against wood decay fungi isolated from an old house at the Medina of Fez. *Int Res J*
655 *Microbiol* 2:104–108

656

657