Received: 14 November 2020 | Accepted: 5 February 2021 | Published online: 19 May 2021

DOI: 10.1002/csc2.20489

Crop Science

ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Turfgrass Science

Building a reference transcriptome for the hexaploid hard fescue turfgrass (*Festuca brevipila*) using a combination of PacBio Isoseq and Illumina sequencing

Yinjie Qiu[1,4] | **Ya Yang**[2] | **Cory D. Hirsch**[3] | **Eric Watkins**[1]

[1] Department of Horticultural Science, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

[2] Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

[3] Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

[4] Current affiliation: Department of Agronomy and Plant Genetics, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

Correspondence
Yinjie Qiu, 491 Borlaug Hall, 1991 Upper Buford Circle, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA.
Email: qiuxx221@umn.edu

This manuscript has been released on bioRxiv (Qiu et al., 2020b).

Assigned to Associate Editor Ambika Chandra.

Funding information
National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Grant/Award Number: 2017-51181-27222

Abstract

Hard fescue (*Festuca brevipila* Tracey; $2n = 6x = 42$) is a cool season turfgrass with a fine leaf texture that performs well under low-input management. Breeding and genetics studies of *F. brevipila* have been limited due to the complexity of its hexaploid genome. To advance our knowledge of *F. brevipila* genomics, we used PacBio isoform sequencing to develop a reference transcriptome for this species. Here we report the *F. brevipila* reference transcriptome generated from root, crown, leaf, and inflorescence tissues. We obtained 59,510 full-length transcripts, of which 38,556 were nonredundant full-length transcripts. The longest and shortest transcripts were 11,487 and 58 bp, respectively. Distribution of synonymous distances among paralogs within *F. brevipila* suggested highly similar subgenomes that are difficult to distinguish from sequencing errors. To evaluate the phylogenetic relationships among *F. brevipila* and close relatives, we sequenced three additional transcriptomes using closely related species on an Illumina platform. The results of our phylotranscriptomic analysis supported the close relationships among *F. brevipila* (6*x*), *Festuca ovina* (4*x*), *Festuca ovina* subsp. *ovina* (2*x*), and *Festuca valesiaca* (2*x*), with high levels of discordance among gene trees. Overall, the *F. brevipila* PacBio Isoseq reference transcriptome provides the foundation for transcriptome studies and offers breeders a resource for gene discovery in this important turfgrass species.

1 | BACKGROUND

Festuca (*Festuca* L., Poaceae) is a species-rich genus that includes more than 450 species (Clayton & Renvoize, 1999). Several species in this genus have been used as ground cover turfgrass: broader-leaf fescues that includes tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.) and meadow fescue (*Festuca pratensis* Huds.) and fine-leaf fescues (Wilkinson & Stace, 1991). Five fine-leaved fescue taxa are of particular interest to turfgrass breeders because of their performance in shade, drought tolerance, and adaptation to low fertility and acidic soils (Carroll, 1943; Hanson et al., 1969; Reiter et al., 2017). These five fine fescue taxa are hard fescue (*Festuca brevipila* Tracey; $2n = 6x = 42$) and sheep fescue (*Festuca ovina*; $2n = 4x = 28$), both of which belong to the *Festuca ovina* complex; and the *Festuca rubra* complex that includes strong creeping red fescue (*Festuca rubra* subsp. *Rubra*; $2n = 8x = 56$), slender creeping red fescue (*Festuca*

Abbreviations: ASTRAL, Accurate Species Tree Algorithm; BUSCO, Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs; FL, full-length; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; Ks, synonymous substitutions per site; lncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs; NR, nonredundant; PacBio Isoseq, PacBio Isoform Sequencing; SMRT, single molecule, real-time

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
© 2021 The Authors. *Crop Science* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Crop Science Society of America

rubra subsp. *Littoralis*; $2n = 6x = 42$), and Chewings fescue (*Festuca rubra* subsp. *Fallax*; $2n = 6x = 42$; Huff & Palazzo, 1998). A previous phylogenetic study using plastid genomes resolved the relationships among these five taxa (Qiu, Hirsch et al., 2020). Of the five taxa, *F. brevipila* is a particularly attractive target for breeding and genetic improvement due to its excellent performance in low-input environments (Ruemmele et al., 1995).

Modern breeding programs in model crop species rely heavily on the use of genomic and molecular biology tools, such as marker-assisted breeding and CRISPR-Cas 9-based genome editing to improve consumer and field production traits (Gupta et al., 2010; Shan et al., 2013; Phing et al., 2016; Varshney et al., 2005). To apply these tools in turfgrass breeding, there is a need to build genomic and transcriptomic resources; however, adapting molecular breeding techniques and genomic studies into *F. brevipila* has been challenging because of a lack of genetic information. As of September 2019, there were 174 nucleotide sequences for *F. brevipila* on National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), whereas perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*), the turfgrass species for which the most genomics work has been done, has a reference genome available, 45,149 Sequence Read Archive (SRA) accessions, and more than 600,000 nucleotide sequences on GenBank.

RNA sequencing is a powerful tool to generate genomic data for marker development and enables researchers to study the dynamics of gene expression of plants under stress. As the cost of Illumina sequencing continues to drop, more and more researchers are using this approach for gene discovery and gene identification. Without a reference genome, researchers use de novo assembled short reads to generate a reference to study gene expression Grabherr et al., 2011). However, this approach is error-prone in polyploids with highly similar subgenomes (Chen et al., 2019), making it difficult to obtain full-length (FL) transcripts or identify alternative splicing (Alkan et al., 2011; Ozsolak & Milos, 2011).

PacBio sequencing, also known as long-read sequencing, has average read length over 10 kb (Rhoads & Au, 2015) and is particularly suitable for generating reference transcriptomes in polyploid species. With the capability to obtain FL RNA sequences, PacBio isoform sequencing (Isoseq) has been used for the discovery of new genes, isoforms, and alternative splicing events; this technology is improving genome annotation in crop species such as maize (*Zea mays*), rice (*Oryza sativa*), sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*), and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*; Hoang et al., 2017; McCormick et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). In turfgrass and ground cover related genetics research, PacBio Isoseq has been used to study the transcriptome and phylogeny of bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) and red clover (*Trifolium pratense*) (Chao et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2018). Due to the highly outcrossing and polyploid nature of *F. brevipila*, PacBio Isoseq is ideal for generating a reference transcriptome for plant breeding and genetics research.

Core Ideas

- Long reads sequencing provides high quality transcriptome.
- We generate genomics resources for understudied plant species.
- We investigate phylogenic affinity of hard fescue to other closely related turfgrass species.

For plant breeding and germplasm improvement, USDA PI accessions have proven to be a valuable resource (Chang & Hartman, 2017; Pastor-Corrales, 2003). Our previous work found that out of 127 USDA accessions of the closely related *Festuca ovina*, 17.3% are hexaploid, 33.1% are diploid, and 26.8% are tetraploid (Qiu, Hamernick et al., 2020). For this reason, it is important to understand the genomic content of our focal species so that breeders can potentially create synthetic hexaploids using taxa with lower ploidy levels for traits introgression. Previous analyses on GC content, average chromosome size, and genome size suggest the presence of allo- and/or auto-polyploids across *Festuca* (Šmarda et al., 2008). However, there is a lack of nuclear sequence-based evidence to resolve the phylogenetic relationships of *F. brevipila*. Previous research using the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers followed by neighbor-joining analysis suggested that *F. valesiaca* ($2n = 2x = 14$) is closely related to *F. brevipila* (Ma et al., 2014). By sequencing the chloroplast genomes, our previous work suggested *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina* ($2n = 2x = 14$) and *F. ovina* ($2n = 4x = 28$) were both closely related to *F. brevipila* (Qiu, Hirsch et al., 2020). Here we adopt a phylotranscriptomic approach to investigate the phylogenetic relationship among *F. brevipila* and close relatives using nuclear loci.

In this study, we used the PacBio Sequel II platform to conduct isoform sequencing to develop a reference transcriptome for the hexaploid *F. brevipila*. We then evaluated and annotated the reference transcriptome using publicly available protein databases. In addition, to evaluate the nuclear phylogenetic relationships among *F. brevipila* and its close relatives, we used Illumina RNA sequencing on leaf tissue of *F. brevipila*, *F. ovina* subsp *ovina* (two accessions, one diploid and one tetraploid), and *F. valesiaca*, and then carried out phylotranscriptomic analysis together with publicly available genomes and transcriptome datasets.




FIGURE 1 Plant tissues used for PacBio Isoform and RNA sequencing. Because the lower leaf base and crown were not separable, the crown tissue included some lower leaf base. Inflorescence tissue was sampled when it was still fully enclosed within the flag leaf. For the leaf sample, tissue that was 2 to 3 cm above the leaf base was harvested

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Plant materials

Festuca brevipila genotype SPHD15-3 from the University of Minnesota breeding program was used for this study (plant materials available upon request; this genotype was a selection out of the advanced breeding population MNHD-15). A clonal population was vegetatively propagated and grown using BRK Promix soil (Premier Tech) in the Plant Growth Facility at the University of Minnesota, St. Paul campus under 14 h daylight and 10 h darkness with bidaily irrigation and weekly fertilization (906 g of ammonium sulfate, 950 g of Peat-lite 20–10–20, and 38 g of Sprint 330 mixed into 18.9 L of water with a Dositron fertilizer injector set at 1:100 w/v).

To capture a broad representation of the *F. brevipila* transcriptome using PacBio Isoseq, we collected root, crown, leaf, and inflorescence tissues. Plants were vernalized in a 4 °C cold room for 90 d with 8 h of daylight and 16 h of darkness. Plants were then moved back to greenhouse conditions as described above with daytime temperature of 25 °C to recover for 30 d and allow inflorescence development. The root, crown, leaf, and inflorescence tissues were harvested from a 60-d-old plant and flash frozen for RNA extraction (Figure 1).

The species identity of plant materials used in this study were confirmed following guidelines described by Wilkinson and Stace (1991) using vein number, leaf morphology, and flow cytometry (Qiu, Hamernick et al., 2020). A voucher specimen for *F. brevipila* is deposited in the University of Minnesota Herbarium (YQ 1, MIN). For the phylotranscriptomic analysis, *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina* (USDA PI 676177), *F. valesiaca* (PI 422463), *F. ovina* cv. Quatro, and *F. brevipila* SPHD15-3 were grown in the greenhouse with the same condition described above. Leaf samples (2 to 3 cm above the leaf base) were harvested, and flash frozen for RNA extraction.

2.2 | RNA extraction and sequencing

To maintain RNA integrity, RNA extractions for Isoseq were done using a Quick-RNA Miniprep Kit (ZYMO Research, Catalog Number R1055) in a cold room following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA extraction for Illumina sequencing was performed at room temperature using the same method. A Qubit Fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) was used for initial RNA quantification, before an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent) was used to assess the RNA integrity. Only samples with a RIN >8.0 were used for sequencing library construction.

For PacBio Isoseq, four sequencing libraries (one for each tissue type) were constructed using the SMARTer polymerase chain reaction (PCR) complementary DNA (cDNA) Synthesis Kit (ClonTech, Takara Bio) by tissue type with no size selection by NovoGene, China. Sequencing was performed on a PacBio Sequel II (PacBio) instrument. Illumina sequencing libraries were constructed using a TruSeq Stranded mRNA Library Prep kit (Illumina) and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 instrument in 150 bp paired-end sequencing mode. All sequencing data generated from this study have been deposited in NCBI SRA under BioProject PRJNA598357.

2.3 | Illumina sequencing data processing and de novo assembly

Illumina adaptor sequences were trimmed using Trimmomatic with the default settings (v 0.32; Bolger et al., 2014). Quality trimming was performed using the seqtk tool with -q 0.01 (https://github.com/lh3/seqtk). Trinity (v 2.4.0) was used to assemble transcriptomes of *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina*, *F. valesiaca*, *F. ovina* cv. Quatro, and *F. brevipila* (Grabherr et al., 2011) with max memory 62G, 24 CPUs, bflyCalculateCPU, and minimum contig size of 200 bp.

2.4 | PacBio Isoseq data processing

The software SMRTlink (v 2.3.0, PacBio) was used to filter and process original sequencing files, with minLength 0, minReadScore 0.8 to produce the subreads file. To identify FL transcripts, the Isoseq 3 (v 3.1.0, PacificBiosciences) pipeline was installed locally using conda (Anaconda-2.3.0) under bioconda following instruction by PacBio (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/Isoseq_SA3nUP).

Circular consensus sequences (ccs) bam files were generated using ccs command with –noPolish, –maxPoaCoverage 10, and 1 minimum passes option. To classify FL reads, we identified and trimmed the 5′ and 3′ cDNA primers (primer sequences can be found in Supplemental Table S1) in the ccs using lima with –dump-clips, –no-pbi, –peak-guess option. Poly(A) tails in FL reads that were at least 20-bp long were removed with –require-polya. Bam files produced from the previous steps for four tissue types were merged into one dataset, and the source files were also merged into a subreadset.xml file. Clustered reads were polished using the Isoseq3 polish function to produce high-quality FL transcripts (expected accuracy ≥99% or QV ≥30).

To correct the PacBio Isoseq FL transcripts to obtain the reference transcriptome, high quality Illumina paired-end reads from *F. brevipila* leaf tissue were used in the LoRDEC -correct function in LoRDEC program (v 0.6) with configuration *k-mer* size = 19, solid-*k-mer* = 3, error rate 0.4, and maximum branch time 200 (Salmela & Rivals, 2014).

2.5 | Removing sequence contamination and collapsing redundant sequences

To remove microbial sequence contamination in both Isoseq and Illumina de novo transcriptomes, bacterial and virus genomes were downloaded from NCBI (http://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/archive/old_refseq/Bacteria/all.fna.tar.gz, http://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/Viruses/all.fna.tar.gz), and a mapping index was built using bwa64 (v 0.5.9-r16) included in the deconseq program (v 0.4.3;Schmieder & Edwards, 2011). Contaminant transcripts were removed using the deconseq.pl script in the deconseq program before performing downstream analysis.

Because the PacBio Isoseq3 pipeline outputs sequences with 99% accuracy, we used 'cd-hit-est' in the CD-HIT (v 4.8.1) package to cluster sequences (Li & Godzik, 2006). For the PacBio Isoseq sequences, when the shorter sequence had at least 99% identity compared to a longer sequence and the pairwise alignment covered at least 99% of the short sequence, the shorter sequence was collapsed (-c 0.99 -G 0 -aL 0.00 -aS 0.99 -AS 30 -M 0 -d 0 -p 1). For de novo assembly, we adopted the parameters suggested by the maintainers of Trinity (https://github.com/trinityrnaseq) with a minimal global sequence similarity of at least 98% for collapsing (-c 0.98 -p 1 -T 10). We also produced a combined assembly by merging Isoseq transcriptome, short read de novo transcriptome as one, and collapsed the redundant transcript with 95% sequence global sequence identity (-c 0.95 -p 1 -T 10).

2.6 | Transcriptome completeness analysis

To evaluate the completeness of the assembled *F. brevipila* transcriptomes (PacBio assembly, de novo assembly, and combined assembly), we used 1,335 core embryophyte genes (embryophyta_odb10) from Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO v3; Simão et al., 2009). To evaluate how the combined assembly could improve short read mapping, we mapped RNA sequencing reads used for de novo assembly to the individual and the combined assembly using bowtie2 program (Langmead & Salzberg, 2012). Mapping statistics were summarized using the samstat tool (v 1.5.1; Lassmann et al., 2011).

2.7 | Transcriptome functional annotation

The reference transcriptome was searched against NCBI nonredundant (NR) protein and SwisProt, UniProt protein database using diamond BLASTx (v 0.9.13) with e-value $<1 \times 10^{-5}$.

Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analyses were performed using the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server (KASS; https://www.genome.jp/kegg/kaas/). *Oryza sativa*, *Brassica napus*, *Zea mays*, and *Arabidopsis thaliana* were set as references with a single-directional best hit model. Gene Ontology (GO) terms were produced by the interproscan program (Quevillon et al., 2005).

2.8 | Identification of lncRNAs and miRNA from PacBio sequences

The prediction of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) was performed using an improved *k-mer* scheme (PLEK) tool (v 1.2) with a minimum sequence length of 200 bp (Li et al., 2014). Putative microRNA (miRNA) precursors were identified by BLASTn of reference transcriptome sequences against the plant miRNAs database (http://www.mirbase.org) that includes both hairpin and mature miRNA with e-value $\leq 10^{-5}$ (Kozomara & Griffiths-Jones, 2014). For each sequence, the BLASTn hit with the highest bit score was kept.

2.9 | Phylotranscriptomic analyses of *Festuca-Lolium* taxa

To investigate the nuclear phylogeny of *F. brevipila* and closely related species, we also generated the transcriptome for three additional taxa (*F. ovina* subsp. *ovina*, *F. valesiaca*,

TABLE 1 Sources of transcriptome and chloroplast genome sequences used in this study. Sequences newly generated in this study were in bold

Taxon	Transcriptome sources	Chloroplast genome sequence
Festuca ovina subsp. *ovina* ($2n = 2x = 14$) USDA PI 676177	This study: Illumina (SRR10997225)	de novo contigs
Festuca ovina cv. Quatro ($2n = 4x = 28$)	This study: Illumina (SRR10997223)	MN309824.1 (same genotype for used for Illumina sequencing)
Festuca valesiaca ($2n = 2x = 14$) USDA PI 422463	This study: Illumina (SRR10997224)	de novo contigs
Festuca brevipila ($2n = 6x = 42$)	This study: PacBio Isoseq with Illumina short reads correction (http://hdl.handle.net/11299/211413)	de novo contigs
Lolium perenne	Perennial Ryegrass Genome Sequencing Project	AM777385.2
Lolium multiflorum	ftp.ebi.ac.uk GBXX01	JX871942.1
Festuca pratensis	ftp.ebi.ac.uk GBXZ01	JX871941.1
Festuca arundinacea	ftp.ebi.ac.uk GCTP01	KM974751.1
Brachypodium distachyon	https://plants.ensembl.org (v 3.0)	LT558603.1

and *F. ovina*) in this study using de novo assembly method followed by bacterial and virus contaminant sequences removal using the same approach described above. In addition, we included four publicly available transcriptomes from closely related species selected according to previous phylogenetic analyses based on chloroplast sequences (Table 1; Hand et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2019).

Protein and coding sequences of all *Festuca – Lolium* taxa were predicted using the TransDecoder program (https://github.com/TransDecoder; Wu & Watanabe, 2005). Proteomes from genome annotation of *Brachypodium distachyon* were included as the outgroup.

Orthology inference was carried out using protein sequences of all nine taxa in OrthoFinder 2 (Emms & Kelly, 2019). Single-copy protein sequences were aligned using the MUSCLE program (v 3.8.31) with the parameters -maxiters 16 -diags -sv (Edgar, 2004). To improve the phylogenetic resolution among closely related taxa, we used the PAL2NAL program (v 14) to align coding sequences according to the protein alignment (Suyama et al., 2006). The resulting coding sequence alignments were trimmed using TrimAl with the parameters -gt 0.9 -cons 60 which removes all positions in the alignment with gaps in 10% or more of the sequences and prints the 60% best positions (with fewer gaps; Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009).

To trace the maternal relationships of the focal taxa, chloroplast gene sequences for *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina*, *F. valesiaca*, and *F. brevipila* were extracted from de novo assembled transcriptomes by aligning assembled contigs to the *F. ovina* reference chloroplast genome (MN309824) using Burrows–Wheeler Aligner (Li & Durbin, 2009). Sequences for an additional five closely related taxa were obtained from NCBI by BLASTn using the *F. ovina* chloroplast genome sequences as the query. The *Brachypodium* chloroplast sequence was used as the outgroup (Table 1). Three chloroplast intergenic spacer regions that covered *rps2-atpA*, *rpl32-ccsA*, and *clpP-psbH* region had the highest completeness and taxon representation and were each aligned using MAFFT (v 7; (Katoh & Standley, 2013) before concatenated into the chloroplast supermatrix.

Phylogenetic trees were constructed using the RAxML program (v 8.2.12) for both the concatenated nuclear and chloroplast super matrices under the GTR+GAMMA model with 1,000 bootstraps (Stamatakis, 2006). The phylogenetic trees were visualized using FigTree v 1.4.3 (Rambaut, 2012). Because the chloroplast sequences and transcriptomes for *L. perenne, L. multiflorum*, *F. pratensis*, *F. arundinacea*, and *B. distachyon* were downloaded from NCBI and/or European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) and they might not come from the same genotypes, for these taxa, we present the nuclear and chloroplast phylogenies separately without carrying out conflict analyses.

Next, we further explored phylogenetic conflict among chloroplast and nuclear gene trees conflicts among *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina*, *F. ovina*, and *F. valesiaca* using transcriptomes generated in this study and the Isoseq transcriptome for *F. brevipila*; *Lolium perenne* and *B. distachyon* were included as outgroups. We carried out a new OrthoFinder run with a reduced set of taxa and used single-copy genes predicted from the new run. The coding sequence alignment for each single-copy gene was generated using the methods described above. Gene trees were estimated using the RAxML program (v 8.2.12) under GTR+GAMMA model with 1,000 bootstraps. A species tree was estimated using ASTRAL v 5.6.3 using gene trees from the previous step (Mirarab et al., 2014). The

concordance and conflicts among gene trees were visualized using Phypart (Smith et al., 2015) by mapping single-copy gene trees rooted by *Brachypodium distachyon* to the rooted species tree from acute species tree algorithm (ASTRAL). Tree concordance and conflicts were plotted using the python script phypartspiecharts.py available at https://github.com/mossmatters/phyloscripts/tree/master/phypartspiecharts (last accessed on 2 Feb. 2020).

For the six species in the ASTRAL tree, the distributions of synonymous substitutions per site (Ks) within a genome was calculated using wgd program (v 1.1.1, https://github.com/arzwa/wgd; Zwaenepoel & Van de Peer, 2019). The Ks value was visualized in R (Team and Others, 2013).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | *Festuca brevipila* transcriptome assembly

Using PacBio Isoseq, a total of 76.3 Gb of sequence was generated from four single molecule, rea-time (SMRT) cells, 73.91 Gb (48,788,662) of which were subreads. The Isoseq 3 pipeline identified 60,719 putative high-quality FL transcripts and 487 low-quality FL transcripts. After removing microbial contamination and plastid genome sequences, 59,510 FL transcripts were retained (98.01%) with a N50 of 2,668 bp and a total length of 130,657,908 bp. For Illumina sequencing on leaf tissue, a total of 37,100,522 paired-end reads were generated (SRR10995913). The reads were used for PacBio read error correction. Next, we generated the polished *F. brevipila* transcriptome which included 59,510 FL transcripts with a N50 of 2,667 bp and a total length of 130,631,252 bp. After removing redundant sequences by sequence similarity of 99%, we obtained the final reference transcriptome that contained 38,556 (64.78%) unique transcripts with a total length of 82,782,352 bp. The longest and shortest transcripts were 11,487 and 58 bp, respectively with a N50 of 2,584 bp. The GC content of the transcriptome was 51.5%, with the majority of transcripts size above 1,000 bp (83.3%; Figure 2).

De novo assembly of Illumina reads recovered 325,781 assembled contigs. After contamination and redundancy removal, 302,471 contigs were retained with a N50 of 1,157 bp. The combined assembly of long- and short-read data by 95% sequence similarity included 248,318 transcripts and




FIGURE 2 Transcript length distribution after redundant sequence removal




FIGURE 3 Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) assessment of the three assemblies. The combined assembly had the most complete BUSCO genes and the fewest missing genes. NR, nonredundant; Isoseq, isoform sequencing

TABLE 2 Statistics from *F. brevipila* PacBio isoform sequencing (Isoseq), de novo transcriptome, and combined assemblies. No decontamination was carried out for the combined assembly

Assembly	Total contigs	Contigs after contaminants removal	Contigs after redundancy removal	N50
				bp
de novo	325,781	322,110	302,471 (92.84%)	1,157
PacBio Isoseq	60,719	59,510	38,556 (64.79%)	2,584
Combined Transcriptome (cd-hit-est)	341,027	NA	248,314 (72.81%)	1,405




FIGURE 4 Comparison of Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs (BUSCO) completeness. Fragmented or missing BUSCO in the Illumina assembly (a) or the PacBio assembly (b) but were complete (1,227) in the hybrid assembly




FIGURE 5 Comparison of *Festuca brevipila* PacBio Isoseq transcriptome annotation using different databases. A, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; B, Gene Ontology; C, NCBI Nonredundant Protein; D, UniRef90; E, SwisProt

an N50 of 1,405 bp. Of these, 20,063 transcripts were from the Isoseq dataset (Table 2).

When mapping Illumina reads from the *F. brevipila* leaf tissue back to the three assemblies, the percent of unmapped reads for PacBio Isoseq, Illumina, and combined assembly was 34.5, 38.2, and 28.5%, respectively (Table S2).

When the completeness of the assemblies was evaluated, the Illumina de novo assembly showed better coverage (94.6%, fragmented BUSCO included) than the PacBio Isoseq transcriptome (71.85%, fragmented BUSCO included). The combined assembly outperformed the individual assemblies for better coverage (96.07%, fragmented BUSCO included) and completeness (89.24%; Figure 3).

Around 60% of the fragmented and 35% of the missing BUSCO genes from the Illumina-only assembly were complete in the hybrid assembly (Figure 4A). Similarly, 54%

TABLE 3 Summary statistics of Illumina sequencing and de novo transcriptome assembly. No decontamination was carried out for the three assemblies

Taxon	Number of raw reads	Number of contigs	N50
			bp
F. ovina (4*x*)	26,640,768	242,794	1,230
Festuca ovina subsp. *ovina* (2*x*)	24,719,943	179,393	1,664
F. valeciaca (2*x*)	35,084,398	157,926	1,770

of the fragmented and 78% of the missing BUSCO genes from the PacBio assembly were marked as complete in the hybrid assembly (Figure 4B).

3.2 | Transcript functional annotation

We carried out functional annotation of the reference transcriptome using multiple databases including NCBI NR protein, UniRef90, SwisProt, KEGG, and Pfam (GO terms; Figure 5). Around 25% of transcripts had annotation in all five databases and 36,067 (93.54%) of transcripts had annotation from more than one database. Because the long-read transcriptome represents true transcripts involving minimum assembly artifact, it is the most informative as the foundation for any future hard fescue transcriptome analysis. Therefore, we have deposited this long-read-only reference transcriptome with annotations at https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/211413 for public access.

3.3 | Identification of lncRNAs and miRNA from PacBio sequences

From the NR (Isoseq transcriptome after cd-hit-est at 99% sequence identity) PacBio Isoseq reference transcriptome, we identified 7,868 transcripts that were long noncoding RNA. After removing nonplant miRNA hits, there were a total of 139 noncoding RNAs that had hairpin structure. A total of 39.04% of the miRNA were annotated in *Hordeum vulgare*, 19.18% were annotated in *O. sativa*, and 17.8% were annotated in *F. arundinacea* (Supplemental Tables S3, S4).

The highest copy number was for hvu-MIR6179 with 16 copies, followed by far-MIR1119 and osa-MIR2927, both of which had 11 copies (Figure 6).




FIGURE 6 microRNA (miRNA) identified in the PacBio isoform sequencing (Isoseq) reference transcriptome. Most of the homologous miRNAs were identified in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) and tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*). MIR6179, MIR1119, and MIR2927 had the most abundance in *F. brevipila* transcriptome

3.4 | Phylotranscriptomic analyses of the *Festuca-Lolium* complex

A total of 86,445,109 paired end reads were generated from the Illumina sequencing for *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina*, *F. valesiaca*, and *F. ovina*. The assembly statistics were summarized in Table 3.

OrthoFinder identified 29 single copy nuclear genes across the nine taxa to reconstruct the nuclear gene trees and three chloroplast genome regions that included a 9,680-bp sequence which covered the *rps2-atpA*, *rpl32-ccsA*, and *clpP-psbH* regions. These were likely DNA contamination in the RNA sequencing library, because these contigs spanned gene and intergenic regions. The topology between concatenated nuclear and plastome datasets were mostly congruent, except the placement of *F. brevipila* and *F. valesiaca* (Figure 7).

To explore the conflict among gene trees among *F. ovina* (4*x*), *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina* (4*x*), *F. brevipila* (6*x*), and *F. valesiaca* (2*x*), we assembled a second phylotranscriptomic dataset with these four taxa and two outgroups. OrthoFinder recovered 286 single copy genes from this six-taxon dataset. The resulting species tree topology by ASTRAL was congruent with the concatenated tree recovered from the nine-taxon nuclear dataset (Figure 8). However, a significant proportion of well-supported (bootstrap >50) gene trees supported alternative placements of *F. brevipila* (red and green in Figure 8)




FIGURE 7 Nuclear and chloroplast species tree of the nine taxa used in this study. In the *F. ovina* complex, concordance relationship was linked in black while conflicts were linked in orange

being either sister to *F. valesiaca*, *F. ovina* 2*x*, or *F. ovina* subsp. *ovina* 4*x*.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this study, we used the PacBio isoform sequencing platform to generate a reference transcriptome of *F. brevipila* using root, leaf, crown, and inflorescence tissue types. In the absence of a reference genome, we used multiple protein and pathway databases to annotate the reference transcriptome. We used Illumina sequencing data from *F. brevipila* leaf tissue to polish the PacBio reads and to generate a de novo assembly to evaluate the coverage of the reference transcriptome. Finally, we carried out phylotranscriptomic analyses to investigate the phylogenetic relationships among *F. brevipila* and its close relatives.

The analysis of the perennial ryegrass draft genome, a close relative of *F. brevipila*. identified 28,455 genes (Byrne et al., 2015). Since *F. brevipila* is hexaploid, we would expect a higher total number of genes; however, we only identified 38,556 NR transcripts in our reference transcriptome, which could be the result of multiple factors. First, tissues were sampled while growing in greenhouse conditions, and we only sampled at one time point. Therefore, it is likely that we are missing a number of genes that are not expressed at that time point. Second, we did not perform library size selection which could lead to the over presence of some highly abundant transcripts and fail to capture less frequent transcripts. Transcript collapsing could also potentially result in the loss of haplotype information. Finally, homeologs may be difficult to distinguish from sequencing errors and heterozygosity, given the highly similar subgenomes of *F. brevipila* as suggested by the lack of any clearly distinguishable Ks peak in our Ks analysis (Supplemental Figure S1). Future research should sequence more tissue types, stress conditions, and time points to provide a more comprehensive transcriptome.

Next, we used the Illumina short reads sequencing to evaluate the completeness of the *F. brevipila* reference transcriptome. Although de novo assembly generated a much higher number of transcripts compared to PacBio Isoseq, it is likely the result of capturing transcripts at low expression level, short fragments, and artifacts from de novo assembly, as less than 60% of transcripts had annotation in NCBI NR database. This suggests that assembly artifacts are rather frequent in the short-read assembly of polyploid outcrossing species.

Comparing our reference transcriptome based on PacBio Isoseq alone, Illumina de novo, and the merged assembly, the PacBio Isoseq transcriptome had the best N50 of 2,584 bp whereas de novo assembly only had N50 of 1,157 bp. The merged contigs had the highest BUSCO completes (89.2%), reduced fragmented BUSCO (6.8%), and the lowest missing BUSCO (4%). When mapping Illumina sequencing reads to the three assemblies, the combined assembly has the least




FIGURE 8 Species tree of *F. ovina* complex reconstructed by acute species tree algorithm (ASTRAL) using 286 single-copy genes. Pie charts at nodes indicate the proportion of informative gene trees (bootstrap support of 50 or higher) that were concordant (blue) or conflict (top conflict in red and all other conflicts in green) with the species tree topology. Gray represents gene trees with low (<50) bootstrap support. Total number of concordance gene trees were above the branch and the total number of conflicting gene trees were below the branch. Synonymous substitutions per site (Ks) plots to the right of each tip showed no distinguishable Ks peak, suggesting the whole genome duplication events happened very recently

unmapped reads, followed by PacBio Isoseq, and de novo assembly. The PacBio assembly has the best mapping quality (42.9% MAPQ ≥30), followed by the de novo, and then the combined assembly. The combined assembly had the highest number of reads with MAPQ <3 (mapping to multiple regions of the transcriptome) which suggests that when combining multiple assemblies using cd-hit-est, the sequence identity threshold plays a crucial role. In our case, we used a 95% sequencing identity cut off. By using a lower cut off, we would likely increase the unique mapping (MAPQ ≥30); however, we would potentially lose homoeolog or paralog information due to the hexaploid nature of the taxon. Similarly, if we used a more stringent cutoff, we would likely maintain more sequence information but lose a greater number of unique reads. This is an inherent problem in polyploid transcriptomics, especially when using short reads. Future whole genome sequencing will help researchers to overcome these limitations. Therefore, we present the polished Isoseq dataset as our reference transcriptome, instead of using the hybrid assembly.

Although PacBio Isoseq has proven to improve the transcriptome quality, it has several limitations. First, it has a higher cost and lower sequencing coverage compared to the Illumina dataset. When comparing the BUSCO assessment result, the PacBio Isoseq dataset had 28.8% BUSCO genes missing whereas the short read de novo assembly had only 5.4% despite sequencing from a single tissue. In addition, at present, PacBio sequencing has a higher error rate than the Illumina platforms. However, these limitations could be reduced by increasing the number of SMRT cell for sequencing, improvement of sequencing chemistry, enzyme (Hi-Fi), and a combination of using Illumina short reads sequencing

and long reads (Rhoads & Au, 2015; Wenger et al., 2019). Finally, despite being capable of recovering FL transcripts, 83 out of the 988 BUSCO genes in our PacBio Isoseq dataset remain fragmented.

In addition to transcriptome annotation using a multiple protein database, we identified potential miRNA precursors. When searching miRNA precursor transcripts in our dataset, we found MIR6179, MIR1119, and MIR2927 had the highest abundance in the four tissue types sampled within the hard fescue PacBio transcriptome. Previous studies have shown that MIR1119 is essential in regulating plant drought tolerance in wheat and dehydration stress in barley (*Hordeum* L.; Kantar et al., 2010; Shi et al., 2018). MIR2927 has been shown to regulate both abiotic (salt) and biotic (virus) stress response in rice (Sanan-Mishra et al., 2009). The function of MIR6179 was found to be associated with gametocidal action in wheat (Wang et al., 2018). It is possible that the high abundance of these miRNAs reflects the importance of the pathways being targeted in *F. brevipila*.

Finally, to test the phylogenetic affinity of *F. brevipila*, we used transcriptome data to construct the phylogenetic relationship among closely related taxa in the *Festuca–Lolium* complex. Since the error rate of the polished PacBio Isoseq is approximately 1%, it is challenging to distinguish whether sequence differences at or below this percentage are due to read errors, heterozygosity, or different homeologs and/or paralogs. For this reason, we collapsed redundant transcripts using a minimal sequence identity of 99% when constructing the reference transcriptome. This step could lead to overestimation of the true number of single copy genes. However, it is unlikely to significantly affect the phylogenetic affinity of *F. brevipila* in downstream phylotranscriptomic analysis. When comparing the phylogeny from maternal (chloroplast) vs. biparentally inherited (nuclear) genes, we noticed a conflict between the placement of *F. brevipila* (6*x*) and *F. valesiaca* (2*x*; Figure 7).

Among nuclear gene trees (Figure 8), approximately 40% of informative gene trees supported the nuclear species tree topology, with no single dominant alternative topology. Distribution of Ks lacks any clear peak in any of our ingroup species (Figure 8), despite the detection of a known Ks peak around 0.8 in the outgroup *Brachypodium* (Supplemental Figure S1; Wang et al., 2015). This suggests that the polyploidy event happened relatively recently and may not be distinguishable from sequencing and assembly errors. Given the short internal branch length among *F. brevipila* (6*x*), *F. valesiaca* and *F. ovina* (2*x* and 4*x*), hybridization, incomplete lineage sorting, and sequencing and assembly artifacts may contribute to the conflict among the nuclear genes. Ideally, we could use ortholog and homologous group information to further investigate this event; however, our data is unable to distinguish among these potential sources of gene tree conflict due to the difficulty of phasing homeologs. Future studies will be focused on whole genome sequencing and screening additional taxa that are closely related to *F. brevipila* to identify affinities of subgenomes of this important hexaploid turfgrass taxon.

5 | CONCLUSION

In this study, we developed the reference transcriptome of *F. brevipila* using PacBio isoform sequencing and polished the reads and evaluated its completeness using Illumina sequencing. Our results suggest that PacBio isoform sequencing can be used to improve short reads de novo assembly. The distribution of synonymous distances among paralogs within *F. brevipila* suggested highly similar subgenomes that are difficult to distinguish from sequencing errors suggesting *F. brevipila* is a very recently formed polyploidy. In addition, we carried out phylotranscriptomic analysis to confirm the close phylogenetic affinity of *F. brevipila* with *F. ovina* and *F. valesiaca*, with high levels of gene tree discordance among them. This dataset provides insight into a complicated hexaploid transcriptome and evolutionary history of this taxon and laid the foundation for future transcriptomic and genomics research on this important turfgrass taxon.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

All PacBio IsoSeq and Illumina RNA-Seq data generated for this work were deposited at NCBI under the BioProject PRJNA598357. The raw PacBio reads of inserts are available with the SRA accession numbers SRX7658820–SRX7658823. Illumina RNA sequencing reads are available with the SRA accession numbers SRX7658307–SRX7658309, and SRX7656997. The PacBio transcriptome and annotation had been deposited at https://conservancy.umn.edu/handle/11299/211413 for the community access. Plant materials are available from the UMN turfgrass breeding program.

FUNDING

This research is funded by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Specialty Crop Research Initiative under award number 2017-51181-27222.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Y. Q. performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript; Y. Y. helped with the phylogenetic analysis; C. D. H. helped with the genomics analysis; E. W. secured funding for this project and supervised this research. All authors contributed to the revising of the manuscript and approved the final version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Minnesota Supercomputing Institute for providing computational resources.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ORCID

Yinjie Qiu https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0584-0688
Eric Watkins https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7225-1747

REFERENCES

Alkan, C., Sajjadian, S., & Eichler, E. E. (2011). Limitations of next-generation genome sequence assembly. *Nature Methods*, *8*(1), 61–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1527

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., & Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(15), 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Byrne, S. L., Nagy, I., Pfeifer, M., Armstead, I., Swain, S., Studer, B., Mayer, K., Campbell, J. D., Czaban, A., Hentrup, S., Panitz, F., Bendixen, C., Hedegaard, J., Caccamo, M., & Asp, T. (2015). A synteny-based draft genome sequence of the forage grass *Lolium perenne*. *The Plant Journal*, *84*(4), 816–826. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13037

Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M., & Gabaldon, T. (2009). trimAl: A tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(15), 1972–1973. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

Carroll, J. C. (1943). Effects of drought, temperature and nitrogen on turf grasses. *Plant Physiology*, *18*(1), 19–36. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.18.1.19

Chang, H.-X., & Hartman, G. L. (2017). Characterization of insect resistance loci in the USDA soybean germplasm collection using genome-wide association studies. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *8*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00670

Chao, Y., Yuan, J., Li, S., Jia, S., Han, L., & Xu, L. (2018). Analysis of transcripts and splice isoforms in red clover (Trifolium pratense L.) by single-molecule long-read sequencing. *BMC Plant Biology*, *18*(1), 300. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-018-1534-8

Chen, L. - Y., Morales-Briones, D. F., Passow, C. N., & Yang, Y. (2019). Performance of gene expression analyses using de novo assembled transcripts in polyploid species. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(21), 4314–4320. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz620

Clayton, W. D., & Renvoize, S. A. (1999). *Genera Graminum: Grasses of the World*. Kew Publishing.

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *32*(5), 1792–1797. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh340

Emms, D. M., & Kelly, S. (2019). OrthoFinder: Phylogenetic orthology inference for comparative genomics. *Genome Biology*, *20*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1832-y

Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., Zeng, Q., Chen, Z., Mauceli, E., Hacohen, N., Gnirke, A., Rhind, N., di Palma, F., Birren, B. W., Nusbaum, C., Lindblad-Toh, K., …, Regev, A. (2011). Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, *29*(7), 644–652. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1883

Gupta, P. K., Langridge, P., & Mir, R. R. (2010). Marker-assisted wheat breeding: Present status and future possibilities. *Molecular Breeding*, *26*(2), 145–161. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-009-9359-7

Hand, M. L., Spangenberg, G. C., Forster, J. W., & Cogan, N. O. I. (2013). Plastome sequence determination and comparative analysis for members of the *Lolium-Festuca* grass species complex. *G3: Genes Genomes Genetics*, *3*(4), 607–616. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.005264

Hanson, A. A., Juska, F. V., & Burton, G. W. (1969). Species and varieties. In A. A. Hanson, & F. V. Juska, (Eds.), *Turfgrass science* (pp. 370–409). American Society of Agronomy.

Hoang, N. V., Furtado, A., Mason, P. J., Marquardt, A., Kasirajan, L., Thirugnanasambandam P. P., Botha F. C., & Henry R. J. (2017). A survey of the complex transcriptome from the highly polyploid sugarcane genome using full-length isoform sequencing and de novo assembly from short read sequencing. *BMC Genomics*, *18*(1), https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-3757-8

Huff, D. R., & Palazzo, A. J. (1998). Fine Fescue species determination by laser flow cytometry. *Crop Science*, *38*(2), 445–450. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800020029x

Kantar, M., Unver, T., & Budak, H. (2010). Regulation of barley miRNAs upon dehydration stress correlated with target gene expression. *Functional & Integrative Genomics*, *10*(4), 493–507. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10142-010-0181-4

Katoh, K., & Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *30*(4), 772–780. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kozomara, A., & Griffiths-Jones, S. (2014). miRBase: Annotating high confidence microRNAs using deep sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *42*(D1), D68–D73. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1181

Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods*, *9*(4), 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Lassmann, T., Hayashizaki, Y., & Daub, C. O. (2011). SAMStat: Monitoring biases in next generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*, *27*(1), 130–131. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq614

Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*(14), 1754–1760. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324

Li, W., & Godzik, A. (2006). Cd-hit: A fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*, *22*(13), 1658–1659. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl158

Li, A., Zhang, J., & Zhou, Z. (2014). PLEK: A tool for predicting long non-coding RNAs and messenger RNAs based on an improved k-mer scheme. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *15*(1), 311. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-15-311

Ma, Y., Staub, J. E., Robbins, M. D., Johnson, P. G., & Larson, S. R. (2014). Phenotypic and genetic characterization of Kyrgyz fine-leaved Festuca valesiaca germplasm for use in semi-arid, low-maintenance turf applications. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*, *61*(1), 185–197. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10722-013-0025-x

McCormick, R. F., Truong, S. K., Sreedasyam, A., Jenkins, J., Shu, S., Sims, D., Kennedy, D., Amirebrahimi, M., Weers, B. D., McKinley, B., Mattison, A., Morishige, D. T., Grimwood, J., Schmutz, J., & Mullet, J. E. (2018). The Sorghum bicolor reference genome: improved assembly and annotations, a transcriptome atlas, and signatures of genome organization. *The Plant Journal*, *93*, 338–354. https://doi.org/10.1101/110593

Mirarab, S., Reaz, R., Bayzid, M. S., Zimmermann, T., Swenson, M. S., & Warnow, T. (2014). ASTRAL: Genome-scale coalescent-based species tree estimation. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(17), i541–i548. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu462

Ozsolak, F., & Milos, P. M. (2011). RNA sequencing: Advances, challenges and opportunities. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *12*(2), 87–98. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2934

Pastor-Corrales, M. A. (2003). Sources, genes for resistance and pedigrees of 52 rust and mosaic resistant dry bean germplasm lines released by the USDA Beltsville bean project in collaboration. *Science*, *29*(3), 834–835.

Phing Lau, W. C., Latif, M. A., Rafii, M. Y., Ismail, M. R., & Puteh, A. (2016). Advances to improve the eating and cooking qualities of rice by marker-assisted breeding. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, *36*(1), 87–98. https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2014.923987

Qiu, Y., Hamernick, S., Ortiz, J. B., & Watkins, E. (2020). DNA content and ploidy estimation of Festuca ovina accessions by flow cytometry. *Crop Science*, *60*(5), 2757–2767. https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20229

Qiu, Y., Hirsch, C. D., Yang, Y., & Watkins, E. (2020). Towards improved molecular identification tools in fine Fescue (Festuca L., Poaceae) turfgrasses: Nuclear genome size, ploidy, and chloroplast genome sequencing. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *10*, 1223. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01223

Quevillon, E., Silventoinen, V., Pillai, S., Harte, N., Mulder, N., Apweiler, R., & Lopez, R. (2005). InterProScan: Protein domains identifier. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *33*(Web Server), W116–W120. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki442

Rambaut, A. (2012). FigTree v1. 4.

Reiter, M., Friell, J., Horgan, B., Soldat, D., & Watkins, E. (2017). Drought response of fine fescue mixtures maintained as a golf course fairway. *International Turfgrass Society Research Journal*, *13*(1), 65.

Rhoads, A., & Au, K. F. (2015). PacBio sequencing and its applications. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, *13*(5), 278–289.

Ruemmele, B. A., Brilman, L. A., & Huff, D. R. (1995). Fine fescue germplasm diversity and vulnerability. *Crop Science*, *35*(2), 313. https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1995.0011183X003500020003x

Salmela, L., & Rivals, E. (2014). LoRDEC: Accurate and efficient long read error correction. *Bioinformatics*, *30*(24), 3506–3514. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu538

Sanan-Mishra, N., Kumar, V., Sopory, S. K., & Mukherjee, S. K. (2009). Cloning and validation of novel miRNA from basmati rice indicates cross talk between abiotic and biotic stresses. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, *282*(5). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-009-0478-y

Schmieder, R., & Edwards, R. (2011). Fast identification and removal of sequence contamination from genomic and metagenomic datasets. *PLOS ONE*, *6*(3), e17288. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0017288

Shan, Q., Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Liang, Z., Zhang, K., Liu, J., Jeff Xi, J., Qiu, J.-L., & Gao, C. (2013). Targeted genome modification of crop plants using a CRISPR-Cas system. *Nature Biotechnology*, *31*(8), 686–688. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2650

Shi, G.-Q., Gui-qing, S. H. I., Jing-ying, F. U., Rong, L.-J., Zhang, P.-Y., Guo, C.-J., & Xiao, K. (2018). TaMIR1119, a miRNA family member of wheat (Triticum aestivum), is essential in the regulation of plant drought tolerance. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, *17*(11), 2369–2378. https://doi.org/10.1016/s2095-3119(17)61879-3

Simão, F. A., Waterhouse, R. M., Ioannidis, P., Kriventseva, E. V., & Zdobnov, E. M. (2015). BUSCO: Assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. *Bioinformatics*, *31*(19), 3210–3212. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351

Šmarda, P., Bureš, P., Horová, L., Foggi, B., & Rossi, G. (2008). Genome size and GC content evolution of festuca: Ancestral expansion and subsequent reduction. *Annals of Botany*, *101*(3), 421–433. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcm307

Smith, S. A., Moore, M. J., Brown, J. W., & Yang, Y. (2015). Analysis of phylogenomic datasets reveals conflict, concordance, and gene duplications with examples from animals and plants. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, *15*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-015-0423-0

Stamatakis, A. (2006). RAxML-VI-HPC: Maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, *22*(21), 2688–2690. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446

Suyama, M., Torrents, D., & Bork, P. (2006). PAL2NAL: Robust conversion of protein sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *34*(Web Server issue), W609–12. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl315

Team, R.C., and Others. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. http://finzi.psych.upenn.edu/R/library/dplR/doc/intro-dplR.pdf

Varshney, R. K., Graner, A., & Sorrells, M. E. (2005). Genomics-assisted breeding for crop improvement. *Trends in Plant Science*, *10*(12), 621–630. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.10.004

Wang, D., Ling, L., Zhang, W., Bai, Y., Shu, Y., & Guo, C. (2018). Uncovering key small RNAs associated with gametocidal action in wheat. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *69*(20), 4739–4756. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery175

Wang, B., Tseng, E., Regulski, M., Clark, T. A., Hon, T., Jiao, Y., Lu, Z., Olson, A., Stein, J. C., & Ware, D. (2016). Unveiling the complexity of the maize transcriptome by single-molecule long-read sequencing. *Nature Communications*, *7*, 11708. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11708

Wang, X., Wang, J., Jin, D., Guo, H., Lee, T.-H., Liu, T., & Paterson, A. H. (2015). Genome alignment spanning major Poaceae lineages reveals heterogeneous evolutionary rates and alters inferred dates for key evolutionary events. *Molecular Plant*, *8*(6), 885–898. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.04.004

Wenger, A. M., Peluso, P., Rowell, W. J., Chang, P.-C., Hall, R. J., Concepcion, G. T., Ebler, J., Fungtammasan, A., Kolesnikov, A., Olson, N. D., Töpfer, A., Alonge, M., Mahmoud, M., Qian, Y., Chin, C.-S., Phillippy, A. M., Schatz, M. C., Myers, G., DePristo, M. A., …, Hunkapiller, M. W. (2019). Accurate circular consensus long-read sequencing improves variant detection and assembly of a human

genome. *Nature Biotechnology*, *37*(10), 1155–1162. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0217-9

Wilkinson, M. J., & Stace, C. A. (1991). A new taxonomic treatment of the Festuca ovina L. aggregate (Poaceae) in the British Isles. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, *106*(4), 347–397. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.1991.tb02298.x

Wu, T. D., & Watanabe, C. K. (2005). GMAP: A genomic mapping and alignment program for mRNA and EST sequences. *Bioinformatics*, *21*(9), 1859–1875. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti310

Zhang, B., Liu, J., Wang, X., & Wei, Z. (2018). Full-length RNA sequencing reveals unique transcriptome composition in bermudagrass. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, *132*, 95–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.08.039

Zhang, G., Sun, M., Wang, J., Lei, M., Li, C., Zhao, D., Huang, J., Li, W., Li, S., Li, J., Yang, J., Luo, Y., Hu, S., & Zhang, B. (2019). PacBio full-length cDNA sequencing integrated with RNA-seq reads drastically improves the discovery of splicing transcripts in rice. *Plant Journal*, *97*(2), 296–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14120

Zwaenepoel, A., & Van de Peer, Y. (2019). Wgd—simple command line tools for the analysis of ancient whole-genome duplications. *Bioinformatics*, *35*(12), 2153–2155. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty915

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

How to cite this article: Qiu Y, Yang Y, Hirsch CD, Watkins E. Building a reference transcriptome for the hexaploid hard fescue turfgrass (*Festuca brevipila*) using a combination of PacBio Isoseq and Illumina sequencing. *Crop Science*. 2021;*61:*2798–2811. https://doi.org/10.1002/csc2.20489