

Contrasting patterns of differentiation among three taxa of the rapidly diversifying orchid genus *Ophrys* sect. *Insectifera* (Orchidaceae) where their range overlap

Pascaline Salvado¹, Anaïs Gibert¹, Bertrand Schatz², Lucas Vandénabeele¹, Roselyne Buscail³, David Vilasís⁴, Philippe Feldmann⁵
Joris A. M. Bertrand¹

¹Laboratoire Génome et Développement des Plantes (LGDP), UMR 5096, Université de Perpignan Via Domitia (UPVD) - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Perpignan, France

²CEFE, CNRS, Univ Montpellier, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

³Centre de Formation et de Recherche sur les Environnements Méditerranéens (CEFREM), Université de Perpignan Via Domitia (UPVD) - Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Perpignan, France

⁴Grup Orquidològic de Catalunya GOC HCHN

⁵Association Abiome, Olivet, France

Correspondence should be addressed to Pascaline Salvado and Joris Bertrand, 58 avenue Paul Alduy, Bâtiment T, F-66860 Perpignan Cedex 09, France: Tél: +33.(0)4.68.66.17.74; Email: pascaline.salvado@univ-perp.fr ; joris.bertrand@univ-perp.fr

Running title: Population genomics of the *Ophrys insectifera* group

ABSTRACT

In rapidly diversifying groups, taxa defined on the basis of typological criteria can be difficult to support with genetic data. The diversity observed in the insect-mimicking orchid genus *Ophrys* perfectly illustrates this situation; among 400 described species only 9-10 lineages are detectable by genetic markers such as nrITS. The three taxa described in the *Ophrys insectifera* group: *O. insectifera*, *O. subinsectifera* and *O. aymoninii*, can be clearly distinguished by their flowers, which have evolved different phenotypes as a result of adaptation to specific pollinator insect species from three different families. However, genetic differentiation between these three taxa has never been really supported by population genetic data and their taxonomic status is still debated. Using population genomic approaches, we found a clustering consistent with the existence of three genetic entities where the geographic distributions of the three taxa overlap. Two of these clusters correspond to France populations of the widespread *O. insectifera* and the micro-endemic *O. aymoninii*. However, the last cluster grouped together all the Iberian individuals, suggesting that individuals phenotypically identified as either *O. insectifera* or *O. subinsectifera* are genetically weakly differentiated there. Populations of the two pairs of taxa thus may have experienced different patterns of inter-specific gene flow.

KEYWORDS: biogeography; genotyping by sequencing; inter-specific gene flow; morphometrics; plants; population genomics; RAD-sequencing; speciation; systematics; taxonomy

1 Introduction

2 Adaptive radiations can lead to rapid accumulation of species through adaptation to
 3 various selection pressures. In such cases, reconstructing the evolutionary history
 4 based solely on genetic data can be challenging. The advent of high-throughput
 5 sequencing technologies has enabled to work at the whole-genome scale, thus
 6 providing significant gain in the resolution of genetic data, even in non-model
 7 organisms (e.g., L  veill  -Bourret *et al.* 2020). For species with large and/or complex
 8 genomes however, genome complexity reduction protocols remain the most efficient
 9 way to simultaneously obtain genomic data on a sufficient number of individuals and
 10 populations in order to investigate how evolutionary lineages diverge from each
 11 other.

12 *Ophrys* orchids are renowned for their exceptional ability to attract male insect
 13 pollinators through their insect-mimicking flowers. The labellum, one of the petals, is
 14 especially effective in luring the insects to the point where they attempt to copulate
 15 with it, ultimately ensuring the plant's reproduction. The degree of specification
 16 required for the emergence and the maintenance of this plant-insect interaction is
 17 likely to be responsible for the high rate of diversification observed in *Ophrys* orchids
 18 (see Baguette *et al.* (2020) for a recent review). The flower phenotypes of *Ophrys*
 19 orchids are subject to strong selection pressures exerted by male pollinator insects,
 20 which is one of the main driver of this extreme adaptive radiation. The morphological
 21 diversity observable among *Ophrys* species has been well-documented and an
 22 increasing number of studies have reported subtle variations in flower odor
 23 compounds associated with pollinator species identity (e.g. Schl  ter *et al.* 2011;
 24 Joffard, Buatois and Schatz 2016; Gervasi *et al.* 2017). However, genetic studies

25 have often failed to characterise patterns and propose relevant scenarios to explain
26 evolutionary divergence between closely related *Ophrys* species.

27 The inability of traditional genetic markers to delineate *Ophrys* lineages can be
28 explained by divergence times that may be too recent to display allele sorting
29 through genetic drift (*i.e.* Incomplete Lineage and Sorting) and/or reticulate evolution
30 that may blur any emerging phylogenetic signal. In spite of the promising results from
31 a pioneering study using a genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) protocol to investigate
32 patterns of differentiation between closely related species of the *Ophrys sphegodes*
33 group (Sedeek *et al.* 2014), population genomic approaches have not been used in
34 *Ophrys* since. Bateman *et al.* (2018) have successfully used RADseq-like protocol
35 data to clarify the phylogenetic relationships between the main *Ophrys* lineages, see
36 also Piñeiro Fernández *et al.* (2019) who used RNAseq data and Bertrand *et al.*
37 (2021) who employed whole plastomes. However, none of these studies addressed
38 patterns of species differentiation at lower taxonomic scale (but see Bateman *et al.*
39 2021). Thus, the actual taxonomic status of the so-called ‘microspecies’ of *Ophrys* is
40 still regularly contested (e.g. Bateman and Rudall 2023) although the suitability of
41 population genomic approaches to investigate evolutionary divergence between
42 *Ophrys* lineages has rarely been properly tested. It was recently confirmed that a
43 population-based sampling design coupled with an appropriate genome subsampling
44 protocol (*i.e.* a genotyping-by-sequencing protocol called nGBS, which stands for
45 normalized Genotyping-By-Sequencing) allowed to accurately characterize patterns
46 of diversity and differentiation among little divergent populations and taxa of *Ophrys*
47 *aveyronensis* (Gibert *et al.* 2023).

48 In this study, we used a protocol similar to the one of Gibert *et al.* 2023 to test
49 whether this approach also provides sufficient resolution to distinguish taxa within

another *Ophrys* clade whose systematics and taxonomy are problematic: the *Ophrys*
insectifera group. The latter consists of three described taxa: the Euro-
Mediterranean widespread *Ophrys insectifera* L. and two endemic taxa: *Ophrys*
subinsectifera (Hermosilla and Sabando 1996), whose repartition spans the
Southern flanks of the Pyrenees and *Ophrys aymoninii* (Brestr.) Buttler, which is
(micro-)endemic to the Grand Causses region in France. These three taxa currently
recognized as species or subspecies (*Ophrys insectifera* subsp. *subinsectifera*
(Hermosilla and Sabando 1996) (O.Bolòs & Vigo) and *Ophrys insectifera* subsp.
aymoninii Breistr.) are known to be pollinated by insect species belonging to three
different families, have been shown to display differences in odor bouquet and use to
be easily distinguishable in the field based on their phenotypes. So far however,
genetic studies have failed to delineate these taxa based on genetic data (Triponez
et al. 2013; Gervasi et al. 2017). Previous phylogenetic studies only included one or
two individuals per taxon often with only two of the three taxa of the group, which did
not allow to properly test their reciprocal monophyly (Soliva and Widmer 2003;
Devey et al. 2008; Breitkopf et al. 2015; Bateman et al. 2018). With the future aim of
investigating the ecological and evolutionary causes of species boundaries in this
system, we tested whether population genomic data could allow to characterize
species, especially where *O. insectifera* co-occur with one of the two endemics.
Specifically, we tested whether population genomics allow to distinguish the three
taxa despite incomplete lineage sorting and possible interspecific gene flow. If so, we
planned to assess for the first time levels of genetic diversity within taxa and genetic
differentiation between taxa based on genomic data.

73

74 **Material & Methods**

75 ***Population sampling***

76 We sampled a total of 239 individuals at 12 localities in May 2022 and 2023, where
 77 the widespread *O. insectifera* locally co-occurs with one of the endemic taxa: *O.*
 78 *subinsectifera* in Catalonia, Spain at 6 sites (1-Les Guillerries, 2-Puig Grifó, 3-
 79 Tarradell, 4-Castanyola, 5-L'Estanyol and 6-Seva) and *O. aymoninii* in the Grands
 80 Causses Region, France at 4 sites (8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 10-Nivoliers
 81 and 11-Fretma) as well as at two additional localities in between these two regions
 82 where only *O. insectifera* is present (7-Versols-et-Lapeyre and 12-Rodome), as
 83 represented in Fig.1 (see also Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1). At each
 84 locality, we collected ca. 1-2 cm² of leaf tissues that were stored in 90% ethanol at
 85 4°C until DNA extraction. *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera* are not protected in the
 86 study area but *O. aymoninii* has a Near Threatened status (NT) on the French
 87 National Red List. We asked for and obtained special permits from the “Parc
 88 National des Cévennes” to collect plants and drive within the national park (2022-
 89 0115, 2022-0117, 2023-0093 and 2023-0094). The research project also complies
 90 with the Nagoya protocol (TREL2206915S/562), which provides a framework for the
 91 fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the utilization of genetic resources.
 92 We photographed each individual and obtained phenotypic values at a suite of ten
 93 phenotypic traits, as described in detail in Gibert *et al.* (2022). We recorded five
 94 “whole plant” traits: plant size, stem diameter, number of reproductive structures
 95 (flowers and buds), distance from the ground to the first flower, and distance
 96 between the first and the second flower. We also measured five floral traits: length
 97 and width of the labellum and the median sepal as well as the length of the right

petal. We calculated the ratio between the length and width of the labellum to get an overall idea of its proportions. All the individuals were categorized as *Ophrys insectifera*, *O. aymoninii*, *O. subinsectifera* or intermediates (*O. aymoninii*/*O. insectifera* or *O. subinsectifera*/*O. insectifera*) based on established visual criteria (*i.e.* following Delforge 2021). We performed LMM (Linear Mixed Models) on each traits to test for difference between the five categories. The fixed effect included the categories and the random effect included the study site. We presented 95% confidence intervals, and used their lack of overlap with zero or lack of overlap between categories to define what effects were statistically significant, in addition to *p*-values. This is a conservative approach that provides information on the statistical effect (range, direction, strength and reliability) that is not provided by *p*-values (Ho *et al.* 2019). Models were implemented in R via the 'lme4' package (Bates, Martin and Walker 2016). Assumptions of models (e.g. heteroscedasticity, over and under dispersion, significance of outliers) were checked both visually and by using the DHARMA R-package (Hartig 2018).

Molecular procedures

Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping were subcontracted to LGC Genomics GmbH (Berlin, Germany) following a protocol called nGBS for 'normalised Genotyping By Sequencing'. This double digest Restriction site-Associated DNA seq or RAD-seq-like protocol relies on two restriction enzymes (PstI and ApeKI in our case) to reduce *Ophrys* genome complexity and it includes a normalization step that aims at avoiding the overrepresentation of repetitive regions. The resulting 239 individually barcoded libraries were sequenced in paired-end mode (2 x 150 bp) on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 with an expectation of a minimum number of 1.5 million

read pairs per sample. We used Stacks v.2.62 (Catchen *et al.* 2011, 2013) to build loci from Illumina reads. After demultiplexing and cleaning the samples with *process_radtags*, we aligned them to the reference genome of *Ophrys sphegodes*, which is the most closely related reference genome available (Russo *et al.* 2023) using BWA-mem v.0.7.17 (Li and Durbin 2009). Then, *ref_map.pl* pipeline was executed, for building RAD-loci and SNP calling. Finally, we ran the *populations* script included in Stacks, from which only loci that were genotyped in at least 80% of the individuals per population (*-r 80*) and 10 populations (out of 12) were kept (*-p 10*), while loci exhibiting estimates of observed heterozygosity greater than 70% (*--max-obs-het 0.7*) were filtered out to reduce the risk of including remaining paralogs. We also discarded sites whose minor allele frequency was lower than 5% (*--min-maf 0.05*). The genotype matrix of the remaining 11,089 SNPs was then exported in several formats such as Structure, VCF, genepop, radpainter.

Population genomics analyses

To get an overview of the overall genetic diversity and differentiation among individuals and populations, we first performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on a matrix of 239 individuals (as rows) and 11,089 SNPs (as columns) coded as a *genlight* object with the R-package 'adegenet' (Jombart and Ahmed 2011). We then used Genodive v.3.04 (Meirmans 2020) to compute expected and observed heterozygosity (H_E and H_O , respectively). For all the analyses realized in Genodive, we kept only the most abundant species at each site. The number of alleles (A) and private alleles (A_P) were retrieved from the *populations* outputs given by Stacks. The deviation from panmixia was evaluated by computing G_{IS} and the

statistical significance of the obtained values was estimated based on 10,000 permutations, in Genodive. Overall and pairwise genetic differentiation was assessed based on G_{ST} , (Nei 1987) as implemented in Genodive in a similar manner. Genodive was also used to carry out a hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) to assess proportions of genetic variance (i) among series (here, Series A between *O. aymoninii* and *O. insectifera* and Series B between *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera*) (F_{CT}) and (ii) among populations within Series (F_{SC}). The statistical significance of the obtained values was estimated based on 10,000 permutations. To further investigate population structure and characterize putative migration/admixture event, we used ADMIXTURE (Alexander, Novembre and Lange 2009). This method computes ‘ancestry coefficients’ that represent the proportions of an individual genome that originate from multiple ancestral gene pools. The number of genetic clusters was varied from $K = 1$ to 12, analyses were run with 10 replicates and a cross-validation procedure was performed to determine the optimal value of K . To assess genetic co-ancestry among individuals within and across the different sites, we used haplotype-based population inference approach implemented in *fineRADstructure* v.0.3.1 (Malinsky *et al.* 2018) using the same filtering criteria described for the SNP dataset. Analyses were performed using default settings and results were visualized with dedicated R-scripts provided with the program.

Results

Species distribution

In both France and Spain, we sampled sites with only the endemics (*O. aymoninii* or *O. subinsectifera*), others with only the widespread *O. insectifera*, and also sites with both one of the endemics and *O. insectifera* in close proximity (Fig. 1). In Spain, sites 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol showed the presence of *O. insectifera*, *O. subinsectifera*, and a non-negligible number of individuals with intermediate phenotypes (15 out of 117, 13%), which could not be clearly assigned to one species or the other. Conversely, sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó and 6-Seva exclusively presented individuals with phenotypes corresponding to *O. subinsectifera*. In France, our survey revealed three sites (8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat and 11-Fretma) where *O. aymoninii* and *O. insectifera* co-occurred. However, we found only a few individuals with intermediate phenotypes (3 out of 123, 2.4 %) at sites 8-Le Buffre and 9-Col de Montmirat (we did not observe *O. insectifera* at site 10-Nivoliers). At sites 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre and 12-Rodome, we observed only *O. insectifera*, which makes sense as these two sites are outside the known range of both endemic species. Therefore, these sites were considered as unadmixed with either one of the endemics and served as reference sites for *O. insectifera* in our study.

Patterns of phenotypic variation

For morphometric analyses, we considered n= 50 *O. insectifera* individuals from sites 3-Tarradel, 5-L'Estanyol, 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre, 8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 11-Fretma and 12-Rodome, n=95 *O. subinsectifera* individuals from sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 4-Castanyola, 5-L'Estanyol and 6-Seva, n=75 *O. aymoninii* individuals from sites 8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 10-Nivoliers and 11-

Fretma, n=14 intermediate individuals between *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera* from sites 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol and n=3 intermediate individuals between *O. insectifera* and *O. aymoninii* from sites 8-Le Buffre and 9-Col de Montmirat.

Our results confirm that the flowers of the three taxa show differences in morphometrics, with the endemics being the smallest overall. The labellum length of the two endemic species (*O. aymoninii* and *O. subinsectifera*) is significantly shorter (9.4 ± 1.2 mm and 10.6 ± 1.3 mm respectively, mean $\pm$ SD, $p < 0.01$, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2) compared to *O. insectifera* (13 ± 1.7 mm, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). The labellum ratio differs between the three species; *O. aymoninii* showed a ratio 42% smaller than *O. insectifera* (respectively ratio = 1.4 vs 2.4) and *O. subinsectifera* showed a ratio 15% smaller than *O. insectifera* (2, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). Petal length is significantly shorter for *O. subinsectifera* (2.7 ± 0.9 mm, $p < 0.01$) and *O. aymoninii* has the shortest sepal length (5 ± 0.8 mm, $p < 0.01$). *O. subinsectifera* has a narrower sepal width compared to *O. insectifera* (2.3 ± 0.6 mm, $p < 0.01$ Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). Looking at whole plant traits, we observe that both endemics tend to have smaller plant size compared to *O. insectifera* (19.4 ± 6.4 cm, $p = 0.01$ for *O. subinsectifera* and 19.7 ± 6.3 cm, $p < 0.01$ for *O. aymoninii* vs 25.4 ± 5.9 cm for *O. insectifera* Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). In addition, endemics have more compact inflorescences, whereas those of *O. insectifera* appear looser, with greater distances between the ground and the first flower (16.8 ± 4.9 cm, $p < 0.01$, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2) and between the first and second flower (2.9 ± 0.8 cm, NS, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). Intermediate individuals, termed “*sublins*” and “*aymlins*”, show intermediate values

for labellum length, labellum ratio, petal length and sepal width (Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2). In some cases, they also tend to have larger plant sizes and stem diameters compared to the three other categories, as well as greater distances between the first and second flower ($p < 0.05$, Supporting Information Fig. S2 and Table S2).

Population genomic dataset

We obtained a total of 1 051 266 532 of read pairs across all the individuals (496 296 - 7 535 054 of raw reads per ind., mean 4 380 277, SD = 1 044 261) and 357 155 reads (< 1%) were removed because of low quality. We genotyped a total of 9 766 159 loci (composed of 2 065 743 449 sites) including 794 414 variant sites (SNPs) with *Stacks*. Average read depth ranged from 7X to 102.7X (mean 63.6X, SD = 16.1X) based on the combination of parameters we used. After filtering the data with populations, we finally kept 13 772 loci from which we retained 11 089 variant sites (SNPs).

Patterns of genetic diversity and differentiation

All sites were found to have similar levels of genetic diversity (A and A_R). The inbreeding index (G_{IS}) showed that the deviation from panmixia was higher in the French sites, with the exception of site 11-Fretma, compared to Spain (Table 1). The negative G_{IS} values for site 3-Tarradell are considered unreliable as they were calculated based on the basis of only four individuals of *O. insectifera*. The AMOVA highlights a higher genetic differentiation between *O. insectifera* from the control sites (7-Versols-et-Lapeyre and 12-Rodome) and *O. aymoninii* from sites 8-Le

Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 10-Nivoliers and 11-Fretma with a F_{CT} of 0.072 compared to *O. insectifera* from the control sites and *O. subinsectifera* from sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó and 6-Seva which displays a F_{CT} of 0.038 (Table S3).

Principal Component Analysis (PCA), with PC1 and PC2 explaining 62.49% and 20.11% of the total genetic variance respectively (Fig. 3A), shows that all individuals morphologically assigned to *O. aymoninii* cluster together (although individuals from site 11-Fretma were slightly separated from those from the other sites 8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat and 10-Nivoliers). In addition, all individuals assigned to *O. insectifera* from France (at sites 8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 10-Nivoliers, 11-Fretma) cluster together with the reference sites of 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre and 12-Rodome. However, the individuals morphologically assigned to *O. insectifera* from Spain do not cluster with *O. insectifera* from France. Instead, they were found to cluster with other individuals from Spanish sites. Indeed, in Spain the pattern is not as clear as in France since we can observe two subclusters. The first one groups the sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva, four individuals from site 5-L'Estanyol (*i.e.*, 22-Div-025, 22-Div-026, 22-Div-027, 22-Div-044) and 10 individuals from site 4-Castanyola (*i.e.*, 22-Div-068, 22-Div-070, 22-Div-071, 22-Div-073, 22-Div-075, 22-Div-076, 22-Div-077, 22-Div-078, 22-Div-079, 22-Div-080) corresponding to *O. subinsectifera*. The second subcluster groups the remaining individuals from sites 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol, and all the individuals from site 3-Tarradell, corresponding to intermediate individuals between *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera*. Removing sites containing both *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera* and/or intermediate individuals (*i.e.*, 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol) and leaving sites containing only individuals with *O. subinsectifera* phenotypes, results in an even clearer clustering of the species into three entities (with PC1 and PC2

explaining 62.28% and 20.56% of the total genetic variance respectively), this time corresponding to three species *O. subinsectifera*, *O. insectifera* and *O. aymoninii* (Fig. 3B).

Similarly, the clustered co-ancestry matrix obtained from FineRADstructure (Fig. 4) shows three main clusters, grouping together *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera* and suggesting less similarity with *O. aymoninii*. A first cluster included all *O. aymoninii* individuals together. A second one grouped all *O. insectifera* from France together, regardless their site of origin. The third cluster was found to be divided into two subclusters, one consisting of intermediate individuals from the sites 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol, and the other one consisting of *O. subinsectifera* from sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva. However, four individuals from 5-L'Estanyol (*i.e.*, 22-Div-025, 22-Div-026, 22-Div-027, 22-Div-044) and one individual from 4-Castanyola (22-Div-073) are clustered with *O. subinsectifera* from sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva. Spanish individuals exhibit higher rates of relatedness between them among sites compared to French individuals. Indeed, sites 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-L'Estanyol which contain intermediate individuals display particularly high rate of relatedness. Conversely, in France, site 11-Fretma exhibit relatively high relatedness among individuals.

Furthermore, the clustering analysis carried out with ADMIXTURE suggests an optimal number of genetic clusters of $K=8$ based on the cross-entropy criterion (Supporting Information Fig. S3). We plotted the Q-matrices (that contain individual admixture coefficients) corresponding to the best replicate runs for $K=3$ (to investigate whether the program could distinguish the three taxa), $K=4$ and $K=8$. Notably, the $K=3$ graph distinguishes well *O. aymoninii*, *O. insectifera* from France,

and *O. subinsectifera* from sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva in light blue as well as four individuals from the site 5-L'Estanyol (22-Div-25, 22-Div-26, 22-Div-27, 22-Div-44). However, the individuals from site 3-Tarradell and the remains individuals from sites 4-Castanyola (22-Div-73, 22-Div-77, 22-Div-78, 22-Div-79, 22-Div-80) and 5-L'Estanyol displayed intermediate admixture proportions (between 20 and 80%) between the two last clusters described. The pattern is overall similar at $K=4$ and $K=8$ even if they highlight particular sites like the site 11-Fretma which seems to be apart from *O. aymoninii* of the other sites or group of individuals that may be considered as relatives.

Discussion

The population genomic approach utilised in this study, for the first time on the *Ophrys insectifera* group, confidently revealed differentiation patterns that were not discernible through morphology.

Patterns of differentiation among the representatives of the *Ophrys insectifera* clade in Southwestern Europe

In term of morphology, our results are consistent with previous findings showing that the flowers of both endemics (*O. aymoninii* and *O. subinsectifera*) are smaller than those of the widespread *O. insectifera* (Triponez *et al.* 2013; Gervasi *et al.* 2017; Delforge 2021). This pattern is likely to have evolved as a result of adaptation to smaller pollinator insect species: *Andrena combinata* (for *O. aymoninii*) and *Sterictiphora gastrica* (for *O. subinsectifera*) compared to the larger *Argogorytes mystaceus* (or *A. fargei*) for *O. insectifera*. In addition to dimensions, the flowers of the three taxa also show different proportions. The flowers of *O. subinsectifera* look

like small flowers of *O. insectifera* with very short petals whereas the flowers of *O. aymoninii* have labellas that are relatively wider than those of *O. insectifera*, but with similar petal length. Both endemic taxa have yellow margins on their labellum and *O. aymoninii* tend to have yellowish or greenish petals, which contrast with the generally brown petals observed in the other two species. At non-floral traits, *O. insectifera* individuals tend to be taller and have more flowers but there is non-negligible overlap between species, as well as a significant amount of intra-specific variation, presumably influenced by local environmental factors, as already suggested by (Gervasi *et al.* 2017).

On the genetic data, our results clearly identified three genetic clusters whereas previous studies generally failed to distinguish the three species (Figs. 3, 4 and Supporting Information Fig. S3). Two of these clusters correspond to *O. aymoninii* and French individuals of *O. insectifera*. The two taxa are genetically distinct with no evidence of recent hybridization even at sites (e.g., 8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat and 11-Fretma) where individuals of both species co-occur and grow at less than one meter apart. Even the three individuals that we identified as phenotypically intermediate between *O. aymoninii* and *O. insectifera* (i.e., 22-Div-146; 22-Div-152 and 22-Div-195) could be genetically assigned to *O. insectifera* for 22-Div-146 and 22-Div-195, and to *O. aymoninii* for 22-Div-152. The species barrier between *O. insectifera* and its counterpart from the Grands Causses (*O. aymoninii*) thus appears to be significant. This situation contrasts with the pattern observed on the other side of the Pyrenees. On the Spanish side, we observed a clear clustering of individuals corresponding to *O. subinsectifera*, composed of the sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva and some individuals from site 4-Tarradell and 5-L'Estanyol (i.e., 22-Div-25, 22-Div-26, 22-Div-27, 22-Div-44, 22-Div-73 which are

consistent with all the genetic analyses). All other Spanish individuals form a subcluster which appears as intermediate between *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera*. This later subcluster is composed of all the remaining individuals from sites 4-Tarradell, 5-L'Estanyol and all the individuals from site 3-Tarradell. This suggests that the barriers to gene flow between *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera* are not as strongly established as between *O. insectifera* and *O. aymoninii*. The situation becomes clearer when the individuals of those later three sites are excluded (i.e., if only sites 1-Les Guilleries, 2-Puig Grifó, 6-Seva are retained) in a way that we observe a genetic cluster formed of all *O. subinsectifera*, which is distinct from the ones formed by *O. aymoninii* and the *O. insectifera* individuals from France.

Pervasive gene flow suspected in the Iberian Peninsula

In contrast to the observations made for *O. insectifera* and *O. aymoninii* in France, the genetic differentiation pattern suggests that at least some sites in Spain may be genetic hybrid swarms despite the individuals being clearly morphologically assignable to either *O. insectifera* or *O. subinsectifera* in most cases. The intermediate proportions of admixture shown by these individuals support this hypothesis. Notably, these admixture proportions do not allow predicting the phenotype category, whether it is *O. insectifera*, *O. subinsectifera*, or an intermediate between the two. Nevertheless, this result calls into question the existence of genetically 'pure' *O. insectifera* on the Iberian Peninsula. In this respect, the inclusion of an individual identified as *Ophrys insectifera* from Bercedo (Castilla y León), a region of Spain where *O. subinsectifera* should not be present, and genotyped using

the same protocol (Gibert *et al.* 2023) also presents an intermediate status between French (at least with those from sites 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre and 12-Rodome) and Spanish individuals (Supporting Information, Fig. S4), suggesting that patterns of inter-specific gene flow may have varied from east to west of the Pyrenees, but that the existence of genetically unadmixed *O. insectifera* in Northern Spain is questionable. The possibility of inter-specific gene flow may also be supported by G_{IS} values that appear to be closer to panmixia expectations in Spain.

Patterns of presumed hybridization in Spain do not appear to be arranged according to geography nor ecology. All the sampling sites studied in this study are less than 10 km apart from each other and do not appear to show strong environmental differentiation. In *Ophrys*, reproductive barriers are generally thought to be pre-zygotic and maintained by specific insect pollinator species. Despite this relatively high degree of plant-insect relationship, it is not necessarily 1-to-1 (Joffard *et al.* 2019), and in some cases, secondary pollinator species may be responsible for inter-specific gene flow. To date, the sawfly *Sterictiphora gastrica* has been the only pollinator species documented for *O. subinsectifera*. Notably, on 11.05.2023, we observed and captured a small solitary bee with several pollinia attached to its head, later formally identified as *Andrena afzeliella* (by D. Genoud), pseudo-copulating on an individual of *O. subinsectifera* at site 1-Les Guilleries. Whether or not this species may be responsible for inter-specific gene flow between *Ophrys* species remains to be proven, but this observation documents a new pollinator species for the endemic *O. subinsectifera* and supports the hypothesis that secondary pollinators may play a role in the evolution of *Ophrys* (see also Claessens and Kleyne 2016 mentioning *Chalicodoma sicula* as additional pollinator).

On the systematics and taxonomy of the *O. insectifera* clade

Our results confirm that population genomic approaches such as the one used in this study (*i.e.* nGBS) are fruitful for discriminating *Ophrys* lineages that have recently begun to diverge, and show for the first time that this is also the case for the *Ophrys insectifera* group. Even in the sampling localities where both species occur together, we could unambiguously distinguish both endemics from the widespread *Ophrys insectifera* genetically (as we could on the basis of morphology) with the notable exception of a couple Iberian individuals being intermediate between *O. insectifera* and *O. subinsectifera*. This situation confirms that pairs of closely related *Ophrys* taxa may show variable patterns of genetic differentiation between regions, as suggested by previous work (e.g. Soliva and Widmer 2003). Indeed, neutral genetic differentiation between allopatric populations of a given *Ophrys* taxon is sometimes higher than genetic differentiation between sympatric different *Ophrys* species. One hypothesis to explain this pattern is that even a small amount of pervasive inter-specific gene flow may be locally sufficient to erase the already weak level of differentiation between incipient species.

Furthermore, although the three taxa can be to some extent separated on the basis of population genomic data, we still could not reliably infer the systematic relationships between them. The widespread and generalist *O. insectifera* could intuitively be considered as occupying a basal position in the phylogenetic species tree of the group, but our results, as well as phylogenetic reconstruction rather suggest a basal position for *O. aymoninii* (Supporting Information, Fig. S5). This could support an unexpected status of relictual ancestral lineage for the micro-endemic *Ophrys aymoninii*. However, secondary contact and inter-specific gene flow after the initial divergence between *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera* may also make them cluster together. Aside, gene flow between *O. aymoninii* and

another species outside the *insectifera* clade could have given this pattern. This needs to be tested, but in the field, we observed putative hybrids between *O. aymoninii* and a local representative of another *Ophrys* lineage, the *O. sphegodes* group because of which introgression may also alter the position of *O. aymoninii* in the species tree.

Conclusion

This study confirms previous research corroborating that the endemics (*O. aymoninii* and *O. subinsectifera*) can be easily distinguished from the widespread *O. insectifera* on the basis of size, proportion and colour of their flowers. Similar patterns are observed between both endemics suggesting parallel adaptation to smaller but distinct pollinators, with notably shorter labellum length and the presence yellow margins. At the genome level, this population genomic approach allowed us to differentiate for the first time both endemics from *O. insectifera* and highlight a putative hybrid swarm between *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera*. This pattern, observed only in Spain could be due to recent interspecific gene flow linked to a secondary pollinator. Although further evidence is required to confirm this, this phenomenon could bias the inference of the systematic relationships between these three species by erasing the already weak phylogenetic signal.

Acknowledgments

This work was primarily supported by an Agence Nationale pour la Recherche Jeune Chercheur Jeune Chercheuse (ANR JCJC) grant to J.B., grant number ANR-21-CE02-0022-01 and is set within the framework of the “Laboratoires d’Excellences (LABEX)” TULIP [ANR-10- LABX-41]. We thank P. Aymerich, F. Hopkins, R.

Rudelle, S. Coisne, L. Barré, the Parc National des Cévennes and Takh as well as the Bertrand and Zuliani families for their support.

Credit Statement

Salvado Pascaline (collected the data, performed the analyses and wrote the first version of the manuscript), Anaïs Gibert (collected the data, performed analyses), Bertrand Schatz (designed the study and collected the data), Lucas Vandenabeele (collected the data), Roselyne Buscail (collected the data), David Vilasís (collected the data), Philippe Feldmann (collected the data) and Joris A. M. Bertrand (designed the study, collected the data, performed the analyses and wrote the first version of the manuscript). All authors contributed substantially to the revisions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data availability statement

Sequencing data have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA; <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/browser/home>) under Study with primary accession n°PRJEB75177 (and secondary accession number ERA2969608277).

References

- Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. *Genome Res* 2009;**19**:1655–64.
- Baguette M, Bertrand JAM, Stevens VM *et al.* Why are there so many bee-orchid species? Adaptive radiation by intra-specific competition for mnesic pollinators. *Biological Reviews* 2020;**95**:1630–63.

462

463 Bateman RM, Guy JJ, Rudall PJ *et al.* Evolutionary and functional potential of ploidy
464 increase within individual plants: somatic ploidy mapping of the complex labellum of
465 sexually deceptive bee orchids. *Annals of Botany* 2018;**122**:133–50.

466

467 Bateman RM, Rudall PJ. Morphological Continua Make Poor Species: Genus-Wide
468 Morphometric Survey of the European Bee Orchids (*Ophrys* L.). *Biology*
469 2023;**12**:136.

470

471 Bateman RM, Rudall PJ, Murphy ARM *et al.* Whole plastomes are not enough:
472 phylogenomic and morphometric exploration at multiple demographic levels of the
473 bee orchid clade *Ophrys* sect. *Sphegodes*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*
474 2021;**72**:654–81.

475

476 Bates D, Martin M, Walker S. Package “lme4.” *CRAN Repos* 2016.

477

478 Bertrand JAM, Gibert A, Llauro C *et al.* Whole plastid genome-based phylogenomics
479 supports an inner placement of the *O. insectifera* group rather than a basal position
480 in the rapidly diversifying *Ophrys* genus (Orchidaceae). *Botany Letters*
481 2021;**168**:452–7.

482

483 Breitkopf H, Onstein RE, Cafasso D *et al.* Multiple shifts to different pollinators
484 fuelled rapid diversification in sexually deceptive *Ophrys* orchids. *New Phytologist*
485 2015;**207**:377–89.

486

487 Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S *et al.* Stacks: an analysis tool set for
488 population genomics. *Molecular Ecology* 2013;**22**:3124–40.

489

490 Catchen JM, Amores A, Hohenlohe P *et al.* Stacks: Building and Genotyping Loci De
491 Novo From Short-Read Sequences. *G3 Genes|Genomes|Genetics* 2011;**1**:171–82.

492

493 Claessens J, Kleynen J. Orchidées d’Europe: Fleur et pollinisation. *Biotope Editions*
494 2016.

495

496 Delforge P. À propos de quelques noms invalides d'Orchidées. *Orchidelf* 2021;**1**:1–
497 12.
498

499 Devey DS, Bateman RM, Fay MF *et al.* Friends or Relatives? Phylogenetics and
500 Species Delimitation in the Controversial European Orchid Genus *Ophrys*. *Annals of*
501 *Botany* 2008;**101**:385–402.
502

503 Gervasi DDL, Selosse M-A, Sauve M *et al.* Floral scent and species divergence in a
504 pair of sexually deceptive orchids. *Ecology and Evolution* 2017;**7**:6023–34.
505

506 Gibert A, Buscail R, Baguette M *et al.* Holocene climate change promoted allopatric
507 divergence and disjunct geographic distribution in a bee orchid species.
508 2023:2023.04.27.538532.
509

510 Gibert A, Louty F, Buscail R *et al.* Extracting Quantitative Information from Images
511 Taken in the Wild: A Case Study of Two Vicariants of the *Ophrys aveyronensis*
512 Species Complex. *Diversity* 2022;**14**, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/d14050400>.
513

514 Hartig F. DHARMA: residual diagnostics for hierarchical (multi-level/mixed)
515 regression models. *R Packag version 020* 2018.
516

517 Hermosilla EC, Sabando J. Notas sobre Orquídeas (II). *Est Mus Cienc Nat de Alava*
518 1996;**10–11**:119–40.
519

520 Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S *et al.* Moving beyond P values: data analysis with
521 estimation graphics. *Nat Methods* 2019;**16**:565–6.
522

523 Joffard N, Buatois B, Schatz B. Integrative taxonomy of the fly orchid group: insights
524 from chemical ecology. *Sci Nat* 2016;**103**:77.
525

526 Joffard N, Massol F, Grenié M *et al.* Effect of pollination strategy, phylogeny and
527 distribution on pollination niches of Euro-Mediterranean orchids. *Journal of Ecology*
528 2019;**107**:478–90.
529

530 Jombart T, Ahmed I. adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP
531 data. *Bioinformatics* 2011;**27**:3070–1.
532

533 L  veill  -Bourret   , Chen B-H, Garon-Labrecque M-   *et al.* RAD sequencing
534 resolves the phylogeny, taxonomy and biogeography of Trichophoreae despite a
535 recent rapid radiation (Cyperaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*
536 2020;**145**:106727.
537

538 Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler
539 transform. *Bioinformatics* 2009;**25**:1754–60.
540

541 Malinsky M, Trucchi E, Lawson DJ *et al.* RADpainter and fineRADstructure:
542 Population Inference from RADseq Data. Takezaki N (ed.). *Molecular Biology and*
543 *Evolution* 2018;**35**:1284–90.
544

545 Meirmans PG. genodive version 3.0: Easy-to-use software for the analysis of genetic
546 data of diploids and polyploids. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 2020;**20**:1126–31.
547

548 Minh BQ, Schmidt HA, Chernomor O *et al.* IQ-TREE 2: New Models and Efficient
549 Methods for Phylogenetic Inference in the Genomic Era. *Molecular Biology and*
550 *Evolution* 2020;**37**:1530–4.
551

551 Nei M. *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics*. Columbia University Press, 1987.
552

553 Pi  neiro Fern  ndez L, Byers KJRP, Cai J *et al.* A Phylogenomic Analysis of the Floral
554 Transcriptomes of Sexually Deceptive and Rewarding European Orchids, *Ophrys*
555 and *Gymnadenia*. *Front Plant Sci* 2019;**10**, DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01553.
556

557 Russo A, Alessandrini M, Baidouri ME *et al.* *The Genome of the Early Spider-Orchid*
558 *Ophrys Sphegodes Provides Insights into Sexual Deception and Adaptation to*
559 *Pollinators*. In Review, 2023.
560

561 Schl  ter PM, Xu S, Gagliardini V *et al.* Stearoyl-acyl carrier protein desaturases are
562 associated with floral isolation in sexually deceptive orchids. *Proceedings of the*
563 *National Academy of Sciences* 2011;**108**:5696–701.

564

565 Sedeek KEM, Scopece G, Staedler YM *et al.* Genic rather than genome-wide
566 differences between sexually deceptive Ophrys orchids with different pollinators.
567 *Molecular Ecology* 2014;**23**:6192–205.

568

569 Soliva M, Widmer A. Gene flow across species boundaries in sympatric, sexually
570 deceptive Ophrys (Orchidaceae) species. *Evolution* 2003;**57**:2252–61.

571

572 Triponez Y, Arrigo N, Pellissier L *et al.* Morphological, ecological and genetic aspects
573 associated with endemism in the Fly Orchid group. *Molecular Ecology*
574 2013;**22**:1431–46.

575

576 Zhang C, Rabiee M, Sayyari E *et al.* ASTRAL-III: polynomial time species tree
577 reconstruction from partially resolved gene trees. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2018;**19**:153.

578

579 **Table 1.** Geographic coordinates (in °) and elevation (in m above sea level) of the
580 sampling localities, sample size (N), observed (H_O) and expected (H_E) levels of
581 heterozygosity, deviation from panmixia (G_{IS}), total number of alleles (A) and number
582 of private alleles (A_p) per locality.

Locality	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	N	H_O	H_E	G_{IS}	A	A_p
1-Les Guilleries	41.883	2.356	602	30	0.228	0.254	0.103	11158	2
2-Puig Grifó	41.857	2.320	786	20	0.226	0.249	0.096	11034	0
3-Tarradell	41.876	2.300	681	7	0.229	0.224	-0.023	10750	0
4-Castanyola	41.855	2.276	604	15	0.233	0.247	0.058	10980	0

5-Seva	41.824	2.277	742	21	0.232	0.245	0.052	10756	0
6-L'Estanyol	41.831	2.284	715	24	0.236	0.244	0.036	10890	1
7-Versols-et-Lapeyre	43.897	2.934	412	17	0.232	0.267	0.131	11066	0
8-Le Buffre	44.292	3.411	922	40	0.233	0.272	0.143	11182	0
9-Col de Montmirat	44.419	3.551	1068	24	0.226	0.253	0.105	10846	0
10-Nivoliers	44.255	3.453	1017	14	0.225	0.266	0.155	10679	0
11-Fretma	44.242	3.389	1117	15	0.235	0.232	-0.013	10868	4
12-Rodome	42.800	2.049	959	12	0.241	0.267	0.095	10825	8
Mean					0.231	0.252	0.078		

583

584 **Figure 1.** Map displaying the 12 study sites: 4 in France for *O. aymoninii*, *O.*

585 *insectifera* and their intermediates (8-Le Buffre, 9-Col de Montmirat, 10-Nivoliers and

586 11-Fretma), 2 “control” sites in France with only *O. insectifera* (7-Versols and 12-

587 Rodome) and 6 sites in Spain for *O. subinsectifera*, *O. insectifera* and their

588 intermediates (1-Les Guillerries, 2-Puig Grifó, 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola, 5-L'Estanyol

589 and 6-Seva). Pie charts represent the species composition (on the basis of

590 identification on the basis of phenotypes) of each site, yellow corresponding to *O.*

aymoninii, orange to *O. insectifera*, dark blue to *O. subinsectifera*, red for the intermediates *aymlins* and light blue for the intermediates *sublins*.

Figure 2. Distribution (violin plots and boxplots) of 6 floral and 5 whole-plant traits for each species: *O. aymoninii*, *O. insectifera*, *O. subinsectifera* and individuals with intermediate phenotypes: *aymlins* and *sublins*. The different sites are displayed in different colors. All the values are in mm except for the Plant Size, Distance to First Flower and Distance between First and Second Flower which are in cm.

Figure 3. A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) displaying the two first axes (PC1 and PC2) representing 62.49% and 20.11% of the total genetic variance. PCA was computed based on 239 individuals from 12 sites genotyped at 11,089 SNPs and colors depict sampling localities. **B** PCA displaying the two first axes (PC1 and PC2) representing 62.28% and 20.56% of the total genetic variance. PCA was computed based on 196 individuals by removing 3 sites (i.e., 3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-Seva) and 8,611 SNPs. *O. insectifera* control sites are represented by squares, solid ellipses distinguish the three clusters and dashed ellipses characterise the subclusters.

Figure 4. Co-ancestry matrix between each pair of individuals inferred using fineRADstructure. Each pixel depicts the magnitude of the individual co-ancestry coefficient between two individuals. Low co-ancestry coefficients values are depicted by yellow colors, whereas high values are indicated by darker colors. Different sampling localities are represented by the different colors on the side.

Supplementary data

Table S1. Individual ID, Country, Population and Collector names.

Table S2. Mean and Standard Deviation for Floral and Whole-plant traits for the three species *O. aymoninii*, *O. insectifera*, *O. subinsectifera* and their intermediates *Int. aymlins* and *Int. sublins*. All the traits are in mm, except for Plant Size, Distance to First Flower and Distance between First & Second Flower which are in cm, and the Number of Flowers & Buds.

Table S3. Series of *F*-statistics within individual, among individual, among populations and among Series A (between *O. aymoninii* and *O. insectifera*) and Series B (between *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera*).

Table S4. Pairwise genetic differentiation (G'_{ST} values; Nei, 1987) and pairwise geographic distances (in km). All values were found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.05$).

Figure S1. Distribution of values for Floral and Whole-plant traits. Dashed lines represent the median value for each species and their intermediates, depicted by the different colors.

Figure S2. Forest plots of the different Floral and Whole-plant traits measured for each species and their intermediates. Significant *p*-values are highlighted in blue.


Figure S3. A) Values of the cross-entropy criterion for a number of clusters ranging from $K=1$ to 12. The optimal number of K was found to be 8. **B)** Barplot of ancestry coefficients obtained from ADMIXTURE for 239 individuals for $K=3$, $K=4$ and $K=8$, based on 11,089 SNPs.

Figure S4. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) displaying the two first axes (PC1 and PC2) representing 62.25% and 20.28% of the total genetic variance. PCA was computed on 240 individuals from the 12 sites included in this study and the site

638 Bercedo genotyped using the same protocol (Gibert *et al.*, 2023) at 11,534 SNPs
639 and colors depict sampling localities.

640 **Figure S5.** Phylogenetic tree generated using Astral-III (Zhang *et al.* 2018) based on
641 the concatenation of 4727 locus trees generated with IQ-TREE (Minh *et al.* 2020)
642 with the best model GTR + I + G. The sites (3-Tarradell, 4-Castanyola and 5-
643 L'Estanyol) with intermediate individuals were removed from the analysis. The three
644 species *O. aymoninii*, *O. subinsectifera* and *O. insectifera* were found to be
645 monophyletic with a bootstrap of 1, with the exception of two individuals 22-Div-220
646 and 23-Div-230, which were not phenotypically different but might be cryptically
647 introgressed.


648



France



O. aymoninii



O. insectifera




Intermediate *aym/ins*



Spain



O. subinsectifera









O. insectifera








Intermediate *sub/ins*



Floral traits




Whole-plant traits




species


- 1-Les Guilleries
- 2-Puig Grifó
- 3-Tarradell
- 4-Castanyola
- 5-L'Estanyol
- 6-Seva
- 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre
- 8-Le Buffre
- 9-Col de Montmirat
- 10-Nivoliers
- 11-Fretma
- 12-Rodome

A)



B)





- 1-Les Guilleries
- 2-Puig Grifó
- 3-Tarradell
- 4-Castanyola
- 5-L'Estanyol
- 6-Seva
- 7-Versols-et-Lapeyre
- 8-Le Buffre
- 9-Col de Montmirat
- 10-Nivoliars
- 11-Fretma
- 12-Rodome