



**QUEEN'S
UNIVERSITY
BELFAST**

Safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the leaves or aerial parts of *Thymus serpyllum* L. (wild thyme tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl)

Villa, R. E., Azimonti, G., Bonos, E., Christensen, H., Durjava, M., Dusemund, B., Gehring, R., Glandorf, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., Marcon, F., Nebbia, C., Pechová, A., Prieto-Maradona, M., Röhe, I., Theodoridou, K., Bastos, M. D. L., Benfenati, E., Brantom, P., ... EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) (2025). Safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the leaves or aerial parts of *Thymus serpyllum* L. (wild thyme tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl). *EFSA Journal*, 23(7), Article e9551. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2025.9551>

Published in:

EFSA Journal

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Queen's University Belfast - Research Portal:

[Link to publication record in Queen's University Belfast Research Portal](#)

Publisher rights

© 2025 European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons

Attribution-NoDerivs License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/>), which permits reproduction and redistribute in any medium, provided the author and source are cited and

any subsequent modifications are not distributed.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Queen's University Belfast Research Portal is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The Research Portal is Queen's institutional repository that provides access to Queen's research output. Every effort has been made to ensure that content in the Research Portal does not infringe any person's rights, or applicable UK laws. If you discover content in the Research Portal that you believe breaches copyright or violates any law, please contact openaccess@qub.ac.uk.

Open Access

This research has been made openly available by Queen's academics and its Open Research team. We would love to hear how access to this research benefits you. – Share your feedback with us: <http://go.qub.ac.uk/oa-feedback>

Safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the leaves or aerial parts of *Thymus serpyllum* L. (wild thyme tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl)

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) |

Roberto Edoardo Villa | Giovanna Azimonti | Eleftherios Bonos | Henrik Christensen |

Mojca Durjava | Birgit Dusemund | Ronette Gehring | Boet Glandorf | Maryline Kouba |

Marta López-Alonso | Francesca Marcon | Carlo Nebbia | Alena Pechová |

Miguel Prieto-Maradona | Ilen Röhe | Katerina Theodoridou | Maria de Lourdes Bastos |

Emilio Benfenati | Paul Brantom | Andrew Chesson | Josef Schlatter | Johannes Westendorf |

Paola Manini

Correspondence: feedap@efsa.europa.eu

The declarations of interest of all scientific experts active in EFSA's work are available at <https://open.efsa.europa.eu/experts>

Abstract

Following a request from the European Commission, EFSA was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of a tincture from the leaves or aerial parts of *Thymus serpyllum* L. (wild thyme tincture) when used as a sensory additive in feed and in water for drinking for all animal species. The product is a [REDACTED] solution, with a dry matter content of approximately 1.0%. The product contains on average 0.083% (w/w) total polyphenols (of which 0.0222% are flavonoids). The Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) concluded that the use of wild thyme tincture is safe at the proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed for ornamental fish. For the other species the calculated safe concentrations in complete feed are: 71 mg/kg for chickens for fattening, 106 mg/kg for laying hens, 96 mg/kg for turkeys for fattening, 154 mg/kg for pigs for fattening, 128 mg/kg for piglets, 187 mg/kg for sows, 321 mg/kg for veal calves, 282 mg/kg for cattle for fattening, sheep/goats and horses, 183 mg/kg for dairy cows, 113 mg/kg for rabbits, 322 mg/kg for salmonids, 338 mg/kg for dogs and 282 mg/kg for cats. These conclusions were extrapolated to other physiologically related species. For any other species, the additive is safe at 71 mg/kg complete feed. No safety concerns were identified for the consumer and the environment from the use of the additive in animal feed. Regarding user safety, the additive under assessment should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a dermal and respiratory sensitiser. Any exposure is considered a risk. Since the leaves of *T. serpyllum* are recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy was necessary.

KEYWORDS

camphor, carvacrol, flavouring compounds, sensory additives, thymol, *Thymus serpyllum* L., wild thyme tincture

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nd/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.

© 2025 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by Wiley-VCH GmbH on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

CONTENTS

Abstract.....	1
1. Introduction	3
1.1. Background and Terms of Reference	3
1.2. Additional information	3
2. Data and Methodologies	3
2.1. Data.....	3
2.2. Methodologies.....	4
3. Assessment	4
3.1. Origin and extraction	4
3.2. Uses other than feed flavourings	5
3.3. Characterisation	5
3.3.1. Characterisation of wild thyme tincture	5
3.3.1.1. Impurities	7
3.3.2. Shelf life.....	7
3.3.3. Conditions of use.....	7
3.4. Safety.....	7
3.4.1. Safety for the target species.....	7
3.4.1.1. Camphor.....	8
3.4.1.2. Volatile components other than camphor	8
3.4.1.3. Flavonoids.....	10
3.4.1.4. Polyphenols other than flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid.....	10
3.4.1.5. Conclusions on safety for the target species	11
3.4.2. Safety for the consumer	11
3.4.3. Safety for the user	12
3.4.4. Safety for the environment.....	12
3.5. Efficacy.....	12
4. Conclusions.....	13
5. Documentation provided to EFSA/chronology.....	13
Abbreviations	15
Acknowledgements	15
Requestor	15
Question number	15
Copyright for non-EFSA content.....	15
Panel members	16
Legal notice	16
References.....	16

1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Background and Terms of Reference

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003¹ establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition. In particular, Article 4(1) of that Regulation lays down that any person seeking authorisation for a feed additive or for a new use of a feed additive shall submit an application in accordance with Article 7. In addition, Article 10(2) of that Regulation specifies that for existing products within the meaning of Article 10(1), an application shall be submitted in accordance with Article 7, within a maximum of 7 years after the entry into force of this Regulation.

The European Commission received a request from Feed Flavourings Authorisation Consortium European Economic Interest Grouping (FFAC EEIG)² for authorisation/re-evaluation of 41 additives (king of bitter extract, thyme leaved gratiola tincture, devils claw extract, devils claw tincture, lavender oil, lavender tincture, spike lavender oil, melissa oil, balm leaves extract, mentha arvensis/corn mint oil, pennyroyal oil, spearmint oil, peppermint oil, peppermint tincture, basil oil, basil tincture, olive extract, marjoram oil, oregano oil, oregano tincture, patchouli oil, rosemary oil, rosemary oleoresin, rosemary extract, rosemary tincture, Spanish sage oil, sage oil, sage tincture, clary sage oil, savoury summer oil, savoury summer tincture, Pau darco tincture, thymus origanum oil, thyme oil, thyme oleoresin, thyme extract, thyme tincture, lilac chastetree extract, lilac chastetree tincture, Spanish marjoram oil and wild thyme tincture) belonging to botanically defined group (BDG) 01 – Lamiales, when used as a feed additive for all animal species (category: sensory additives; functional group: flavouring compounds). During the assessment, the applicant withdrew the applications for 12 additives.³ These additives were deleted from the register of feed additives.⁴ In addition, during the course of the assessment, the application was split, and the present opinion covers only one out of the remaining 29 additives under application: thyme wild tincture from *Thymus serpyllum* L.⁵ for use in all animal species.

The remaining 28 additives belonging to botanically defined group (BDG) 01 – Lamiales, under application are assessed in separate opinions.

According to Article 7(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, the Commission forwarded the application to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) as an application under Article 4(1) (authorisation of a feed additive or new use of a feed additive) and under Article 10(2) (re-evaluation of an authorised feed additive). EFSA received directly from the applicant the technical dossier in support of this application. The particulars and documents in support of the application were considered valid by EFSA as of 1 June 2011.

According to Article 8 of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, EFSA, after verifying the particulars and documents submitted by the applicant, shall undertake an assessment in order to determine whether the feed additive complies with the conditions laid down in Article 5. EFSA shall deliver an opinion on the safety for the target animals, consumer, user and the environment and on the efficacy of the feed additive consisting of wild thyme tincture from the leaves or the aerial parts of *T. serpyllum*, when used under the proposed conditions of use (see **Section 3.3.3**).

1.2 | Additional information

Wild thyme tincture from *Thymus serpyllum* L. is currently authorised as a feed additive according to the entry in the European Union Register of Feed Additives pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 (2b natural products – botanically defined). It has not been assessed as a feed additive in the EU.

2 | DATA AND METHODOLOGIES

2.1 | Data

The present assessment is based on data submitted by the applicant in the form of a technical dossier⁶ in support of the authorisation request for the use of wild thyme tincture from *T. serpyllum* as a feed additive. The dossier was received on 26 May 2025 and the general information and supporting documentation are available at <https://open.efsa.europa.eu/questions/EFSA-Q-2025-00327>.⁷

¹Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the council of 22 September 2003 on the additives for use in animal nutrition. OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29.

²On 13/03/2013, EFSA was informed by the applicant that the applicant company changed to FEFANA asbl, Avenue Louise 130 A, Box 1, 1050 Brussels, Belgium.

³Thyme leaves gratiola tincture, spike lavender oil, melissa oil, pennyroyal oil, basil oil and savoury summer oil (27 February 2019); Spanish marjoram oil (28 September 2023); lilac chastetree extract and savoury summer tincture (8 July 2024); devils claw extract (wb), balm leaves extract (sb), olive extract (sb) (16 December 2024).

⁴Register of feed additives, Annex II, withdrawn by OJ L162, 10.05.2021, p. 5.

⁵Accepted name: *Thymus serpyllum* L.

⁶Dossier reference: FAD-2010-0137.

⁷The original application EFSA-Q-2010-01307 was split on 26/5/2025 and a new EFSA-Q-2025-00327 was generated.

The FEEDAP Panel used the data provided by the applicant together with data from other sources, such as previous risk assessments by EFSA or other expert bodies, peer-reviewed scientific papers, other scientific reports and experts' knowledge, to deliver the present output.

Several components of the tincture under assessment have been already evaluated by the FEEDAP Panel as chemically defined flavourings (CDGs). The applicant submitted a written agreement to reuse the data submitted for the assessment of chemically defined flavourings (dossiers, publications and unpublished reports) for the risk assessment of preparations belonging to BDG 01, including the current one under assessment.⁸

EFSA has verified the European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) report as it relates to the methods used for the control of the phytochemical markers in the additive. During the assessment, upon request of EFSA, the EURL issued two partial reports.⁹ The additive under assessment is included in the second partial report. In particular, for the characterisation of wild thyme tincture the EURL recommended methods based on (i) spectrophotometry for the determination of total polyphenols and total flavonoids; (ii) gas chromatography coupled with flame ionisation detection (GC–FID) for the determination of thymol and carvacrol; (iii) high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for the quantification of the phytochemical marker rosmarinic acid in wild thyme tincture; and (iv) high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) profile for the unequivocal identification of wild thyme tincture.¹⁰

2.2 | Methodologies

The approach followed by the FEEDAP Panel to assess the safety and the efficacy of wild thyme tincture from *T. serpyllum* is in line with the principles laid down in Regulation (EC) No 429/2008¹¹ and the relevant guidance documents: Guidance on safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations intended for use as ingredients in food supplements (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2009), Compendium of botanicals that have been reported to contain naturally occurring substances of potential concern for human and animal health,¹² Guidance for the preparation of dossiers for sensory additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a), Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017a), Guidance on the safety of feed additives for the target species (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b), Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017c), Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the environment (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2019), Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the users (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2023a), Guidance on the assessment of the efficacy of feed additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2024), Guidance document on harmonised methodologies for human health, animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2019a), Statement on the genotoxicity assessment of chemical mixtures (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2019b), Guidance on the use of the Threshold of Toxicological Concern approach in food safety assessment (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2019c).

3 | ASSESSMENT

The additive under assessment, wild thyme tincture, is obtained from the dried leaves or aerial parts of *T. serpyllum* L. and is intended for use as a sensory additive (functional group: flavouring compounds) in feed and in water for drinking for all animal species.

3.1 | Origin and extraction

Thymus serpyllum L. is a dwarf perennial shrub belonging to the family Lamiaceae, native to the more temperate zones of Europe where it is widely distributed. It can be distinguished from other *Thymus* species by its prostrate creeping growth habit, only reaching approximately 2 cm in height. A number of common names may be applied to the species, including Breckland thyme, creeping thyme and elfin thyme. However, because of similarities in growth and flower colour, the same common name may be applied to a number of different species. This is the case for 'wild thyme', which is widely applied to *T. serpyllum*, but may also be used to describe sub-species of *Thymus praecox* Opiz and a number of its synonyms (e.g. *Thymus polystriatus* A.Kerns ex Borbas; *Thymus drucei* Ronniger). Leaves of *T. serpyllum*, in common with many other species of *Thymus*, may be used as a culinary herb.

⁸Technical dossier/Supplementary information August 2024/Letter dated 27/8/2024.

⁹Additives included in the first partial report: Spanish sage oil, peppermint oil, thymus origanum oil, patchouli oil, clary sage oil, lavender oil and sage oil; additives included in the second partial report: cornmint oil, spearmint oil, thyme oil, rosemary oil, marjoram oil, rosemary tincture, basil tincture, lavender tincture, peppermint tincture, sage tincture and wild thyme tincture.

¹⁰Evaluation report received on 18/6/2025 and available on the EU Science Hub https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/reports-and-technical-documentation/fad-2010-0137_en.

¹¹Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the preparation and the presentation of applications and the assessment and the authorisation of feed additives. OJ L 133, 22.5.2008, p. 1.

¹²Online version: <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/compendium-botanicals>.

The tincture is produced from the leaves or the aerial parts by extended extraction for [REDACTED] under ambient conditions with a [REDACTED] solvent mixture and a [REDACTED]. The tincture is recovered by pressing to separate solid and liquid phases and the solution obtained is then clarified by filtration.

3.2 | Uses other than feed flavourings

While there is no specific EU authorisation for any *T. serpyllum* preparation when used to provide flavour in food, according to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008¹³ flavouring preparations produced from food may be used without an evaluation and approval as long as ‘they do not, on the basis of the scientific evidence available, pose a safety risk to the health of the consumer and their use does not mislead the consumer’.

‘Wild thyme (*Serpylli herba*)’ is described in a monograph of the European Pharmacopoeia 11.3 (PhEur, 2023) for medicinal uses.

3.3 | Characterisation

3.3.1 | Characterisation of wild thyme tincture

Wild thyme tincture is a brown liquid, with a characteristic odour (characteristic of aromatic plant, phenolic and camphor odour). It has an average density of [REDACTED] kg/m³ ([REDACTED] kg/m³) and a pH of [REDACTED] ([REDACTED]).¹⁴ No Chemical Abstract Service (CAS) number or European Community (EC) number is specifically associated to wild thyme tincture.¹⁵ The Council of Europe (CoE) number 455 is associated to *T. serpyllum* L. emend Mill.

Table 1 summarises the results of the proximate analysis of five batches of the additive.¹⁶ The solvent represents on average 99.0%, leaving a dry matter (DM) content of 1.0%. The DM consists of inorganic material measured as ash (18.8%) and a plant-derived organic fraction of 81.2%, which includes proteins, lipids and reducing sugars (after hydrolysis). The unidentified organic fraction remaining after subtracting the values for proteins, lipids and reducing sugars contains a variety of non-volatile secondary metabolites including phenolic compounds (see Table 2).

TABLE 1 Proximate analysis of wild thyme tincture based on the analysis of five batches.

Constituent	Mean	Range
	% (w/w)	% (w/w)
Dry matter	1.00	1.00–1.01
Ash	0.19	0.17–0.22
Organic fraction	0.81	0.79–0.83
Proteins	< 0.08	< 0.08
Lipids	0.005	0.004–0.007
Reducing sugars ¹	< 0.3	< 0.3
‘Unidentified’ ²	0.43	0.40–0.44
Solvent	99.00	98.99–99.00

¹After hydrolysis, expressed as glucose equivalents.

²‘Unidentified’ (by difference) calculated subtracting ash, proteins, lipids and sugar from the dry matter. It includes non-volatile secondary plant metabolites.

The fraction of secondary metabolites was characterised in the same five batches of wild thyme tincture and the results are summarised in Table 2. The tincture was shown to contain polyphenols (0.086% on average, w/w) determined by spectrophotometry (at 760 nm) and expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE).¹⁷ The FEEDAP Panel notes that the polyphenols were determined by the Folin–Ciocalteu method, which is a widely used method for the determination of total content of polyphenols in plant extracts, but which does not give the true concentrations of polyphenols of different types. This may lead to an overestimation of their concentrations in comparison to results obtained by HPLC depending on the substances of interest and the standard used (Everette et al., 2010; Samara et al., 2022). The concentration of flavonoids (0.022% on

¹³Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on flavourings and certain food ingredients with flavouring properties for use in and on foods and amending Regulation (EC) No 1601/91 of the Council, Regulations (EC) No 2232/96 and (EC) No 110/2008 and Directive 2000/13/EC. OJ L 354, 31.12.2008, p. 34.

¹⁴Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex_II_4_Results of analysis.

¹⁵The following entries were found at <https://eu.europa.eu> home: ‘Thymus serpyllum extract. (Thymus Serpyllum Extract is the extract of the wild thyme, Thymus serpyllum.)’, CAS number 84776-98-7, EC number 284-023-3.

¹⁶Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Section II_Identity and Annex_II_4_Results of analysis.

¹⁷Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Section II_Identity and Annex_II_4_Results of analysis.

average, w/w, expressed as quercetin equivalents) was determined by spectrophotometry (at 415 nm). Four unidentified polyphenols (phenolic acids) were detected by high performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) and also expressed as GAE.¹⁸ Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives were quantified by HPLC¹⁹ and volatile compounds by gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector (GC–FID)²⁰ in the same five batches of the tincture. Sabinene was below the limit of detection (LOD, 0.26 mg/L, corresponding to less than 0.00003%) in all batches.

TABLE 2 Concentrations of the fraction of secondary metabolites in wild thyme tincture based on the analysis of five batches (mean and range).

Constituent	CAS no	FLAVIS no	Method	Mean % (w/w)	Range % (w/w)
Total polyphenols ¹	–	–	Folin–Ciocalteu ²	0.0857	0.0826–0.0923
Flavonoids	–	–	Spectrophotometry ³	0.02222	0.02116–0.02338
Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives					
Rosmarinic acid	20283-92-5	–	HPLC–UV (330 nm)	0.00104	0.00100–0.00107
Chlorogenic acid	327-97-9	–	HPLC–UV (330 nm)	0.00006	0.00006–0.00008
Volatile compounds					
Thymol	89-83-8	04.006	GC–FID	0.000019	0.000018–0.000020
Carvacrol	499-75-2	04.006	GC–FID	0.000042	0.000040–0.000043
Camphor ⁴	76-22-2	–	GC–FID	0.000315	0.000294–0.000329
1,8-Cineole	470-82-6	03.001	GC–FID	0.000028	0.000026–0.000031
Geraniol	106-24-1	02.012	GC–FID	0.000186	0.000183–0.000192
Linalool	78-70-6	02.013	GC–FID	0.000162	0.000137–0.000178
α-Terpineol	98-55-5	02.013	GC–FID	0.000095	0.000084–0.000106
4-Terpinenol	562-74-3	02.072	GC–FID	0.000111	0.000097–0.000127
Eugenol	97-53-0	04.003	GC–FID	0.000132	0.000130–0.000133

Abbreviations: CAS no, Chemical Abstracts Service number; EU, European Union; FLAVIS no, EU Flavour Information System number.
¹Expressed as gallic acid equivalents (GAE).
²Determined by an internal method based on European Pharmacopoeia (PhEur, 2022a). Chapter 2.8.14, Determination of tannins in herbal drugs.
³Determined by an internal method based on European Pharmacopoeia (PhEur, 2022b). Determination of flavonoids in motherwort.
⁴Present in the additive as a mixture of enantiomers (*d,l*-camphor), the ratio between *d*- and *l*-stereoisomers not given.

According to the existing monographs (PhEur, 2023; PhEur Commentary, 2020), the aerial parts of *T. serpyllum* L. contain 0.1–0.8% essential oil (main components: up to 40% carvacrol, up to 5% thymol, up to 15% *p*-cymene, up to 15% γ -terpinene) and a fraction of non-volatile phenolic compounds. Furthermore, two triterpenes (ursolic acid and oleanolic acid) and two lactones (33-ketopentatriacontanoic acid and 27-ketotriacontanol) are described as components. The phenolic fraction consists of (i) components of the essential oil (carvacrol, thymol), (ii) ‘Lamiaceae tannins’ (about 7% of dried aerial parts), such as rosmarinic acid and its methyl- and *n*-propylesters, chlorogenic acid and lithospermic acid (iii) free phenylcarboxylic acids, such as ferulic acid and caffeic acid and (iv) flavonoids (up to 1% of dried aerial parts), mainly apigenin and luteolin; furthermore, diosmetin and scutellarein (all free or bound in glycosidic form). The EFSA Compendium of botanicals²¹ reports the occurrence of (+)-pulegone (0.05%–6.12%), 1,8-cineole (2.4%–18%), camphor (0.2%–14.2%), carvone (9%), myrcene (0.1%–20.9%), β -thujone ($\leq$ 2.4%), safrole ($\leq$ 1.1%) and thymoquinone ($\leq$ 2.6%) in the essential oil from the aerial parts of *T. serpyllum*. The applicant made a literature search for the chemical composition of *T. serpyllum* and its botanical preparations and the possible presence of substances of concern,²² the results of which confirmed the information in the EFSA Compendium, except for safrole. Since the presence of safrole was identified in an essential oil from Pakistan (Aziz et al., 2010) but was not confirmed by other authors, the FEEDAP Panel considered it not relevant for the present assessment of wild thyme tincture. Among the publications retrieved by the literature search, a detailed description of the phenolic composition of wild thyme has been reported by Sonmezdag et al. (2016). The authors analysed a methanolic extract of the whole dries of *T. serpyllum* with high performance liquid chromatography electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC–MS–MS) and identified 18 phenolic compounds. Among these were 10 flavonoids (aglycon and glycosides of luteolin, kaempferol, apigenin, naringin and rutin), five hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives (rosmarinic acid and its glucoside, chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid and caffeic acid) and three hydroxybenzoic acid derivatives (protocatechuic acid and its hexoside, and gallic acid). Similar results were obtained in an analysis of an ethanolic extract of *T. serpyllum* used in the manufacture of herbal tea (Janiak et al., 2017).

¹⁸Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex II_8_Detailed report of Wild Thyme tincture HPTLC analysis.
¹⁹Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex II_9_Certificate of analysis of rosmarinic acid in Thymus serpyllum tincture.
²⁰Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex II_7_Certificate of analysis of camphor, thujones, 1,8-cineole and estragole in sage tincture.
²¹Online version: <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/compendium-botanicals>, accessed on 15 May 2025.
²²Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex II_5_Bibliographic data concerning chemical composition of wild thyme and its extracts.

3.3.1.1 | Impurities

The applicant controls contamination at the level of the raw material, including knowledge of the cultivation conditions and pesticides applied. Specifications are set with suppliers covering cadmium, mercury, lead and arsenic, mycotoxins, pesticides, dioxins and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and microbial contamination.²³ An example of a quality control certificate for the raw material was provided. The applicant stated that analysis of impurities in the tincture is made on an irregular basis and does not form part of the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) plan. However, no data were provided on the presence of these impurities in the additive under assessment.

3.3.2 | Shelf life

The shelf life of the tincture is declared by the applicant to be at least 36 months when stored in tightly closed containers under standard conditions. No evidence was provided to support this claim.

3.3.3 | Conditions of use

The additive is intended for use in feed and in water for drinking for all animal species. The applicant proposes a maximum concentration of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed for all animal species. No use level has been proposed for the use in water for drinking.

3.4 | Safety

No studies to support the safety for target animals, consumers or users were performed with the additive under assessment. Wild thyme tincture contains plant-derived proteins, lipids and sugars (see Table 1), which are not of concern and are not further considered.

Among the secondary plant metabolites, total phenolic compounds were quantified, but only rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid were individually identified and quantified. Hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives (rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid) are ubiquitous in food and feeds of plant origin. They are readily metabolised and excreted and are not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products. These compounds are not of concern at concentrations resulting from the use of the additive at the maximum proposed use level in feed and are not further considered in the assessment.

Several individual components identified in the tincture have been already assessed as chemically defined flavourings for use in feed and food by the FEEDAP Panel, the EFSA Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in contact with Food (AFC) superseded in 2008 by the EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF). The flavouring compounds currently authorised for food²⁴ and/or feed²⁵ use, together with the EU Flavour Information System (FLAVIS) number, the chemical group as defined in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000,²⁶ and the corresponding EFSA opinion are listed in Table 3.

TABLE 3 Flavouring compounds identified among the components of wild thyme tincture, which were already assessed by EFSA as chemically defined flavourings, grouped according to the chemical group (CG) as defined in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000, with indication of the EU Flavour Information System (FLAVIS) number and the corresponding EFSA opinion.

CG	Chemical group	Product (EU register name)	FLAVIS No.	EFSA* opinion, year
03	α,β-Unsaturated (alkene or alkyne) straight-chain and branched-chain aliphatic primary alcohols/aldehydes/acids, acetals and esters	Geraniol	02.012	2016a
06	Aliphatic, alicyclic and aromatic saturated and unsaturated tertiary alcohols and esters with esters containing tertiary alcohols ethers	Linalool	02.013	2012a
		α-Terpineol	02.014	
		4-Terpinenol	02.072	

(Continues)

²³Technical dossier/Supplementary information April 2024/Annex II_1_Sage leaves description form plant supplier and certificate of analysis of raw material.
²⁴Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 872/2012 of 1 October 2012 adopting the list of flavouring substances provided for by Regulation (EC) No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council, introducing it in Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 and Commission Decision 1999/217/EC. OJ L 267, 2.10.2012, p. 1.
²⁵European Union Register of Feed Additives pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Available online: https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/animal-feed-eu-reg-comm_register_feed_additives_1831-03.pdf.
²⁶Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council. OJ L 1 80, 19.7.2000, p. 8.

TABLE 3 (Continued)

CG	Chemical group	Product (EU register name)	FLAVIS No.	EFSA* opinion, year
08	Secondary alicyclic saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ketones, ketals and esters with ketals containing alicyclic alcohols or ketones and esters containing secondary alicyclic alcohols	Camphor <i>d</i> -Camphor ¹	07.215	2008, AFC 2016b, 2023b
16	Aliphatic and alicyclic ethers	1,8-Cineole	03.001	2012b, 2021
18	Allylhydroxybenzenes	Eugenol	04.003	2011
25	Phenol derivatives containing ring-alkyl, ring-alkoxy and side-chains with an oxygenated functional group	Thymol Carvacrol	04.006 04.031	2012c

*FEEDAP opinion unless otherwise indicated.
¹Present in the additive as a mixture of enantiomers (*d,l*-camphor), the ratio between *d*- and *l*-stereoisomers not given. JECFA and EFSA evaluated the enantiomer *d*-camphor (name in the register: (1*R*)-1,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one [07.215]) for use in food (EFSA, 2008) and in feed (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016b).

3.4.1 | Safety for the target species

Tolerance studies in the target species and/or toxicological studies in laboratory animals with the tincture under application were not submitted. In the absence of these data, the approach to the safety assessment of the mixture is based on the safety assessment of its individual components (when individually identified and quantified) or groups of components.

Camphor is assessed based on the results of the tolerance studies in the target species with the mixture of flavourings ‘Herbal’ (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2023b).

For geraniol [02.012], linalool [02.013], terpineol [02.230], 1,8-cineole [03.001], eugenol [04.003], thymol [04.006] and carvacrol [04.031], sub-chronic oral toxicity studies are available, from which no observed adverse effect levels (NOAELs) were identified (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2011, 2012a, 2012c, 2016a, 2021). For thujones²⁷ the FEEDAP Panel applied a benchmark dose lower confidence limit for a benchmark response of 10% (BMDL₁₀) of 11 mg/kg bw per day derived from the long-term chronic toxicity study in mice and rats using clonic seizures as the critical effect (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2022).

For the group assessment of phenolic compounds including flavonoids, in the absence of data, the threshold of toxicological concern (TTC) is applied to derive maximum safe concentrations in feed for the whole groups in the tincture (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b).

3.4.1.1 | Camphor

Camphor (as a mixture of isomers) has not been evaluated for use as a flavouring. However, *d*-camphor [07.215] was assessed in tolerance studies with a mixture of flavourings referred to as ‘Herbal’ in chickens for fattening, piglets, cattle for fattening and salmons. The tolerance studies showed that *d*-camphor was safe for the target species at 5 mg/kg complete feed (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2023b). The FEEDAP Panel considers that the conclusions reached for *d*-camphor can be extrapolated to the mixture of isomers of camphor by applying read-across.

At the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed and considering the highest analysed concentration of camphor²⁸ (0.00033%) in the tincture, the corresponding level of camphor in complete feed would be up to 0.0017 mg/kg. This concentration is well below the concentration of 5 mg *d*-camphor/kg complete feed considered safe for all animal species when tested in the mixture ‘Herbal’ (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2023b). Therefore, no concern for the target species is expected from the presence of camphor in wild thyme tincture.

3.4.1.2 | Volatile components other than camphor

The volatile compounds present in the tincture were allocated to five assessment groups, corresponding to the chemical groups (CGs) 3, 6, 16, 18 and 25, as defined in Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000. The allocation of the components to the assessment groups is shown in Table 4.

For hazard characterisation, each component of an assessment group was first assigned to the structural class according to Cramer classification using Toxtree (version 3.1.0, May 2018²⁹). For some components in the assessment group, toxicological data were available to identify no observed adverse effect levels (NOAEL). Structural and metabolic similarity among the components in the assessment groups were assessed to explore the application of read-across, allowing extrapolation from a known NOAEL of a component of an assessment group to the other components of the same group with no available NOAEL or, if sufficient evidence were available for members of an assessment group, to derive a group NOAEL.

²⁷Present in the additive as a mixture of α - thujone and β -thujone, the ratio between α - and β -thujones not given.
²⁸Present in the additive as a mixture of enantiomers (*d,l*-camphor), the ratio between *d*- and *l*-stereoisomers not given.
²⁹Toxtree includes both the original Cramer rule base with the 33 structural rules (Cramer et al., 1978) and an extended rule base with five additional rules which were introduced to overcome misclassification (in Class I or Class II) of several substances with low NOAELs. <https://toxtree.sourceforge.net/>.

Sub-chronic studies, from which NOAEL values (or a BMDL₁₀ value for thujones) could be identified, were available for the following volatile components of the tincture: 345 mg/kg bw per day for geraniol [02.012] (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016a), 117 mg/kg bw per day for linalool [02.013], 250 mg/kg bw per day for terpineol [02.230]³⁰ (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a), 100 mg/kg bw per day for 1,8-cineole [03.001] (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2021), 300 mg/kg bw per day for eugenol [04.003], 36 mg/kg bw per day for thymol [04.006] and carvacrol [04.031] (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012c) and 11 mg/kg bw per day for thujones (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2022).

In CG 6, a NOAEL of 250 mg/kg bw per day was identified for terpineol [02.230], divided by a factor of 2 to take account of the nature of the study (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a). The resulting value of 125 mg/kg bw per day was used as the reference point for terpinyl derivatives, α-terpineol [02.014] and 4-terpinenol [02.072].

For each component in the assessment group, exposure in target animals (expressed as mg/kg bw per day) was estimated considering the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed, the percentage of the component in the tincture, and the default values for body weight and feed intake according to the guidance on the safety of feed additives for target species (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b). For each component the highest analysed concentration in the additive is used.

For risk characterisation, the margin of exposure (MOE) was calculated for each component as the ratio between the reference point and the exposure. For each assessment group, the combined (total) margin of exposure (MOET) was calculated as the reciprocal of the sum of the reciprocals of the MOE for the individual substances (EFSA Scientific Committee, 2019a). A MOE(T) > 100 allowed for interspecies differences and intra-individual variability.

The approach to the safety assessment of wild thyme tincture is shown in Table 4 for chickens for fattening, species with the highest ratio of feed intake/body weight and which represents the worst-case scenario among the target species.

TABLE 4 Compositional data, intake values (calculated for chickens for fattening at 500 mg/kg complete feed), reference points and margin of exposure (MOE) for the individual components of wild thyme tincture classified according to assessment groups and combined margin of exposure (MOET) for each assessment group.

Tincture composition			Exposure		Hazard characterisation		Risk characterisation	
Assessment group	FLAVIS no	Highest concentration in the tincture	Highest concentration in feed	Daily intake ¹	Cramer class ²	NOAEL ³	MOE ⁴	MOET ⁵
Constituent	–	%	mg/kg	mg/kg bw/day	–	mg/kg bw/day	–	–
CG 3								
Geraniol	02.012	0.00019	0.0010	0.00009	(I)	345	4,003,165	
CG 6								
Linalool	02.013	0.00018	0.0009	0.00008	(I)	117	1,464,372	
α-Terpineol	02.014	0.00011	0.0005	0.00005	(I)	125 ⁶	2,627,179	
4-Terpinenol	02.072	0.00013	0.0006	0.00006	(I)	125 ⁶	2,192,764	
MOET CG 6								658,082
CG 16								
1,8-Cineole	03.001	0.00003	0.0002	0.00001	(II)	100	7,186,607	
CG 18								
Eugenol	04.003	0.00013	0.0007	0.00006	(I)	300	5,025,221	
CG 25								
Thymol	04.006	0.00002	0.0001	0.00001	(I)	36	4,010,127	
Carvacrol	04.031	0.00004	0.0002	0.00002	(I)	36	1,865,175	
Group MOE CG 25								1,273,056

Abbreviations: MOE, margin of exposure; MOET: combined (total) MOE; NOAEL, no observed adverse effect level.

¹Rounded intake values are shown in the Table.

²When a NOAEL value is available or read-across is applied, the allocation to the Cramer class is put into parentheses.

³Values **in bold** refer to those components for which the NOAEL value was available, values *in italics* are the 5th percentile of the distribution of NOAELs of the corresponding Cramer Class, other values (plain text) are NOAELs extrapolated by using read-across.

⁴The MOE for each component is calculated as the ratio of the reference point (no observed adverse effect level, NOAEL) to the intake (non-rounded values are use in the calculations). When a group reference point is available, a group MOE is calculated for the combined intake. Group MOE values are reported **in bold**.

⁵The combined margin of exposure (MOET) is calculated for each assessment group as the reciprocal of the sum of the reciprocals of the MOE for the individual substances. MOET values are reported **in bold**.

⁶A factor of 2 was applied to the NOAEL of 250 mg/kg bw per day for terpineol because of the nature of the study.

³⁰Terpineol is a mixture of four structural isomers: α-terpineol [02.014], β-terpineol, γ-terpineol and 4-terpinenol [02.072]. α-Terpineol [02.014], is defined as a mixture of (R)-(+)-α-terpineol and (S)-(–)-α-terpineol.

As shown in Table 4, for all assessment groups the MOE(T) calculated for chickens for fattening at the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed was substantially > 100. Therefore, no safety concern was identified for the volatile components of wild thyme tincture when used as a feed additive for chickens for fattening at the maximum proposed use levels (500 mg/kg complete feed). As chickens for fattening is the species with the highest ratio of feed intake/body weight and represent the worst-case scenario, the same conclusion can be extended to all animal species.

3.4.1.3 | Flavonoids

At the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed, the highest concentration of flavonoids (0.023% of the tincture) would be 0.117 mg/kg complete feed. Since the individual compounds were not identified, they are assigned to Cramer Class III. A comparison between the highest concentration of flavonoids in feed resulting from the use of the additive at the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg and the maximum acceptable concentrations in feed for Cramer Class III compounds (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b) is shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5 Highest concentration of flavonoids from wild thyme tincture in complete feed, calculated at the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed and maximum safe concentrations of flavonoids and of the additive in feed for the target species calculated by applying the threshold of toxicological concern for Cramer Class III compounds.

Animal category	Daily feed intake (g DM/kg bw)	Highest concentration of flavonoids ¹ (mg/kg complete feed) ²	Maximum safe concentration of flavonoids (mg/kg complete feed) ²	Maximum safe concentration of wild thyme tincture (mg/kg complete feed) ²
Chickens for fattening	79	0.117	0.02	71
Laying hens	53	0.117	0.02	106
Turkeys for fattening	59	0.117	0.02	96
Piglets	44	0.117	0.03	128
Pigs for fattening	37	0.117	0.04	154
Sows lactating	30	0.117	0.04	187
Veal calves (milk replacer)	19	0.117	0.08	321
Cattle for fattening	20	0.117	0.07	282
Dairy cows	31	0.117	0.04	183
Sheep/goats	20	0.117	0.07	282
Horses	20	0.117	0.07	282
Rabbits	50	0.117	0.03	113
Salmonids	18	0.117	0.08	322
Dogs	17	0.117	0.08	338
Cats	20	0.117	0.07	282
Ornamental fish	5	0.117	0.29	— ³

Abbreviations: bw, body weight; DM, dry matter.

¹Calculated at the proposed use level of wild thyme tincture in complete feed (500 mg/kg) and considering the highest analysed concentration of flavonoids in the additive (0.023%, w/w).

²Complete feed containing 88% DM, milk replacer 94.5% DM.

³The proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed is considered safe.

The results shown in Table 5 indicate that the concentration of flavonoids in feed would be above the maximum acceptable concentration in feed for Cramer Class III compounds in feed for all animal species except ornamental fish. For ornamental fish the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed is safe when considering the presence of flavonoids. For the other animal categories, the calculated maximum safe concentrations of wild thyme tincture in feed for the target species (when considering only the flavonoid fraction) are shown in Table 5.

3.4.1.4 | Polyphenols other than flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid

Among the secondary metabolites, up to 0.092% of the tincture are polyphenols measured by the Folin–Ciocalteu method and expressed as GAE. After subtraction of flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid, the fraction of polyphenols is 0.069% of the tincture. At the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg wild thyme tincture/kg complete feed, this would equate to 0.34 mg/kg complete feed. Although the individual components of this fraction were not identified, the results of the literature search provided by the applicant (see Section 3.3.1) indicated that substances belonging to Cramer class III are not expected in the polyphenolic fraction. Unidentified flavonoids have been separately assessed by applying the

threshold of toxicological concern for Cramer Class III compounds (see Section 3.4.1.3). Therefore, the remaining polyphenols belonging to this fraction are assigned to Cramer Class I and assessed as a group. A comparison between the highest concentration of polyphenols in feed resulting from the use of the additive at the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg in complete feed and the maximum acceptable concentrations in feed for Cramer Class I compounds (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b) is shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6 Highest concentration of polyphenols (after subtraction of flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid from the value determined by Folin–Ciocalteu method) from wild thyme tincture in complete feed, calculated at the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed and maximum safe concentrations in feed of polyphenols and of the additive for the target species calculated by applying the threshold of toxicological concern for Cramer Class I compounds.

Animal category	Daily feed intake (g DM/kg bw)	Highest concentration of polyphenols ¹ (mg/kg complete feed) ²	Maximum safe concentration of polyphenols (mg/kg complete feed) ²	Maximum safe concentration of wild thyme tincture (mg/kg complete feed) ²
Chickens for fattening	79	0.34	0.3	486
Laying hens	53	0.34	0.5	– ³
Turkeys for fattening	59	0.34	0.5	–
Piglets	44	0.34	0.6	–
Pigs for fattening	37	0.34	0.7	–
Sows lactating	30	0.34	0.9	–
Veal calves (milk replacer)	19	0.34	1.5	–
Cattle for fattening	20	0.34	1.3	–
Dairy cows	31	0.34	0.9	–
Sheep/goats	20	0.34	1.3	–
Horses	20	0.34	1.3	–
Rabbits	50	0.34	0.5	–
Salmonids	18	0.34	1.5	–
Dogs	17	0.34	1.6	–
Cats	20	0.34	1.3	–
Ornamental fish	5	0.34	5.9	–

Abbreviations: bw, body weight; DM, dry matter.
¹Calculated at the proposed use level of wild thyme tincture in complete feed (500 mg/kg) and considering the highest estimated analysed value of polyphenols (after subtraction of flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid) in the additive (0.069%, w/w).
²Complete feed containing 88% DM, milk replacer 94.5% DM.
³The proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed is considered safe.

The results shown in Table 6 indicate that the concentration of polyphenols in feed (after subtraction flavonoids, rosmarinic acid and chlorogenic acid) would be below the maximum acceptable concentration in feed for Cramer Class I compounds in feed for all species except for chickens for fattening. For chickens for fattening the calculated safe concentrations of wild thyme tincture (when considering only polyphenols) in feed is shown in Table 6. For the other species the maximum proposed use level of 500 mg/kg complete feed is safe (when considering only polyphenols).

Overall evaluation

When considering the assessment of the individual components or groups of components of wild thyme tincture, the lowest safe concentrations in feed were derived for the assessment group flavonoids (see Table 5). These levels are extrapolated to physiologically related species. For the other species not considered, the calculated maximum safe level of 71 mg additive/kg complete feed is applied.
No specific proposals have been made by the applicant for the use in water for drinking. The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount that is considered safe when consumed via feed.

3.4.1.5 | Conclusions on safety for the target species

The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use of wild thyme tincture is safe up for the target species up to the maximum concentrations in complete feed summarised in Table 7.

TABLE 7 Safe concentrations of wild thyme tincture in complete feed (mg/kg) for all animal species and categories.

Animal categories	Safe concentration (mg/kg complete feed) ¹
Turkeys for fattening	96
Chickens for fattening, other poultry for fattening or reared for laying/reproduction and ornamental birds	71
Laying hens and other laying/reproductive birds	106
Piglets and other porcine species for meat production or reared for reproduction	128
Pigs for fattening	154
Sows and other porcine species for reproduction	187
Veal calves (milk replacer)	321
Sheep/goats	282
Cattle for fattening, other ruminants for fattening or reared for milk production/reproduction and camelids at the same physiological stage	282
Dairy cows and other ruminants and camelids for milk production or reproduction	183
Horses and other equids	282
Rabbits and other leporids	113
Salmonids and minor fin fish	322
Dogs	338
Cats	282
Ornamental fish	500
Other species	71

¹Complete feed containing 88% DM, milk replacer 94.5% DM.

The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount that is considered safe when consumed via feed.

3.4.2 | Safety for the consumer

Thymus serpyllum L. is used in a wide range of food categories for flavouring purposes. Although individual consumption figures are not available, the Fenaroli's handbook of flavour ingredients (Burdock, 2009) cites intake values of 0.0016 mg/kg bw per day for *T. serpyllum*.

No data on residues in products of animal origin were made available for any of the constituents of the tincture. When considering the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of the individual components, the data available for 1,8-cineole, camphor, thymol and carvacrol indicate that they are absorbed, metabolised and rapidly excreted and are not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012b, 2012c, 2016b, 2021). Similarly, the phenolic compounds including flavonoids will be readily metabolised and excreted and are not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products. Consequently, relevant residues in food products of animal origin are unlikely.

Considering the above and the reported human exposure due to the direct use of *T. serpyllum* and its preparations in food (Burdock, 2009), it is unlikely that consumption of products from animals given wild thyme tincture in the diet at the maximum proposed use level would significantly increase human background exposure.

No safety concern would be expected for the consumer from the use of wild thyme tincture up to the maximum proposed use level in feed.

3.4.3 | Safety for the user

No specific data were provided by the applicant regarding the safety of the additive for users.

The applicant provided information according to Classification, Labelling and Packaging (CLP) Regulation (EC) 1272/2008³¹ concerning the presence of ethanol in the tincture.³²

³¹Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. *OJ L 353*, 31.12.2008, p. 1–1355.

³²H319: causes serious eye irritation (relevant for dermal exposure).

The additive contains camphor and 1,8-cineole, compounds for which hazards for skin and eye contact and respiratory exposure were recognised (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012b, 2016b). The additive under assessment should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a dermal and respiratory sensitiser. Any exposure is considered a risk.

3.4.4 | Safety for the environment

T. serpyllum is a species native to Europe where it grows wild and is also cultivated for culinary and ornamental purposes.

The use of wild thyme tincture in animal feed under the proposed conditions of use is not expected to pose a risk to the environment.

3.5 | Efficacy

The leaves of wild thyme (*T. serpyllum* L.) are listed in Fenaroli's Handbook of Flavour Ingredients (Burdock, 2009) without a FEMA reference number.

Since the leaves of *T. serpyllum* and their preparations are recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy is considered necessary.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

The conclusions of the FEEDAP Panel on the safe levels of wild thyme tincture in complete feed for all animal species are summarised as follows:

Animal categories	Safe concentration (mg/kg complete feed) ¹
Turkeys for fattening	96
Chickens for fattening, other poultry for fattening or reared for laying/reproduction and ornamental birds	71
Laying hens and other laying/reproductive birds	106
Piglets and other porcine species for meat production or reared for reproduction	128
Pigs for fattening	154
Sows and other porcine species for reproduction	187
Veal calves (milk replacer)	321
Sheep/goats	282
Cattle for fattening, other ruminants for fattening or reared for milk production/reproduction and camelids at the same physiological stage	282
Dairy cows and other ruminants and camelids for milk production or reproduction	183
Horses and other equids	282
Rabbits and other leporids	113
Salmonids and minor fin fish	322
Dogs	338
Cats	282
Ornamental fish	500
Other species	71

¹ Complete feed containing 88% dry matter, milk replacer 94.5% dry matter.

The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount that is considered safe when consumed via feed.

The use of wild thyme tincture at the proposed conditions of use is considered safe for the consumers and the environment.

Regarding user safety, the additive under assessment should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a dermal and respiratory sensitiser. Any exposure is considered a risk.

Since the leaves of *T. serpyllum* and their preparations are recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy is considered necessary.

5 | DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED TO EFSA/CHRONOLOGY

Date	Event
23/11/2010	Dossier received by EFSA. Botanically defined flavourings from Botanical Group 01 – Lamiales for all animal species and categories. Submitted by Feed Flavourings Authorisation Consortium European Economic Interest Grouping (FFAC EEIG)
03/01/2011	Reception mandate from the European Commission
06/01/2011	Application validated by EFSA – Start of the scientific assessment
01/04/2011	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: analytical methods</i>
08/01/2013	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant – Scientific assessment remains suspended
26/02/2013	EFSA informed the applicant (EFSA ref. 7150727) that, in view of the workload, the evaluation of applications on feed flavourings would be re-organised by giving priority to the assessment of the chemically defined feed flavourings, as agreed with the European Commission
24/06/2015	Technical hearing during risk assessment with the applicant according to the “EFSA's Catalogue of support initiatives during the life-cycle of applications for regulated products”: data requirement for the risk assessment of botanicals
27/02/2019	Partial withdrawal of application for the following additives: thyme leaves gratiola tincture, spike lavender oil, melissa oil, pennyroyal oil, basil oil and savoury summer oil
30/06/2021	EFSA informed the applicant that the evaluation process restarted
08/07/2021	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: characterisation, safety for target species, safety for the consumer, safety for the user and environment</i>
28/09/2023	Partial withdrawal of the application for the following additive: Spanish marjoram oil
04/04/2024	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant (partial dataset: wild thyme tincture) – Scientific assessment remains suspended
08/07/2024	Partial withdrawal of the application for the following additives: lilac chastetree extract and savoury summer tincture
17/07/2024	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: characterisation</i>
26/08/2024	Reception of the Evaluation report of the European Union Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives. Partial report related to seven additives: Spanish sage oil, peppermint oil, thymus origanum oil, patchouli oil, clary sage oil, lavender oil and sage oil
27/08/2024	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant (letter of agreement)
16/12/2024	Partial withdrawal of the application for the following additives: devils claw extract (wb), balm leaves extract (sb), olive extract (sb)
14/01/2025	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant (partial dataset: basil tincture, lavender tincture, peppermint tincture, sage tincture and wild thyme tincture) – Scientific assessment remains suspended
26/05/2025	The application was split and a new EFSA-Q-2025-00327 was assigned to the additive included in the present assessment
18/06/2025	Reception of the Evaluation report of the European Union Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives. Partial report related to 11 additives: cornmint oil, spearmint oil, thyme oil, rosemary oil, marjoram oil, rosemary tincture, basil tincture
23/06/2025	Scientific assessment re-started for the additive included in the present assessment
25/06/2025	Opinion adopted by the FEEDAP Panel on wild thyme tincture (EFSA-Q-2025-00327). End of the Scientific assessment for the additive included in the present assessment. The assessment of other additives in BGD 01 is still ongoing

ABBREVIATIONS

ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion
AFC	EFSA Scientific Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in Contact with Food
BDG	botanically defined group
BMD	Benchmark dose
BMDL ₁₀	benchmark dose (BMD) lower confidence limit for a benchmark response of 10%
BW	body weight
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service
CEF	EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids
CLP	Classification, Labelling and Packaging
DM	dry matter
EEIG	European economic interest grouping
EMA	European Medicines Agency
EURL	European Union Reference Laboratory
FEEDAP	EFSA Scientific Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed
FEMA	Flavour and Extract Manufacturers Association

FFAC	Feed Flavourings authorisation Consortium of FEFANA (EU Association of Specialty Feed Ingredients and their Mixtures)
FLAVIS	The EU Flavour Information System
GAE	gallic acid equivalent
GC–FID	gas chromatography–flame ionisation detection
HACCP	Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points
HPLC	high performance liquid chromatography
HPTLC	high performance thin layer chromatography
JECFA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee of Food Additives
LOD	limit of detection
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
PhEur	European Pharmacopoeia
SC	EFSA Scientific Committee
UV	ultraviolet detection
WHO	World Health Organization

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Panel wishes to thank the following for the support provided to this scientific output (in alphabetical order of the last name): Jaume Galobart and Matteo Lorenzo Innocenti.

REQUESTOR

European Commission

QUESTION NUMBER

EFSA-Q-2010-01307 (New EFSA-Q-2025-00327)

COPYRIGHT FOR NON-EFSA CONTENT

EFSA may include images or other content for which it does not hold copyright. In such cases, EFSA indicates the copyright holder and users should seek permission to reproduce the content from the original source.

PANEL MEMBERS

Roberto Edoardo Villa, Giovanna Azimonti, Eleftherios Bonos, Henrik Christensen, Mojca Durjava, Birgit Dusemund, Ronette Gehring, Boet Glandorf, Maryline Kouba, Marta López-Alonso, Francesca Marcon, Carlo Nebbia, Alena Pechová, Miguel Prieto-Maradona, Ilene Röhe and Katerina Theodoridou.

LEGAL NOTICE

Relevant information or parts of this scientific output have been blackened in accordance with the confidentiality requests formulated by the applicant pending a decision thereon by the European Commission. The full output has been shared with the European Commission, EU Member States and the applicant. The blackening will be subject to review once the decision on the confidentiality requests is adopted by the European Commission.

REFERENCES

- Aziz, S., Habib-ur-Rehman, I., Asghar, M., Asghar, S. F., Hussain, H., & Ahmed, I. (2010). Phytotoxic and antifungal activities of essential oils of *Thymus serpyllum* grown in the state of Jammu and Kashmir. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, 13(2), 224–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0972060X.2010.10643816>
- Burdock, G. A. (2009). *Fenaroli's handbook of flavor ingredients* (6th ed.). CRC Press; Taylor & Francis Group. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439847503>
- Cramer, G. M., Ford, R. A., & Hall, R. L. (1978). Estimation of toxic hazard—A decision tree approach. *Food and Cosmetics Toxicology*, 16, 255–276. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-6264\(76\)80522-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0015-6264(76)80522-6)
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). (2008). Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in contact with Food (AFC) on a request from the Commission on Camphor in flavourings and other food ingredients with flavouring properties. *EFSA Journal*, 6(7), 729. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.729>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2011). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of allylhydroxybenzenes (chemical group 18) when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 9(12), 2440. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2440>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2012a). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of aliphatic, alicyclic and aromatic saturated and unsaturated tertiary alcohols and esters with esters containing tertiary alcohols ethers (chemical group 6) when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 10(11), 2966. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2966>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2012b). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of aliphatic and alicyclic ethers (chemical group 16) when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 10(11), 2967. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2967>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2012c). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of phenol derivatives containing ring-alkyl, ring-alkoxy and side-chains with an oxygenated functional group (chemical group 25) when used as flavourings for all species. *EFSA Journal*, 10(2), 2573. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2573>

- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2016a). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of α,β -unsaturated straight-chain and branched-chain aliphatic primary alcohols, aldehydes, acids and esters belonging to chemical group 3 when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 14(6), 4512. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4512>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed). (2016b). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of secondary alicyclic saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ketones, ketals and esters with ketals containing alicyclic alcohols or ketones and esters containing secondary alicyclic alcohols from chemical group 8 when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 14(6), 4475. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.447>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen, G., Aquilina, G., Azimonti, G., Bampidis, V., Bastos, M. L., Bories, G., Chesson, A., Cocconcelli, P. S., Flachowsky, G., Gropp, J., Kolar, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Mantovani, A., Mayo, B., Ramos, F., Saarela, M., ... Innocenti, M. L. (2017a). Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives. *EFSA Journal*, 15(10), 5023. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5023>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen, G., Aquilina, G., Azimonti, G., Bampidis, V., Bastos, M. L., Bories, G., Chesson, A., Cocconcelli, P. S., Flachowsky, G., Gropp, J., Kolar, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Mantovani, A., Mayo, B., Ramos, F., Saarela, M., ... Martino, L. (2017b). Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the target species. *EFSA Journal*, 15(10), 5021. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5021>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen, G., Aquilina, G., Azimonti, G., Bampidis, V., Bastos, M. L., Bories, G., Chesson, A., Cocconcelli, P. S., Flachowsky, G., Gropp, J., Kolar, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Mantovani, A., Mayo, B., Ramos, F., Saarela, M., ... Innocenti, M. L. (2017c). Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer. *EFSA Journal*, 15(10), 5022. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5022>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Bastos, M., Christensen, H., Dusemund, B., Kouba, M., Kos Durjava, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Sanz, Y., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Brock, T., de Knecht, J., ... Azimonti, G. (2019). Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the environment. *EFSA Journal*, 17(4), 5648. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5648>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Kouba, M., Fašmon Durjava, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Sanz, Y., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Brantom, P., Chesson, A., ... Dusemund, B. (2021). Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of feed additives consisting of expressed lemon oil and its fractions from *Citrus limon* (L.) Osbeck and of lime oil from *Citrus aurantiifolia* (Christm.) Swingle for use in all animal species. *EFSA Journal*, 19(4), 6548. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.6548>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Kouba, M., Fašmon Durjava, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Sanz, Y., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Brantom, P., Chesson, A., ... Dusemund, B. (2022). Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of an essential oil from the leaves of *Agathosma betulina* (P.J. Bergius) Pillans (buchu leaf oil) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl). *EFSA Journal*, 20(3), 7160. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7160>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Durjava, M., Dusemund, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Brantom, P., Chesson, A., ... Galobart, J. (2023a). Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the users. *EFSA Journal*, 21(12), 8469. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.8469>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Dusemund, B., Durjava, M., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Brantom, P., Chesson, A., ... Manini, P. (2023b). Safety of 41 flavouring compounds providing an herbal flavour and belonging to different chemical groups for use as feed additives in all animal species (FEFANA asbl). *EFSA Journal*, 21(10), 8340. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.8340>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis, V., Azimonti, G., Bastos, M. L., Christensen, H., Durjava, M., Dusemund, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., López Puente, S., Marcon, F., Mayo, B., Pechová, A., Petkova, M., Ramos, F., Villa, R. E., Woutersen, R., Dierick, N., Gropp, J., ... Ortuño, J. (2024). Guidance on the assessment of the efficacy of feed additives. *EFSA Journal*, 22(7), 8856. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2024.8856>
- EFSA Scientific Committee. (2009). Guidance on safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations intended for use as ingredients in food supplements, on request of EFSA. *EFSA Journal*, 7(9), 1249. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1249>
- EFSA Scientific Committee, More, S. J., Hardy, A., Bampidis, V., Benford, D., Bennekou, S. H., Bragard, C., Boesten, J., Halldorsson, T. I., Hernandez-Jerez, A. F., Jeger, M. J., Knutsen, H. K., Koutsoumanis, K. P., Naegeli, H., Noteborn, H., Ockleford, C., Ricci, A., Rychen, G., Schlatter, J. R., ... Hogstrand, C. (2019a). Guidance on harmonised methodologies for human health, animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. *EFSA Journal*, 17(3), 5634. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5634>
- EFSA Scientific Committee, More, S., Bampidis, V., Benford, D., Boesten, J., Bragard, C., Halldorsson, T., Hernandez-Jerez, A., Hougaard-Bennekou, S., Koutsoumanis, K., Naegeli, H., Nielsen, S. S., Schrenk, D., Silano, V., Turck, D., Younes, M., Aquilina, G., Crebelli, R., Gürtler, R., ... Schlatter, J. (2019b). Statement on the genotoxicity assessment of chemical mixtures. *EFSA Journal*, 17(1), 5519. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5519>
- EFSA Scientific Committee, More, S. J., Bampidis, V., Benford, D., Bragard, C., Halldorsson, T. I., Hernandez-Jerez, A. F., Hougaard, B. S., Koutsoumanis, K. P., Machera, K., Naegeli, H., Nielsen, S. S., Schlatter, J. R., Schrenk, D., Silano, V., Turck, D., Younes, M., Gundert-Remy, U., Kass, G. E. N., ... Wallace, H. M. (2019c). Guidance on the use of the threshold of toxicological concern approach in food safety assessment. *EFSA Journal*, 17(6), 5708. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5708>
- Everette, J. D., Bryant, Q. M., Green, A. M., Abbey, Y. A., Wangila, G. W., & Walker, R. B. (2010). Thorough study of reactivity of various compound classes toward the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58(14), 8139–8144. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf1005935>
- Janiak, M. A., Slavova-Kazakova, A., Kancheva, V. D., Ivanova, M., Tsrunchiev, T., & Karamać, M. (2017). Effects of γ -irradiation of wild thyme (*Thymus serpyllum* L.) on the phenolic compounds profile of its ethanolic extract. *Polish Journal Of Food And Nutrition Sciences*, 67(4), 309–315. <https://doi.org/10.1515/pjfn-2017-0020>
- PhEur (European Pharmacopoeia). (2022a). 2.8.14. Tannins in herbal drugs. *European Pharmacopoeia*, 11th ed. Monograph 01/2008:20814. European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Health.
- PhEur (European Pharmacopoeia). (2022b). *Motherwort (Leonuri cardiaca herba)*. *European Pharmacopoeia*, 11th ed. Monograph 01/2013:1833. European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Health.
- PhEur (European Pharmacopoeia). (2023). *Wild thyme (Serpylli herba)*. *European Pharmacopoeia*, 11th ed. Monograph 07/2014:1891. European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and Health.
- PhEur Commentary, Bracher, F., Heisig, P., Langguth, P., Mutschler, E., Schirmeister, T., Scriba Gerhard, K. E., & Troschütz, R. (2020). *Arzneibuch-Kommentar: Wissenschaftliche Erläuterungen zum Arzneibuch (Commentary to the European Pharmacopoeia)*. Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft.
- Samara, M., Nasser, A., & Mingelgrin, U. (2022). Critical examination of the suitability of the Folin-Ciocalteu reagent assay for quantitative analysis of polyphenols – The case of olive-mill wastewater. *American Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 13, 476–493. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajac.2022.1311032>
- Sonmezdag, A. S., Kelebek, H., & Selli, S. (2016). Characterization of aroma-active and phenolic profiles of wild thyme (*Thymus serpyllum*) by GC-MS-Olfactometry and LC-ESI-MS/MS. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 53(4), 1957–1965. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-015-2144-1>

How to cite this article: EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Villa, R. E., Azimonti, G., Bonos, E., Christensen, H., Durjava, M., Dusemund, B., Gehring, R., Glandorf, B., Kouba, M., López-Alonso, M., Marcon, F., Nebbia, C., Pechová, A., Prieto-Maradona, M., Röhe, I., Theodoridou, K., Bastos, M. L., Benfenati, E., ... Manini, P. (2025). Safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the leaves or aerial parts of *Thymus serpyllum* L. (wild thyme tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl). *EFSA Journal*, 23(7), e9551. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2025.9551>