

# Toxicity Effects of Lamiaceae, Geraniaceae, and Myrtaceae Essential Oils on Angoumois Grain Moth (*Sitotrogacerealella*) Female Adults

Mojdeh Ghoorchian

Shima Rahmani (✉ [shima.rahmani351@gmail.com](mailto:shima.rahmani351@gmail.com))

Weria Weisany

---

## Research Article

**Keywords:** Angoumois grain moth, essential oils, fumigant pesticides, secondary metabolites, volatile toxicity

**Posted Date:** May 31st, 2023

**DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2957459/v1>

**License:**   This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. [Read Full License](#)

---

**Version of Record:** A version of this preprint was published at Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection on August 21st, 2023. See the published version at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-023-00792-y>.

## Abstract

The use of plant essential oils as eco-friendly and bio-rational insecticides has emerged as a promising alternative to hazardous fumigant pesticides for controlling stored product insect pests. This study investigated the vapor toxicity of essential oils obtained from the Lamiaceae, Geraniaceae, and Myrtaceae plant families against *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) female adults, commonly known as the Angoumois grain moth. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis revealed Thymol (56.36%), Citronellol (39.7%), Eugenol (80.0%), and Carvacrol (42.28%) as the primary components in *Thymus vulgaris*, *Pelargonium roseum*, *Syzygium aromaticum*, and *Satureja hortensis*, respectively. Probit analysis and fiducial Limit (FL) calculations determined the 24-hour toxicity ( $LC_{50}$ ) of the essential oils diluted in acetone (10%), resulting in  $LC_{50}$  values of 20.125 (19.002–21.372), 14.193 (13.044–15.575), 14.189 (12.570–15.879), and 13.562 (11.978–15.323)  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (air) for *T. vulgaris*, *P. roseum*, *S. aromaticum*, and *S. hortensis*, respectively. Additionally, sublethal effects were observed at  $LC_{25}$  during the first generation. The treatments significantly impacted oviposition ( $F_{4,10}=81.93$ ;  $P < 0.0001$ ), egg hatchability ( $F_{4,10}=23.49$ ;  $P = 0.0001$ ), survival rate of larvae-pupae ( $F_{4,10}=259.86$ ;  $P = 0.0001$ ), and egg duration ( $F_{4,10}=9.71$ ;  $P = 0.0018$ ), leading to decreased values in these parameters. The essential oils derived from these four plant species demonstrate remarkable potential as eco-friendly components in the management of *S. cerealella*. Their vapor toxicity and sublethal effects on female adults underscore their effectiveness as sustainable tools within integrated pest management strategies for stored product pest control.

## Introduction

The Angoumois grain moth, *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), is a major pest of many stored grains including wheat (Ghodjani et al. 2023), barley (Karimi-Pormehr et al. 2018), sorghum (Hassan et al. 2014), maize (Demissie et al. 2015) and rice (Akte et al. 2013). Its infestation starts in the standing crop in the field and continues in stored products at post-harvest conditions (Bushra and Aslam, 2014). The crop damage caused by the larvae of this cosmopolitan pest (Cotton, 1960) is directly due to creating a gallery in the grains during feeding on the endosperm and embryo, besides indirect damage due to the following attacks by pathogens, and certain secondary insects (Hill, 2002; Throne and Weaver, 2013; Hashem et al. 2014). Such damage causes extensive economic losses quantitatively up to 100% and qualitatively as per a reduction in the germination potential of infested seeds and decrease in nutritional and commercial value (Zettler and Arthur, 2000; Hansen et al. 2004; Mele, 2007).

A common method for the management of *S. cerealella* as a stored product pest is applying synthetic fumigant insecticides. However, continuous application of these chemicals may lead to insect pest resistance, environmental health hazards such as ozone depletion, toxicity to beneficial or non-target organisms, and the outbreak of secondary pests as the most well-known side-effects and environmental imbalance (Kao and Tzeng, 1992; Hansen and Jensen 2002; Wang et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2012; Hill et al. 2017; Pathak et al. 2022). Thus, the utilization of potential alternative procedures for the management of this pest insect has been evaluated to decline the population of *S. cerealella* below the economic injury levels in post-harvest storage (Bushra and Aslam, 2014). Yet, they should be practical, cost-effective, and convenient to use (Ayvaz et al. 2008). There are many studies focus on plant derivatives as biochemical pesticides which are less harmful to the environment and enhance the efficiency of natural enemies thus can help to reduce the application of chemical insecticides (Adeyemo and Adesanya, 2019; Boukouvala et al. 2019; Boate Ukoroije and Abalis Otoyor, 2020; Fernandes et al. 2020; Pang et al. 2020; Islam et al. 2021; Shah et al. 2023). High volatility belonging to the plant species essential oils has several benefits such as instability of the formation of residues, thus making it a good candidate for management in stored-product conservation environments (Shaaya et al. 1991; Negahban and Moharramipour, 2007). A significant amount of the essential oil constituents are monoterpenoids, which are secondary plant chemicals with little metabolic importance. The acute toxicity and side effects of a large number of essential oils or their components have been assessed against several stored product insects including *S. cerealella* (Ayvaz et al. 2010; Adjalien et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2020). The metabolites comprising the essential oils can interfere with metabolism, biology, and physiological functions of insect pests. These components by reducing the energy reservoir especially by declining protein, and lipid content, affect reproduction function negatively. On the other hand, losing glycogen is generally related to locomotion behavior, and flight ability (Campolo et al. 2018). Also, essential oils possess neurotoxic actions which lead to paralysis followed by death (Houghton et al. 2006; Piri et al. 2020). Inhibition of acetylcholinesterase was estimated as the most common result in the stored product pests exposed to the essential oils (Kim et al. 2013; Bhavya et al. 2018). Although in some studies, there was not a direct correlation between insect toxicity and inhibition of acetylcholinesterase (Lee et al. 2001). Changing the activity of detoxifying enzymes (Chauhan et al. 2022; Li et al.

2022) and creating histological modifications are the other essential oils mode of actions (Santos et al. 2021; Plata-Rueda et al. 2022).

There are several studies have been reported that plant species compounds belong to the families of Lamiaceae, Geraniaceae, and Myrtaceae had insecticidal activity against stored product pests as fumigants (Lee et al. 2001; Kostyukovsky et al. 2002; Negahban and Moharramipour, 2007; Bounoua-Fraoucene et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2020; Demeter et al. 2021). In the present study, the chemical constituents of essential oils from *Thymus vulgaris* L. (Lamiaceae), *Satureja hortensis* L. (Lamiaceae), *Pelargonium roseum* Ait. (Geraniaceae), and *Syzygium aromaticum* L. (Myrtaceae) were determined. Also, fumigant activity of these essential oils was tested against the adult stage of *S. cerealella* to evaluate the bioassay during 24 h. In addition, sublethal effects of the essential oils were studied on several biological parameters including development time, fecundity, fertility, sex ratio, and survival.

## Materials and Methods

### Plant Materials and Extraction of Essential Oil

The aerial parts of *Thymus vulgaris* L., *Satureja hortensis* L., *Pelargonium roseum* Ait., and *Syzygium aromaticum* L. were provided at the full-flowering stage on June 2021. Plants were dried in shade at room temperature ( $24 \pm 1$  °C) and ground in a grinder. The extractions were run using a Clevenger-type apparatus through hydrodistillation with 50 g dried powder and 600 mL distilled water for 3 hours. Then anhydrous sodium sulfate was utilized to remove water after extraction. The extracted oils were finally kept at 4 °C for the next experiments (Rahmani et al. 2020).

### Gas Chromatography–Mass Spectrometry Analysis

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) analyses were performed on a HP-6890 gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, USA) using a 5973 mass-selective detector (Hewlett-Packard, USA) run in Zakariya *Razi Laboratory Complex, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University*, Tehran, Iran. A HP-5 MS capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm with a phase thickness of 0.25 mm) was used for separating the ingredients with helium as carrier gas at a 1.0 mL/min flow rate. The temperature program of oven was 60 °C for 3 min, 6 °C min<sup>-1</sup> ramp rate to 250 °C and held constant for 3 min. The mass spectra were recorded at 70 eV of ionization voltage with a scan time of 1 second and mass range of 50–550 m/z. The ionization mode was electron impact and the temperature of the ionization source was kept at 250 °C at 3 min. For recognizing each compound, its mass spectra were compared to those stored in the Wiley 7.0 and Adams mass spectral-retention index libraries (Adams 2007).

### Insect Rearing

The strain of Angoumois grain moth, *Sitotroga cerealella* was provided from Biological Control Department, Iranian Research Institute of Plant Protection, Tehran, Iran, where it was collected in 2021. *The insects were* associated with barely and maintained in an incubator at  $27 \pm 1$  °C,  $65 \pm 5\%$  RH and 14L: 10D h photoperiod. The individuals were raised in a plastic box (10 $\times$ 8 $\times$ 5 cm) with a hole covered with a mesh net for ventilation, containing wet barely seeds as a diet for the larvae. After emergence of the lepidopteran adult moths, for avoiding the population outbreak, they were transferred to the other plastic boxes for oviposition and producing next generation. The population was reared for several generations before beginning of the experiments. Adult insects (24 h old) were used for fumigant toxicity experiments. They were fed with sucrose solution (10%) for increasing their fecundity.

### Adult Bioassays

Fumigant toxicity bioassay of the essential oils diluted in acetone (10%) was examined on the adult stage of *S. cerealella*. The experimental units were plastic vials with 400 cm<sup>3</sup> volume containing both males and females set at  $27 \pm 1$  °C,  $65 \pm 5\%$  RH and 14L: 10D h photoperiod. Different concentrations of the essential oils were put on a piece of Whatman filter paper (No. 1, diameter 2.0 cm) using a micropipette, then attached to the undersurface of the containers lid. For measuring the median lethal concentrations of the essential oils, and after preliminary tests, concentrations between 25% and 75% mortality as minimum and maximum mortality, respectively, the other concentrations were chosen based on logarithmic distance (Robertson *et al.* 2007). In this study, different concentrations of every oils in the container were as follows: *T. vulgaris* (12.5, 15.55, 19.35, 23.6, 30  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>(air)), *S. hortensis* (5, 7.45, 11.15, 16.7, 25  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>(air)), *P. roseum* (7.5, 9.72, 12.8, 16.9, 22.5  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>(air)), and *S. aromaticum* (5, 7.45, 11.15,

16.7, 25  $\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ (air)). In the control, there was no essential oil and only the solvent (acetone AR,  $\geq 99.5\%$ ) was applied (Chaubey 2012; Rossi and Palacios 2013; Rahmani and Azimi, 2020). The containers were ready with 15 adults at the same age, and 270 individuals were used totally during the bioassays. The experiments were replicated three times for each treatment on different days and the mortality was documented after 24 hours.

### Sublethal Effects of Essential Oils On Biological Parameters

To study the long-term effects of the essential oils on the biological parameters of *S. cerealella*, more than 100 newly emerged adults were exposed to the  $LC_{25}$  of each oil (Table 2). After 24 h, the surviving individuals were transferred to the new box, with sufficient barley seeds letting them mate and lay their eggs. Each seed with one egg on its surface was transferred to one small box (6 cm diameter, with a height of 3 cm) sealed with parafilm tape and recognized with a number. The experimental units were checked every day to assess the development time and survival rate until the emergence of the adults. Then the males and females in each treatment and the control were paired and checking the fertility and survival continued until the death of all individuals. In each treatment, and whenever there were not adequate males for pairing, one male from the colony was added to the experimental unit. The sublethal experiment was conducted with three replications, and 32 individuals were used in each replicate. Since most of the preadult stages were in the galleries hidden in the seeds, so they were out of sight, we did not separate the larval instars and pupae stage from each other to avoid gathering wrong data.

### Data Analysis

The concentration-mortality regression for estimating lethal toxicity was taken using Probit analysis (Polo-PC Probit and Logit analysis; LeOra Software 1987). Differences in toxicity were considered significant when 95% fiducial Limit (FL) did not overlap (Adams *et al.* 1990).

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed for analyzing the mean and standard deviation values of all biological parameters. Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) multiple comparisons were then used to separate the means among the treatments for each parameter. All the statistical analyses were analyzed using SAS (version 9.1.3) software (SAS institute Inc. 2003). *P*-values below 0.05 were regarded as significant.

## Results

### Chemical Composition of Essential Oil

The chemical components of the essential oils in addition to their amounts, and retention time analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry are summarized in Table 1.  $\alpha$ -Pinene, and Limonene were detected in all of the four plant species, although their amount was equal or lower than 1.5%. On the other hand, the most significant components in *T. vulgaris*, *P. roseum*, and *S. aromaticum* were Thymol (56.36%), Citronellol (39.7%), Eugenol (80.0%), respectively. Also, the main ingredients in *S. hortensis* were  $\gamma$ -Terpinene (40.93%), and Carvacrol (42.28%). *P*-Cymene (17.25%) in *T. vulgaris*, as well as Geraniol (17.6%), and Citronellyl formate (11.2%), both in *P. roseum* were recorded as the high amount components.

### Fumigant Toxicity

Short term vapor toxicity of four oils extracted from *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum* and *S. aromaticum* against the adult moths are indicated in Table 2. According to the concentration causing 50% mortality ( $LC_{50}$ ), all the tested oils were toxic to the Angoumois grain moth during 24 hours. Probit analysis, and fiducial Limit (FL) showed that toxicity of the three oils including *S. hortensis* ( $LC_{50}$  13.562 (11.978-15.323)  $\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ (air)), *P. roseum* ( $LC_{50}$  14.193 (13.044-15.575)  $\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ (air)) and *S. aromaticum* ( $LC_{50}$  14.189 (12.570-15.879)  $\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ (air)) did not have any significant difference, while *T. vulgaris* ( $LC_{50}$  20.125 (19.002-21.372)  $\mu\text{gL}^{-1}$ (air)) was less toxic against the adult moths (Table 2).

### Effects of Essential Oils Low Concentration on Biological Parameters

The mean number of eggs oviposited by females reduced, significantly. This reduction in *T. vulgaris* treatment was higher than the other oils, as in this treatment, the mean number of eggs was calculated about 8 times lower than the control. A significant increase

was observed in egg duration compared with the control. This increase was higher in *S. aromaticum* essential oil treatment. Also, embryo, and larvae-pupae survival rates declined, significantly; thus pre-adult mortality rate increased. On the other hand, larvae-pupae development, and sex ratio were not different significantly from each other, and with the control (Table 3).

## Discussions

Our study showed that the essential oils were rich in monoterpenoids (thymol, citronellol,  $\gamma$ -terpinene, *p*-cymene, and geraniol), phenols (eugenol), and phenolic monoterpenoid (carvacrol). The type of the ingredients, as well as their content, were almost near to the analysis resulting from other studies. In most investigations, eugenol was considered the most abundant phytoconstituent of *S. aromaticum* buds and leaves with an amount of 70–85% followed by  $\beta$ -caryophyllene (4.4–19.5%), and eugenyl acetate (2.1–16%) (Randriamiharison and Gayadou, 1987; Pino et al. 2001; Srivastava et al. 2005; Mittal et al. 2014). Gopalkrishnan et al. (1984) showed that during the plant maturation, the composition of the bud oil changes to the increase in eugenol content, maybe because of enzymatic hydrolysis of eugenyl acetate to eugenol.

In different species of *Thymus* oils, thymol (52.2%), and *p*-cymene (17.03%) were reported as the main components analyzed by GC/MS (Aksit et al. 2022). Dabiri et al. (2011) recognized Citronellol (27.3%) followed by citronellyl formate (17.2%) as the highest components amount of *P. roseum*, while they could not detect geraniol. Instead, in the study performed by Tabari et al. (2017), geraniol (18.5%) was a major component of this plant after citronellol (35.9%). According to Doimo et al. (1999), temperature was a critical factor affecting the citronellol/ geraniol ratio, as geraniol concentrations fell in winter and citronellol concentrations increased in late winter-spring. high citronellol/ geraniol ratios indicated cold stress in geranium plants. In addition to the climatic factors (Katar et al. 2017), utilizing numerous procedures, post and during oil extraction may give us different amount of components. Sefidkon et al. (2006) found that during the different drying methods, amount values of carvacrol and *c*-terpinene as two main components of the *S. hortensis* oil were 46.0–48.1% and 37.7–39.4%, respectively. Also, they detected carvacrol with rate of 12.3–46% and *c*-terpinene, 37.7–70.4% through different distillation methods.

The major factors recognizing the toxicity of a plant are insect species, the route of exposure, and the phytochemicals (Regnault-Roger et al. 1997). Also, the time of exposure, temperature, and humidity are factors with influence on the activity of the test chemicals as vapor toxicants under isolates conditions (Pandey et al. 2009).

The insecticidal toxicities of the essential oils appear to be connected to their chemical composition (Park et al. 2017). Previous studies revealed that fumigant toxicity of thymol against *Sitophilus oryzae* adults caused 100% mortality during 24 h. The short-term insecticidal effects of eugenol, and carvacrol were 85% and 75%, respectively. However, some other components such as camphor, linalool, and borneol that their amounts were too low in the essential oils of our study lead to 85–100% mortality after one day of exposure (Rozman et al. 2007). As a result, sometimes the type of a compound is as critical as its amount in its noxiousness. In addition, the insecticidal activities of the ingredients separately may vary with insect species, as *S. oryzae* in the study performed by Rozman et al. (2007) was more sensitive than *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum*.

Due to the chemical complexity of essential oils, several mechanisms can be involved in the phytochemical pattern of the oils, and in the sensitivity of the insect species (Regnault-Roger, 1997). Thus, almost internal synergy or a mixture effect of the main components of plant essential oils can determine the noxiousness of the phytochemicals (Bekele and Hassanali, 2001) and that is the reason why in several studies, essential oils may be more effective biopesticides compared with their active ingredients separately (Magierowicz et al. 2018).

The insecticidal effect of monoterpenes such as thymol and carvacrol is due to targeting the cells of insect pests, causing disorganization in the cell membrane, leading it to lose permeability (Medeiros et al. 2011). Some others such as linalool was identified as an inhibitor of acetylcholinesterase (Ryan and Byrne, 1988). Eugenol besides the other phenolic compounds distinguishes the biological activity of *S. aromaticum* against pathogens (Chaieb et al. 2007), and arthropods (Huang et al. 2002) by changing cell morphology through chromatin condensation and fragmentation (Fernandes et al. 2020) in addition to the inhibition of a nerve conduction carboxylesterase and a detoxifying glutathione S-transferase (Zhang et al. 2023).

In the present study, several biological parameters were affected by low concentration of the extracted oils. The reproduction inhibition and prevention of egg hatchability by essential oils belonging to Lamiaceae, and Myrtaceae families were observed

previously (Konstantopoulou et al. 1992; Regnault-Roger and Hamraoui, 1994). For example, the average egg number of *Musca domestica* female adults affected by volatile LC<sub>30</sub> of *T. vulgaris*, was recorded 4 times lower than the control. Also, only 50% of the larvae from the eggs emerged, and pre-adult mortality was 100% (Roman, 2007). In addition, fumigant toxicity of monoterpenoids separately including carvacrol, thymol, and terpineol indicated strong inhibition of oviposition. These compounds besides eugenol, linalool (Regnault-Roger and Hamrouni, 1995), and citronellol (Brari and Thakur, 2016) with efficient vapor toxicity and reproduction interfering as the oviposition deterrence, and egg hatchability inhibitor, may be promising compounds in stored products pests management.

## Conclusions

In the present study, all essential oils from four plant species including *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum*, and *S. aromaticum* showed vapor toxicity during 24 h against female adults of the Angoumois grain moth, *S. cerealella*. Existing a mixture of phytochemicals with important, and high amount ingredients such as thymol, carvacrol, eugenol, citronellol, *p*-cymene,  $\gamma$ -terpinene, and geraniol makes these oils poisonous for the insect pest. In addition to the acute toxicity, the oils in the volatile concentration caused 25% mortality in adults, leading to several biological side-effects. For getting a better understanding of the insecticidal potential of these ingredients, alone or blend against this stored product moth, we need to perform more experiments.

## Declarations

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial or non-financial interests that are directly or indirectly related to the work submitted for publication.

## References

1. Adams AJ, Hall FR, Hoy CW (1990) Evaluating resistance to permethrin in *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) populations using uniformly sized droplets. *J Econ Entomol* 83(4):1211–1215.
2. Adams RP (2007) Identification of essential oil components by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. 4th ed. Illinois, USA: Allured Publishing Corporation.
3. Adeyemo AC, Adesanya SA (2019) Ovicidal efficacy of *Xylopi aethiopica* and *Parinari macrophylla* extracts against *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) infesting stored paddy rice. *NJE*. 35:1-6.
4. Adjalien E, Sessou P, Odjo T, Figueredo G, Kossou D, Avlessi F, Menut C, Sohounhloué D (2015) Chemical composition and insecticidal and repellent effect of essential oils of two *Premna* species against *Sitotroga cerealella*. *J Insects* 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/319045>
5. Akter T, Jahan M, Bhuiyan M. 2013. Biology of the Angoumois grain moth, *Sitotroga Cerealella* (Oliver) on stored rice grain in laboratory condition. *J Asiat Soc Bangladesh Sci* 39(1):61–67. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jasbs.v39i1.16034>
6. Ayvaz A, Albayrak S, Karaborklu S (2008) Gamma radiation sensitivity of the eggs, larvae and pupae of Indian meal moth *Plodia interpunctella* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Pest Manag Sci* 64:505–512.
7. Ayvaz A, Sagdic O, Karaborklu S, Ozturk I (2010) Insecticidal activity of the essential oils from different plants against three stored-product insects. *J Insect Sci* 10(21):1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1673/031.010.2101>
8. Brari J, Thakur DR (2016) Insecticidal potential properties of citronellol derived ionic liquid against two major stored grain insect pests. *J Entomol Zool* 4(3):365-370.
9. Bekele J, Hassanali A (2001) Blend effects in the toxicity of the essential oil constituents of *Ocimum Kilimandscharicum* and *Ocimum kenyensis* (Labiatae) on two post-harvest insect pests *Phytochem* 57:385–391.
10. Bhavya ML, Chandu AGS, Devi SS (2018) *Ocimum tenuiflorum* oil, a potential insecticide against rice weevil with anti-acetylcholinesterase activity. *Ind Crops Prod* 126:434–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.10.043>
11. Boate Ukoroije R, Abalis Otayor R (2020) *Review on the bio-insecticidal properties of some plant secondary metabolites: types, formulations, modes of action, advantages and limitations*. *Asian J Res Zool* 3 (4):27-60.
12. Boukouvala MC, Kavallieratos NG, Athanassiou CG, Benelli G, Hadjiarapoglou LP (2019) Insecticidal efficacy of six new pyrrole derivatives against four stored-product pests. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26:29845–29856. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019->

13. Bounoua-Fraoucene S, Kellouche A, Debras JF (2019) Toxicity of four essential oils against two insect pests of stored grains, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera : Bostrychidae) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera : Curculionidae). *Afr Entomol* 27(2) <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC-18685fe05c>
14. Bushra S, Aslam M (2014) Management of *Sitotroga cerealella* stored cereal grains: a review. *Arch Phytopathol Pflanzenschutz* 47(19):2365–2376. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2013.877191>
15. Campolo O, Giunti G, Russo A, Palmeri V, Zappalà L (2018) Essential oils in stored product insect pest control. *J Food Qual* 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/6906105>
16. Chaieb K, Hajlaoui H, Zmantar T, Kahla-Nakbi AB, Rouabhia M, Mahdouani K, Bakhrouf A. (2007) The chemical composition and biological activity of clove essential oil, *Eugenia caryophyllata* (*Syzygium aromaticum*, L. Myrtaceae): a short review. *Phytother Res* 21:501–506.
17. Chaubey MK (2012) Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and pure compounds against *Sitophilus oryzae* L. *Biol Agric Hortic* 28(2):111–119.
18. Chauhan N, Kashyap U, Dolma SK, Reddy SGE (2020) Chemical composition, insecticidal, persistence and detoxification enzyme inhibition activities of essential oil of *Artemisia maritima* against the pulse beetle. *Molecules* 27:1547. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27051547>
19. Cotton RT. 1960. Pests of stored grain and stored product. Minneapolis (MN): Burgess, 34.
20. Dabiri M, Sefidkon F, Yousefi M, Bashirbod S (2011) Volatile Components of *Pelargonium roseum* R. Br. *J. Essent Oil-Bear Plants* 14(1):114–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060x.2011.10643909>
21. de Medeiros Md, da Silva AC, Citó AM, Borges AR, de Lima SG, Lopes JA, Figueiredo RC (2011) In vitro antileishmanial activity and cytotoxicity of essential oil from *Lippia sidoides* Cham. *Parasitol Int* 60:237–241.
22. Demeter S, Lebbe O, Hecq F, Nicolis SC, Kenne Kemene T, Martin H, Fauconnier M-L, Hance T (2021) Insecticidal activity of 25 essential oils on the stored product pest, *Sitophilus granarius*. *Foods* 10:200. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10020200>
23. Demissie G, Swaminathan R, Ameta OP, Jain HK, Saharan V (2015) Biochemical basis of resistance in different varieties of maize for their relative susceptibility to *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Stored Prod Postharvest Res* 6(1):1–12.
24. Doimo L, Mackay DC, Rintoul GB, D'Arcy BR, Fletcher RJ (1999) Citronellol: geraniol ratios and temperature in geranium (*Pelargonium hybrid*). *J Hortic Sci Biotech* 74(4):528–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14620316.1999.11511147>
25. **Fernandes, M.J.G., Pereira, R.B., Pereira, D.M., Fortes, A.G., Castanheira, E.M.S. and** Fernandes MJG, Pereira RB, Pereira DM, Fortes AG, Castanheira EMS, Gonçalves MST (2020) New eugenol derivatives with enhanced insecticidal activity. *Int J Mol Sci* 21:9257. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21239257>
26. Ghodjani Z, Shakarami J, Mardani-Talaei M, Serrão JE (2023) Effect of different wheat cultivars on two sex life table parameters of *Sitotroga cerealella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Stored Prod Res* 101:102097.
27. Gopalkrishnan M, Narayanan CS, Mathew A (1984) Sesquiterpene hydrocarbons from clove oil. *Lebensm -Wiss u-Technol* 17:42–43.
28. Hansen LS, Jensen KMV (2002) Effect of temperature on parasitism and host-feeding of *Trichogramma turkestanica* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae) on *Ephestia kuehniella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *J Econ Entomol* 95:50–56
29. Hansen LS, Skovgard H, Hell K (2004) Life table study of *Sitotroga cerealella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae), a strain from West Africa. *J Econ Entomol* 97(4):1484–1490.
30. Hashem MY, Ismail II, Lutfallah AF, Abd El-Rahman SF (2014) Effects of carbon dioxide on *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) larvae and their enzyme activity. *J Stored Prod Res* 59:17–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2014.04.002>
31. Hassan S, Ahmad M, Aslam M (2014) Assessment of *Sitotroga cerealella* losses in different sorghum varieties under controlled conditions. *Arch Phytopathol Pflanzenschutz* 47(8):993–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03235408.2013.828398>
32. Hill DS (2002) Pests of stored food stuffs and their control. Boston (MA): Kluwer Academic Publishers; pp. 55–63.
33. Hill MP, Macfadyen S, Nash MA (2017) Broad spectrum pesticide application alters natural enemy communities and may facilitate secondary pest outbreaks. *PeerJ* 5:e4179 <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4179>

34. Houghton PJ, Ren Y, Howes MJ (2006) Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors from plants and fungi. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 23: 181–199.
35. Huang Y, Ho S-H, Lee H-C, Yap Y-L (2002) Insecticidal properties of eugenol, isoeugenol and methyleugenol and their effects on nutrition of *Sitophilus zeamais* Motsch. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). 38(5):403–412. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x\(01\)00042-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-474x(01)00042-x)
36. Islam W, Noman A, Akutse KS, Qasim M, Ali H, Haider I, Hashem M, Alamri S, al Zoubi OM, Khan KA (2021) Phyto-derivatives: an efficient eco-friendly way to manage *Trogoderma granarium* (Everts) (Coleoptera: Dermestidae). *Int J Trop Insect Sci* 41:915–926. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-020-00370-x>
37. Kao SS, Tzeng CC (1992) A survey of the susceptibility of rice moth (*Corcyra cephalonica*) and Angoumois grain moth (*Sitotroga cerealella*) to malathion and phoxim. *Chin J Entomol* 12(4):239-245.
38. Karimi-Pormehr MS, Borzoui E, Naseri B, Dastjerdi HR, Mansouri SM (2018) Two-sex life table analysis and digestive physiology of *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) on different barley cultivars. *J Stored Prod Res* 75:64–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2017.10.005>
39. Katar D, Kacar O, Kara N, Aytaç Z, Göksu E, Kara S, Katar N, Erbaş S, Telcic I, Elmastaş M (2017) Ecological variation of yield and aroma components of summer savory (*Satureja hortensis* L.). *J. Appl. Res. Med. Aromat. Plants* 7:131–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jarmap.2017.07.005>
40. Kim S-W, Kang J, Park I-K (2013) Fumigant toxicity of Apiaceae essential oils and their constituents against *Sitophilus oryzae* and their acetylcholinesterase inhibitory activity. *J Asia Pac Entomol* 16(4):443–448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2013.07.002>
41. Konstantopoulou I, Vassilopoulou L, Mauragani-Tsipidov P, Scouras ZG (1992) Insecticidal effects of essential oils. A study of the effects of essential oils extracted from eleven Greek aromatic plants on *Drosophila auraria*. *Experientia* 48:616–19.
42. Kostyukovsky M, Ravid U, Shaaya E (2002) The potential use of plant volatiles for the control of stored product insects and quarantine pests in cut flowers. *Acta Hortic* (576):347–358. <https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2002.576>
43. Lee B-H, Lee S-E, Annis PC, Pratt SJ, Park B-S, Tumaalii F (2002) Fumigant toxicity of essential oils and monoterpenes against the red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst. *J Asia-Pac Entomol* 5(2):237–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1226-8615\(08\)60158-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1226-8615(08)60158-2)
44. Lee SE, Lee BH, Choi WS, Park BS, Kim JG, Campbell BC (2001) Fumigant toxicity of volatile natural products from Korean spices and medicinal plants towards the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L). *Pest Manag Sci* 57:548-553.
45. LeOra Software (1987) Polo-PC: a user guide to probit or logit analysis. Berkeley, CA: LeOra Software.
46. Li X, Xu Y, Liu J, Yu X, Zhang W, You C (2022) Biological activities and gene expression of detoxifying enzymes in *Tribolium castaneum* induced by *Moutan* cortex essential oil. *J Toxicol* 85(14):591-602. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15287394.2022.2066038>
47. Magierowicz K, Górská-Drabik E., Sempruch C (2018) The insecticidal activity of *Satureja hortensis* essential oil and its active ingredient -carvacrol against *Acrobasis advenella* (Zinck.) (Lepidoptera, Pyralidae). *Pestic Biochem Phys*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2018.11.010>
48. Mele MOG. 2007. Inventaire des insectes des stocks de riz au Bénin: estimation des Pertes occasionnées, Mémoire de licence à l'Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin 72p
49. Mittal M, Gupta N, Parashar P, Mehra V, Khatri M (2014) Phytochemical evaluation and pharmacological activity of *Syzygium aromaticum*: a comprehensive review. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci* 6(8): 67-72
50. Negahban M, Moharramipour S (2007) Fumigant toxicity of *Eucalyptus intertexta*, *Eucalyptus sargentii* and *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* against stored-product beetles. *J Appl Entomol* 131(4):256–261. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2007.01152.x>
51. Pang X, Feng Y-X, Qi X-J, Wang Y, Almaz B, Xi C, Du S-S (2020) Toxicity and repellent activity of essential oil from *Mentha piperita* Linn. leaves and its major monoterpenoids against three stored product insects. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 27:7618–7627. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-07081-y>
52. Park JH, Jeon YJ, Lee CH, Chung N, Lee HS (2017) Insecticidal toxicities of carvacrol and thymol derived from *Thymus vulgaris* Lin. against *Pochazia shantungensis* Chou & Lu., newly recorded pest. *Sci Rep* 7:40902. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40902>

53. Pathak VM, Verma VK, Rawat BS, Kaur B, Babu N, Sharma A, Dewali S, Yadav M, Kumari R, Singh S, Mohapatra A, Pandey V, Rana N and Cunill JM (2022) Current status of pesticide effects on environment, human health and its eco-friendly management as bioremediation: A comprehensive review. *Front Microbiol* 13:962619. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.962619>
54. Pavela R (2007) Lethal and sublethal effects of thyme oil (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) on the house fly (*Musca domestica* Lin.). *J Essent Oil-Bear Plants* 10(5):346-356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2007.10643566>
55. Pino JA, Marbot R, Agüero J, Fuentes V (2001) Essential oil from buds and leaves of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum* (L.) Merr. et Perry) grown in Cuba. *J Essent Oil-Bear Plants* 13(4):278–279. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10412905.2001.9699693>
56. Piri A, Sahebzadeh N, Zibae A, Sendi JJ, Shmakhi L, Shahriari M (2020) Toxicity and physiological effects of ajwain (*Carum copticum*, Apiaceae) essential oil and its major constituents against *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Chemosphere*, 127103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.127103>
57. Plata-Rueda A, Fiaz M, Pandelo Brügger B, Cañas V, Coelho RP, Zancunio JC, Martínez LC, Serrão JE (2022) Lemongrass essential oil and its components cause effects on survival, locomotion, ingestion, and histological changes of the midgut in *Anticarsia gemmatilis* caterpillars. *Toxin Rev* 41(1):208-217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15569543.2020.1861468>
58. Rahmani S, Azimi S (2020) Fumigant toxicity of three *Satureja* species on tomato leafminers, *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *Toxin Rev* 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15569543.2020.176765>
59. Randriamiharison RP, Gaydou EM (1987) *Lebensm Wiss Technol* 20:121–122
60. Regnault-Roger C, Hamrouni A (1995) Fumigant toxic activity reproductive inhibition induced by monoterpenes on *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say), a bruchid of kidney beans. *J Stored Prod Res* 31:291–299.
61. Regnault-Roger C, Hamraoui A (1994) Inhibition of the reproduction of *Acanthoscelides obtectus* Say (Coleoptera), a kidney bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) bruchid, by aromatic essential oils. *Crop Prot* 13:624–28.
62. Regnault-Roger C (1997) The potential of botanical essential oils for insect pest control. *Integr Pest Manag Rev* 2:25–34
63. Robertson JL, Savin NE, Savin NE, Preisler HK (2007) *Bioassays with arthropods*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 224.
64. Rossi YE, Palacios, SM (2013) Fumigant toxicity of Citrus sinensis essential oil on *Musca domestica* L. adults in the absence and presence of a P450 inhibitor. *Acta tropica* 127(1):33–37.
65. Rozman V, Kalinovic I, Korunic Z (2007) Toxicity of naturally occurring compounds of Lamiaceae and Lauraceae to three stored-product insects. *J Stored Prod Res* 43(4):349–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jspr.2006.09.001>
66. SAS Institute Inc (2003) *SAS/STAT User's Guide*, Version 8. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
67. Shah S, Elgizawy KK, Shi C-M, Yao H, Yan W-H, Li Y, Wang X-P, Wu G, Yang F-L (2023) Diallyl trisulfide, a Biologically active component of garlic essential oil, decreases male fertility in *Sitotroga cerealella* by impairing dimorphic spermatogenesis, sperm motility and lipid homeostasis. *Cells* 12:669. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells12040669>
68. Srivastava AK, Srivastava SK, Syamsundar KV (2005) Bud and leaf essential oil composition of *Syzygium aromaticum* from India and Madagascar. *Flavour Fragr J* 20:51–53.
69. Tabari MA, Youssefi MR, Esfandiari A, Benelli G (2017) Toxicity of  $\beta$ -citronellol, geraniol and linalool from *Pelargonium roseum* essential oil against the West Nile and filariasis vector *Culex pipiens* (Diptera: Culicidae). *Res Vet Sci* 114:36–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2017.03.001>
70. Throne JE, Weaver DK (2013) Impact of temperature and relative humidity on life history parameters of adult *Sitotroga cerealella* (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J Stored Prod Res* 55:128e133.
71. Pandey SK, Upadhyay S, Tripathi AK (2009) Insecticidal and repellent activities of thymol from the essential oil of *Trachyspermum ammi* (Linn) Sprague seeds against *Anopheles stephensi*. *Parasitol Res* 105(2):507–512. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-009-1429-6>
72. Sefidkon F, Abbasi K, Khaniki GB (2006) Influence of drying and extraction methods on yield and chemical composition of the essential oil of *Satureja hortensis*. *Food Chem* 99(1):19–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.07.026>
73. Shazali MEH, Smith RH (1985) Life history studies of internally feeding pests of stored sorghum: *Sitotroga cerealella* (Ol.) and *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.). *J Stored Prod Res* 21(4):171–178. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474x\(85\)90012-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-474x(85)90012-8)

74. Santos AA, Wanderley-Teixeira V, Dos Santos Cruz G, de Andrade Dutra K, do Amaral Ferraz Navarro DM, de Oliveira JV, Lapa-Neto CJC, E Silva Barbosa DR, Teixeira AAC (2021) Essential oil toxicity on biological and reproductive parameters of *Alabama argillacea* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Erebidæ). *Acta Histochem* 123(4):151714. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acthis.2021.151714>.
75. Shaaya E, Ravid U, Paster N, Juven B, Zisman U, Pissarev V (1991) Fumigant toxicity of essential oils against four major stored-product insects. *J Chem Ecol* 17(3):499–504. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00982120>
76. Wang D, He Y, Guo X, Luo Y (2012) Acute toxicities and sublethal effects of some conventional insecticides on *Trichogramma chilonis* (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *J Econ Entomol* 105:1104-1476.
77. Wang DS, Pan F, He YR, Guo XL, Chen Q (2011) Sublethal effects of eleven insecticides of different categories on reproduction of *Trichogrammatoidea bactrae* Nagaraja (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). *Acta Entomol Sin* 54:56–63.
78. Wu M-Y, Ying Y-Y, Zhang S-S, Li X-G, Yan W-H, Yao Y-C, Wu G, Yang F-L (2020) Effects of Diallyl trisulfide, an active substance from garlic essential oil, on energy metabolism in male moth *Sitotroga cerealella* (Olivier). *Insects* 11(5):270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11050270>
79. Yang Y, Isman MB, Tak J-H (2020) Insecticidal activity of 28 essential oils and a commercial product containing *Cinnamomum cassia* Bark essential oil against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky. *Insects* 11:474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11080474>
80. Zhang Y, Gao S, Zhang P, Sun H, Lu R, Yu R, Li Y, Zhang K, Li B (2022) Response of xenobiotic biodegradation and metabolic genes in *Tribolium castaneum* following eugenol exposure. *Mol Genet Genomics* 297:801–815. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-022-01890-6>
81. Zettler J, Arthur FH (2000) Chemical control of stored product insects with fumigants and residual treatments. *Crop Prot* 19:577–582. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194\(00\)00075-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0261-2194(00)00075-2).

## Tables

Table 1. Chemical constituents of the essential oils extracted from *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum*, and *S. aromaticum*. /  
 Constituyentes químicos de los aceites esenciales extraídos de *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum* y *S. aromaticum*.

| compound               | Mean composition (% relative area) |                     |                  |                      | Retention time (min) |                     |                  |                      |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|                        | <i>T. vulgaris</i>                 | <i>S. hortensis</i> | <i>P. roseum</i> | <i>S. aromaticum</i> | <i>T. vulgaris</i>   | <i>S. hortensis</i> | <i>P. roseum</i> | <i>S. aromaticum</i> |
| $\alpha$ -Thujene      | 0.95                               | 1.91                |                  |                      | 15.50                | 1.89                |                  |                      |
| $\alpha$ -Pinene       | 0.76                               | 1.50                | 0.5              | 0.05                 | 15.73                | 1.88                | 15.53            | 6.14                 |
| Camphene               | 0.3                                | 1.1                 |                  |                      | 16.01                | 1.87                |                  |                      |
| Octen-3-ol             | 0.65                               |                     |                  |                      | 16.19                |                     |                  |                      |
| $\beta$ -Pinene        | 1.62                               | 0.79                |                  | 0.49                 | 16.25                | 2.16                |                  | 8.90                 |
| Myrcene                | 0.3                                | 2.47                | low rate         |                      | 16.71                | 3.48                | 16.46            |                      |
| $\alpha$ -Terpinene    | 1.4                                | 4.03                |                  | 0.25                 | 17.31                | 5.4                 |                  | 10.19                |
| P-Cymene               | 17.25                              | 2.24                | 0.1              |                      | 17.47                | 26.51               | 17               |                      |
| Limonene               | 0.3                                | 0.34                | 0.3              | 0.30                 | 17.51                | 19.48               | 17.06            | 12.66                |
| 1.8-Cernede            | 0.63                               |                     |                  |                      | 17.67                |                     |                  |                      |
| $\gamma$ -Terpinene    | 9.52                               | 40.93               |                  | 0.70                 | 17.99                | 33.51               |                  | 14.59                |
| Terpinene              | 0.73                               |                     |                  |                      | 18.25                |                     |                  |                      |
| Linalool               | 1.87                               |                     | 6.9              | 0.75                 | 18.48                | 18.25               |                  | 15.08                |
| Camphor                | 0.2                                |                     |                  |                      | 18.77                |                     |                  |                      |
| Borneol                | 0.98                               |                     |                  |                      | 20.23                |                     |                  |                      |
| Carvacrol              | 2.6                                | 42.28               |                  |                      | 22.08                | 32.01               |                  |                      |
| Thymol                 | 56.36                              |                     |                  |                      | 21.96                |                     |                  |                      |
| E-Carvacrol            | 1.23                               |                     |                  |                      | 24.47                |                     |                  |                      |
| $\beta$ -Bisabolene    | 0.29                               | 0.62                |                  |                      | 27.51                | 10.01               |                  |                      |
| Carvacrol methylether  | 0.71                               |                     |                  |                      | 20.97                |                     |                  |                      |
| $\delta$ -Cadinene     | 0.18                               |                     | 0.4              |                      | 28.22                |                     | 25.36            |                      |
| $\alpha$ -Terpineol    | -                                  | 0.05                | 0.5              |                      | 20.51                | 7.57                | 19.76            |                      |
| Thymol methyl ether    | 0.88                               |                     |                  |                      | 20.83                |                     |                  |                      |
| $\gamma$ -Cadinene     | -                                  |                     | 0.1              |                      | 27.56                |                     | 25.21            |                      |
| $\alpha$ -phellandrene | -                                  | 0.28                |                  | 0.40                 | 17.14                | 3.61                |                  | 9.97                 |
| Sabinene               |                                    | 0.09                |                  |                      |                      | 1.75                |                  |                      |
| $\beta$ -phellandrene  |                                    | 0.32                |                  | 0.75                 |                      | 21.16               |                  | 7.60                 |
| Terpinen-4-ol          |                                    | 0.12                |                  |                      |                      | 8.92                |                  |                      |
| Methyl                 |                                    | 0.06                |                  |                      |                      | 7.32                |                  |                      |
| $\beta$ -caryophyllene |                                    | 0.82                |                  | 2.27                 |                      | 12.01               |                  | 23.54                |
| Spathulenol            |                                    | 0.01                |                  |                      |                      | 1.12                |                  |                      |
| cis-linalool oxide     |                                    |                     | 0.1              |                      |                      |                     | 17.78            |                      |

|                        |          |       |             |
|------------------------|----------|-------|-------------|
| trans-linalool oxide   | low rate | 18.06 |             |
| cis-rose oxide         | 1.3      | 18.43 |             |
| trans-rose oxide       | 0.4      | 18.70 |             |
| Menthone               | 3        | 19.13 |             |
| iso-menthone           | 4.1      | 19.3  |             |
| γ-terpineol            | low rate | 19.98 |             |
| Citronellol            | 39.7     | 20.38 |             |
| Neral                  | 0.2      | 20.58 |             |
| Geraniol               | 17.6     | 20.81 |             |
| Geranial               | 0.4      | 21.06 |             |
| Citronellyl formate    | 11.2     | 21.18 |             |
| Geranyl formate        | 4.3      | 21.63 |             |
| α-Cubebene             | 0.1      | 0.30  | 22.41 23.90 |
| Citronellyl acetate    | 0.6      | 22.5  |             |
| α-Copaene              | 0.3      | 22.9  |             |
| β-bourbonene           | 0.8      | 23.11 |             |
| Geranyl acetate        | 0.6      | 22.98 |             |
| (E)-Caryophyllene      | 1.2      | 23.61 |             |
| 6,9-Guaiadiene         | 0.1      | 24.03 |             |
| Citronellyl propionate | 1.2      | 24.06 |             |
| Geranyl propionate     | 0.5      | 24.6  |             |
| α-Muurolene            | low rate | 25.0  |             |
| trans-Calamenene       | 0.5      | 25.35 |             |
| Citronellyl butyrate   | 0.3      | 25.5  |             |
| α-Calacorene           | low rate | 25.7  |             |
| Geranyl butyrate       | 1.0      | 26.03 |             |
| 10-epi-γ-Eudesmol      | 3.6      | 27.03 |             |
| m-Cymene               | 0.04     | 11.57 |             |
| Eucalyptol             | 0.50     | 13.90 |             |
| Eugenol                | 80.0     | 21.78 |             |
| Benzyl acetate         | 0.30     | 21.80 |             |
| 4-Terpineol            | 0.22     | 22.12 |             |
| α-Guaiene              | 0.71     | 24.02 |             |
| Eugenyl acetate        | 5.01     | 25.92 |             |
| Globulol               | 0.40     | 28.33 |             |
| Cubenol                | 0.57     | 30.72 |             |

|                     |      |       |
|---------------------|------|-------|
| Elixene             | 0.43 | 32.66 |
| $\alpha$ -Cadinol   | 0.57 | 36.75 |
| Germacrene D        | 0.60 | 37.04 |
| (+)-Cycloisotativen | 0.45 | 44.76 |
| Nerolidyl acetate   | 0.25 | 45.10 |
| $\alpha$ -Farnesene | 0.99 | 50.0  |
|                     |      |       |

Table 2. Fumigant toxicity of essential oils from *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum*, and *S. aromaticum* against the adult stage of *S. cerealella*. / Toxicidad fumigante de aceites esenciales de *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum* y *S. aromaticum* contra la etapa adulta de *S. cerealella*.

| Essential oils       | number of insects | Concentration<br>$\mu\text{g/L}(\text{air}) (95\%\text{FI})^{-1}$ |                        | Slope $\pm$ SE  | $\chi^2(\text{df})$ |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
|                      |                   | LC <sub>25</sub>                                                  | LC <sub>50</sub>       |                 |                     |
| <i>T. vulgaris</i>   | 270               | 16.545 (15.280-17.602)                                            | 20.125 (19.002-21.372) | 7.92 $\pm$ 0.89 | 5.46(13)            |
| <i>S. hortensis</i>  | 270               | 9.445 (7.714-10.821)                                              | 13.562 (11.978-15.323) | 4.29 $\pm$ 0.62 | 10.49(13)           |
| <i>P. roseum</i>     | 270               | 10.891 (9.704-11.903)                                             | 14.193 (13.044-15.575) | 5.86 $\pm$ 0.67 | 13.09(13)           |
| <i>S. aromaticum</i> | 270               | 10.408 (8.511-11.848)                                             | 14.189 (12.570-15.879) | 5.01 $\pm$ 0.77 | 6.95(13)            |

Table 3. Sublethal effects (LC<sub>25</sub>) of essential oils of *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum*, and *S. aromaticum* on life parameters of *S. cerealella*. / Efectos subletales (CL<sub>25</sub>) de los aceites esenciales de *T. vulgaris*, *S. hortensis*, *P. roseum* y *S. aromaticum* sobre los parámetros de vida de *S. cerealella*.

| Biological parameters (± SE)         | control     | <i>T. vulgaris</i> | <i>S. hortensis</i> | <i>P. roseum</i> | <i>S. aromaticum</i> | P       | F      | df   |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|--------|------|
| Embryo development (day)             | 8.76±0.07c  | 11.56±0.39ab       | 11.91±0.52ab        | 11.06±0.61ab     | 12.85±0.38a          | 0.0018  | 9.71   | 4,10 |
| Larvae-Pupae development (day)       | 20.20±0.57a | 19.33±0.74ab       | 16.90±1.22b         | 16.96±0.57b      | 17.50±0.74ab         | 0.088   | 2.75   | 4,10 |
| Fertility (embryo survival rate (%)) | 90.62±2.79a | 35.55±8.13b        | 43.33±3.44b         | 30.41±0.37b      | 37.14±4.12b          | <0.0001 | 23.49  | 4,10 |
| Larvae-Pupae survival rate (%)       | 96.53±0.11a | 16.66±2.98c        | 34.44±1.98b         | 19.58±1.76c      | 20.69±1.22c          | <0.0001 | 259.86 | 4,10 |
| Pre-adult mortality rate (%)         | 12.49±2.79c | 83.32±2.98a        | 65.55±1.98b         | 80.41±1.76a      | 79.30±1.22a          | <0.0001 | 139.76 | 4,10 |
| Female individuals (%)               | 47.73±5.64  | 25±12.91           | 43.96±5.24          | 51.13±16.37      | 46.3±14.21           | 0.66    | 0.61   | 4,10 |
| Male individuals (%)                 | 52.27±5.64  | 75±12.91           | 56.04±5.24          | 48.87±16.37      | 53.7±14.21           | 0.66    | 0.61   | 4,10 |
| Fecundity (mean number of eggs)      | 15.66±0.38a | 2.0±0.89d          | 8.15±0.06b          | 5.51±0.37c       | 5.0±0.46c            | <0.0001 | 81.93  | 4,10 |

Mean values in a row followed by different uppercase letters are significantly different (LSD) (P<0.05)