

Reproductive responses to increased density and global change drivers in a widespread clonal wetland species, *Schoenoplectus americanus*

Dennis F. Whigham (✉ whighamd@si.edu)

Smithsonian Institution <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1488-820X>

Aoi Kudoh

Kyoto University

J. Patrick Megonigal

SERC: Smithsonian Environmental Research Center

J. Adam Langley

Villanova University

Genevieve L. Noyce

Smithsonian Environmental Research Center

Toshiyuki Sakai

Kyoto University

Research Article

Keywords: clonal plants, wetland, global warming, seed production, sexual reproduction, *Schoenoplectus americanus*

Posted Date: April 20th, 2023

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2814013/v1>

License: © ⓘ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

Version of Record: A version of this preprint was published at Estuaries and Coasts on September 15th, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-023-01249-z>.

Abstract

The expansion of many wetland species is a function of both clonal and sexual propagation. The production of ramets through clonal propagation enables plants to move and occupy space, while seeds produced by sexual reproduction enable species to disperse and colonize open or disturbed sites. The balance between clonal propagation and sexual reproduction is known to vary with plant density but few studies have focused on reproductive allocation with density changes in response to global change. *Schoenoplectus americanus* is a widespread clonal wetland species in North America and a dominant plant in a Chesapeake Bay brackish tidal wetland. Long-term experiments on responses of *S. americanus* to global change provided the opportunity to compare the two modes of propagation under different treatments condition. Seed production increased with increasing shoot density, supporting the hypothesis that factors causing shoot density to increase stimulate sexual reproduction and dispersal of genets. The increase in allocation to sexual reproduction was mainly the result of an increase in the number of ramets that flowered and not an increase in the number of seeds per reproductive shoot, or the ratio between the number of flowers produced per inflorescence and the number of flowers that developed into seeds. Seed production increased in response to increasing temperatures and decreased or did not change in response to increased CO₂ or nitrogen. Results from this comparative study demonstrate that plant responses to global change treatments affect resource allocation and can alter the ability of species to produce seeds.

Introduction

Global change impacts submersed and emergent plant communities, changes that are already occurring at the global scale (Short et al. 2016). To understand the dispersion and establishment of tidal wetland species, it is important to examine their responses to changing climate conditions. Several studies have focused on the responses of tidal wetland species to changes in environmental factors. Gabler et al. (2017) showed that mangroves respond mostly to temperature, CO₂, and changes in hydrology. Nehring and Hesse (2008) suggested that the spread of *Spartina angelica*, an invasive species, is most likely due to increasing global temperatures. *Phragmites australis* is an invasive species in many parts of the world that is likely to benefit from global change (Eller et al. 2017), including from an extended growing season and increased levels of CO₂ (Caplan et al. 2015; Mozdzer et al. 2016). While these and other studies have assessed the responses of tidal wetland plant communities and ecosystem-level parameters such as plant biomass or productivity to changing climate conditions, few studies have focused on the reproductive responses of plants to changing environmental conditions.

Expansion of wetland species is a function of both clonal and sexual propagation. Clonal propagation is an adaptive strategy by which plants produce genetically identical individuals that are spaced apart from each other and exchange resources through belowground tissues such as rhizomes, roots, and stolons (de Kroon and van Groenendael 1997; Cornelissen et al. 2014). Clonality is a successful strategy in flowering plants as demonstrated by the enormous diversity of morphological features associated with clonal species (Klimešová 2018). It enables plants to move and occupy space, often at the exclusion of species that were already present (Zedler and Herscher 2004). On the other hand, sexual propagation is also an important strategy that enables species to colonize open or disturbed sites (Kettenring et al. 2015; Kettenring and Whigham 2018). While the benefits of each type of reproduction are clear, it has been difficult to identify the allocation of sexual propagation in ecologically important clonal plants growing in different environmental conditions (Cornelissen et al. 2014).

Some studies suggested that the allocation of effort to these two propagation modes vary with plant density. It was predicted that sexual propagation should be favored at low plant densities where potential success of sexual propagation is higher (Loehle 1987; Newell and Tramer 1978) and decreasing reproductive effort in response to increasing density has been reported (Snell and Burch 1975; Williams et al. 1977; Law et al. 1979; Humphrey and Pyke 1998). In contrast, there is also evidence that seed production at higher densities is an adaptive trait. Giroux and Bedard (1995) found greater seed production associated with higher shoot densities of *Scirpus pungens* (*Schoenoplectus pungens*) in brackish tidal wetlands. Similar tendencies were reported in other species such as *Tussilago farfara* (Ogden 1974; Abrahamson 1975; Holler and Abrahamson 1977; Demetrio et al. 2020). Ikegami et al. (2012) used a lattice modelling approach to predict that the production of seeds at high densities is the most efficient evolutionary strategy. However, the allocation of effort of clonal species to sexual reproduction in response to climate change has not been clarified.

In this study, we focused on the allocation of resources to sexual propagation in *Schoenoplectus americanus*, an abundant wetland clonal C₃ plant in the sedge family that has variable resource allocation in response to differences in shoot density. Ikegami (2004) showed that *S. americanus* produced more flowering shoots and increased inflorescence mass in patches with higher shoot densities. Ikegami did not, however, examine aspects of seed productivity in response to differences in density. Neither has the allocation of resources to sexual production been examined as part of long-term experiments to characterize the species response to differences in CO₂ concentration, nitrogen availability, temperature and sea level rise (Arp and Drake 1991; Langley and Megonigal 2010; White et al. 2012; Langley et al. 2013; Mozdzer et al. 2016; Noyce et al. 2019; Lu et al. 2019; Pastore et al. 2017; Cott et al. 2020; Gabriel et al. 2022). We focused on two questions related to *S. americanus* sexual reproduction: (i) Does sexual reproductive effort increase with increasing shoot density under natural and experimental conditions, and (ii) Does sexual reproductive effort vary in response to the global change treatments of elevated CO₂, temperature, and nitrogen. We examined these topics by analyzing annual shoot density data from three long-term experiments in combination with measurements of density and allocation to sexual reproduction at the long-term experimental sites.

Methods

Study site and species

This study was conducted at the Global Change Research Wetland (GCRW), part of Kirkpatrick Marsh (38° 53' N, 76° 33' W), a 23-ha brackish tidal wetland in the Rhode River subestuary of Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 1). The wetland is flooded approximately 40% of the time and the dominant species are the C₃ sedge *S. americanus* and the C₄ grasses *Spartina patens* (Aiton) Muhl. and *Distichlis spicata* (L.) Kuntze. Other abundant species are *Iva frutescens* L., *Kosteletzkya virginica* (L.) C. Presl ex A. Gray, and *Schoenoplectus robustus* (Pursh) Soják. The invasive non-native haplotype of *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) Trin. E Steud. is also present and has increased in abundance in recent decades (Holmquist et al. 2021; McCormick et al. 2010).

S. americanus is distributed in tidal wetlands on the coasts of North and South America (Koyama 1963; Tiner and Burke 1995) and is a dominant or co-dominant plant in GCRW experiments that focus on species and ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂, nitrogen (N), and temperature (Drake et al. 1989; Erickson et al. 2007; Pastore et al. 2016, 2017; Langley et al. 2009a, b; Lu et al. 2019; Noyce et al. 2019; Zhu et al. 2022; Gabriel et al. 2022).

CO₂ experiment

This experiment, hereafter referred to as the 'CO₂ experiment', began in 1987 to investigate plant responses to elevated CO₂ and was established in three different plant communities (Drake et al. 1989; Drake 1992). One community, hereafter referred to as 'C₃', was dominated by *S. americanus*. The second community, hereafter referred to as 'C₄', was dominated by *Spartina patens* and *Distichlis spicata*. Both species are in the Poaceae and use the C₄ photosynthetic pathway (Ehleringer and Cerling 2002) that responds minimally to elevated CO₂ compared to C₃ species (Ghannoum et al. 2000). A third community, hereafter referred to as 'Mixed', had all three species. Each community have deviated from the original composition in 1986, generally increasing in dominance of relatively flood-tolerant *S. americanus* (Gabriel et al. 2022). In each community, there is an equal number (5) of open-top chambers (ca. 1 m diameter) that continuously receive ambient air and five chambers that receive ambient air + 340 ppm CO₂ during treatment periods. There are also five no-chamber controls in each community, hereafter referred to as 'control plots'. The ambient and elevated treatments run 24 h per day from May 1 to October 31 annually.

CO₂ x N experiment

A second long-term experiment that also uses open-top chambers was initiated in 2006 to investigate plant and ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ and N addition (Langley et al. 2009a, b). The study was established in an area of the Kirkpatrick Marsh that was in the same general location as the CO₂ experiment but where the plant community was dominated by *S. americanus*. Five chambers (ca. 2 m diameter) receive ambient air, five chambers receive ambient + 340 ppm CO₂, five chambers receive ambient air and no added nitrogen, five chambers receive elevated CO₂ and nitrogen. Each chamber has an outside non-chambered control area, hereafter referred to as 'control plots'. Chambers that receive CO₂ are managed using the same protocols as described above for the CO₂ experiment, except that CO₂ is only added during daylight hours. Chambers in the nitrogen addition treatment are fertilized monthly from May to September with NH₄Cl (5 g N m⁻² month⁻¹ = 25 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹).

Warming experiment

In 2016, the Salt Marsh Accretion Response to Temperature eXperiment (SMARTX) was initiated to investigate plant and ecosystem responses to whole-ecosystem warming using infrared lamps and belowground heating cables (Noyce et al. 2019). We used the 12 experimental plots in the *S. americanus*-dominated plant community (a.k.a. C₃ community) treated with four levels of warming (control, + 1.7°C, + 3.4°C, + 5.1°C) at ambient CO₂, with each treatment replicated three times. Thus, replication in the warming experiment (n = 3) was lower than in the other experiments (n = 5).

Schoenoplectus density and sexual production

During the 2019 growing season (August-September) we counted the number of vegetative and reproductive shoots in each chamber and control plot for each of the three experiments. We randomly harvested 10 flowering shoots from each chamber and control plot for determination of reproductive effort (described below). If there were fewer than 10 flowering shoots per chamber or control plot, we harvested all of them.

Flowering shoots produce a terminal inflorescence composed of 1–15 spikelets. Each spikelet has one or more flowers that can develop into fruits that are a firm, brown achene. In the laboratory, we counted the number of spikelets on each harvested shoot and dissected each spikelet to determine the number of mature and dispersed fruits. The number of dispersed fruits could be determined because each one left a depression on the spikelet rachilla. Immature fruits on each spikelet, always at the terminal end of a spikelet, were also counted. Immature fruits were much smaller than mature fruits, were not black, and were still subtended by a bract that is not present at the base of mature fruits.

Data Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.6.0 (R Core Team 2019). To evaluate seed reproduction, three sexual reproduction variables were used: 1. flowering ratio (the ratio of the number of flowering shoots to the total number of shoots), 2. potential seed production (sum of mature and immature seeds) per reproductive shoot, and 3. ratio of mature to potential seeds in each reproductive shoot.

To test the hypothesis that sexual reproductive effort increased with increasing shoot density, we performed a logistic regression model for variables 1 and 3, and a linear regression model for variable 2 to examine the association of shoot density and the three reproduction variables. These analyses were performed with the glm and lm functions. We also calculated the main and interactive effects of a factorial combination of shoot density and

treatment. In each experiment, shoot count data from control plots and all treatments were pooled and analyzed with a logistic regression model for variables 1 and 3, and a linear regression model for variable 2.

The effects of experimental treatments (CO_2 , N, temperature) on the three reproductive variables were also examined. Data for the three reproductive variables were tested for normality with the Shapiro-Wilk test (Shapiro.test function in the stats R package version 3.6.0) before and after log transformation. Log transformation was accomplished by applying the equation $\log(x + 1)$ to each data set. We used untransformed data on the following analysis because the data were not normally distributed either before or after log transformation (Supplemental Table 1). For the CO_2 experiment, the main effects and interactions between treatments (control, ambient CO_2 , elevated CO_2) and communities (C_3 , C_4 , Mixed) were analyzed with the Scheirer-Ray-Hare test (scheirerRayHare function in the rcompanion R package version 2.3.25) and means were compared with the Steel-Dwass test. For the $\text{CO}_2 \times \text{N}$ and warming experiments, treatments were compared using Kruskal-Wallis test (kruskal.test function in the stats R package version 3.6.0) and means were compared with Steel-Dwass test. We considered p-values of < 0.50 to be particularly meaningful but this arbitrary p-value threshold was not used as the sole source of inference to judge whether our results were scientifically meaningful (Smith 2020).

Results

Sexual reproductive effort responses to differences in shoot density in non-chambered control plots

The flowering ratio increased significantly across spatial and temporal variability as shoot density increased in the control plots of all three experiments (Fig. 2A, D, G; Table 1). In the CO_2 experiment, the number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot and the ratio of mature seeds to potential seeds increased with increasing shoot density in the control plots (Fig. 2B, C; Table 1). In the $\text{CO}_2 \times \text{N}$ experiment, the number of potential seeds was positively related to shoot density, but the relationship was not significant and the ratio of mature to potential seeds was negative in the control plots (Figs. 2E, F; Table 1). There was no relationship between the two seed-related variables and density in the Warming experiment control plots (Fig. 2H, I; Table 1).

Table 1

Results of the regression comparisons between shoot density and reproductive variables (flowering ratio, number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot, ratio of mature to potential seeds). A logistic regression model was used for the flowering ratio and ratio of mature to potential seeds. A linear regression model was used for number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot.

	CO ₂ experiment (C ₃ + C ₄ + Mixed)			CO ₂ x N experiment (C ₃ community)					Warming experiment (C ₃ community)			
	Control	Amb CO ₂	Elev CO ₂	Control	Amb CO ₂	Amb CO ₂ + N	Elev CO ₂	Elev CO ₂ + N	+ 0°C	+ 1.7°C	+ 3.4°C	+ 5.1°C
Flowering ratio per plot												
Coefficients (Intercept)	-6.9	-2.1	-3.6	-8	-1	-2.6	-2.6	-2.6	-8.1	-2.3	-3.1	-2.9
Coefficients (Density)	8.10E-03	-1.60E-05	1.40E-03	4.70E-03	-1.10E-03	3.90E-04	2.60E-04	-1.90E-05	7.50E-03	3.50E-04	2.20E-03	2.10E-03
Residual deviance	46.5	319	314.3	68.8	84.8	177.3	114.4	162.9	13.9	42.7	56	56.4
p-value*	< 2E-16	0.95	1.10E-07	0.024	0.0022	0.36	0.56	0.96	0.019	0.67	4.70E-05	7.90E-05
Number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot												
Coefficients (Intercept)	2.1	78.6	22.3	-29.7	54.2	47.7	33	43.9	20.7	47.8	45.6	55.2
Coefficients (Density)	0.02	-0.05	0.02	0.09	-0.003	-0.02	0.02	0.004	0.002	-0.02	-0.007	-0.02
Adjusted R-squared	0.05	0.06	0.007	0.04	-0.005	0.01	0.0008	-0.006	-0.1	-0.004	-0.008	0.005
p-value	0.041	0.0059	0.17	0.22	0.81	0.12	0.29	0.75	0.98	0.42	0.7	0.22
Ratio of mature to potential seeds per reproductive shoot												
Coefficients (Intercept)	-5.8	-3	-4.7	2.8	-1.9	-2	-1.3	-3.6	-2.2	-0.55	-0.031	-1.9
Coefficients (Density)	7.80E-03	2.40E-03	3.60E-03	-6.50E-03	2.70E-04	-2.80E-04	-1.10E-03	1.10E-03	-8.90E-05	-1.10E-03	-1.90E-03	7.70E-04
Residual deviance	288.8	1702.8	1762.6	66.3	2109.2	969.8	1567.9	1130	24.4	971.2	1237.2	1030.6
p-value*	< 2E-16	< 2E-16	< 2E-16	1.80E-08	0.048	0.32	6.70E-06	1.60E-06	0.98	2.70E-04	8.60E-09	4.00E-04
* The p-value indicates the significance of a density effect.												

Sexual reproduction responses under experimental conditions

CO₂ experiment

The number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot and the ratio of mature to potential seeds differed sharply among treatments (Table 2). Means for the flowering ratio were not significantly different but the means were greater in the ambient and elevated chambers (Fig. 3A). The mean number of potential seeds was higher in the ambient and elevated chambers compared to controls (Fig. 3B). The mean ratio of mature to potential seeds were also higher in the ambient chambers compared to the controls and elevated chambers, particularly in the elevated chambers (control vs ambient: $p = 0.011$, ambient vs elevated: $p = 5.9E-05$ in Steel-Dwass test, Fig. 3C). The number of potential seeds and the ratio of mature to potential seeds differed among communities (Table 2), and treatments and communities interacted to affect the ratio of mature to potential seeds (Table 2). The means and standard errors for the three reproductive variables in each plant community are shown in Supplemental Table 2 and Supplemental Fig. 1.

Table 2

Results of Scheirer-Ray-Hare test for the CO₂ experiment and the Kruskal-Wallis test for CO₂ x N experiment and warming experiment for four reproductive variables: flowering ratio, the number of potential seed per reproductive shoot, ratio of mature to potential seeds.

		Flowering ratio		Number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot		Ratio of mature to potential seeds	
		Statistic H / Chi-squared*	p-value	Statistic H / Chi-squared*	p-value	Statistic H / Chi-squared*	p-value
CO ₂ experiment (C ₃ + C ₄ + Mixed)	Treatment	4.9	0.09	47.7	0.0	19.9	4.8E-05
	Community	1.6	0.44	16.8	2.3E-04	37.5	0.0
	Interaction (Treatment:Community)	3.0	0.55	6.5	0.17	9.7	0.046
CO ₂ x N experiment (C ₃ community)	Treatment	74.4	2.7E-15	35.8	3.2E-07	39.0	6.9E-08
Warming experiment (C ₃ community)	Treatment	27.7	4.1E-07	8.8	0.031	3.3	0.35

* This column shows the H statistic for the CO₂ experiment and chi-squared for CO₂ x N experiment and warming experiment.

CO₂ x N experiment

There were significant treatment differences for all reproductive variables (Table 2). The means for the flowering ratio were higher in all treatment chambers and highest in ambient chambers (Fig. 3D). The mean number of potential seeds was higher in the treatment chambers compared to controls, but the means were not significantly different (Fig. 3E). The mean ratio of mature to potential seeds was more variable, and there were no significant differences between controls and treatment chambers (Fig. 3F). Means and standard errors for three reproductive variables are in Supplemental Table 2. It is difficult to compare the controls and chambers in this experiment because during the summer of 2019, an outbreak of an unknown insect, not apparent in most years, consumed inflorescences inordinately in the control plots (A. Langley, personal observation).

Warming experiment

There were significant treatment differences for the flowering ratio and the number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot (Table 2). Warming increased the flowering ratio and the mean number of potential seeds (Fig. 3G, H). The means for the ratio of mature to potential seeds were not significantly different but were greater in all warming plots (Fig. 3I). Means and standard error for three reproductive variables are in Supplemental Table 2.

Effects of experimental conditions on the relationship between seed production and shoot density

CO₂ experiment

The flowering ratio increased significantly with increasing shoot density in the control plots (Fig. 2) but there was no statistically significant relationship with density in the ambient chambers (Fig. 4A; Table 1). There was a significantly positive relationship between the flowering ratio and density in the elevated chambers (Fig. 4A; Table 1). The number of potential seeds increased with increasing shoot density in the control plots (Fig. 2B). However, the relationship was significantly negative in the ambient chambers and while the relationship was positive in the elevated chambers it was not significant (Fig. 4B; Table 1). The ratio of mature to potential seeds increased in the controls and treatment chambers (Figs. 2C and 4C; Table 1).

CO₂ x N experiment

The flowering ratio was significantly related to shoot density in the control plots (Fig. 2D). The relationship was negative in the ambient chambers (Fig. 4D; Table 1) and there were no significant relationships in the other three treatments (Fig. 4D, G; Table 1). The number of potential seeds was positively related to shoot density, but the relationship was not statistically significant in the control plots (Fig. 2E). The relationships were not significant in treatment chambers (Fig. 4E, H). The ratio of mature to potential seeds had a negative relationship to density in the control chambers (Fig. 2F). However, the ratio increased with increasing shoot density in the ambient and elevated + N chambers but the relationships were not significant (Fig. 4F, I; Table 1).

Warming experiment

The flowering ratio increased with increasing shoot density in control plots (Fig. 2G) and the + 3.4°C and + 5.1°C plots but the relationship was not statistically significant in the + 1.7°C plots (Fig. 4J; Table 1). The number of potential seeds had no statistically significant relationship to density in any of the elevated temperature plots (Fig. 4K; Table 1). The ratio of mature to potential seeds had no statistically significant relationship to density in control plots (Fig. 2I) but the relationships were negative and statistically significant in the + 1.7 and + 3.4°C plots and positive and statistically significant in the + 5.1°C plots (Fig. 4L; Table 1).

Discussion

In over 35 years of experimental research, *S. americanus* in the Kirkpatrick Marsh has been temporally dynamic with changes in the relative abundance and morphology (Drake 2014; Lu et al. 2019). The results of this study demonstrate that changes in shoot density can influence the reproductive effort of *S. americanus* and the relationship between seed production and shoot density may vary depending on experimental conditions. *Schoenoplectus americanus* is widespread nationally (Fig. 1 and Smith 2002), is a dominant species in Chesapeake Bay wetlands (McCormick and Somes 1982) and will be an important species in coastal wetlands as they respond to changing climatic conditions (Noyce et al. 2023; Vahsen et al. 2023). It will be an especially important species in influencing wetland response to sea level rise as it has been shown to be most abundant and productive when tidal flooding is frequent (Kirwan and Guntenspergen 2015; Holmquist et al. 2021).

The long-term GCRew experiments have focused on response of plant production and density in *S. americanus* but none of the prior research focused on seed production, an important component of ecosystem function (e.g., Pearse et al. 2017; Solbreck and Knappe 2017). Our results from the non-chambered control plots demonstrate a positive relationship between shoot density and sexual reproductive effort for *S. americanus* (Fig. 2). The increase of sexual reproductive effort was mainly the result of an increase in the number of ramets that flowered. Studies of other species suggest that the relationship between sexual reproductive effort and density is variable. Winn and Pitelka (1981) suggested that increased shoot density would result in increased competition between ramets and decreased resource availability for seed production, a view supported by Newell and Tramer (1978) and Loehle (1987). In contrast, increased seed production at higher densities may be an adaptive trait in competitive environments as seed production and dispersal would enable species to escape from competitive crowding and colonize new environments (Abrahamson 1975; Abrahamson 1980; Winn and Pitelka 1981; Giroux and Bedard 1995). A feature of clonal species like *S. americanus* that would support increased seed production, even at higher densities, is division of labor (Roiloa et al. 2014), a process that enables physiologically connected ramets of clonal plants to share resources. In environments such as wetlands where clonal herbaceous species are often dominant and where resources are spatially heterogeneous (e.g., Weiss et al. 2014; Dong et al. 2015), division of labor would enable ramets to secure resources that are locally available with ramets that may be rooted in areas where resources are less available. Ikegami (2004) demonstrated that division of labor occurs in *S. americanus* and that process may be partially responsible for the positive relationships between density in the non-chambered control plots and the two seed production variables in the CO₂ experiment (Fig. 2B, C) as the sharing of resources can benefit a ramet that is in suboptimal conditions.

In the experimental conditions, we found that there was no positive relationship between shoot density and flowering ratio under increased CO₂, N addition and modest warming (+ 1.7°C) conditions. Multiple studies have shown that plant production of *S. americanus* is limited under CO₂ increasing. Langley and Magonigal (2010) showed that N addition enhanced the CO₂ stimulation of plant productivity in the first year of the CO₂ x N experiment. Lu et al. (2019) included more recent data from the CO₂ experiment and analyzed data from the CO₂ x N experiment. In addition to annual differences in shoot density in both experiments, Lu et al. (2019) found that the diameter and height of *S. americanus* shoots decreased in the CO₂ experiment but not in the CO₂ x N experiment. They concluded that N limitation caused by elevated CO₂ was responsible for the morphological changes as added N reversed the effects in the CO₂ x N experiment. The N limitation caused production of smaller individual stems at higher plant density (Lu et al., 2019) and may restricted seed production at the higher density (Fig. 4A, D). Seed production has been predicted or shown to both increase and decrease in crops in response to higher CO₂ (Ziska et al. 2001; Allen and Prasad 2004; Lenka et al. 2017) and the same has been shown for native plants (Billings and Billings 1983; Zangerl and Bazzaz 1984; HilleRisLambers et al. 2009). For *S. americanus*, an increase in the percentage of flowering shoots associated with increased shoot density does not, however, necessarily result in increased seed production per individual reproductive shoot when CO₂ and CO₂ x N are environmental factors. A positive relationship between the three variables and plant density in elevated CO₂ (Fig. 4A, B, C) indicate that as concentrations of atmospheric CO₂ increase, *S. americanus* will allocate more resources to seed production. When shoot density is not considered, however, the lower means for all three variables in the elevated chambers (Elev in Fig. 3A B, C) indicate that other factors may limit seed production, especially the maturation of seeds. The number of potential seeds per flowering shoot were statistically the same for ambient and elevated chambers (Fig. 3B), but the mean ratio of mature to potential seeds was statistically lower in the elevated chambers, indicating that resources may limit seed maturation under elevated CO₂. Unfortunately, interpretation of the results from the CO₂ x N experiment were complicated by the impacts of an insect outbreak across the GCRew area prior to when we sampled the site. A positive response between shoot density and the number of potential seeds per flowering shoot (Fig. 2E) and a significant increase in the same variable when density was not considered indicate that CO₂ and N increase the potential for seed production. Resource limitations, however, may have a negative impact of seed maturation (compare Figs. 3E and F), especially in response to increasing shoot densities (Fig. 4E-I).

Temperature has variable effects on seed production in crops and native species (Klady et al. 2011; Caignard et al. 2017; Lenka et al. 2017; Lippmann et al. 2019). Our results demonstrate that temperature will impact sexual reproductive effort in *S. americanus*. Means for all three variables related to sexual reproductive effort were higher in the + 1.7, + 3.4, and + 5.1°C treatments in the Warming experiment and the differences were significant for two of the variables (Fig. 3G, H, I). Data from the CO₂ and CO₂ x N experiments also provide evidence of a potential temperature effect on sexual

reproductive effort. Higher means for the three variables from the Ambient treatment in the CO₂ and CO₂ x N experiments (Amb in Fig. 3A, B, C, D, E, F) versus the non-chambered control suggests a chamber effect that we interpret to be due to the cumulative effect of slightly higher temperatures in the ambient chambers over many years. Temperature differences inside and outside of chambers have been reported for experiments that are not designed to evaluate temperature (Vanaja et al. 2006; Messerli et al. 2015) and Drake et al. (1989) reported temperatures inside chambers in the CO₂ experiment at GCRW to be 1-2°C higher than outside temperatures. Noyce et al. (2019), using plant density as a variable in the calculation of net primary production, also found annual differences in response to the temperature treatments in the first three years of the SMARTX experiment. They also showed that root-to-shoot allocation was changed depending on warming and suggested that modest warming (+ 1.7°C) caused plant demand for N to outpace the soil N supply while extreme warming (+ 3.4 and + 5.1°C) caused the N supply to outpace plant N demand. In this study, there is no positive relationship between flowering ratio and plant density in ambient chambers in CO₂ and CO₂ x N experiments, and at + 1.7°C plots in Warming experiment. However, there was a positive relationship at the + 3.4 and + 5.1°C plots in Warming experiment. Seed production of *S. americanus* may be suppressed under modest warming conditions because of N limitation but not be suppressed under extreme warming conditions with little N limitation.

Few studies have documented the effects of multiple factors on seed production. Miyagi et al. (2007) found that elevated CO₂ increased seed production in eleven annual species but concluded that the response was limited by nitrogen availability. Osanai et al. (2017) examined the interacting effects of elevated CO₂ and temperature on cotton under variable soil conditions. They found, similar to this study, temperature and elevated CO₂ had a positive impact on fruit production, but the temperature response was larger, and the two variables interacted through the effects on nutrient availability, especially nitrogen availability. Increasing nutrient availability, however, may not result in increased seed production in nutrient limited ecosystems. Molau and Shaver (1997) found that elevating temperatures increased sexual reproductive effort of *Eriophorum vaginatum*, a species in the Cyperaceae - similar to *S. americanus* - in open topped chambers in arctic environments, but adding N and P to experimental plots had no effect on seed number.

In summary, results of this comparative study demonstrate that an important wetland clonal species produces more flowering ramets in response to increasing density and that increasing temperature has a positive effect on the allocation of resources to sexual reproduction, perhaps mediated by temperature effects on N supply (Noyce et al. 2019). We also demonstrate that when other environmental factors (CO₂ and N) are considered, increased allocation of resources to sexual reproduction may not result in an increase in the production of more mature seeds, even though reproductive ramets may have more flowers (i.e., potential seeds). The results suggest that the adaptive reproductive strategy of *S. americanus* will be impacted by future climate changes. The study demonstrated that an increased allocation of resources to sexual reproduction but not the production of mature seeds, an important component of the species ecological strategy (Ikegami et al. 2012). Future research on this species and other important wetland clonal species, should focus on experiments that include several levels of the key environmental factors. The research should also consider studies of seed dispersal, similar to those conducted by Kudoh and Whigham (2001) and seedling growth and establishment as the ability of species to colonize suitable habitats will be an important aspect of wetlands in the future.

Declarations

Acknowledgments

A. K. was supported by Kyoto University OMORO Challenge Scholarship Program. The long-term research at the study sites has been supported by the Smithsonian Institution and the National Science Foundation Long-Term Research in Environmental Biology Program (DEB-0950080, DEB-1457100, DEB-1557009, DEB-2051343), the Department of Energy Terrestrial Ecosystem Science Program (DE-FG02-97ER62458, DE-SC0014413, DE-SC0019110, DE-SC0021112), the United States Geological Survey (G10AC00675), the National Science Foundation Long-Term Research in Environmental Biology Program (DEB-0950080, DEB-1457100, DEB-1557009, DEB-2051343), and the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center.

References

1. Abrahamson, W. 1975. Reproductive strategies in dewberries. *Ecology* 56: 721–726. doi:10.2307/1935508.
2. Abrahamson, W. 1980. Demography and vegetative reproduction. In *Demography and evolution in plant populations*, ed. Solbrig O. T., 89–106. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.
3. Allen, L. H., P. V. Prasad, and R. M. Goodman. 2004. Crop responses to elevated carbon dioxide. *Encyclopedia of Plant and Crop Science* 346–348. doi: 10.1081/E-EPCS 120005566.
4. Arp, W. J., and B. G. Drake. 1991. Increased photosynthetic capacity of *Scirpus olneyi* after 4 years of exposure to elevated CO₂. *Plant Cell & Environment* 14: 1003–1006. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.1991.tb00971.x.
5. Billings, W. D., and S. M. Billings. 1983. Growth and reproduction in four populations of *Saxifraga flagellaris*, a rare arctic-alpine plant species, in controlled environments. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America* 64: 58.
6. Caignard, T., A. Kremer, C. Firmat, M. Nicolas, S. Venner, and S. Delzon. 2017. Increasing spring temperatures favor oak seed production in temperate areas. *Scientific Reports* 7: 1–8. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-09172-7.
7. Caplan, J. S., R. N. Hager, J. P. Megonigal, and T. J. Mozdzer. 2015. Global change accelerates carbon assimilation by a wetland ecosystem engineer. *Environmental Research Letters* 10: 115006. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/11/115006.

8. Cornelissen, J. H. C., Y. B. Song, F. H. Yu, and M. Dong. 2014. Plant traits and ecosystem effects of clonality: a new research agenda. *Annals of Botany* 114: 369–376. doi:10.1093/aob/mcu113.
9. Cott, G. M., M. A. K. Jansen, and J. P. Megonigal. 2020. Uptake of organic nitrogen by coastal wetland plants under elevated CO₂. *Plant and Soil* 450: 521–535. doi:10.1007/s11104-020-04504-5.
10. Demetrio, G. R., M. E. A. Barbosa, and F. de F. Coelho. 2020. Plant density influence on life history traits of a perennial herb in rocky outcrops, southeastern Brazil. *Iheringia Série Botânica* 75: e2020014. doi:10.21826/2446-82312020v75e2020014.
11. Dong, B. C., P. Alpert, Q. Zhang, and F. H. Yu. 2015. Clonal integration in homogeneous environments increases performance of *Alternanthera philoxeroides*. *Oecologia* 179: 393–403. doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3338-y.
12. Drake, B. G., P. W. Leadley, W. J. Arp, D. Nassiry, and P. S. Curtis. 1989. An open top chamber for field studies of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on saltmarsh vegetation. *Functional Ecology* 3: 363–371. doi:10.2307/2389377.
13. Drake, B. G. 1992. A field study of the effects of elevated CO₂ on ecosystem processes in a Chesapeake Bay wetland. *Australian Journal of Botany* 40: 579–595. doi:10.1071/BT9920579.
14. Drake, B. G. 2014. Rising sea level, temperature, and precipitation impact plant and ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ on a Chesapeake Bay wetland: review of a 28-year study. *Global Change Biology* 20: 3329–3343. doi:10.1111/gcb.12631.
15. de Kroon, H., and J. van Groenendaal. 1997. *The Ecology and Evolution of Clonal Plants*. Leiden: Backhuys Publishers.
16. Ehleringer, J. R., and T. E. Cerling. 2002. C₃ and C₄ photosynthesis. In *Encyclopedia of Global Environmental Change, Volume 2, The Earth system: biological and ecological dimensions of global environmental change*, ed. Mooney, H. A., and Canadell, J. G., 186–190. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
17. Eller, F., H. Skálová, J. S. Caplan, G. P. Bhattarai, M. K. Burger, J. T. Cronin, W.-Y. Guo, X. Guo, E. L. G. Hazelton, K. M. Kettenring, C. Lambertini, M. K. McCormick, L. A. Meyerson, T. J. Mozdzer, P. Pyšek, B. K. Sorrell, D. F. Whigham, and H. Brix. 2017. Cosmopolitan species as models for ecophysiological responses to global change: the common reed *Phragmites australis*. *Frontiers Plant Science* 8: 1833. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01833.
18. Erickson, J. E., J. P. Megonigal, G. Peresta, and B. G. Drake. 2007. Salinity and sea level mediate elevated CO₂ effects on C₃-C₄ plant interactions and tissue nitrogen in a Chesapeake Bay tidal wetland. *Global Change Biology* 13: 202–215. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01285.x.
19. Gabler, C. A., M. J. Osland, J. B. Grace, C. L. Stagg, R. H. Day, S. B. Hartley, N. M. Enwright, A. S. From, M. L. McCoy, and J. L. McLeod. 2017. Macroclimatic change expected to transform coastal wetland ecosystems this century. *Nature Climate Change* 7: 142–147. doi:10.1038/nclimate3203.
20. Gabriel, J. R., J. Reid, L. Wang, T. J. Mozdzer, D. F. Whigham, J. P. Megonigal, and J. A. Langley. 2022. Interspecific competition is prevalent and stabilizes plant production in a brackish marsh facing sea level rise. *Estuaries and Coasts* 45: 1646–1655. doi:10.1007/s12237-021-01043-9.
21. Ghannoum, O., S. Von Caemmerer, L. H. Ziska, and J. P. Control. 2000. The growth response of C₄ plants to rising atmospheric CO₂ partial pressure: a reassessment. *Plant Cell & Environment* 23: 931–942. doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00609.x.
22. Giroux, J. B., and J. Bédard. 1995. Seed production, germination rate, and seedling establishment of *Scirpus pungens* in tidal brackish marshes. *Wetlands* 15: 290–297. doi:10.1007/BF03160709.
23. HilleRisLambers, J., W. S. Harpole, S. Schnitzer, D. Tilman, and P. B. Reich. 2009. CO₂, nitrogen, and diversity differentially affect seed production of prairie plants. *Ecology* 90: 1810–1820. doi:10.1890/07-1351.1.
24. Holler, L. C., and W. G. Abrahamson. 1977. Seed and vegetative reproduction in relation to density in *Fragaria virginiana* (Rosaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 64: 1003–1007. doi:10.1002/j.1537-2197.1977.tb11946.x.
25. Holmquist, J. R., L. Schile-Beers, K. Buffington, M. Lu, T. J. Mozdzer, J. Riera, D. E. Weller, M. Williams, and J. P. Megonigal. 2021. Scalability and performance tradeoffs in quantifying relationships between elevation and tidal wetland plant communities. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 666: 57–72. doi:10.3354/meps13683.
26. Humphrey, L. D., and D. A. Pyke. 1998. Demographic and growth responses of a guerrilla and a phalanx perennial grass in competitive mixtures. *Journal of Ecology* 86: 854–865. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2745.1998.8650854.x.
27. Ikegami, M. 2004. Functional spatialization of ramets in a clonal plant network. PhD Thesis. Utrecht University, Utrecht, Nederland.
28. Ikegami, M., D. F. Whigham, and M. J. A. Werger. 2012. Effects of local density of clonal plants on their sexual and vegetative propagation strategies in a lattice structure model. *Ecological Modelling* 234: 51–59. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.03.026.
29. Kettenring, K. M., D. F. Whigham, E. L. G. Hazelton, S. K. Gallagher, and H. M. Weiner. 2015. Biotic resistance, disturbance, and mode of colonization impact the invasion of a widespread, introduced wetland grass. *Ecological Applications* 25: 466–480. doi:10.1890/14-0434.1.
30. Kettenring, K. M., and D. F. Whigham. 2018. The role of propagule type, resource availability, and seed source in *Phragmites* invasion in Chesapeake Bay wetlands. *Wetlands* 38: 1259–1268. doi:10.1007/s13157-018-1034-5.
31. Kirwan, M. L., and G. R. Guntenspergen. 2015. Response of plant productivity to experimental flooding in a stable and a submerging marsh. *Ecosystems* 18: 903–913. doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9870-0.
32. Klady, R. A., G. H. Henry, and V. Lemay. 2011. Changes in high arctic tundra plant reproduction in response to long-term experimental warming. *Global Change Biology* 17: 1611–1624. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02319.x.

33. Klimešová, J. 2018. *Temperate Herbs: An Architectural Analysis*. Praha: Academia.
34. Koyama, T. 1963. The genus *Scirpus* Linn.: critical species of the section Pterolepis. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 41: 1107–1131. doi:10.1139/b63-091.
35. Kudoh, H., and D. F. Whigham. 2001. A genetic analysis of hydrologically dispersed seeds of *Hibiscus moscheutos* (Malvaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 88: 588–593. doi:10.2307/2657057.
36. Langley, J. A., K. L. McKee, D. R. Cahoon, J. A. Cherry, and J. P. Megonigal. 2009a. Elevated CO₂ stimulates marsh elevation gain, counterbalancing sea-level rise. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106: 6182–6186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0807695106.
37. Langley, J. A., M. V. Sigrist, J. Duls, D. R. Cahoon, J. C. Lynch, and J. P. Megonigal. 2009b. Global change and marsh elevation dynamics: experimenting where land meets sea and biology meets geology. *Smithsonian Contributions to the Marine Sciences* 38: 391–400. doi:10.5479/si.01960768.38.391.
38. Langley, J. A., and J. P. Megonigal. 2010. Ecosystem response to elevated CO₂ levels limited by nitrogen-induced plants species shift. *Nature* 466: 96–99. doi:10.1038/nature09176.
39. Langley, J. A., T. J. Mozdzer, K. A. Shepard, S. B. Hagerty, and J. P. Megonigal. 2013. Tidal marsh plant responses to elevated CO₂, nitrogen fertilization, and sea level rise. *Global Change Biology* 19: 1495–1503. doi:10.1111/gcb.12147.
40. Law, R., A. D. Bradshaw, and P. D. Putwain. 1979. Reply to W. G. Abrahamson. *Evolution* 33: 519–520. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1979.tb04706.x.
41. Lenka, N. K., S. Lenka, J. K. Thakur, R. Elanchezian, S. B. Aher, V. Simaiya, D. S. Yashona, A. K. Biswas, P. K. Agrawal, and A. K. Patra. 2017. Interactive effect of elevated carbon dioxide and elevated temperature on growth and yield of soybean. *Current Science* 113: 2305–2310.
42. Lippmann, R., S. Babben, A. Menger, C. Delker, and M. Quint. 2019. Development of wild and cultivated plants under global warming conditions. *Current Biology* 29: R1326–R1338. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.10.016.
43. Loehle, C. 1987. Partitioning of reproductive effort in clonal plants: a benefit–cost model. *Oikos* 49: 199–208. doi:10.2307/3566027.
44. Lu, M., E. R. Herbert, J. A. Langley, M. L. Kirwan, and J. P. Megonigal. 2019. Nitrogen status regulates regular morphological adaptation of marsh plants to elevated CO₂. *Nature Climate Change* 9: 764–768. doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0582-x.
45. McCormick, J., and S. H. A. Jr. 1982. *The Coastal Wetlands of Maryland*. Chevy Chase: McCormick J. and Associates, Inc.
46. McCormick, M. K., K. M. Kettenring, H. M. Baron, and D. F. Whigham. 2010. Extent and reproductive mechanisms of *Phragmites australis* spread in brackish wetlands in Chesapeake Bay, Maryland (USA). *Wetlands* 30: 67–74. doi:10.1007/s13157-009-0007-0.
47. Messerli, J., A. Bertrand, J. Bourassa, G. Bélanger, Y. Castonguay, G. Tremblay, V. Baron, and P. Sequin. 2015. Performance of low-cost open-top chambers to study long-term effects of carbon dioxide and climate under field conditions. *Agronomy Journal* 107: 916–920. doi:10.2134/agronj14.0571.
48. Miyagi, K. M., T. Kinugasa, K. Hikosaka, and T. Hirose. 2007. Elevated CO₂ concentration, nitrogen use, and seed production in annual plants. *Global Change Biology* 13: 2161–2170. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01429.x.
49. Molau, U., and G. R. Shaver. 1997. Controls on seed production and seed germinability in *Eriophorum vaginatum*. *Global Change Biology* 3: 80–88. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.1997.gcb130.x.
50. Mozdzer, T. J., J. A. Langley, P. Mueller, and J. P. Megonigal. 2016. Deep rooting and global change facilitate spread of invasive grass. *Biological Invasions* 18: 2619–2631. doi:10.1007/s10530-016-1156-8.
51. Vanaja, M., M. Maheswari, P. Ratnakumar, and Y. S. Ramakrishna. 2006. Monitoring and controlling CO₂ concentrations in open top chambers for better understanding of plants response to elevated CO₂ levels. *Indian Journal of Radio & Space physics* 35: 193–197.
52. Nehring, S., and K. J. Hesse. 2008. Invasive alien plants in marine protected areas: the *Spartina anglica* affair in the European Wadden Sea. *Biological Invasions* 10: 937–950. doi:10.1007/s10530-008-9244-z.
53. Newell, S. J., and E. J. Tramer. 1978. Reproductive strategies in herbaceous plant communities during succession. *Ecology* 59: 228–234. doi:10.2307/1936367.
54. Noyce, G. L., M. L. Kirwan, R. L. Rich, and J. P. Megonigal. 2019. Asynchronous nitrogen supply and demand produce nonlinear plant allocation responses to warming and elevated CO₂. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116: 21623–21628. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1904990116.
55. Noyce, G. L., A. J. Smith, M. L. Kirwan, R. L. Rich, and J. P. Megonigal. 2023. Oxygen priming induced by elevated CO₂ reduces carbon accumulation and methane emissions in coastal wetlands. *Nature Geoscience* 16: 63–68. doi:10.1038/s41561-022-01070-6.
56. Ogden, J. 1974. The reproductive strategy of higher plants: II. The reproductive strategy of *Tussilago farfara* L. *Journal of Ecology* 62: 291–324. doi:10.2307/2258894.
57. Osanai, Y., D. T. Tissue, M. P. Bange, I. C. Anderson, M. V. Braunack, and B. K. Singh. 2017. Plant-soil interactions and nutrient availability determine the impact of elevated CO₂ and temperature on cotton productivity. *Plant and Soil* 410: 87–102. doi:10.1007/s11104-016-2981-3.
58. Pearse, I. S., J. M. LaMontagne, and W. D. Koenig. 2017. Inter-annual variation in seed production has increased over time (1900–2014). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 284: 20171666. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2017.1666.
59. Pastore, M. A., J. P. Megonigal, and J. A. Langley. 2016. Elevated CO₂ promotes long-term nitrogen accumulation only in combination with nitrogen addition. *Global Change Biology* 22: 391–403. doi:10.1111/gcb.13112.

60. Pastore, M. A., J. P. Megonigal, and J. A. Langley. 2017. Elevated CO₂ and nitrogen addition accelerate net carbon gain in a brackish marsh. *Biogeochemistry* 133: 73–87. doi:10.1007/s10533-017-0312-2.
61. R Core Team. 2019. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
62. Roiloa, S. R., S. Rodriguez-Echeverria, A. Lopez-Otero, R. Retuerto, and H. Freitas. 2014. Adaptive plasticity to heterogeneous environments increases capacity for division of labor in the clonal invader *Carpobrotus edulis* (Aizoaceae). *American Journal of Botany* 101: 1301–1308. doi:10.3732/ajb.1400173.
63. Smith, S. G. 2002. *Schoenoplectus americanus*. Flora of North America. vol 23. http://floranorthamerica.org/Schoenoplectus_americanus. Accessed 21 March 2023.
64. Smith, E. P. 2020. Ending reliance on statistical significance will improve environmental inference and communication. *Estuaries and Coasts* 43: 1–6. doi:10.1007/s12237-019-00679-y.
65. Snell, T. W., and D. G. Burch. 1975. The effects of density on resource partitioning in *Chamaesyce hirta* (Euphorbiaceae). *Ecology* 56: 742–746. doi:10.2307/1935512.
66. Short, F. T., S. Kosten, P. A. Morgan, S. Malone, and G. E. Moore. 2016. Impacts of climate change on submerged and emergent wetland plants. *Aquatic Botany* 135: 3–17. doi:10.1016/j.aquabot.2016.06.006.
67. Solbreck, C., and J. Knape. 2017. Seed production and predation in a changing climate: new roles for resource and seed predator feedback? *Ecology* 98: 2301–2311. doi:10.1002/ecy.1941.
68. Tiner, R. W., and D. G. Burke. 1995. *Wetlands of Maryland*. Hadley: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Services and, Annapolis: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Cooperative publication.
69. Vahsen, M. L., M. J. Blum, J. P. Megonigal, S. J. Emrich, J. R. Holmquist, B. Stiller, K. E. O. Todd-Brown, and J. S. McLachlan. 2023. Rapid plant trait evolution can alter coastal wetland resilience to sea level rise. *Science* 379: 393–398. doi:10.1126/science.abq0595.
70. Weiss, L., H. Pfestorf, F. May, K. Körner, S. Boch, M. Fischer, J. Müller, D. Prati, S. A. Socher, and F. Jeltsch. 2014. Grazing response patterns indicate isolation of semi-natural European grasslands. *Oikos* 123: 599–612. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2013.00957.x.
71. White, K. P., J. A. Langley, D. R. Cahoon, and J. P. Megonigal. 2012. C₃ and C₄ biomass allocation responses to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen: contrasting resource capture strategies. *Estuaries and Coasts* 35: 1028–1035. doi:10.1007/s12237-012-9500-4.
72. Williams, R. D., P. C. Quimby, and K. E. Frick. 1977. Intraspecific competition of purple nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus*) under greenhouse conditions. *Weed Science* 25: 477–481. doi:10.1017/S0043174500033944.
73. Winn, A. A., and L. F. Pitelka. 1981. Some effects of density on the reproductive patterns and patch dynamics of *Aster acuminatus*. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 108: 438–445. doi:10.2307/2484444.
74. Yang, P. F., C. Markmann, K. Voigt, and R. Braverman. 2021. Passive warming chambers decrease abundance of flying insects. *Veritas: Villanova Research Journal* 3: 40–46.
75. Zangerl, A. R., and F. A. Bazzaz. 1984. The response of plants to elevated CO₂: II. Competitive interactions among annual plants under varying light and nutrients. *Oecologia* 62: 412–417. doi:10.1007/BF00384276.
76. Zedler, J. B., and S. Kercher. 2004. Causes and consequences of invasive plants in wetlands: opportunities, opportunists, and outcomes. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* 23: 431–452. doi:10.1080/07352680490514673.
77. Zhu, C., J. A. Langley, L. H. Ziska, D. R. Cahoon, and J. P. Megonigal. 2022. Accelerated sea-level rise is suppressing CO₂ stimulation of tidal marsh productivity: A 33-year study. *Science Advances* 8: eabn0054. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abn0054.
78. Ziska, L. H., J. A. Bunce, and F. A. Caulfield. 2001. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide and seed yield of soybean genotypes. *Crop Science* 41: 385–391. doi:10.2135/cropsci2001.412385x.

Figures




Figure 1

Location of the GCRew site on Kirkpatrick marsh (38° 53' N, 76° 33' W), a brackish tidal wetland in the Rhode River subestuary of Chesapeake Bay. (A) Black dots shows the distribution of *Schoenoplectus americanus*. (B) Enlarged map showing the location of the study area within the Chesapeake Bay. The distribution of *S. americanus* was provided from GBIF Occurrence Download (<https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.qv53hc>). The map was created with Quantum Geographic Information System (QGIS) software version 3.16.0 (QGIS Development Team, 2022). The base map was obtained from Natural Earth.




Figure 2

Logistic and liner regression model relationships between reproductive variables and shoot density in control plots showed that seed production increased with increasing shoot density. The increase in allocation to seed production was mainly caused by an increase in flowering ratio. (A–C) = CO₂ experiment, (D–F) = CO₂ x N experiment, (G–I) = Warming experiment. (A, D, G) Flowering ratio in each experimental plot. (B, E, H) Number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot. (C, F, I) Ratio of mature to potential seeds per reproductive shoot. The plots with deep color indicate overlapping. Black boxes indicate the community type as described in the Methods.




Figure 3

Reproductive variables of seed production increased in response to increasing temperatures but decreased or did not change in response to increased CO₂ or nitrogen. (A–C) = CO₂ experiment, (D–F) = CO₂ x N experiment, (G–I) = Warming experiment. (A, D, G) = flowering ratio, (B, E, H) = Number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot, (C, F, I) = Ratio of mature to potential seeds. The error bars indicate the SE. Means were compared using Steel-Dwass test. Values with the same letter indicate no significant difference at $\alpha = 0.05$.




Figure 4

Logistic and liner regression model relationships between reproductive variables and shoot density in experimental conditions showed that no positive relationship between shoot density and flowering ratio under CO₂ increased, N addition and modest warming (+1.7 °C) conditions. (A–C) = CO₂ experiment, (D–I) = CO₂ x N experiment, (J–L) = Warming experiment. (A, D, G, J) Flowering ratio in each experimental plot. (B, E, H, K) Number of potential seeds per reproductive shoot. (C, F, I, L) Ratio of mature to potential seeds per reproductive shoot. The plots with deep color indicate overlapping. Black boxes indicate the community type as described in the Methods.

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [SupplementalTableandFigure.docx](#)