

Comparative proximate Analysis of *Citrullus colocynthis*(melon) and *Prosopis africana*(mesquite)

J.C. Ogbu (✉ chiyere.ogbu1@gmail.com)

University of Abuja

P.C. Nwosu

Research Article

Keywords: Ash content, Lipid content, Moisture content, fibre content, unfermented, fermented, *Citrullus colocynthis*, *Prosopis africana*

Posted Date: January 3rd, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3824347/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: The authors declare no competing interests.

Abstract

It is necessary to compare the nutrient quality before and after fermentation, to know the influence of fermentation on processed food. This research compares the nutrient composition before and after fermentation of *Citrullus colocynthis* and *Prosopis Africana*.2007). The Proximate composition (The moisture content, Ash content, Fibre content and lipid content) of the different samples was determined (Fermented *Citrullus colocynthis* in foil and Unfermented *Prosopis africana* had highest moisture content of 83.0 and 62.1 respectively, Fermented *Prosopis africana* in Nylon and Unfermented *Citrullus colocynthis* had the highest Ash content of 1.20 and 0.67 respectively, Fermented *Prosopis africana* in foil and Fermented *Citrullus colocynthis* in foil had the highest fibre content of 40.18 and 12.80 respectively, Fermented *Prosopis africana* in foil and Unfermented *Citrullus colocynthis* had the highest fat content of 2.00 and 30.00 respectively.

INTRODUCTION

The developed nations made mandatory to label all marketed processed food products with their nutrient content to enable the consumer maintain a healthy food regime. Reliable data on nutrition quality of melon and mesquite is therefore very essential in aiding nutritionists and technologists in dietary formulations, processing and product development as well as in nutrition labeling. The knowledge of the chemical composition of any edible organisms is extremely important since the nutritive value is reflected in its biochemical content (1)

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study was carried out at the University of Abuja, Gwagwalada, FCT. Gwagwalada is one of the five municipal area councils of the Federal Capital Territory Abuja. Gwagwalada has an area of 1,043km² and a population of 443,000 at the 2021 census.

Sample Collection

Fresh samples of *Citrullus colocynthis* and *Prosopis africana* seeds (unfermented) were purchased from Gwagwalada market in FCT, Abuja and placed in a clean plastic container and transported to the laboratory.

Preparation of sample using traditional method

Okpehe and ogiri was produced traditionally. Raw mesquite and melon seeds (1000g) were cleaned separately to remove extraneous materials. The seeds were washed in clean water, drained and boiled for 12hours. The boiled seeds were de-hulled and cotyledons were boiled in enough quantity of water for one hour and drained. The boiled seeds were allowed to cool, ground to form paste, wrapped with transparent Nylon (NL) and aluminium foil (FL) and left to ferment for five days.

Proximate Analysis

The proximate analysis was carried out at the chemistry laboratory of University of Abuja. The proximate parameters (moisture, ash, crude fats, and fibers) were determined using standard methods.

Moisture Content Determination: This was done by the gravimetric method as described by (2). About 5g of each sample was weighed and put into a weighed moisture can. The can and its sample content was dried in the oven at 105°C for 3 hours in the first instances. It was cooled in desiccators and reweighed. The weight was recorded while the sample was returned to the oven for further drying. The drying, cooling and weighing was repeated until a constant weight was obtained. The weight of moisture lost was determined by difference and expressed in percentage. It was calculated as in

$$\% \text{ Moisture} = \frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_2 - W_1} \times 100$$

Where W_1 = weight of empty moisture can
 W_2 = weight of can + sample before drying
 W_3 = weight can + sample after drying to a constant weight. This was done in triplicate and mean value was calculated.

Ash Content Determination: This was done using the furnace incineration gravimetric method as described by (2). About 5g of each sample was put in a previously weighed porcelain crucible. The sample in the crucible was put in a muffle furnace set at 550°C and allowed to burn for 2-3 hours. The sample was carefully removed from furnace and cooled in desiccators. Each sample was reweighed and the weight of the ash was obtained by difference and expressed as percentage using the formula given in

$$\% \text{ Ash} = \frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_2 - W_1} \times 100$$

Where W_1 = weight of empty crucible
 W_2 = weight of crucible + weight of sample
 W_3 = weight of crucible + weight of ash

This was done three times and the mean value was calculated.

Fat Determination: The fat contents of the samples was determined by the solvent extraction method using Soxhlet apparatus described by (2). About 5g of each sample was wrapped in a porous paper (Whatman No.1 filter paper). The wrapped sample was placed in an extraction thimble. The thimble was placed in a Soxhlet reflux flask containing 200ml of petroleum ether. The upper end of the reflux was connected to a water condenser. The solvent (petroleum ether) was heated, boiled, vaporized and condensed into the reflux flask. The sample remain in contact with the solvent until the reflux flask filled

up and siphoned over, carrying its oil extract down to the boiling flask. This process was allowed to run repeatedly for at least four (4) hours before the defatted samples was removed, the solvent recovered, and the oil extract was left in the flask. The flask, containing the oil extract was dried in the oven at 60°C for 30 minutes to remove any residual solvent. Oil extracts was cooled in desiccators and weighed. By difference, the weight of fat extract was determined and expressed a percentage of the weight of sample analysed and given the expression in

$$\% \text{ Fat} = w_2 - w_1 / w_3 \times 100$$

Where W_1 = weight of flask

W_2 = weight of flask and extracted fat

W_3 = weight of sample This analysis was done in triplicates of each sample. The means was calculated and recorded accordingly.

Crude Fibre Determination: This was determined by the method as described by (2). About 5g of each sample was defatted (during fat analysis). The defatted samples was boiled in 200ml 1.25% H_2SO_4 solution under reflux for 30 minutes. After which, each sample was washed with several portions of hot (boiling) water using two-fold Mushin cloth to trap the particles. The washed sample was carefully put backed to the flask. 200ml of 1.25% sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution was added to flask. Again, the sample was boiled for 30 minutes and washed as before with hot water. Each sample was carefully transferred to a weighed porcelain crucible and dried in the oven at 105°C for 3 hours. After cooling in desiccators, they were reweighed, put in a muffle furnace and incinerated at 550°C for two hours. Again, they were cooled in desiccators and reweighed. The crude fibre content will be calculated using % Crude fibre = $W_2 - W_3 / W_2 - W_1 \times 100$

Where

W_1 = weight of empty crucible

W_2 = weight of crucible + sample before washing and drying in the oven

W_3 = weight of crucible + sample after drying

RESULTS

	%Moisture	%Ash	%Fibre	%Fats
Unfermented Prosopis Africana	62.1	1.98	18.33	0.15
Fermented Prosopis Africana in foil	53.0	1.19	40.18	2.00
Fermented Prosopis Africana in Nylon	36.5	1.20	24.64	0.50
Unfermented Citrullus colocynthis	25.50	0.67	7.41	30.00
Fermented Citrullus colocynthis in foil	83.00	0.31	12.84	1.50
Fermented citrullus colocynthis in nylon	51.50	0.54	10.92	2.50

DISCUSSION

The Proximate analysis shows that the moisture content in the mesquite seed reduced as result of the fermentation (from 62.1% in unfermented mesquite to 53.0% and 36.5% in fermented mesquite in foil and fermented mesquite in nylon respectively). On the other hand, the moisture content in the melon seeds increased as a result of fermentation (from 25.5% in unfermented melon to 83.0% and 51.5% in fermented melon in foil and fermented melon in nylon respectively). Also, fermented Mesquite and melon in foil had more moisture than fermented melon and mesquite in nylon.

In both mesquite and melon, the ash content reduced as a result of fermentation, For mesquite (from 1.98–1.19% and 1.20% in foil and nylon respectively), For melon (from 0.67–0.31% and 0.54% in foil and nylon respectively). In both mesquite and melon fermented samples in nylon had more ash content.

Fermentation improved the fiber content of both mesquite and melon seeds. In mesquite (from 18.33–40.18% and 24.64% for unfermented, foil and nylon respectively). For melon (from 7.41% to 12.84 and 10.92 in unfermented, foil and nylon respectively). In both mesquite and melon fermented samples in foil had more fibre content. There was no change in the fat content of fermented mesquite in foil but fermented mesquite in nylon increased from 0.50–2.00%. The fat content in melon seeds reduced as a result of fermentation (from 30.00–1.50% and 2.50% for unfermented, fermented in foil and Fermented in nylon respectively).

References

1. Onwuka, G. I. (2018). Food Analysis and Instrumentation: Theory and Practice. 2nd Edn. Naphtali Prints, Lagos. pp. 179-228
2. Nagabhushannam R, Faroogi V.M. (1982). Mobilization of protein, glycogen and lipid during ovarian maturation in marine crab *Scylla serrata* (Forsk.) Indian J. Mar. Sci. 11:184-189

Figures




Figure 1

Proximate composition of unfermented and fermented samples (*Prosopis africana*)




Figure 2

Proximate composition of fermented and unfermented samples (*Citrillus colocynthis*)