



Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is a key factor to domesticate *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. in orchards

A.M. Bâ, T. Guissou, Babacar Thioye, R. Duponnois, F. Fall, S.N. Sylla

► To cite this version:

A.M. Bâ, T. Guissou, Babacar Thioye, R. Duponnois, F. Fall, et al.. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is a key factor to domesticate *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. in orchards. South African Journal of Botany, 2023, 160, pp.166-179. <10.1016/j.sajb.2023.06.047>. <hal-04195532>

HAL Id: hal-04195532

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-04195532v1>

Submitted on 5 Sep 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 - Attribution - Non-commercial use - No Derivative Works - International License

Review

Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis is a key factor to domesticate *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. in orchards

Bâ A.M.^{1,2*}, Guissou T.³, Thioye B.^{4,5}, Duponnois R.¹, Fall F.^{1,2} & Sylla S.N.^{5,6}

(1) UMR113 LSTM Laboratoire des Symbioses Tropicales et Méditerranéennes IRD/CIRAD/UM2/Sup-AgroM/INRAE, France ; (2) Laboratoire de biologie et physiologie végétales, Université des Antilles, Guadeloupe, France, (3) Laboratoire de Microbiologie, INERA/DPF 03, Ouagadougou 03, Burkina Faso, (4) UniLaSalle-AGHYLE research unit UP 2018.C101, Mont-Saint-Aignan, France (5) Laboratoire Commun de Microbiologie IRD/ISRA/UCAD, Dakar, Sénégal, (6) Département de Biologie végétale, UCAD, Dakar, Sénégal.

(*) Corresponding author, email: amadou.ba@univ-antilles.fr

Abstract:

Ziziphus mauritiana Lam. also named Indian jujube is an important indigenous fruit tree (IFT) to rural people as a source of food and income, whereas it is neglected and underutilized in the West African agroforestry systems. Domestication of *Z. mauritiana* can help to preserve its genetic resources, to cultivate its germplasm and to improve its fruit production in orchards. Controlled mycorrhization and rock phosphate (RP) fertilization are two approaches to domesticate *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. by improving its growth, nutritional status and fruit production in the P-deficient soils. Here, we review the following (i) relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) of *Z. mauritiana* compared to six *Ziziphus* spp. [*Z. lotus* (L.) Lam., *Z. spina-christi* (L.) Desf., *Z. mucronata* Willd., *Z. amphibia* A. Chev., *Z. abyssinica* A. Rich. and *Z. sphaerocarpa* Tul.] and eleven ITFs [*Adansonia digitata* L., *Aphania senegalensis* Radlk, *Anacardium occidentale* L., *Cordyla pinnata* (Lepr. ex A. Rich.) Milne-Redhead, *Dialium guineensis* Wild., *Landolphia heudelottii* A.DC., *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Roch.) Hochst., *Saba senegalensis* (A. DC.) Pichon, *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del., *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.), *Tamarindus indica* L.], (ii) response to arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) inoculation and RP fertilization on *Z. mauritiana* growth and nutrition in nursery, (iii) impact of an introducing exotic AM fungus on already existing AM fungal assemblages in roots of

jujube trees after planting, (iv) role of AM fungi and RP fertilizer on growth and fruit production of two *Z. mauritiana* cultivars (Gola from India and Tasset from Senegal) after planting in arid and semi-arid regions of Senegal. *Z. mauritiana* provenances and cultivars (Gola and Tasset) appeared to be higher depend on AM fungi than *Ziziphus* spp. and IFTs. In AM *Z. mauritiana* seedlings inoculated with *Rhizophagus irregularis* IR 27, the highest phosphorus dose of RP applied (250 kg P per ha) produced the best plant growth regardless of the sources of the phosphorus applied. The growth-promoting effects of pre-inoculated jujube with the exotic strain *R. irregularis* IR 27 in nursery was still maintained 18 months after planting, with significant higher rates of survival and an increase of fruit production for inoculated jujube cultivars without disturbance of native AM fungal communities. Inoculated Tasset cultivar gave the highest mean number of fruits (c.a. 300 and per tree), twice the amount of that obtained for Gola, whereas highest mean fresh weight of fruits was obtained for inoculated Gola cultivar (c.a. 670 g per tree). Success and sustained positive effects of pre-inoculation with *R. irregularis* IR27 hold promise for the role that AM fungal inoculum could play within fruit production of other fruit trees cultivated in West African orchards.

Key words: *Ziziphus mauritiana*, Controlled mycorrhization, Rock phosphate fertilization, Metabarcoding, Fruit production.

1. Introduction

African farmers commonly cultivate commercially exotic fruit trees like citrus, papayas, bananas, and mangoes in West Africa region (Ortas 2018). However, indigenous fruit trees (IFTs) such as baobab, African locust bean, desert date, and jujube are often overlooked and underutilized in the region's agroforestry systems. These IFTs are valuable biological resources (Akinnifesi et al. 2008; Bayala et al. 2011; Awodoyin et al. 2015; Leakey and Akinnifesi, 2017) and thrive in drylands where growing exotic fruit species is challenging, especially in rural areas and during drought in Sahelian and Sudanian countries in West Africa region (Stadlmayr et al. 2013). The IFT's natural habitats are being lost due to deforestation caused by drought and human activities, like tree cutting for firewood or charcoal, climate changes and population growth (Cemansky, 2015). Those, along with overexploitation, disrupt ecological balance, degrade natural resources, and affect soil properties and fruit production of IFTs (Requena et al. 2001; Guissé et al. 2013).

Fruit production of IFTs is important to rural people both as a source of food and as a source of income (Tchoundjeu et al. 2008). It provides a range of ecosystem services through high-quality products (e.g. fruits, medicine, fuel, fodder, fibers), food security, health and income-generating values for the farmers during the critical food insecure in dry season (Leakey et al. 2017). *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam., also known as Indian jujube, is one of the selected tree species within the pan-African Great Green Wall (GGW) project. This initiative aims to combat poverty, soil degradation, and desertification (Cissé and Sarr, 2010; Thioye et al. 2019). The GGW project spans across 11 African countries in the Sahelian region, covering a 15 km wide and 7,600 km long strip of land from Dakar to Djibouti. It promotes the planting of trees and economically valuable drought-tolerant plant species, as well as the construction of water retention ponds, agricultural production systems, income-generating activities, and essential social infrastructure (Cissé and Sarr, 2010; Turner et al. 2022). Furthermore, according to surveys carried out in North-eastern Senegal, the sale of *Z. mauritiana* fruits by farmers, in particular by women, can represent more than 50% of the income derived from the savana by pastoralists located in the Mbiddi drilling area in northern Senegal (ISRA-DRPF Projet ligneux 1997; Diallo et al. 2022). The farmers are mainly interested in the fruit pulp, which is consumed fresh or dry, and also prepared into a juice (Ouédraogo et al. 2006). However, the fruits from local cultivars (e.g. Tasset from Senegal) are small-sized, whereas farmers are more interested by domesticated Indian cultivars (e.g. Gola from India) which produce early, large and tasty fruits. Although jujube cultivars with high potential for fruit production have been identified, little work has been done to bring them into improved management and domestication (Bâ et al. 2003). Domestication of jujube could be achieved through a combination of approaches including selection and multiplication of quality planting material, fertilization, irrigation, pruning, and controlled mycorrhization (Guissou et al. 1998; Bâ et al. 2003; Ouédraogo et al. 2006).

Controlled mycorrhization is a practice that harnesses the vital plant-microbe mutualism between plant roots and arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi from the phylum *Glomeromycota* (Davison et al. 2015). The AM fungi are known for their ability to improve plant growth and notably to efficiently scavenge for soil phosphorus (P) resources, one of the most limiting factors for the establishment of tree plantations and agricultural crops in West African soils (Bâ et al. 2000). The AM symbioses develop extracellular hyphal networks facilitating the absorption of water and mineral nutrients (mainly P) by the fungus, vesicles where fungus stores C mainly in the form of lipids, and arbuscules which are the sites of exchange between

fungus and host plant: fungus provides water and nutrients to the host plant which, in return, provides C to the fungus (Davidson et al. 2015). Many IFTs are depending on AM fungi for their growth and mineral nutrition (Guissou et al. 1998a; Guissou et al. 1998b; Bâ et al. 2000; Bâ et al. 2001; Muok and Ishii, 2006; Guissou et al. 2016; Thioye et al. 2017; Thioye et al. 2018; Ortas 2018; Olayiwola and Abiodun, 2019; Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2020). Nevertheless, there are still few data on the importance of AM fungal inoculation on the fruit production of IFTs (Thioye et al. 2021) where available soil P is often a limiting factor for plant growth in West Africa. Given rock phosphate (RP) is a natural resource readily available in West Africa, it is obviously very important to know under which conditions it may be profitable as an alternative source of P either to replace or complement the conventional sources (Jaitieng et al. 2021). Plants inoculated with AM fungi utilize more soluble P from RP than non-AM plants (Manjunath et al. 1989; Bâ et al. 1996; Bâ et al. 2001; Jaitieng et al. 2021).

Here, we review the following (i) relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) of *Z. mauritiana* compared to six *Ziziphus* spp. [*Z. lotus* (L.) Lam., *Z. spina-christi* (L.) Desf., *Z. mucronata* Willd., *Z. amphibia* A. Chev., *Z. abyssinica* A. Rich. and *Z. sphaerocarpa* Tul.] and eleven IFTs [*Adansonia digitata* L., *Aphania senegalensis* Radlk, *Anacardium occidentale* L., *Cordyla pinnata* (Lepr. ex A. Rich.) Milne-Redhead, *Dialium guineensis* Wild., *Landolphia heudelottii* A.DC., *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Roch.) Hochst., *Saba senegalensis* (A. DC.) Pichon, *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del., *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.), *Tamarindus indica* L.], (ii) response to AM inoculation and RP fertilization on *Z. mauritiana* growth and nutrition in nursery, (iii) impact of an introducing exotic AM fungus on already existing AM fungal assemblages in roots of jujube trees after planting (iv) role of AM fungi and RP fertilizer on growth and fruit production of two *Z. mauritiana* cultivars (Gola from India and Tasset from Senegal) after planting in arid and semi-arid regions of Senegal. Indeed, controlled mycorrhization with AM fungi and fertilization with RP to domesticate IFTs are poorly documented and may present advantages for the management of IFTs in the low-input agroforestry systems of West Africa.

2. Domestication of IFTs

The genus *Ziziphus* in the *Rhamnaceae* could be between 86 and 170 species of deciduous and evergreen shrubs, climbers and trees occurring throughout the tropics, subtropics and warmer temperate regions (Azam-Ali et al. 2006; Cahen et al. 2021). However, the two main

domesticated species in agroforestry systems are *Z. mauritiana*, the Indian jujube and *Z. jujuba*, the Chinese jujube (Azam-Ali et al. 2006; Cahen et al. 2021; Paudel et al. 2023). The Indian jujube *Ziziphus mauritiana*, often called ber, was domesticated in India 11,000 years ago (Gupta 2004; Azam-Ali et al. 2006; Cahen et al. 2021). It was naturalized in Africa, Asia, Australia and some pacific and Caribbean Islands. Some cultivars (e.g. Gola, Seb) of *Z. mauritiana* were introduced as scion with success in West Africa, where they have been grafted onto rootstocks of naturalized *Z. mauritiana* (Danthu et al. 2002; Danthu et al. 2004; Koné et al. 2009). The Indian jujube is a versatile tree species used for its edible fruit and medicinal properties. It is commonly planted in traditional parklands in Pakistan as living hedges, but its impact on soil fertility can vary (Sharif et al. 2019). With the growing concern for global climate change, the intercropping of *Z. mauritiana* with *Vigna mungo* in traditional agroforestry systems in India shows potential for increasing carbon stocks through plant biomass (Kumar et al. 2022). However, caution is advised regarding consumption and use in folk medicine due to the cytotoxicity potential of Indian jujube leaf extracts (Owolarafe et al. 2020). In West Africa, *Z. mauritiana* is one the IFTs farmers maintain on their farms (Ouédraogo et al. 2006). Fruits of *Z. mauritiana* are grown for their fruit pulp as food and kernel, which play a major role in the local economy. The dried fruit is its main product, but also the most marketed. Fruit pulp of jujube is very rich in vitamin C (much more than lemon), phosphorus, carotene and calcium and its leaves are also rich in vitamin C and A (Rashwan et al. 2020; Abdel-Sattar et al. 2021). The germplasm of *Z. mauritiana* is rich with more than 100 and 330 accessions in Pakistan and India, respectively (Sharif et al. 2019). Several molecular markers such as amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and single sequences repeats (SSRs) were developed to characterize genetic relationships and cultivars of Indian jujubes (Singh et al. 2007; Khan et al. 2013; Liang et al. 2019; Chiou et al. 2020). Of these DNA markers, the SSRs offer valuable information on the genetic relationship of Indian jujubes, which is basically in agreement with the genealogy of its breeding history (Chiou et al. 2020). The Indian jujube has been successfully grafted onto the rootstocks of the following *Ziziphus* species: *Z. abyssinica*, *Z. amphibia*, *Z. mauritiana*, *Z. mucronata* and *Z. rotundifolia* (Koné et al. 2009; Sherani et al. 2021). The grafted plants of all cultivars improved with all rootstocks, flowered and fruited with a remarkable precocity reaching 6 months after grafting. However, though salinity stress impaired *Ziziphus* growth, *Z. rotundifolia* used as rootstock and Gola scions budded on *Z. rotundifolia* were much less affected than *Z. spina-christi* and *Z. mauritiana* with or without Gola scions (Singh et al. 2022). The Indian jujube plants, especially the

exotic cultivars, also produced fruits 25 times larger than the fruits of the unimproved local individuals (Sherani et al. 2021). Therefore, vegetative propagation and grafting were one of the important steps for these new cultivars from Indian jujube. Another possibility to improve fruit trees productivity of *Z. mauritiana* was to combine pruning and foliar sprays of nutrients (Saritha et al. 2021). Positive responses of *Z. mauritiana* to AM fungal inoculation and fertilization with P were well documented in phosphorus-deficient soils (Guissou et al. 1998a; Bâ et al. 2000; Sidibé et al. 2012; Thioye et al. 2019). The increase of nutrients (N, P, K and Mg) in the mycorrhizal plants of *Z. mauritiana* compared to non-mycorrhizal plants, was greater in a stress regime than in a normal regime without improving on water use efficiency (Guissou et al. 2001).

It is well established that *Z. mauritiana* contributes significantly to household income and provides an excellent opportunity for small-scale farmers to generate more income and move towards sustainable cropping system (Dawson et al. 2020). They constitute an important food supplement for smallholder farmer in the tropics, particularly in drylands where cultivation of exotic fruit species is often not possible (Awodoyin et al. 2015). *Z. mauritiana* is different to exotic fruits like pineapple, banana or mango, which have been imported from other continents, although they are now naturally growing in many West African countries (Ortas, 2018). Unlike exotic fruit tree species that are planted and therefore come under private property, *Z. mauritiana* is a part of the natural environment and are therefore more accessible to the poor people. Due to their importance to rural communities, cultivation of *Z. mauritiana* is not yet received the expected attention due to the lack of knowledge on their reliable propagation techniques and management (Dawson et al. 2020). *Z. mauritiana* could be lost, as many of them are fast disappearing due to the overexploitation and loss of biodiversity that are the major downsides of the traditional approach that relies on wild harvesting. However, it presents an important food source, neither agricultural research, nor agricultural extension services, nor even national forestry services take sufficient account. Domestication of *Z. mauritiana* can help to preserve biodiversity and genetic resources on-farm. Significant progress has been made on *Z. mauritiana* through the participatory domestication approach, including (i) species priority setting, in which farmers listed their preferred species for domestication; (ii) provenance trials, germplasm resources, seed and fruits of known traits (iii) clonal selection and development of new cultivars, (iv) regeneration and sustainable cultivation of the ITF species including controlled mycorrhization and P fertilization and (v) field management of the IFTs in agroforestry systems. Based on the advances in the

domestication process, we can consider *Z. mauritiana* as one of the domesticated ITFs according to the data in the scientific literature (Koné et al. 2009; Sanou et al. 2011; Khan et al. 2013; Munthali et al. 2013; Chiou et al. 2020; Danthu et al. 2004; Diawara et al. 2020; Rashwan et al. 2020; Abdel-Sattar et al. 2021; Cahen et al. 2021; Sherani et al. 2021) (Table 1).

Table 1: Advances in domestication of some IFTs in the tropics

Genus, species	Plant family	Natural distribution	Main use	Advance in domestication	Reference
<i>Adansonia digitata</i>	Bombacaceae	Tropical Africa	Fruit, medicine	Semi-domesticated	Chládová et al. 2019
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i>	Anacardiaceae	Tropical America, Africa, Asia	Fruit, firewood	Undomesticated	Medney et al. 2001
<i>Aphania senegalensis</i>	Sapindaceae	West Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Fall et al. 2017
<i>Balanites aegyptiaca</i>	Balanitaceae	Africa, Asia, India	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Yadav et al. 2010
<i>Cordyla pinnata</i>	Fabaceae	West Africa	Fruit, timber	Undomesticated	Dieng et al. 2016
<i>Dialium guineensis</i>	Fabaceae	West Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Besong et al. 2016
<i>Landolphia heudelottii</i>	Apocynaceae	West Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Mireku et al. 2016
<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>	Fabaceae	West Africa	Fruit, medicine	Semi-domesticated	Houndonougbo et al. 2020
<i>Saba senegalensis</i>	Apocynaceae	Tropical Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Diawara et al. 2020
<i>Sclerocarya birrea</i>	Anacardiaceae	Africa	Fruit, food industry	Undomesticated	Haro et al. 2021
<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	Fabaceae	Tropical Africa, Asia, India	Fruit, medicine	Semi-domesticated	Buba et al. 2020
<i>Ziziphus abyssinica</i>	Rhamnaceae	Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Ahmed and Sati, 2018
<i>Z. amphibia</i>	Rhamnaceae	Tropical Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Thioye et al. 2018
<i>Z. lotus</i>	Rhamnaceae	Africa, Asia, Europe	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Abdoul-Azize, 2016
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	Rhamnaceae	India, Africa, Asia	Fruit, forage	Domesticated	Sharif et al. 2019
<i>Z. mucronata</i>	Rhamnaceae	Tropical Africa	Fruit, medicine	Undomesticated	Mokgolodi et al. 2011
<i>Z. sphaerocarpa</i> synonym to <i>Z. spina-christi</i>	Rhamnaceae	Tropical Africa	Fruit, timber	Undomesticated	Mokgolodi et al. 2011
<i>Z. spina-christi</i>	Rhamnaceae	Arabic, Tropical Africa	Fruit, timber	Undomesticated	Saied et al. 2008

3. Controlled mycorrhization

Controlled mycorrhization consists in identifying, isolating, selecting, large-scale of inoculum producing, applying inoculants and tracking of the mycorrhizal fungi in the field (Hart et al. 2017; Corsini et al. 2022). The success of controlled mycorrhization depends on host response to inoculation, fungal provenance (native or exotic), inoculation timing, soil structure, organic matter content and fertility (mainly P), and site disturbance (Hart et al. 2017; Epelde et al. 2020; Martignoni et al. 2020). For example, pre-inoculation with ECM fungi can help seagrape plants to mitigate salt stress by improving Na exclusion and storage, plant K nutrition and water status (Bullain Galardis et al. 2022). Native AM fungal species must to be preferentially choosen *vs* commercial strain due to their competitiveness and adaptation to soil and climate conditions (Solomon et al. 2022). However, the majority of field inoculation studies have successfully been conducted in degraded soils of post mining or soils with very low inoculum potential in conventional agriculture or desertified areas (Hart et al. 2017). There is also increasing evidence that priority effects of AM fungal pre-inoculation are important for AM fungi establishment but not always (Mummey et al. 2009). Another important factor determining the success of inoculation is the host responses that can vary following their relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) (Hart et al. 2017; Epelde et al. 2020; Martignoni et al. 2020).

Consideration of RMD of plants is an important factor determining the magnitude of benefits from improved management of AM fungi (Plenchette et al. 1983; Bâ et al. 2000; Tawaraya, 2003; Hoeksema et al. 2010). The RMD is defined as the degree to which a plant responds to mycorrhizal inoculation under given environmental conditions (Plenchette et al. 1983). The RMD is often related to the morphological properties of plant roots and regulated by the effectiveness of AM fungi, soil AM potential and P availability (Schweiger et al. 1995). It can vary greatly from one plant species to another and even between provenances or cultivars within a single species (Ceballos et al. 2013; Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2021). It is therefore useful to determine whether a plant derives or not benefits from AM symbiosis and to know how to manage it accordingly. The RMD differed between IFT species and was significantly influenced by AM fungal species (Guissou et al. 1998a; Table 2). However, *Z. mauritiana* in symbiosis with *A. spinosa*, *R. irregularis* IR27 or *G. manihotis* showed the highest RMD values compared with other plant-fungus combinations. *P. biglobosa* and *T. indica* had comparable RMD values which were never higher than 36%. Irrespective of plant-fungus combinations, the RMD of plant species decreased in the following order: *Z.*

244 *mauritiana*, *P. biglobosa* and *T. indica* (Guissou et al. 1998a; Table 2). However, the absence
 245 of a significant correlation between density and length of root hairs and RMD did not support
 246 Baylis's hypothesis (Baylis, 1970). Therefore, density and length of root hairs of non-AM
 247 fruit trees may not necessarily be "an alternative mean of by passing the phosphate depletion
 248 zone around the root" as proposed by Schweiger et al. (1995). This study was extended to
 249 other important ITF species because their AM status is often unknown and may have
 250 particular importance for establishment in degraded soils of arid and semi-arid areas,
 251 particularly during their first phase of growth in nutrient-deficient soils (Bâ et al. 2000).
 252 Therefore, we tested the RMD of thirteen ITFs from arid and semi-arid lands with two AM
 253 fungal species under conditions of P deficiency (Bâ et al. 2000). The RMD values ranged
 254 from 0% to 77% and were most clearly demonstrated with *R. irregularis* IR27. Based on
 255 these data, we proposed a ranking of ITFs according to the RMD categories defined by Habte
 256 and Manajunath (1991): *Z. mauritiana* was considered very highly dependent (RMD > 75%),
 257 *T. indica* was highly dependent (50–75% RMD), *D. guineensis*, *P. biglobosa* and *C. pinnata*
 258 were moderately dependent (25–50% RMD), *A. occidentale*, *A. senegalensis*, *S. birrea* and *A.*
 259 *digitata* were marginally dependent (0–25% RMD), and *L. heudelottii*, *S. senegalensis* and *B.*
 260 *aegyptiaca* were found not to be dependent on mycorrhizas (Table 2) (Guissou et al. 1998a ;
 261 Bâ et al. 2000). This last category includes species that are not hosts to AM fungi and those
 262 that did not respond positively to AM fungal colonization. Similar results in terms of RMD
 263 were obtained on *T. indica* (Reena and Bagyaraj, 1989), *S. birrea* (Muok and Ishii, 2006;
 264 Haro et al. 2021) and *Z. mauritiana* (Mathur and Vyas, 1997; Guissou, 2009). Altogether, *Z.*
 265 *mauritiana* was found to be the ITF species responding better to AM fungal inoculation,
 266 regardless of the inoculated AM fungal species (Table 2).

267 Table 2. Relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) on *Z. mauritiana* compared to eleven IFT
 268 species

Plant species	AM fungal species	Growth period (month)	Soil P (ppm)	Colonization rate (%)	RMD (%)	Reference
<i>Adansonia digitata</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	64	10	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglossus intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	63	3	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Anacardium occidentale</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	73	24	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglossus intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	55	8	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Aphania senegalensis</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	38	23	Bâ et al. 2000

	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	25	-	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Balanites aegyptiaca</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	53	-	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	8	3	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Cordyla pinnata</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	25	28	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	22	15	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Dialium guineensis</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	48	46	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	15	-	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Landolphia heudelottii</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	0	-	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	0	-	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>	<i>Acaulospora spinosa</i> ³	2	3.8	91	24	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	2	3.8	93	36	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Glomus manihotis</i> ⁴	2	3.8	90	31	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	2	3.8	90	12	Guissou et al. 1998a
<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>	<i>Funneliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	2	3.8	17	4	Guissou et al. 1998a
<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	68	33	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	42	-	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Parkia biglobosa</i>	<i>Glomus mosseae</i>	4	19	nd	-	Olayiwola and Abiodun, 2019
<i>Saba senegalensis</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	0	-	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	0	-	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Sclerocarya birrea</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	76	18	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	65	2	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>S. birrea</i> subsp. <i>multifoliolata</i>	<i>Gigaspora margarita</i>	3	nd	39	7	Muok and Ishii, 2006
<i>S. birrea</i> subsp. <i>birrea</i>	<i>Gigaspora margarita</i>	3	nd	41	33	Muok and Ishii, 2006
<i>S. birrea</i> subsp. <i>caffra</i>	<i>Gigaspora margarita</i>	3	nd	42	21	Muok and Ishii, 2006
<i>S. birrea</i>	<i>Gigaspora margarita</i>	3	nd	37	23	Muok et al. 2009
<i>S. birrea</i>	Mixed inoculum	9	1.74	28	39	Haro et al. 2021
	<i>Glomus aggregatum</i>	9	1.74	23	37	Haro et al. 2021
	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	9	1.74	18	28	Haro et al. 2021

<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	<i>Gigaspora margarita</i>	6	2.4	90	26	Reena and Bagyaraj, 1989
	<i>Glomus fasciculatum</i>	6	2.4	86	22	Reena and Bagyaraj, 1989
<i>T. indica</i>	<i>Acaulospora spinosa</i> ³	2	3.8	94	33	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	2	3.8	96	33	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Glomus manihotis</i> ⁴	2	3.8	96	21	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizoglyphus intraradices</i> ²	2	3.8	88	14	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Funeliformis. mosseae</i> ⁵	2	3.8	39	9	Guissou et al. 1998a
<i>T. indica</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	89	54	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglyphus intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	25	23	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>T. indica</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.2	78	70	Guissou, 2009
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	<i>Acaulospora spinosa</i> ³	2	3.8	91	78	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	2	3.8	95	70	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Glomus manihotis</i> ⁴	2	3.8	88	74	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Rhizoglyphus intraradices</i> ²	2	3.8	86	58	Guissou et al. 1998a
	<i>Funeliformis. mosseae</i> ⁵	2	3.8	48	48	Guissou et al. 1998a
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	<i>Glomus constrictum</i>	4	nd	83	46	Mathur and Vyas, 1995
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	3	6.6	98	78	Bâ et al. 2000
	<i>Rhizoglyphus intraradices</i> ²	3	6.6	97	73	Bâ et al. 2000
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	90	80	Guissou, 2009

269 ¹Fungal isolate IR27; ²Fungal isolate 89-30-14; ³Fungal isolate FL257-2; ⁴Fungal isolate IR15;
270 ⁵Fungal isolate 92-07-21; (-) no dependence; (nd) not determined.
271

272 The inoculation by AM fungi significantly improved growth and mineral nutrition of *Ziziphus*
273 spp., particularly the P nutrition (Table 3) (Thioye et al. 2017). The RMD differed between
274 plant species according to the AM fungi (Thioye et al. 2017). Irrespective of plant-fungus
275 combinations, *Z. sphaerocarpa* showed the highest RMD values when inoculated with *R.*
276 *irregularis* IR27. These RMD values ranged from 68.81 to 78.65%. *Ziziphus amphibia* in
277 symbiosis with *F. mosseae* showed the lowest RMD values of 30.5%. However, the RMD
278 values of *Ziziphus* spp. declined with AM fungi in the following order: *R. irregularis*
279 (69.51%), *R. intraradices* (63.58%) and *F. mosseae* (52.45%). There was a significant
280 positive correlation between RMD and hyphal length ($r = 0.902$, $P < 0.0001$), and RMD and

mycorrhizal infection ($r = 0.908$, $P < 0.0001$) (Thioye et al. 2017). Consequently, the highest levels of mycorrhizal colonization have fostered the highest values of RMD on *Ziziphus* spp. Indeed, RMD on *Ziziphus* spp. with *F. mosseae* was 52.45%, whereas the highest RMD values with *R. irregularis* and *R. intraradices* reached 69.51% and 63.58%, respectively. The differences of RMD among the tested *Ziziphus* spp. seem to be due to differences in the development of hyphal length in the soil and in P uptake by the external hyphae (Tawaraya, 2003; Thioye et al. 2017a).

Table 3. Relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) on eight *Ziziphus* species.

<i>Ziziphus</i> species	AM fungal species	Growth period (month)	Soil P (ppm)	Colonization rate (%)	RMD (%)	Reference
<i>Z. mauritiana</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	78	71	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Rhizoglossus intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	73	70	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	46	68	Thioye et al. 2017
<i>Z. lotus</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	67	45	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	53	55	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	49	68	Thioye et al. 2017
<i>Z. spina-christi</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	85	69	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	84	46	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	73	57	Thioye et al. 2017
	Mixed inoculum of <i>Glomus mosseae</i> and <i>Glomus monosporum</i>	3	nd	68	37	Al-Qarawi and Alshahrani, 2010
<i>Z. mucronata</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	77	69	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	74	46	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	51	57	Thioye et al. 2017
<i>Z. nummularia</i>	<i>Glomus deserticola</i>	6	nd	86	66	Mathur & Vyas, 1995
	Mixed inoculum of <i>Glomus mosseae</i> and <i>Glomus monosporum</i>	3	nd	51	56	Al-Qarawi and Alshahrani, 2010
<i>Z. amphibia</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	79	74	Thioye et al. 2017

	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	46	67	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	44	30	Thioye et al. 2017
<i>Z. abyssinica</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	67	70	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	56	68	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	43	44	Thioye et al. 2017
<i>Z. sphaerocarpha</i>	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	67	78	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>R. intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	62	74	Thioye et al. 2017
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	64	69	Thioye et al. 2017

¹Fungal isolate IR27; ²Fungal isolate 89-30-14; ⁵Fungal isolate 92-07-21.

Six West African provenances (Tasset, Ségou, Atar, Dori, Sadoré and Sangalkam) of jujube seedlings showed the highest RMD values when inoculated with *R. irregularis* IR27 (Table 4) (Thioye et al. 2018). The RMD of these provenances of jujube plants with *R. irregularis* IR27 ranged from 63% to 74%, while the RMD with *R. irregularis* DAOM197198 and *F. mosseae* was far below around 50% to 68% and 34% to 56%, respectively (Thioye et al. 2018). The differences of RMD among the tested *Z. mauritiana* provenances could be due to differences in the development of hyphal length in the soil and in P uptake by the external hyphae (Thioye et al. 2018). Indeed, there were significant correlations between RMD and hyphal length ($r = 0.617$, $P < 0.0001$) and between RMD and mycorrhizal infection ($r = 0.831$, $P < 0.0001$) (Thioye et al. 2018). In contrast, five provenances (Bandia, Colomba, Darha, Gonsé and Léri) of jujube seedlings have a high and comparable mycorrhizal root colonization levels with *R. irregularis* IR27, whereas their RMD values were low ranging from 18% to 30% (Guissoou et al. 2016). This suggests that the level of AM root colonization remains a weak indicator of plant growth benefits (Cavender et al. 2006; Nunes et al. 2008) because it was not always consistent with the impact AM symbiosis has on plant growth yields.

Table 4. Relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD) on eleven *Z. mauritiana* provenances.

Provenances of <i>Ziziphus mauritiana</i>	AM fungal species	Growth period (month)	Soil P (ppm)	Colonization rate (%)	RMD (%)	Reference
Senegal (Tasset)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	76	54	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglossum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	64	50	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	57	47	Thioye et al. 2018

Senegal (Gola)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	80	64	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	69	40	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	65	46	Thioye et al. 2018
Senegal (Bandia)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	90	30	Guissou et al. 2016
Senegal (Colomba)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	91	30	Guissou et al. 2016
Senegal (Darha)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	91	27	Guissou et al. 2016
Mali (Ségou)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	65	61	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	55	39	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	55	36	Thioye et al. 2018
Mauritania (Atar)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	76	50	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	68	22	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	58	36	Thioye et al. 2018
Burkina Faso (Dori)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	68	68	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	70	57	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	55	42	Thioye et al. 2018
Burkina Faso (Gonsé)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	90	18	Guissou et al. 2016
Burkina Faso (Léri)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	6	2.2	91	32	Guissou et al. 2016
Niger (Sadoré)	<i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> ¹	4	2.1	55	49	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Rhizoglosum intraradices</i> ²	4	2.1	51	14	Thioye et al. 2018
	<i>Funeliformis mosseae</i> ⁵	4	2.1	44	39	Thioye et al. 2018

¹Fungal isolate IR27; ²Fungal isolate 89-30-14; ⁵Fungal isolate 92-07-21.

Overall, results make it possible to confirm the choice of *Z. mauritiana* used as a plant model among the ITF species tested in the study of interactions between ITFs and AM fungi involved in the phosphorus cycle. Furthermore, *R. irregularis* IR27 constitutes a promising biological tool for the production of higher quality nursery stock with expected improved performance of *Z. mauritiana* in terms of growth and fruit production within West African orchards. The AM fungus *R. irregularis* IR27, originally isolated and identified as *Glomus*

aggregatum (Bâ et al. 1996) in the rhizosphere soil of *Acacia holosericea* growing in semi-arid area of Burkina Faso (West Africa), is considered exotic since it was introduced into others West African countries (Thioye et al. 2021).

4. Rock phosphate fertilization of mycorrhizal jujube seedlings

Phosphorus deficiency in soils is one of the limiting factors for the establishment of tree plantations and agricultural crops within subtropics and tropics (Kobae, 2019; Hou et al. 2021; Jaitieng et al. 2021). The available P contents are low in most subtropical and tropical soils due to their high P retention capacity. Regarding the low mobility of available P in the soil, its absorption leads to the formation of depletion zones around the roots and rapidly limits its further uptake by plants (Jaitieng et al. 2021). To overcome this, organic matter sources, soluble P and rock phosphate (RP) fertilizers can be applied to increase the available phosphorus content of soils depleted of this element (Hou et al. 2021). However, the quantity of available organic matter recycled back to the soil is low, and soluble P fertilizers are too expensive for agriculture in developing countries (Nye and Kirk, 1987). Organic P could be mineralized by AM fungi thanks to cohort of P-solubilizing bacteria growing on the surface of hyphae (Jiang et al. 2021).

In West Africa where RP is a natural resource readily available, it is obviously very important to know under which conditions it may be profitable as an alternative source of P either to replace or complement the conventional sources (Jaitieng et al. 2021). Investigations with RP, originating from the semi-arid zone of West Africa, have mainly centered on their agronomic and forestry potential (Bâ et al. 1996; Guissou et al. 1998b). The application of RP originating from other regions (e.g. Morocco, Tunisia) is also well documented in these areas (Islam et al. 1980). However, the fertilizer effectiveness of RP depends on factors relating to RP itself (minerology, chemical reactivity and rate of application), soil factors (pH acid, phosphate and calcium status) and the mycorrhizal status of plants. RP solubilizes at pH acid and its reactivity depends on the percentage of P_2O_5 . Plants inoculated with AM fungi utilize more soluble P from RP than non-AM plants (Manjunath et al. 1989; Bâ et al. 1996; Bâ et al. 2001; Jaitieng et al. 2021). Furthermore, available P from soil and RP increased with the levels of RP doses (Guissou et al. 1998b). As consequence, AM jujube seedlings absorb P from soil and RP more efficiently than unfertilized AM jujube (Guissou et al. 1998b). The simplest explanation is that AM fungi develop an extramatrical mycelium, which increases

the volume of soil explored by roots and therefore the number of sites available for root P absorption, as proposed by Bolan (1991). The effectiveness of three RPs from West Africa depends on their P₂O₅ (%) content and can be ranked in ascending order as follows: Mali (Tilemsi phosphate with 15% total phosphorus), Burkina Faso (Kodjari phosphate with 12.5% total phosphorus), and Senegal (Taiba phosphate with 12.2% total phosphorus). These RPs were compared to triple superphosphate (TSP with 23% soluble phosphate), which served as the reference fertilizer (Table 5). It appeared that, in the absence of AM fungus, growth and P nutrition of jujube seedlings were low whatever the RP used. The jujube seedlings were therefore confirmed to be highly dependent on mycorrhizae for their P nutrition. Indeed, when they were mycorrhizal, jujube seedlings absorbed better the P provided by the different sources of P. These results agree with those of Bâ and Guissou (1996) that showed AM *Faidherbia albida* seedlings with *Glomus manihotis* or *R. irregularis* IR27 improved plant growth and increased P contents at any RP application rate. Similarly, Jaitieng et al. 2021 showed that RP fertilizer application at 400 g per plant promoted root colonization density, available P in soil, and total P in leaves of robusta coffee plants. According to Table 5, the results show that RP from Mali was the sole RP that increased the overall biomass of AM jujube when compared to AM jujube without P supply. However, when P levels were raised through TSP supply, both RMD and AM colonization exhibited a decrease. This implies that jujube seedlings used available P rather than forming mycorrhizal associations because they represent an energy cost for the plants. These results are consistent with those of Ayedemi et al. (2021) showed a negative correlation between AM growth response in soybean cultivars and soil P availability. Apart from a few exceptions depending on the interaction between plant and fungal genotypes, high P levels reduce AM fungal community and growth, root colonization and benefits in plant growth (Verbruggen et al. 2013; Peña Venegas et al. 2021).

Table 5. Effect of phosphate fertilization and fungal inoculation with *Rhizophagus irregularis* IR27 on growth and P nutrition of jujube seedlings in pot after 3 months in a greenhouse experiment. Relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD); rock phosphate (RP) at 250 kg phosphorus × ha⁻¹; triple superphosphate (TSP) at 25 kg phosphorus × ha⁻¹ (Bâ et al. 2001).

Status of jujube	Origin of P	Total biomass (g)	RMD (%)	P in leave and shoot (%)	Mycorrhizal colonization (%)
Uninoculated	Control	0.38 a	-	0.05 a	0.00 a
	RP from Mali	0.57 a	-	0.05 a	0.00 a
	RP from Senegal	0.29 a	-	0.05 a	0.00 a

Inoculated	RP from Burkina Faso	0.32 a	-	0.04 a	0.00 a
	TSP	1.80 d	-	0.20 e	0.00 a
	Control	1.50 bc	75 ab	0.14 b	78.47 bc
	RP from Mali	1.87 d	69 b	0.16 c	95.39 d
	RP from Senegal	1.30 bc	77 a	0.17 cd	66.00 b
	RP from Burkina Faso	1.25 b	74 ab	0.18 de	87.13 cd
	TSP	2.70 e	33 c	0.19 e	63.60 b

In each column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Newman and Keuls at the 5% level. Each value represents the mean over twelve plants.

The effectiveness of RP from Mali on growth of AM jujube justified its use at different levels compared with TSP fertilizer (Table 6). In AM jujube seedlings, the highest level of P (125 kg P × ha⁻¹) provoked the best plant growth, regardless of the origin of the applied phosphorus. Furthermore, AM fungal colonization was comparable regardless of the level of RP used, while it significantly decreased with increasing levels of TSP. Since environmental and economic cost of fertilizers, it may be recommended to fertilize AM jujube seedlings in nursery with RP from Mali rather than with TSP, although the latter fertilizer has also positive effects with or without inoculation (Bâ et al. 2001). Our results agree with those of Jaitieng et al. (2021) who found that RP application promoted growth and P nutrition of AM coffee plants.

Table 6. Effect of phosphate fertilization levels and fungal inoculation with *Rhizophagus irregularis* IR27 on growth and P nutrition of jujube seedlings in pot after 3 months in a greenhouse experiment. Relative mycorrhizal dependency (RMD); triple superphosphate (TSP); rock phosphate (RP) (Bâ et al. 2001).

Status of jujube	Origin of P	Level of P (kg Pxha ⁻¹)	Total biomass (g)	RMD (%)	P in leave and shoot (%)	Mycorrhizal colonization (%)
Uninoculated	Control	0	0.27 a	-	0.09 ab	0.00 e
		25	0.21 a	-	0.10 ab	0.00 e
		50	0.30 a	-	0.07 a	0.00 e
		75	0.28 a	-	0.06 a	0.00 e
		100	0.28 a	-	0.12 b	0.00 e
		125	0.30 a	-	0.11 ab	0.00 e
	TSP	25	1.86 bc	-	0.20 ef	0.00 e
		50	2.41 cde	-	0.18 c	0.00 e
		75	2.37 bcde	-	0.25 f	0.00 e
		100	3.49 fg	-	0.25 f	0.00 e
		125	2.87 ef	-	0.31 gh	0.00 e
Inoculated	Control	0	1.67 bc	84 a	0.24 ef	74.80 ab
		25	2.12 bcd	90 a	0.23 def	64.44 b
		50	2.44 cde	88 a	0.19 cde	67.98 ab
		75	2.11 bcd	87 a	0.19 cde	57.38 bc
		100	1.82 bc	85 a	0.23 def	86.29 a

TSP	125	3.06 efg	90 a	0.19 cde	73.64 ab
	25	2.88 ef	35 b	0.22 cdef	57.15 bc
	50	2.41 cde	-	0.26 f	76.46 ab
	75	2.65 de	10 b	0.25 f	46.02 c
	100	2.67 de	-	0.31 gf	48.82 c
	125	3.59 g	20 b	0.27 fg	30.74 d

In each column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to Newman and Keuls at the 5% level. Each value represents the mean over twelve plants. (-) no dependence

5. Pre-inoculation effect on root colonization and native AM fungal communities of jujube trees

5.1. Pre-inoculation effect on root colonization

Pre-inoculation of seedlings with AM fungal inoculants at early stage is a common practice in horticultural and silvicultural crops (Al-Karaki, 2006; Mummey et al. 2009; Klinsukon et al. 2021; Thioye et al. 2021). Beneficial interactions between a pre-inoculated mix of two exotic AM fungi *Glomus* sp. and *G. intraradices* and the native AM fungal community have been suggested for field trials with *Olea europaea* in arid and semi-arid degraded lands (Dag et al. 2009). The introduction of AM fungal inoculants at the nursery stage is especially beneficial as it provides the plants with an established and tailored AM fungal symbiosis before they are transplanted in the land reclamation (Ceballos et al. 2013; Hart et al. 2017). However, the establishment success of exotic AM fungi in part depends on their ability to outcompete the native AM fungal community, given AM fungi already established in the field may be competitively superior due to a potential priority effect compared with the introduced ones (Ceballos et al. 2013; Verbruggen et al. 2013). Indeed, the native AM community is often one of the crucial biotic soil factors limiting the success of the introduction of AM fungal inoculants (Mummey et al. 2009; Ceballos et al. 2013; Renaut et al. 2019; Epelde et al. 2020; Martignoni et al. 2020). Martignoni et al. (2020) propose a model with some criteria to assess the risks and benefits of the introduction of AM fungal inoculants and to determine their spreading speed in the field. The model predicts that the introduction of AM fungal inoculants has little or no effect on plant growth when in the presence of a well-established native fungal community in terms of root colonization and viable AM fungal propagules in the soil (Martignoni et al. 2020). Possible changes in native AM fungal communities, resulting from the potential invasion of inoculant strains, should be taken into account when assessing the risks and benefits of unintended consequences in the future (Schwartz et al. 2006). It is worth noting that pre-inoculation with exotic strains can lead to complete or partial changes in native AM fungal communities (Rodriguez and Sanders; Hart et al. 2017).

Some factors such as high levels of available P, culturing of non-host plants and low levels of organic matter, can also reduce the root colonization and viable AM fungal propagules belonging to the native AM fungal communities (Martignoni et al. 2020; Jiang et al. 2021). Furthermore, it is thought that field soil at a low level of native AM fungal propagules and soil available P, can facilitate the establishment of the exotic AM fungi (Verbruggen et al. 2013; Solomon et al. 2022). In this respect, we specifically tested whether two pre-inoculated cultivars (Gola from India and Tasset from Senegal) of jujube trees with the exotic *R. irregularis* IR27 and fertilized with RP can establish in two contrasted orchards located in arid (100-200 mm rainfall, 6 ppm P-Bray 1) and semi-arid (100-400 mm rainfall, 26 ppm P-Bray 1) areas of Senegal (Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2020; Thioye et al. 2021).

We showed number of infective propagules of *R. irregularis* IR27 applied in nursery was superior to those of both orchard soils ones (Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2021). This could indicate that large doses of inoculum used have been a requirement for successful establishment of the exotic *R. irregularis* (Thioye et al. 2021). It is established that high density of propagules of the exotic AM fungi may lead to increase their competitiveness among native AM fungi and a trade-off with their ability to colonize and promote plant productivity. By instance, a two year-long field monitoring previously demonstrated the link between increasing colonization rate and yield increases to *Medicago sativa* (Pellegrino et al. 2012). A meta-analysis based on inoculation surveys between 1998 and 2003 confirmed this relationship for 23 % of studied sites (Lekberg and Koide, 2005). However, the number of viable AM fungal propagules is a key ecological aspect to consider when introducing AM fungi into soils (Janousková et al. 2013). Furthermore, the levels of colonization of both jujube cultivars ranged from 64% to 70% before transplanting (Thioye et al. 2021), whereas the minimum level of root pre-colonization necessary for successful establishment of mycorrhizal jujube plants to the field is not known in our experiments. Al Karazi (2006) had considered a level of colonization rate of 13% with AM fungi was adequate for successful establishment of mycorrhizal tomatoes after transplanting. Similarly, Bierman and Linderman (1983) reported that low levels of colonization (<10%) in nursery spread rapidly to new roots after transplanting. Furthermore, the differences in terms of root colonization between pre-inoculated and non-pre-inoculated jujube seedlings in greenhouse remained 18 months after planting despite the competition between *R. irregularis* and native AM fungal isolates in the field (Thioye et al. 2021). Potential priority effect due to the pre-colonization of jujube roots with *R. irregularis* IR27 in the nursery and a higher competitiveness of this AM fungus

compared to native AM fungi may explain why there was a higher colonization of *R. irregularis* IR27 compared to the native AM fungal communities. However, tracking and quantification of *R. irregularis* populations by using *RPB1* gene in *Z. mauritiana* roots at 18 months after planting, showed this hypothesis was not consistent with the low persistence of *R. irregularis* IR27 estimated approximately at 15% of *R. irregularis* populations (Thioye et al. 2020). A second hypothesis suggests inoculant may interact positively with the native AM fungal community colonization as demonstrated by Alguacil et al. (2011) which showed beneficial interactions between a mix of two exotic AM fungal *Glomus* sp. and *G. intraradices* with the native AM fungal community on *Olea europaea* root colonization growing in field trials (Alguacil et al. 2011). Indeed, pre-inoculation of *O. europaea* with *Glomus* spp. greatly restricted numbers of other native AM fungal ribotypes able to subsequently colonize roots after exposure to *Glomeraceae*-dominated field soil. This suggests that phylogenetic relatedness and life history strategies may play a role in AM fungal community assembly as suggested by Mummey et al. (2009). A third hypothesis suggests within species of the *Rhizophagus* genus, AM fungal strains can anastomose, which could lead to both an under-estimation of *R. irregularis* IR27 and a gradual dilution of its effects (Rodriguez and Sanders, 2015). In this regard, there is a potential risk that introduction of *R. irregularis* IR27 can cause genetic exchange with native AM fungi and as consequence increase or decrease mutualistic quality (Colard et al. 2011; Hart et al. 2017).

5.2. Pre-inoculation effect on native AM fungal communities

There are three levels of ecological impact of introducing exotic AM fungi on already existing AM fungal assemblages: (i) alteration of composition and structure of native AM fungal population and/or community, (ii) exchange of genetic material with native AM fungal population and/or community, and (iii) persistence and/or spread of AM fungal inoculants, increasing consequently the first two impacts (Rodriguez and Sanders 2015). The controlled mycorrhization in nurseries has provided valuable insights into the impact of the exotic *R. irregularis* IR27 strain on the growth and nutrition of jujube trees (Thioye et al., 2017). However, the extent of disturbance caused by the pre-inoculation of jujube trees with the exotic fungus *R. irregularis* IR27 on the native AM fungal community varied across different forest sites (Thioye et al., 2019; Thioye et al., 2021). In both field experiments, the majority of OTUs belonged to the family of *Glomeraceae* in Gola and Tasset cultivars (Figure 1a) (Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2021). Overall, the dominance of *Glomeraceae* and its high frequency in the native AM fungal community of jujube trees in orchards (Thioye et al. 2019;

Thioye et al. 2021), confirms the worldwide trend described by Davison et al. (2015). As consequence, ruderal life strategy of Glomeraceae *i.e.*, early production of spores, high fungal growth rates, higher intraradical host colonization, is particularly adapted for early re-colonization of host plants in degraded environments as the ones encountered on the route of the GGW (Chagnon and Bainard, 2014; Thioye et al. 2019). Of the native AM fungal community associated with jujube trees, *Rhizophagus* constituted the most dominant genera in Glomeraceae (Figure 1b). This is consistent with several studies showed that *Rhizophagus* is one the most dominant genera in Glomeraceae in semiarid environments (Yamato et al. 2009; Alguacil et al. 2011; Torrecillas et al. 2012). At the species level, the impact of mycorrhizal inoculation observed on *Rhizophagus* was mainly due to a strong decrease of *Rhizophagus fascilitus* in Tasset cultivar and *R. manihotis* in Gola cultivar (Figure 1b). Overall, in the orchard located in semi-arid region, no significant impact of AM fungal inoculation or the type of cultivar was observed on AM fungal community richness and diversity (Table 7), whereas in orchard under arid region AM fungal richness had a significant impact by only inoculation treatment, leading to a negative effect on abundance of few AM fungal OTUs (not shown) (Thioye et al. 2019). This discrepancy in terms of impact of *R. irregularis* IR27 on AM fungal richness between both orchards may be due to less harsh conditions in the semi-arid compared to arid areas (Thioye et al. 2019). At present, there is no information whether there are environmental factors that influence a given assemblage in a given locality (Rodriguez and Sanders, 2015).

Figure 1. Taxonomic distribution of AM fungal (a) genera and (b) species associated with non-inoculated and inoculated jujube cultivars (Tasset and Gola) 18 months under field conditions (Thioye et al. 2021).

Table 7. Effect of jujube cultivar and mycorrhizal pre-inoculation on richness and diversity of the jujube root-associated AM fungal community 18 months under field conditions (Thioye et al. 2021).

Treatment	Number of OTUs (Richness)	Chao (Richness)	Shannon (Diversity)	InvSimpson (Diversity)
Gola	178 ± 15	255.6 ± 28	1.9 ± 0.3	4.2 ± 1.2
Gola + Ri	153 ± 17	235.7 ± 19	1.7 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 1.3

Tasset	160 ± 16	224.2 ± 26	1.9 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 1.9
Tasset + Ri	168 ± 12	229.6 ± 24	1.9 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 1.6
Factors				
Cultivar (C)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Inoculation (I)	ns	ns	ns	ns
C × I	ns	ns	ns	ns

The values represent means of each treatment using ANOVA test (n=10); Ri: *Rhizophagus irregularis*; ns: not significant.

6. Pre-inoculation effect on plant growth and fruit production

The lack of understanding on how AM fungal pre-inoculants interact directly or indirectly with native AM fungal communities makes it difficult to disentangle mechanisms behind the plant growth and fruit production of jujube trees in both orchards (Thioye et al. 2021). Given AM fungal inoculation is costly and time-consuming, only increases in crop yield and fungal persistence among native AM fungal community, may justify inoculation of jujube trees with the exotic *R. irregularis* IR27. Pre-inoculated seedlings of jujube with the exotic *R. irregularis* IR27 showed a significant growth and P nutrition increase *versus* non-pre-inoculated seedlings at the nursery stage (Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2021). These differences remained after 4, 8, 12 and 18 months after planting of both jujube cultivars in terms of growth, survival and fruit production in both orchards, suggesting that *R. irregularis* IR27 was an efficient and persistent AM fungus despite the presence of native AM fungal communities (Thioye et al. 2019; Thioye et al. 2021). Our results showed large differences between the both cultivars with or without the AM fungus (Figures 2 and 3). After two years plantation, Gola cultivar had produced lesser number of fruits (385 *versus* 258) than Tasset ones, whereas it was more productive in terms fresh weight (467 g *versus* 278 g) and diameter (3.5 cm *versus* 1.3 cm) of fruits, irrespective of AM inoculation. Moreover, pre-inoculated jujube trees with inoculant were superior to controls except to the number of fruits, whatever cultivar. At this end, pre-inoculated Gola and Tasset cultivars had produced similar number of fruits (556 *versus* 317), whereas pre-inoculated Gola more productive than Tasset in terms of fresh weight (1044 g *versus* 434 g) and diameter (3.6 cm *versus* 1.8 cm) of fruits (Thioye et al. 2021). However, the degree of responsiveness to pre-inoculation depended on jujube genotypes. Similarly, the obtained results on cassava demonstrate that maximizing the inoculation responsiveness is above all dependent on genotypes of this root vegetable (Peña Venegas et al. 2021). The increased fruit production may be due to the fact

orchard soil was found to be poor in terms of soil available P and AM fungal propagules, and pre-inoculation may be, in this context, an important factor contributing fruit production of jujube trees. Increase of fruit production of jujube trees could be due to the improving of absorption of nutrients thanks to AM inoculation. Similarly, pre-transplanting inoculation with *R. irregularis* contributed to improve significantly fruit production of melon and vine and to prevent the severity of the disease (Pia Aleandri et al. 2015). We don't know, however, whether AM fungal inoculation that results in improved of fruit production of jujube trees is due to a direct effect of the introduced *R. irregularis* on the plant or indirectly through a change in the local AMF community.

Application of *R. irregularis* is also currently a way of increasing cassava yields (Ceballos et al. 2013; Peña Venegas et al. 2021). Our results are also match with those of Köhl et al. (2016) who found that the exotic *R. irregulare* (named also *R. irregularis*) isolate BEG21 can improve growth of clover irrespective of initial soil P availability and AM fungal propagules abundance. Overall, *R. irregularis* was reported as a ubiquitous AM fungus occurred in a wide range of environment and considered as a good competitor (Badri et al. 2016; Köhl et al. 2016; Hijri, 2016; Renaut et al. 2019; Pellegrino et al. 2020). Some other exotic AM fungi can also play similar role. For instance, Pellegrino et al. (2012) showed that field inoculation with two exotic *Funneliformis mosseae* isolates IMAI and AZ225C increased biomass production of *Medicago sativa*. Some factors (*i.e.* pruning, fertilization, irrigation) can also increase fruit production irrespective with AM fungal status of jujube trees. At this end, Ouédraogo et al. (2006) reported that jujube trees responded positively to irrigation and fertilization with rock phosphate in P-deficient soil by displaying the highest fruit production with Gola cultivar compared to the local cultivar. Similarly, a combination of pruning or irrigation with foliar sprays of potassium increased significantly growth and fruit production of *Z. mauritiana* (Pengrui et al. 2021; Saritha et al. 2021). In any case, increasing of fruit production due to AM fungal inoculation were rarely observed on fruit trees, whereas they were widely occurred on vegetables such as cassava (Sery et al. 2016; De Bauw et al. 2021; Peña Venegas et al. 2021), sweet pepper (Nurzynska-Wierdak et al. 2021), cucumber (Ortas, 2010) and tomato (Al-Karaki, 2006; Huang et al. 2013; Hijri, 2016) as well as fruit berries like strawberry (Bona et al. 2015) and raspberry (Chen et al. 2022).




Figure 2. Effect of inoculation with *Rhizophagus irregularis* IR27 on growth parameters (height, collar and crown diameter) of *Z. mauritiana* cultivars 18 months under field conditions. The boxplot with p-values represent means of each treatment using Kruskal-Wallis test (n=10); significant levels: $P < 0.05$. (Thioye et al. 2021).




Figure 3. Effect of inoculation with *Rhizophagus irregularis* IR27 on fruit parameters (number, diameter and fresh of fruits) and mycorrhizal colonization of *Z. mauritiana* cultivars 18 months after transplanting. The boxplot with p-values represent means of each treatment using Kruskal-Wallis test (n=10); significant levels: $P < 0.05$ (Thioye et al. 2021).

7. Conclusion and future directions

To reap the benefits of mycorrhization, it is suggested that the consideration of RMD categories should be the first level of screening for ITF to determine whether they derive or not benefits from AM symbiosis and to know how to manage it in agroforestry systems accordingly. Our data indicate that *Z. mauritiana* cultivars and provenances differ in their response to AM fungal inoculation during the first phase of growth and that AM fungi also improve growth, nutrient content and fruit production of jujube trees. The obtained results demonstrate that maximizing the inoculation responsiveness of *Z. mauritiana* is dependent on provenances and cultivars and fungal genotypes. The current results also highlight the major benefits of mycorrhizal pre-inoculation on jujube trees, extending beyond the evaluation of fruit production, which is a goal that is rarely achieved. The substantial increase in fruit

production has the potential to significantly improve income for farmers, particularly during the critical period of food insecure. Overall, results make it possible to confirm the choice of *Z. mauritiana* used as a plant model among the ITF species tested in the study of interactions between ITFs and AM fungi involved in agroforestry systems. They provide also important insights to develop and improve the ecological management of jujube orchards, strengthening the importance of using *R. irregularis* IR27 as a sustainable inoculant for increased food security. At this end, *R. irregularis* IR27 constitutes a promising biological tool for the production of higher quality nursery stock with expected improved performance of *Z. mauritiana* in terms of growth and fruit production within agroforestry systems. Given the environmental and economic costs linked to the utilization of soluble fertilizers, it is recommended to contemplate fertilizing AM jujube seedlings in the nursery with RP from Mali instead of TSP. Nonetheless, it is worth noting that TSP also exhibits beneficial effects on plant growth, both with and without inoculation, particularly in phosphorus-deficient soils. Furthermore, since AM inoculation is also costly, only increases in crop yield and fungal persistence among native AM fungal community, could justify inoculation of jujube trees with the exotic *R. irregularis* IR27. The significant increase of AM colonization of both inoculated jujube cultivars compared to controls suggests that the inoculant can stimulate AM fungal colonization by native AM fungi without change *a priori* the native AM fungal community. If invasive, the inoculant may pose a threat to native microbiota community and plant biodiversity. Of the mechanisms, the possible effects of genetic exchange between inoculant and native strain constitute a major challenge rarely investigated and remain a research priority on mutualistic quality. Understanding how inoculants interact and coexist with the native microbiota community and whether this interaction directly leads to changes in plant productivity remains a challenge in promoting the use of inoculants in agroforestry systems, especially in arid and semi-arid areas where sustainable plant productivity is crucial. When native strains demonstrate a comparable effect on plant growth to exotic strains, it is advisable to prioritize their use. Additionally, further efforts should be made to domesticate important IFTs that exhibit high mycorrhizal dependence (such as *T. indica*), moderate dependence (such as *D. guineensis*, *P. biglobosa*, and *C. pinnata*), or marginal dependence (such as *A. occidentale*, *A. senegalensis*, *S. birrea*, and *A. digitata*).

Acknowledgements

We dedicate this manuscript to the memory of our dear friend and colleague Dr. Tiby Guissou.

References

Abdel-Sattar, M., Almutairi, K.F., Al-Saif, A.M., Ahmed, K.A., 2021. Fruit properties during the harvest period of eleven Indian jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cultivars. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 28, 3424-3432. doi:10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.03.006

Abdoul-Azize, S., 2016. Potential benefits of jujube (*Zizyphus Lotus* L.) bioactive compounds for nutrition and health. Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism 2016, D 2867470. doi :10.1155/2016/2867470

Alguacil, M.M., Torrecillas, E., Kohler, J., Roldan, A., 2011. A molecular approach to ascertain the success of “*in situ*” AM fungi inoculation in the revegetation of a semiarid, degraded land. Science of the Total Environment 409, 2874-2880. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.04.029>

Adeyemi, N.O., Atayese, M.O., Sakariyawoa, O.S., Azeezb, J.O., 2021. Mycorrhizal growth and phosphorus responses of tropical soybean cultivars (*Glycine max* L.) differ between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates and phosphorus application rates in a savanna area derived from Nigeria. Journal of Plant Nutrition 45, 1223-1239. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2021.1994593

Ahmed, F. A.M., Sati, N.M.E. (2018). Chemotaxonomic study and botanical overview of some *Ziziphus* spp. in Sudan. International Research Journal Biological Sciences 7(5), 32-39.

Akinnifesi, F.K., Leakey, R.R.B., Alayi, O. C., Slieshl, G., Tchoundjeu, Z., Matakala, P., Kweslga, F.R. 2008. Indigenous fruit trees in the tropics: Domestication, utilization and commercialization. CAB International Publish., Wallingford, UK, 429 p.

Al-Karaki, G.N., 2006. Nursery inoculation of tomato with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequent performance under irrigation with saline water. Scientia Horticulturae 109, 1-7. doi : 10.1016/j.scienta.2006.02.019

Al-Qarawi, A.A., Alshahrani, T.S., 2010. Growth response of two species of *Zizyphus* to inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture Sciences 21, 109-122. doi: 10.4197/met.21-1.8

Assogbadjo, A.C., Kyndt, T., Sinsin, B., Gheyse, N.G., Van Damme, P., 2006. Patterns of genetic and morphometric diversity in baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) populations across different climatic zones of Benin (West Africa). Annals of Botany 97: 819-830. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcl043

Awodoyin, R.O., Olubode, O.S., Ogbu, J.U., Balogun, R.B., Nwawuisi, J.U., Orji K.O., 2015. Indigenous fruit trees of tropical Africa: status, opportunity for development and biodiversity management. Agricultural Sciences 6, 31-41. doi :10.4236/as.2015.61004

Bâ, AM., Plenchette, C., Danthu, P., Duponnois, R., Guissou, T., 2000. Functional compatibility of two arbuscular mycorrhizae with thirteen fruit trees in Senegal. Agroforestry Systems 50, 95-105. doi:10.1023/A:1006482904452

- Bâ, A.M., Guissou, T., Duponnois, R., Plenchette, C., Sacko, O., Sidibé, D., Sylla, K., Windou, B. 2001. Mycorrhization contrôlée et fertilisation phosphatée : applications à la domestication du jujubier. *Fruits* 56 (4), 261-269. doi: 10.17660/th2017/72.3.7
- Bâ, A.M., Danthu, P., Duponnois, R., Soleviev, P., 2003. Domestication of jujube fruit trees (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam.). In: Dris, R., Niskanen, R., Jain, S.M. (Eds.), *Crop Management and Postharvest Handling of Horticultural Crops*, vol. 3, Chap. 9, Crop Fertilization, Nutrition and Growth. Science Publishers, Inc., pp. 255–279.
- Bâ, A.M., Duponnois, R., Guissou, T., Sanon, K.B., Dianou, D., 1997. Mobilization of rock phosphates by soil microorganisms associated with *Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam. and *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. In: Renard, G., Neef, A., Becker, K., von Oppen, M. (Eds), *Soil Fertility Management in West African Land Use Systems*, pp. 79–84.
- Bâ, A.M., Guissou, T., 1996. Rock phosphate and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza effects on growth and nutrient uptake of *Faidherbia albida* (Del.) seedlings in an alkaline sandy soil. *Agroforestry Systems*. 34, 129-137. doi : 10.1007/BF00148157
- Bâ, A.M., Dalpé, Y., Guissou, T., 1996. Les Glomales d'*Acacia holosericea* A. Cunn. ex G. Don. et d'*Acacia mangium* Willd.: Diversité et abondance relative des champignons mycorhiziens à arbuscules dans deux types de sols de la zone Nord et Sud Soudanienne du Burkina Faso. *Bois et Forêts des Tropiques* 250, 5-18.
- Badri, A., Stefani, F.O.P., Lachance, G., Roy-Arcand, L., Beaudet, D., Vialle, A., M. Hijri, 2016. Molecular diagnostic toolkit for *Rhizophagus irregularis* isolate DAOM-197198 using quantitative PCR assay targeting the mitochondrial genome. *Mycorrhiza* 26, 721-733. doi:10.1007/s00572-016-0708-1
- Bayala, J., Kalinganire, A., Tchoundjeu, Z., Sinclair, F., Garrity, D., 2011. Conservation agriculture with trees in the West African Sahel- a review. ICRAF Occasional Paper No. 14. Nairobi: World Agroforestry Centre.
- Baylis, G.T.S., 1970. Root hairs and phycomycetous mycorrhizas in phosphorus-deficient soil. *Plant Soil* 33, 713-716. doi :10.1007/BF01378261
- Besong, E.E., Balogun, M.E., Djobissie, S.F.A., Obu, D.C., Obimma J.N., 2016. Medicinal and economic value of *Dialium guineense*. *African Journal Biomedical Research* 19, 163-170. doi:10.4314/AJBR.V19I3
- Bierman, B.J., Linderman, R.G., 1983. Increased geranium growth using pretransplant inoculation with a mycorrhizal fungus. *Journal of American Society for Horticultural Sciences* 108, 972–976.
- Bona, E., Lingua, G., Manassero, P., Cantamessa, S., Marsano, F., Todeschini, V., Copetta, A., d'Agostino, G., Massa, N., Avidano, L., Gamalero, E., Berta, G., 2015. AM fungi and PGP pseudomonads increase flowering, fruit production, and vitamin content in strawberry grown at low nitrogen and phosphorus levels. *Mycorrhiza* 25:181–193. doi: 10.1007/s00572-014-0599-y
- Bolan, N.S., 1991. A critical review on the role of mycorrhizal fungi in the uptake of

phosphorus by plants. *Plant and Soil* 134, 189-207. doi:10.1007/BF00012037

Buba, T., Muhammad, Y.S., 2020. Combine effects of soil nutrient levels and mycorrhiza inoculums from soils under *Parkia biglobosa* and *Tamarindus indica* on chlorophyll content of some cereal and legume crops. *Scientific African* 8, e00369. doi: 10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00369

Bullaín Galardis, M.M., López Sánchez, R.C., Fall, F., Eichler-Löbermann, B., Pruneau, L., Bâ, A.M., 2022. Growth and physiological responses of ectomycorrhizal *Coccoloba uvifera* (L.) L. seedlings to salt stress. *Journal of Arid Environment* 196, 104650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2021.104650>

Cahen, D., Rickenback, J., Utteridge, T.M.A., 2021. A revision of *Ziziphus* (*Rhamnaceae*) in Borneo. *Kew bulletin* 76, 767-804. doi: 10.1007/S12225-021-09970-3.

Cavender, N., Knee, M., 2006. Relationship of seed source and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculum type to growth and colonization of big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*). *Plant and Soil* 285, 57-65. doi: 10.1007/s11104-005-5228-2

Ceballos, I., Rui, M., Fernandez, C., Pena, R., Rodriguez, A. Sanders, I.R., 2013. The *in vitro* mass-produced model mycorrhizal fungus, *Rhizophagus irregularis*, significantly increases yields of the globally important food security crop cassava. *PLoS ONE* 8(8), e70633. doi :10.1371/journal.pone.0070633

Cemansky, R., 2015. Africa's indigenous fruit trees: A blessing in decline. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 123 (12), 292-296. doi: 10.1289/ehp.123-A291

Chagnon, P. L., Bainard L. D., 2014. Is root DNA a reliable proxy to assess arbuscular mycorrhizal community structure? *Canadian Journal Microbiology* 60(9), 619-24. doi: 10.1139/cjm-2014-0235

Chen, K., Kleijn, D., Scheper, J., Fijen, T. M.P., 2022. Additive and synergistic effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, insect pollination and nutrient availability in a perennial fruit crop. *Agriculture Ecosystem & Environment* 325, 107742. doi: 10.1101/2021.03.11.434774

Chiou, C-Y., Shih, H-C., Tsai, C-C., Jin, X-L., Ko, Y-Z., Mantiquilla, J.A., Weng, I.S., Chiang, Y-C., 2020. The genetic relationships of Indian jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.) cultivars using SSR markers. *Heliyon* 6, e05078. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05078

Chládová, A., Kalousová, M., Mandák, B., Kehlenbeck, K., Prinz, K., Šmíd, J., Van Damme, P., Lojka, B., 2019. Genetic diversity and structure of baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) in southeastern Kenya. *Royal Society Open Science* 6, 190854. doi: 10.1098/rsos.190854

Colard, A., Angelard, C., Sanders, I.R. 2011. Genetic exchange in an arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus results in increased rice growth and altered mycorrhiza-specific gene transcription. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 77(18), 6510–6515. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05696-11

790 Cissé, M., Sarr, P., 2010. La mise en œuvre de la composante nationale Grande Muraille Verte
791 du Sénégal : approches, réalisation et impact. Dans : Le Projet de la Grande Muraille Verte,
792 Concepts et mise en œuvre (Eds. A. Dia & R. Duponnois), pp. 319-328.
793

794 Danthu P, Soloviev P, Touré MA, Gaye A (2002) Propagation végétative d'une variété
795 améliorée de jujubier (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.) introduite au Sénégal. Bois et Forêt des
796 Tropiques 272: 93–96.

797 Danthu, P., Touré, M. A., Soloviev, P., Sagna, P., 2004. Vegetative propagation of *Ziziphus*
798 *mauritiana* var. Gola by micrografting and its potential for dissemination in the Sahelian
799 zone. Agroforestry Systems 60, 247–253. doi :10.1023/B:AGFO.0000024415.22907.bc

800 De Bauw, P., Birindwa, D., Merckx, R., Boeraeve, M., Munyahali, W., Peeters, G., Bolaji, T.,
801 Honnay, O., 2021. Improved genotypes and fertilizers, not fallow duration, increase cassava
802 yields without compromising arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus richness or diversity.
803 Mycorrhiza 31, 483–496. doi :10.1007/s00572-021-01039-0
804

805 Devanshi, A.K.S., Sharma, P., Singh, R., Singh, B., Koundal K.R., Singh, N.K, 2007.
806 Assessment of genetic diversity in *Ziziphus mauritiana* using inter-simple sequence repeat
807 markers. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology 16(1): 35-40. doi:
808 [10.1007/BF03321926](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03321926)
809

810 Diallo, A., Sall, R., Sambou, A., Dasylyva, M., Sagna M.B., Ka, A., Guissé, A. 2022. Value
811 chain analysis of *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. and *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. fruits in the
812 Ferlo (Senegal). International Symposium of LabEx DRIIHM, Nantes,
813 France.10.34972/driihm-5db802hal-03716553
814

815 Dieng, S.D., Diop, M., Goudiaby, A., Niang-Diop, F., Faye, L. C., Guiro, I., Sambou, S.,
816 Lykke, A.M., Sambou, B., 2016. Caractérisation des services écosystémiques fournis par
817 *Cordyla pinnata* dans la périphérie de la Forêt classée de Patako au Sénégal. VertigO 16(2).
818 doi : 10.4000/vertigo.17634

819 Duponnois, R., Plenchette, C., Bâ, A.M., 2001. Growth stimulation of seventeen fallow
820 leguminous plants inoculated with *Glomus aggregatum* in Senegal. European Journal of Soil
821 Biology 37, 181-186. doi:10.1016/S1164-5563(01)01077-9

822 Davison, J., Moora, M., Öpik, M., Adholeya, A., Ainsaar, L., Bâ, A.M., Burla, S., Diédhiou,
823 A. G., Hiiesalu, I., Jairus, T., Johnson, N. C., Kane, A., Koorem, K., Kochar, M., Ndiaye, C.,
824 Pärtel, M., Reier, Ü., Saks, Ü., Singh, R., Vasar, M., Zobel, M., 2015. Global assessment of
825 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus diversity reveals very low endemism. Science 349, 970-973.
826 doi: 10.1126/science.aab1161
827

828 Dawson, I.K., Leakey, R.R.B., Place, F., Clement, C.R., Weber, J.C., Cornelius, J.P.,
829 Roshetko, J.M., Tchoundjeu, Z., Kalinganire, A., Masters, E., Orwa, C., McMullin, S., Kindt,
830 R., Graudal, L., Jamnadass, R., 2020. Trees, tree genetic resources and the livelihoods of
831 rural communities in the tropics. State of the World's Forest Genetic Resources-Thematic
832 study. Rome, FAO. doi: 10.4060/cb2488en.
833

- Epelde, L., Urrea, J., Anza, M., Gamboa, J., Garbisu, C., 2020) Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increases lettuce yield without altering natural soil communities. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Sciences* 68, 413-430. doi :10.1080/03650340.2020.1839056
- Guissé, A., Boëtsch, G., Ducourneau, A., Goffner, D., Guèye, L., 2013. L'observatoire hommes-milieus international Tessékéré (OHMi) : un outil de recherche pour étudier la complexité des écosystèmes arides du Sahel The international man-media Tessékéré (OHMi) Observatory: A research tool to study the complexity of arid ecosystems in Sahel. *Comptes Rendus Biologie* 336 (5-6), 273-277. doi :10.1016/j.crv.2013.04.007
- Guissou, T., Bâ, A.M., Ouadba, J.M., Guinko, S., Duponnois, R., 1998a. Responses of *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) Benth., *Tamarindus indica* L. and *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a phosphorus-deficient sandy soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 26, 194–198. doi : 10.1007/s003740050367
- Guissou, T., Bâ, A.M., Guinko, S., Duponnois, R., Plenchette, C. 1998b. Influence des phosphates naturels et des mycorhizes à vésicules et à arbuscules sur la croissance et la nutrition minérale de *Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam. dans un sol à pH alcalin. *Annals of Science Forest* 55, 925-931. doi : 10.1051/forest:19980805
- Guissou, T., Bâ, A.M., Plenchette, C., Guinko, S., Duponnois, R., 2001. Effets des mycorhizes à arbuscules sur la tolérance à un stress hydrique chez quatre arbres fruitiers *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del., *Parkia biglobosa* (Jacq.) Benth., *Tamarindus indica* L. et *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. *Sécheresse* 12, 121-127.
- Guissou, T., 2009. Contribution of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to growth and nutrient uptake by jujube and tamarind seedlings in a phosphate-deficient soil. *African Journal of Microbiology Research* 3 (5), 97-304.
- Guissou, T., Sanon, K.B., Babana, A., Bâ, A.M., 2016. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizae on growth and mineral nutrition of greenhouse propagated fruit trees from diverse geographic provenances. *Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society and Environment* 20, 417–426. doi: 10.25518/1780-4507.13149
- Habte, M., Manajunath, A., 1991. Categories of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal dependency of host species. *Mycorrhiza* 1: 3–12. doi.10.2307/176711
- Hou, L., Zhang, X., Feng, G., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., Cao, N., 2021. Arbuscular mycorrhizal enhancement of phosphorus uptake and yields of maize under high planting density in the black soil region of China. *Scientific Reports* 11, 1100. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-80074-x>
- Haro, H., Semdé, K., Bahadio, K., Sanon, K.B., 2021. Improved *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Rich.) Hochst. growth by mycorrhizal inoculation. *African Journal of Biological Sciences* 3(1), 104-109. doi:10.33472/AFJBS.3.1.2021.104-109
- Hart, M.M., Antunes, P.M., Chaudhary, V.B., Abbott, L.K., 2017. Fungal inoculants in the field: is the reward greater than the risk? *Functional Ecology* 32, 126–135. doi :10.1111/1365-2435.12976

881 Hijri, M., 2016. Analysis of a large dataset of mycorrhiza inoculation field trials on potato
882 shows highly significant increases in yield. *Mycorrhiza* 26, 209-214. doi : 10.1007/s00572-
883 015-0661-4

884 Houndonougbo, J.S.H., Kassa, B., Mensah, S., Salako, V.K., Kakai, R.G., Assogbadjo, A.E.,
885 2020. A global systematic review on conservation and domestication of *Parkia biglobosa*
886 (Jacq.) R. Br. ex G. Don, an indigenous fruit tree species in Sub-Sahara African traditional
887 parklands: current knowledge and future directions. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution*
888 67, 1051-1066. doi: 10.1007/s10722-020-00892-w

889 Hoeksema, J.D., Chaudhary, V.B., Gehring, C.A., Johnson, N.C., Karst, J., Koide, R.T.,
890 Pringle, A., Zabinski, C., Bever, J.D., Moore, J.C., Wilson, G.W.T., Klironomos, J.N.,
891 Umbanhowar, J., 2010. A meta-analysis of context-dependency in plant response to
892 inoculation with mycorrhizal fungi. *Ecology Letters* 13(3), 394-407. doi:10.1111/j.1461-
893 0248.2009.01430.x

894
895 Huang, J.C., Lai, W.A., Singh, S., Hameed, A., Young, C.C., 2013. Response of mycorrhizal
896 hybrid tomato cultivars under saline stress. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 13(2),
897 469-484. doi.org/10.4067/S0718-95162013005000037.

898
899 Islam, R., Ayanaba, A., Sanders F.E., 1980. Response of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) to
900 inoculation with VA-mycorrhizal fungi and to rock phosphate fertilization in some
901 unsterilized nigerian soils. *Plant and Soil* 54, 107-117. doi:10.1007/BF02182003

902
903 Jaitieng, S., Sinma, K., Rungcharoenthong, P., Amkha, S., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhiza
904 fungi applications and rock phosphate fertilizers enhance available phosphorus in soil and
905 promote plant immunity in robusta coffee. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 67(1), 97-101.
906 doi :10.1080/00380768.2020.1848343

907
908 Jiang, S., An, X., Shao, Y., Kang, Y., Chen, T., Mei, X., Dong, C., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., 2021.
909 Responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi occurrence to organic fertilizer: A meta-analysis
910 of field studies. *Plant and Soil* 469, 89-105. doi:10.1007/s11104-021-05153-y.

911
912 Kobae, Y., 2019. Dynamic phosphate uptake in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots under field
913 conditions. *Frontiers in Environmental Science* 6, 159. doi :10.3389/fenvs.2018.00159

914
915 Köhl, L., Lukasiewicz, C.E., and van der Heijden, M.G.A., 2016. Establishment and
916 effectiveness of inoculated arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agricultural soils. *Plant Cell*
917 *Environment* 39, 136–146. doi: 10.1111/pce.12600

918
919 Khan, H., Sivalingam, P.N., Chauhan, S., Awasthi, O.P., More, T.A., 2013. Improved crossing
920 technique and identification of true F1 hybrids of *Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam. by molecular
921 markers. *Scientia Horticulturae* 150, 164-171. doi:10.1016/j.scienta.2012.11.005

922
923 Klinsukon, C., Lumyong, S., Kuyper, T.W. Boonlue, S., 2021. Colonization by arbuscular
924 mycorrhizal fungi improves salinity tolerance of eucalyptus (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*)
925 seedlings. *Scientific Reports* 11(1), 4362. doi :10.1038/s41598-021-84002-5

926
927 Koné, B., Kalinganire, A., Doumbia, M., 2009. La culture du jujubier : un manuel pour
l'horticulteur sahélien. World Agroforestry Centre, 39 p.

- Leakey R. R. B., and Akinnifesi, F.K., 2017. Towards a domestication strategy for indigenous fruit trees in the tropics. In: Akinnifesi, F.K., Leakey, R.R.B., Ajayi, O.C., Sileshi, G., Tchoundjeu, Z., Matakala, P., Kwesiga, F. (Eds.) *Indigenous Fruit Trees in the Tropics: Domestication, Utilization and Commercialization*. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 28-49.
- Lekberg, Y., Koide, R.T., 2005. Is plant performance limited by abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? A meta-analysis of studies published between 1988 and 2003. *New Phytologist* 168 (1), 189-204. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01490.x.
- Liang, T., Sun, W., Ren, H., Ahmad, I., Vu, N., Maryam, Huan J., 2019. Genetic diversity of *Ziziphus mauritiana* germplasm based on SSR markers and ploidy level estimation. *Planta* 249: 1875-1887. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-019-03133-2>.
- Manjunath, A., Huc, N.V., Habte, M., 1989. Response of *Leucaena leucocephala* to vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and rock phosphate fertilization in an oxisol. *Plant and Soil* 114, 127-133.
- Martignoni, M.M., Garnier, J., Hart, M.M., Tyson, R.C., 2020. Investigating the impact of the mycorrhizal inoculum on the resident fungal community and on plant growth. *Ecology Modelling* 438, 109321. doi :10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2020.109321
- Mathur, N., Vyas, A., 1995. *In vitro* production of *Glomus deserticola* in association with *Ziziphus nummularia*. *Plant Cell Reports* 14, 735-737. doi: 10.1007/BF00232658
- Mireku, E.A., Mensah, A.Y., Mensah, M.L.K., Amponsah, I.K., Mintah, D.N., 2017. Phytochemical constituents and anti-oxidative properties of *Landolphia heudelotti* roots. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research* 8(7), 2862-2866. doi: 10.3390/plants10010117
- Mokgolodi NC, Hu Y, Shi L-L, Liu Y (2011) *Ziziphus mucronata*: An underutilized traditional medicinal plant in Africa. *For Stud China* 13(3), 163-172. doi : 10.1007/s11632-011-0309-1
- Mneney, E., Mantell, S., Bennett, M., 2001. Use of random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers to reveal genetic diversity within and between populations of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.). *Journal of Horticultural Science* 76 (4), 375-383. doi:10.1080/14620316.2001.11511380
- Mokgolodi, N.C., Hu, Y., Shi L-L., Liu, Y., 2011. *Ziziphus mucronata*: An underutilized traditional medicinal plant in Africa. *Forestry Studies China* 13(3), 163-172. doi : 10.1007/s11632-011-0309-1
- Mummey, D.L., Antunes, P.M., Rillig, M.C., 2009. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi pre-inoculant identity determines community composition in roots. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 41, 1173-1179. doi :10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.02.027

974 Munthali, C.R.Y., Chirwa, P.W., Changadeya, W.J., Akinnifesi, F.K., 2013. Genetic
975 differentiation and diversity of *Adansonia digitata* L (baobab) in Malawi using microsatellite
976 markers. *Agroforestry Systems* 87, 117–130. doi: 10.1007/s10457-012-9528-2

977 Muok, B.O., Ishii, T. 2006. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on tree growth and
978 nutrient uptake of *Sclerocarya birrea* under water stress, salt stress and flooding. *Journal of*
979 *the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science* 75 (1), 26-31. doi.org/10.2503/jjshs.75.26
980

981 Muok, B.O., Matsumura, A., Ishii, T., Odee, D.W., 2009. The effect of intercropping
982 *Sclerocarya birrea* (A. Rich.) Hochst., millet and corn in the presence of arbuscular
983 mycorrhizal fungi. *African Journal of Biotechnology* 8 (5), 807-812.
984

985 Nafan, D., Jesus, F.I., Souleymane, S., Lenifere, S.C., Emmanuel, I.A., Abdourahamane, S.,
986 2013. Genetic variation of *Saba senegalensis* pichon (*Apocynaceae*) and few nutritional
987 values. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Allied Fields* 1:121-
988 135.doi:10.5897/AJFS2020.1992
989

990 Nurzynska-Wierdak, R., Buczkowska, H., and Sałata, A. (2021). Do AMF and irrigation
991 regimes affect sweet pepper fruit quality under open field conditions? *Agronomy* 11, 2349.
992 doi :10.3390/agronomy11112349

993 Nye, P.H., Kirk, G.J.D., 1987. The mechanism of rock phosphate solubilization in the
994 rhizosphere. *Plant and Soil* 100,127-134

995 Ortas, I., 2010. Effect of mycorrhiza application on plant growth and nutrient uptake in
996 cucumber production under field conditions. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* 8(S1),
997 S116-S122. doi:10.5424/sjar/201008S1-1230

998 Ortas, I., 2018. Role of mycorrhizae on mineral nutrition of fruit trees. *Acta Hortic.* 1217.
999 ISHS 2018. Proc. VIII International Symposium on Mineral Nutrition of Fruit Crops.:
1000 Mimmo T., Pii Y. and Scandellari F. (Eds). doi:10.17660/ActaHortic.2018.1217.34
1001

1002 Olayiwola, V.A., Abiodun, F.O., 2019. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (*Glomus*
1003 *mossae*) and compost on early growth performance of *Parkia biglobosa*. *Current Journal of*
1004 *Applied Science and Technology* 37(4): 1-8. doi: 10.9734/cjast/2019/v37i430293
1005

1006 Ouédraogo, S.J., Bayala, J., Dembélé, C., Kaboré, A., Kaya, B., Niang, A., Somé, A.N.,
1007 2006. Establishing jujube trees in sub-Saharan Africa: response of introduced and local
1008 cultivars to rock phosphate and water supply in Burkina Faso, West Africa. *Agroforestry*
1009 *Systems* 68, 69–80. doi :10.1007/s10457-006-6843-
1010

1011 Owolarafe, T.A., Salawu, K., Ihegboro, G.O., Ononamadu, C.J., Alhassan, A.J., Wudil, A.M.,
1012 2020. Investigation of cytotoxicity potential of different extracts of *Ziziphus mauritiana*
1013 (Lam) leaf *Allium cepa* model. *Toxicology Reports* 7: 816–821.
1014

1015 Paudel, M.R., Poudeyal, M.R., Devkota, H.P., 2023. *Ziziphus* spp. (*Ziziphus jujuba* and *Z.*
1016 *mauritiana*). *Himalayan fruits and berries, bioactive compounds, uses and nutraceutical*
1017 *potential*, Academic press, chapter 45, pp. 491-496. [https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85591-4.00013-1)
1018 [85591-4.00013-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-85591-4.00013-1)
1019

- Peña Venegas, R.A., Lee, S.-J., Thuita, M., Mlay, D.P., Masso, C., Vanlauwe, B., Rodriguez, A., Sanders, I.R., 2021. The phosphate inhibition paradigm: host and fungal genotypes determine arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization and responsiveness to inoculation in cassava with increasing phosphorus supply. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 12, 693037. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.693037.
- Pellegrino, E., Piazza, G., Arduini, I., Ercoli, L., 2020. Field inoculation of bread wheat with *Rhizophagus irregularis* under organic farming: variability in growth response and nutritional uptake of eleven old genotypes and a modern variety. *Agronomy* 10 (3), 333. doi :10.3390/agronomy10030333
- Ai, P., Ma Y., Hai, Y., 2021. Effects of irrigation and potassium fertilizer applications on jujube production. *American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers* 37(4), 701-711. doi: 10.13031/aea.14576.
- Aleandri, M.P., Martignoni, D., Reda, R., Chilosi, G., 2015. Effects of preconditioning through mycorrhizal inoculation on the control of melon root rot and vine decline caused by *Monosporascus cannonballus*. *Journal of Phytopathology* 163, 898-907. doi :10.1111/jph.12389
- Plenchette, C., Fortin, J.A., Furlan, V., 1983. Growth responses of several plant species to mycorrhizae in a soil of moderate P-fertility. I. Mycorrhizal dependency under field conditions. *Plant and Soil* 70, 199-209. doi :10.1007/BF02374780
- Projet ligneux fruitiers (Sénégal) in : ISRA/CRDI (Éd.), Rapport final d'exécution scientifique et technique (1991-1997), Direction des Productions forestières (DRPF), ISRA/CRDI, Sénégal, 1997, 83 p.
- Ræbild, A., Larsen, A.S., Jensen, J.S., Ouedraogo, M., De Groote, S., Van Damme, P., Bayala, J., Diallo, B.O., Sanou, H., Kalinganire, A., Kjaer, E.D., 2011. Advances in domestication of indigenous fruit trees in the West African Sahel. *New Forests* 41, 297-315. doi :10.1007/s11056-010-9237-5
- Rashwan, A.K., Karim, N., Shishir, M.R.I., Bao, T., Lu, Y., Chen., 2020. Jujube fruit: A potential nutritious fruit for the development of functional food products. *Journal of Functional Foods* 75: 104-205.
- Renaut, S., Daoud, R., Masse, J., Vialle, A., Hijri, M., 2020. Inoculation with *Rhizophagus irregularis* does not alter arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community structure within the roots of corn, wheat, and soybean Crops. *Microorganisms* 8 (83), 2-15. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8010083
- Reena, J., Bagyaraj, D.J., 1990. Growth stimulation of *Tamarindus indica* by selected VA mycorrhizal fungi. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 6, 59-63. doi: 10.1007/BF01225356
- Requena, N., Perez-Solis, E., Azcon-Aguilar, C., Jeffries, P., Barea, J.M., 2001. Management of indigenous plant-microbe symbioses aids restoration of desertified ecosystems. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67, 495-498. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.2.495-498.2001

1070 Rodriguez, A., Sanders, I.R., 2015. The role of community and population ecology in
 1071 applying mycorrhizal fungi for improved food security. *The International SME J* 9: 1053-
 1072 1061. doi:10.1038/ismej.2014.207
 1073

1074 Saritha, B., Suresh Kumar, T., Kiran Kumar, A., Raja Goud, C.H., and Joshi, V., 2021. Effect
 1075 of different levels of pruning and plant nutrients on vegetative growth and yield of apple ber
 1076 (*Zizyphus mauritiana*). *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10(11), 01-08.

1077 Saied, A.S., Gebauer, J., Hammer, K., Buerkert, A., 2008. *Zizyphus spina-christi*(L.) Willd.: a
 1078 multipurpose fruit tree. *Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution* 55, 929-937. doi:
 1079 10.1007/s10722-007-9299-1

1080 Sanou, J., Bayala, J., Teklehaimanot, Z., Bazie, P., 2011. Effect of shading by baobab
 1081 (*Adansonia digitata*) and nere (*Parkia biglobosa*) on yields of millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)
 1082 and taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) in parkland systems in Burkina Faso, West Africa.
 1083 *Agroforestry Systems* 85, 431-441. doi : 10.1007/s10457-011-9405-4

1084 Saritha, B., Suresh Kumar, T., Kiran Kumar, A., Raja Goud, C.H., Joshi, V., 2021. Effect of
 1085 different levels of pruning and plant nutrients on vegetative growth and yield of apple Ber
 1086 (*Zizyphus mauritiana*) *The Pharma Innovation Journal* 10 (11): 01-08.
 1087 www.thepharmajournal.com

1088 Séry, D.J.M., Claude Kouadjo, Z.G., Rodrigue Voko, B.R., Zézé, A., 2016. Selecting native
 1089 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to promote cassava growth and increase yield under field
 1090 conditions. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 7, 2063. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02063
 1091

1092 Schweiger, P.F., Robson, A.D., Barrow, N.J., 1995. Root hair length determines beneficial
 1093 effect of a *Glomus* species on shoot growth of some pasture species. *New Phytologist* 131
 1094 (2), 247-254. doi :10.1111/j.1469-8137.1995.tb05726.x
 1095

1096 Singh, A.K., Devanshi Sharma, P., Singh, R., Singh, B., Koundal, K.R., Singh, N.K., 2007.
 1097 Assessment of genetic diversity in *Zizyphus mauritiana* using inter-simple sequence repeat
 1098 markers. *Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology* 16(1): 35-40.
 1099

1100 Sidibé, D., Sanou, H., Teklehaimanot, Z., Mahamadi, D., Kone, S., 2012. The use of
 1101 mycorrhizal inoculation in the domestication of *Zizyphus mauritiana* and *Tamarindus indica*
 1102 in Mali (West Africa). *Agroforestry Systems* 85, 519-528. doi :10.1007/s10457-012-9486-8
 1103

1104 Schreckenber, K., Awono, A., Degrande, A., Mbosso, C., Ndoeye, O., Tchoundjeu, Z.
 1105 (2006). Domesticating indigenous fruit trees as a contribution to poverty reduction. *For. trees*
 1106 *livelih.* 16, 35-51. doi :10.1080/14728028.2006.9752544
 1107

1108 Schwartz, M.W., Hoeksema, J.D., Gehring, C.A., Johnson, N.C., Klironomos, J.N., Abbott, L.
 1109 K., Pringle, A., 2006. The promise and the potential consequences of the global transport of
 1110 mycorrhizal fungal inoculum. *Ecology Letters* 9, 501-515. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-
 1111 0248.2006.00910.x
 1112

1113 Sharif, N., Jaskani, M.J., Naqvi, S.A., Awan, F.S. 2019. Exploitation of diversity in
 1114 domesticated and wild ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lam.) germplasm for conservation and
 1115 breeding in Pakistan. *Scientia Horticulturae* 249, 228-239.

1116 Sherani, J., Jilani, M.S., Ahmad, T., Kamaran, S., Manan, A., Jilani, T.A., Sajid, M., 2021.
 1117 Local rootstock of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* L) grafted with advanced scion cultivars
 1118 improved fruit yield and quality under arid conditions. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture 37(2),
 1119 492-499. doi: [10.17582/journal.sja/2021/37.2.492.499](https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.sja/2021/37.2.492.499)

1120 Sidibé, D., Sanou, H., Teklehaimanot, Z., Mahamadi, D., Kone, S., 2012. The use of
 1121 mycorrhizal inoculation in the domestication of *Ziziphus mauritiana* and *Tamarindus indica*
 1122 in Mali (West Africa). Agroforestry Systems 85: 519-528.

1123
 1124 Singh, A.K., Sharma, R.K., Singh, N.K., Bansal, K.C., Koundal, K.R., Mohapatra, T., 2006.
 1125 Genetic diversity in ber (*Ziziphus* spp.) revealed by AFLP markers. The Journal of
 1126 Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 81 (2), 205-210. doi:
 1127 10.1080/14620316.2006.11512051

1128
 1129 Tawarayama, K., 2003. Arbuscular mycorrhizal dependency of different plant species and
 1130 cultivars. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 49 (5), 655-668.
 1131 doi :10.1080/00380768.2003.10410323

1132
 1133 Thioye, B., de Faria, M.S., Kane, A., Ndiaye, C., Soule, A.O., Fall, D., Duponnois, R., Sylla,
 1134 S.N., Bâ, A.M., 2017. Growth response of different species of *Ziziphus* to inoculation with
 1135 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Fruits 72, 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.17660/th2017/72.3.7>

1136
 1137 Thioye, B., Kane, A., de Faria, S. M., Fall, D., Sanogo, D., Ndiaye, C., Sanon, K.B., Soule,
 1138 A.O., Duponnois, R., Sylla, S.N., Bâ, A.M., 2018. Growth response of different species and
 1139 provenances of jujube seedlings to inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. In:
 1140 Bhoopander G, Prasad R, Varma A (Ed.). Root biology. London: Springer, p. 501-519.
 1141 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75910-4_21

1142
 1143 Thioye, B., Sanguin, H., Kane, A., Faria, S.M., Fall, D., Prin, Y., Sanogo, D., Ndiaye, C.,
 1144 Duponnois, R., Sylla, S.N., Bâ, A.M. (2019). Impact of mycorrhiza-based inoculation
 1145 strategies on *Ziziphus mauritiana* lam. and its native mycorrhizal communities on the route of
 1146 the great Green Wall (Senegal). Ecological Engineering 128, 66–76.
 1147 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.12.033>

1148
 1149 Thioye, B., Redecker, D., van Tuinen, D., Kane, A., de Faria, M. S., Fall, D., Sanogo, D.,
 1150 Ndiaye, C., Duponnois, R., Sylla, S.N., and Bâ, A.M., 2020. Correcting to: tracing
 1151 *Rhizophagus irregularis* isolate IR27 in *Ziziphus mauritiana* roots under field conditions.
 1152 Mycorrhiza 30 (1), 171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-020-00935-1>

1153
 1154 Thioye, B., Kane, A., Fall, D., Sanogo, D., Ndiaye, C., Duponnois, R., Ndao Sylla, S., Bâ
 1155 A.M., 2021. Mycorrhizal inoculation increases fruit production without disturbance of native
 1156 arbuscular mycorrhizal community in jujube tree orchards (Senegal). Symbiosis.
 1157 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-021-00757-5>.

1158 Torrecillas, E., Alguacil, M.M., Roldán, A., 2012. Host preferences of arbuscular mycorrhizal
 1159 fungi colonizing annual herbaceous plant species in semiarid Mediterranean prairies. Applied
 1160 and Environmental Microbiology 78: 6180-6186. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01287-12>.

- 1161 Turner, M.D., Carney, T., Lawler, L., Reynolds, J., Kelly, L., Teague, M. S., Brottem, L.,
1162 2022. Environmental rehabilitation and the vulnerability of the poor: The case of the Great
1163 Green Wall. *Land Use Pol.* 111, 105750 doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105750
- 1164 Verbruggen, E., van der Heijden, M.G., Rillig, M.C., Kiers, E.T., 2013. Mycorrhizal fungal
1165 establishment in agricultural soils: factors determining inoculation success. *New Phytologist*
1166 197, 1104–1109. doi :10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04348.x
- 1167 Yadav, J.P., Panghal, M., 2010. *Balanites aegyptiaca* (L.) Del. (Hingot): A review of its
1168 traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacological properties. *International Journal of*
1169 *Green Pharmacy.* 43 (3). doi :[10.4103/0973-8258.69158](https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-8258.69158)
- 1170 Yamato, M., Ikeda, S., Iwase, K., 2009. Community of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in
1171 drought-resistant plants, *Moringa* spp., in semiarid regions in Madagascar and Uganda.
1172 *Mycoscience* 50: 100-105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S10267-008-0459-8>.