bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Cryopreservation of Paramecium bursaria Chlorella Virus-1 during an active infection cycle of its host

Samantha R. Coy[1], Alyssa N. Alsante[1,#a], James L. Van Etten[2], and Steven W. Wilhelm[1,*]

[1] Department of Microbiology, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States of America

[2] Department of Plant Pathology and Nebraska Center for Virology, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska, United States of America

[#a] Current address: Department of Oceanography, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, United States of America

* Corresponding author. Email: wilhelm@utk.edu

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Abstract

Best practices in laboratory culture management often include cryopreservation of microbiota, but this can be challenging with some virus particles. By preserving viral isolates researchers can mitigate genetic drift and laboratory-induced selection, thereby maintaining genetically consistent strains between experiments. To this end, we developed a method to cryopreserve the model, green-alga infecting virus, *Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus 1* (PBCV-1). We explored cryotolerance of the infectivity of this virus particle, whereby freezing without cryoprotectants was found to maintain the highest infectivity (~2.5%). We then assessed the cryopreservation potential of PBCV-1 during an active infection cycle in its *Chlorella variabilis* NC64A host, and found that virus survivorship was highest (69.5 ± 16.5 %) when the infected host is cryopreserved during mid-late stages of infection (*i.e.,* coinciding with virion assembly). The most optimal condition for cryopreservation was observed at 240 minutes post-infection. Overall, utilizing the cell as a vehicle for viral cryopreservation resulted in 24.9 – 30.1 fold increases in PBCV-1 survival based on 95% confidence intervals of frozen virus particles and virus cryopreserved at 240 minutes post-infection. Given that cryoprotectants are often naturally produced by psychrophilic organisms, we suspect that cryopreservation of infected hosts may be a reliable mechanism for virus persistence in non-growth permitting circumstances in the environment, such as ancient permafrosts.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Introduction

Viruses are abundant components of all biological systems and they likely infect every lineage of eukaryotic algae. Their impact is most readily noticed following infection and lysis of abundant bloom forming algae (1-3), though lytic activity of all algal viruses contributes to significant biomass recycling *via* the 'viral shunt' (4). To date, 65 eukaryotic algal viruses have been isolated and developed as laboratory strains (5, 6). Most of these are maintained through serial propagation on their respective hosts. Though this has been effective for culturing many strains over the last few decades (7, 8), each passage allows for genetic mutations that can accumulate in a population (9), leading to a deviation from a standard 'wild-type.' Moreover, it is imperative to control evolution following the development of genetically tractable algal hosts (10) and (ultimately) virus systems. A protocol for successful virus cryobiological preservation would offer an opportunity to maintain genotypically consistent virus stocks.

Cryopreservation is not a new concept in biological sciences. For most protocols, it involves controlled cooling of biota to sub-freezing temperatures to achieve biological cessation while preserving viability. This most often manifests as slow-cooling at a rate of 1° C / min in the presence of osmoprotectant(s) (*e.g.*, dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), glycerol) for long-term storage at -130° C or below (11). Too slow a cooling rate can result in higher intracellular concentration of osmoprotectants, resulting in toxicity, whereas too fast a cooling rate allows the formation of intracellular ice crystals which can rupture cell membranes (12). The thawing process is typically quick, as microbial death is commonly associated with slow thaw rates. Though cryopreservation is a standard method for maintaining cellular organisms, it has rarely been utilized for the preservation of algal viruses.

One eukaryotic algal virus cryopreservation protocol is in existence. It was developed for HaV, a dsDNA virus that infects the red tide forming dinoflagellate *Heterosigma akashiwo* (13). Researchers investigated a combination of cryoprotectants and storage temperatures with the highest recovery (8.3% of

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

58 infectious virus) employing flash freezing of HaV particles suspended in 20% DMSO. This protocol has
59 been adapted for a handful of other algal viruses with viable recovery ranging from < 1% to 27% (14-16).
60 The typical low recovery in these procedures is likely due to physiological differences between viruses and
61 cells including differences in permeability, osmolarity tolerance, and toxicity to osmoprotectants. It is also
62 clear that these protocols deviate from the standard method which controls the cooling rate; to our
63 knowledge this has not been tested as a matter of improving virus particle survival. Owing to these
64 complications, we decided to take a new approach by investigating cryopreservation recovery and stability
65 of actively infected, cell-associated algal viruses.

66 Chloroviruses are large (> 300 kb), dsDNA viruses in the family *Phycodnaviridae* (17). They are
67 members of the proposed order the Megavirales (18), also known as "giant" viruses, and remain the best
68 characterized algal-virus system to date. Isolated in the early 1980's (7), the prototype chlorovirus
69 *Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus 1* (PBCV-1) has been maintained through serial propagation on its host,
70 *Chlorella variabilis* NC64A. PBCV-1 is inactivated by freezing, though other closely related virus strains,
71 including other chloroviruses, persist through freeze/thaw events (19, 20). As a great deal of research has
72 centered on PBCV-1, including genomics (21), transcriptomics (22, 23), and proteomics (21), it is important
73 to develop a successful cryopreservation protocol for this strain that may serve as a model for preserving
74 algal viruses. There are several reports of cryopreservation techniques for eukaryotic algae (24-28) which
75 might be adapted for the preservation of actively replicating chloroviruses.

76 Here, we tested the cryo-potential of chlorovirus PBCV-1 using a protocol that yielded consistent
77 recovery (~50% viable cells) of four strains of algae over 15 years: *Chlorella vulgaris* C-27, *Chlorella vulgaris*
78 M-207A7, *Nannochloropsis oculate* ST-4, and *Tetraselmis tetrathlele* T-501 (29). Owing to the close relationship
79 between *C. vulgaris* and *C. variabilis*, as well as the consistent results across unique algae, we elected to
80 determine if these results could be recapitulated in PBCV-1. To test this, we attempted cryopreservation of
81 both the virus particle as well as the virus replicating in its host.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Materials and methods

Virus particle cryopreservation

Chlorella variabilis NC64A was infected with PBCV-1 during mid-logarithmic growth at standard culturing conditions (25°C; continuous light exposure at 30μEin/m^2/s) using Modified Bold's Basal Medium (30). Following complete lysis, the viral lysate was pre-filtered through a sterile, 0.45 μm polycarbonate syringe filter and titered by plaque assay (31, 32) for initial infectivity assessments. Cryoprotectant choice was guided by Nakanishi *et al.* (29), in which a combination of 5% DMSO (v/v), 5% ethylene glycol (v/v), and 5% proline (w/v) was found to consistently produce the highest algal recoveries. Stock solutions of each cryoprotectant were made at a concentration of 30% with sterilized Milli-Q water and combined in a 1:1:1 ratio to yield a final concentration of 10% for each compound. For virus particle cryopreservation, 1 mL of PBCV-1 lysate (7.82x 10^8 plaque forming units (PFUs) per ml) was added to 1 mL of ice-chilled cryoprotectant solution contained in a 2-mL cryovial. The cryovials were incubated on ice for 45 min, then transferred to a freeze-rate controlled container (Mr. Frosty, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA) filled with isopropanol for overnight incubation at -80° C. The next morning, cryovials were transferred to a -150° C freezer. At the designated recovery times, vials were removed from the freezer and set in a 40° C water bath. After thawing, the samples were serially diluted ten-fold in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH = 7.8) and virus infectivity was determined by plaque assay (31). Virus viability was calculated as a percentage by comparison to the initial lysate titer before cryopreservation. Long-term experiments assessed the stability of virus infectivity in lysate stored at -150° C.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Infected Chlorella cryopreservation

Chlorovirus PBCV-1 was propagated as described above and titered to obtain infectious PFUs/ml. This virus lysate was used to infect late-logarithmically growing *C. variabilis* NC64A at an M.O.I. of 5, at which point infected cultures were returned to standard incubation conditions. At 1, 10, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, and 360 min post-infection (PI), 1 mL aliquots of infected cells was mixed with 1 mL of ice-chilled cryoprotectants [final concentration: 5% DMSO (v/v), 5% ethylene glycol (v/v), and 5% proline (w/v)] in duplicates. The mixture was incubated on ice for 45 min, then transferred to a freeze-rate controlled container (Mr. Frosty, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA has a -1C/min cooling rate) filled with isopropanol for overnight incubation at -80° C. The next morning, cryovials were immediately transferred to a -150° C freezer. At the designated recovery times, vials were removed from the freezer and placed in a 40° C water bath. After thawing, the infected cells were pelleted in a Sorvall Legend RT Benchtop Centrifuge at 3,700 rpm (~3,000 rcf) for 10 min: (free virus requires higher speeds for pelleting). Cell pellets were re-suspended in 2 mL of 0.01M HEPES solution (pH = 6.5). Suspensions were immediately diluted and plaque assayed, plating late-infection treatments first. Viability was determined as a percentage of the pre-frozen cellular concentration (3.57×10^6 cells/mL), as only surviving infected cells would be capable of producing plaques. Long-term experiments were conducted in the same manner, though only time points 10, 180, and 240 min PI were collected and assayed. The complete step-by-step method can be found at protocols.io (33).

Results

Following the cryopreservation procedures of other algal virus researchers (13-16), we investigated the cryo-potential of the PBCV-1 lysate. Cryoprotectant alone treatments elicited a lethal effect: ~87% of the infectious virus particles were inactivated in the presence of these chemicals following 24 hr exposure at 4° C. Given this effect, we decided to freeze PBCV-1 lysate at -150° C without any cryoprotectants. This resulted in ~2.5% recovery of the infectious virus population, which was stable for storage periods of up to

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

one year (Fig 1). Seeing room for improvement, we tested the cryo-potential of PBCV-1 in an infected, cell-associated state.

Fig 1. Cryo-stability of the PBCV-1 particle. Viability of chlorovirus PBCV-1 was determined by plaque assaying viruses that had been stored as lysates either at 4°C or -150°C. Green circles represent lysate stored at 4° C, while red squares denote lysate stored at -150° C. Error bars are represented as the standard deviation of biological and technical replicates.

The PBCV-1 replication cycle requires about 6-8 h to release nascent virus particles (34). Post-infection sampling times for cryopreservation (10, 30, 60, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360 min PI) followed similar sampling strategies used in PBCV-1 transcription studies (22, 23). Specifically, these time points were collected across distinct physiological phases in the PBCV-1 lifecycle and thus represent likely unique conditions for cryopreservation. Following 24-h storage of cryopreserved, infected cells, we found that late stages of infection were more conducive to virus survival than early stages (Fig 2). Thus, we followed cryo-stability for one year in one early (10 min PI) and two late infection stages (180 and 240 min PI) (Fig 3). Small day-to-day fluctuations in virus titers were common, but were typically consistent among treatments, suggesting human error. Despite these fluctuations, the lysate control, 180-min, and 240-min PI treatment yielded an acceptable relative standard deviation (RSD) across all recovery assessments, indicating cryo-stability (Table 1). Cryo-stability was not observed in the 10 min PI samples (Table 1). In comparison to virus lysate cryopreservation, the cell-associated method yielded significant improvement in survivorship for the optimal 240-minute treatment (24.9 – 30.1 fold increases).

Fig 2. Recovery of infectious PBCV-1 frozen at various times after PBCV-1 infection of the *C. variabilis* NC64A host. Viability of chlorovirus PBCV-1 was assayed by monitoring plaque

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

formation of cell-associated viruses that were collected at different times during an active infection cycle of the NC64A host. Open circles denote replicate plaque titers, with the average represented by the solid line.

Fig 3. Long-term cryo-stability of PBCV-1 frozen in host cells at various times after infection of its host *C. variabilis* NC64A. Infectious chlorovirus PBCV-1 was monitored by plaque assay in virus lysate stored at 4° C (green circles) and in cryopreserved, PBCV-1-infected host cultures. Blue triangles, yellow squares, and orange diamonds represent virus viability following storage of infected cells cryopreserved after 240, 180, and 10 minutes PI. Error bars represent the standard deviation among biological and technical replicates.

Table 1. Statistical assessment of PBCV-1 infectivity across storage treatments for ~1 year.

Treatment	N	Average	SD	RSD	95%CI
Virus Particle Stock (4° C)	67	75.1	16.9	22.5*	71.1 - 79.2
Virus Particle Stock (-150° C)	124	2.53	0.61	24.0*	2.42 – 2.64
Cell-associated virus 10 minutes PI (-150° C, +CPA)	79	7.56	3.38	44.7	6.81 – 8.31
Cell-associated virus 180 minutes PI (-150° C, +CPA)	82	31.9	10.9	34.2*	29.5 – 34.3
Cell-associated virus 240 minutes PI (-150° C, +CPA)	82	69.5	16.5	23.8*	65.9 – 73.0

+CPA, cryoprotectants present as described in materials and methods section. Asterisks denote an acceptable RSD (*i.e.*, Coefficient of Variation) for plaque assays based on a 35% threshold used in bacterial plating standards set from chapter 1223 by the U.S. Pharmacopeia and National Formulary (35).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Discussion

The current maintenance strategy for chloroviruses involves serial propagation on the alga host followed by lysate storage at 4°C. Chloroviruses are stable under these conditions, but stocks can become contaminated by bacteria and rapidly degrade. Moreover, serial propagation of viruses, even if done only a few times a year, can promote genetic drift and result in deviation from wild-type status. This is concerning for all virus types, though RNA viruses, which have the fastest mutation rates, would be most susceptible (9, 36). Beyond considering spontaneous, replication-associated errors, chloroviruses encode putative enzymes involved in genomic rearrangements. For example, GIY-YIG mobile endonucleases and an IS607 transposon may be involved in insertions/deletions and/or gene loss/duplications observed in genomic comparisons of chloroviruses (37, 38). Thus, maintenance of wild-type strains is important for consistency between experiments. Virology labs could follow the microbial culture collection strategy, which typically uses a cryo-banking/seed-stock system for the dissemination of microbial specimens. The purpose of the seed-stock system is to minimize serial propagation of microbiota. The American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) suggests that consumers transfer their cultures no more than five-times after propagation from the thawed culture collection stock. Though a seemingly strict standard, it is not difficult to imagine the consequences of violating this. For example, the United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary requires test organisms to be maintained this way for routine antibiotic efficacy screens, and non-compliance can undermine therapeutic treatment (35). Although there is no direct clinical link to maintaining algal viruses this way, the logic is consistent with any research requirements. The cryopreservation protocol described here can help researchers better set up these cryo-banking/seed stock systems.

Standard cryopreservation techniques are not designed for the unique structure and physiology of virus particles. Indeed, cryoprotectants are classified by their permeability across cell membranes, which

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

191 often coincides with their molecular weight (24). Smaller compounds, such as ethylene glycol and DMSO,
192 are considered penetrating cryoprotectants, while larger compounds (*e.g.* amino acids; L-proline) are
193 typically non-penetrating. That said, the exclusion size threshold has not been established for most viruses
194 so it is not clear which, if any of these compounds penetrate the viral capsid. It is generally thought that
195 virus capsids are permeable to water and ions, though the latter diffuses much slower; this mechanism has
196 been used to osmotically rupture capsids (39, 40), including PBCV-1 (41). The final cryoprotectant solution
197 used for PBCV-1 lysate cryopreservation has an estimated osmolarity of ~150 mOsmoles/L, which is
198 comparable to the storage buffer used for this virus. In light of this, we propose that the lethal effect the
199 cryoprotectants have on the PBCV-1 particle is not the result of osmotic stress, and that inactivation instead
200 occurred by toxicity of cryoprotectants or oxidative stress. This would be consistent with viruses not being
201 metabolically active and therefore unable to repair damage caused by this treatment. It is also consistent
202 with the observation that Mimivirus, a giant virus relative which also contains an internal lipid membrane,
203 is said to be inactivated by lipophilic compounds such as DMSO (42). That said, DMSO is often used as a
204 stabilizer for freezing of enveloped virus particles (43). This discrepancy may be due to unique properties
205 between external and internal membranes, or even system differences between animal and plant viruses,
206 which imparts resistance in some cases over others. Regardless, the mechanism of inactivation may be
207 better ascertained by looking at survivorship of virion particles via epifluorescent microscopy, flow
208 cytometry (44-46), or using bioassays to quantify oxidative stress.

209 Although the algal cell is in a sub-optimal physiological state during infection, it is apparently
210 robust enough to survive and maintain an active infection during cryopreservation. That said, fewer
211 infectious virus were recovered when the cell was cryopreserved during early infection stages. This might
212 be explained by differences in adsorption rates and synchronicity of infection, resulting in fewer infected
213 cells at the start of the experiment. Most, if not all cells are infected at the later stages of infection (3-4 hr
214 PI). Regardless of any differences in synchronicity, the algal cell will be completely arrested during

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

cryopreservation, and will only continue the infection cycle after thawing. Internal, mature viruses that have not yet lysed their host cell might still be inactivated by cryoprotectants, thus reducing viral burst size, but our experiments did not account for this.

The general classification of cryoprotectants based on membrane permeability is consistent in the infected cell treatment. Although the *C. variabilis* NC64A genome encodes a secondary active transporter for the uptake of proline, radio-labeled solute uptake experiments revealed that PBCV-1 infection abolishes its activity (48). With that in mind, the tonicity of the cryoprotectant mixture would equate to ~90 mOsmoles/L, as only DMSO and ethylene glycol are penetrating, and many of the components in the MBBM media would be spent by late-logarithmic growth. This concentration is comparable to buffers routinely used in our lab for handling *C. variabilis* (40 mOsmoles/L), so there is little concern of osmotic stress. The chances of osmotic stress were also low considering the consistent success associated with this cryopreservation formula across eukaryotic algae, including two *Chlorella* spp. (29). Our results are likely applicable to any algal virus whose host can be cryopreserved. That said, we expect that researchers may still have to adjust their cryoprotectant mixture to account for system differences related to osmolarity tolerance and cryoprotectant toxicity. There has also been research indicating that axenicity impacts cryopreservation survival in microalgae. In this light, it is possible that the bacterial community produces secondary metabolites which promote survival (49). In another scenario, organisms with psychrophilic tendencies might be adapted to freeze situations and cryoprotectant additives may not be necessary.

The goal of this study was to develop a long-term cryopreservation method for chlorovirus PBCV-1, but there are also interesting ecological implications of this research. Recent metagenomic and isolation efforts indicate that giant viruses of microeukaryotes (e.g., *Phycodnaviridae* and *Mimiviridae)* are widely distributed in nature (50, 51), but it is not well understood how these viruses persist in the environment. Freezing events represent a potential mechanism of inactivation for some algal viruses, though chlorovirus ATCV-1 is stable during these conditions (19). In two other studies, a closely related giant virus of the

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

family *Mimiviridae* (52), as well as a second giant virus in the family *Molliviridae* (53), were revived from 30,000 year old permafrost. Both of these viruses were revived using *Acanthamoeba spp.,* one of the main hosts for many giant viruses. That said, there have been questions about whether *Acanathamoeba* and other protists used for laboratory viral propagation are the natural or primary hosts of these ancient viruses (54). Although these viruses might be able to withstand freezing temperatures on their own, the results of this study suggest that a natural host might serve as a better vehicle for surviving freezing. Indeed, many microbes produce natural cryoprotectants (*e.g.* L-proline, trehalose, betatine, etc.) or encode machinery to transport these osmoprotectants into the cell. Following this thought process, it is possible that environments containing frozen, infected cells might contain naturally cryopreserved algal-virus systems. These systems may be deciphered following advances in single-cell sorting and sequencing techniques. Indeed, a similar approach has been successfully utilized to identify and sequence single virus genomes in the ocean (55). Though this latter study sorted virus particles, flow-cytometry sorting of viral infected cells may be achieved using fluorescent probes specific for viral marker genes (*e.g.*, major capsid protein) or dyes to detect viral-induced host phenotypes (*e.g.*, membrane blebbing). As a proof of concept, viral genetic sequences from Siberian permafrost could be used to probe for still frozen viral-infected host cells, thereby testing the natural host range of these viruses.

To our knowledge, this is the first report of successful cryopreservation of a eukaryotic algal virus during its infection cycle. We expect that respective cellular hosts will provide more suitable physiological conditions for cryopreservation and storage of algal viruses that infect eukaryotic algae. We also recommend that laboratories working with algal viruses establish cryopreserved seed-stock systems to better preserve wild-type controls for future experimentation, especially in lieu of future modification of these viral systems.

Acknowledgements

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

We thank Professor David A. Hutchins (University of Southern California) for his thoughtful discussion on this manner over 2 decades ago. This work was support by grants from the National Science Foundation (NSF-OCE 1829641) and the Gordon & Betty Moore Foundation (#4971) to SWW.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

References

1. Bratbak G, Egge JK, Heldal M. Viral mortality of the marine alga *Emiliania-huxleyi* (*Haptophyceae*) and termination of algal blooms. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. 1993;93(1-2):39-48.
2. Rowe JM, Dunlap JR, Gobler CJ, Anderson OR, Gastrich MD, Wilhelm SW. Isolation of a non-phage-like lytic virus infecting *Aureococcus anophagefferens*. J Phycol. 2008;44(1):71-6.
3. Nagasaki K, Tomaru Y, Nakanishi K, Hata N, Katanozaka N, Yamaguchi M. Dynamics of *Heterocapsa circularisquama* (*Dinophyceae*) and its viruses in Ago Bay, Japan. Aquat Microb Ecol. 2004;34(3):219-26.
4. Wilhelm SW, Suttle CA. Viruses and nutrient cycles in the sea - viruses play critical roles in the structure and function of aquatic food webs. BioScience. 1999;49(10):781-8.
5. Coy SR, Gann ER, Pound HL, Short SM, Wilhelm SW. Viruses of eukaryotic algae: diversity, methods for detection, and future directions. Viruses. 2018;10(9).
6. Short SM, Staniewski MA, Chaban YV, Long AM, Wang D. Diversity of viruses infecting eukaryotic algae. In: P. H, Abedon ST, editors. Viruses of microorganisms. Poole, UK: Caister Academic Press; 2018. p. 211-44.
7. Van Etten JL, Burbank DE, Xia Y, Meints RH. Growth-cycle of a virus, PBCV-1, that infects *Chlorella*-like algae. Virology. 1983;126(1):117-25.
8. Castberg T, Thyrhaug R, Larsen A, Sandaa RA, Heldal M, Van Etten JL, et al. Isolation and characterization of a virus that infects *Emiliania huxleyi* (Haptophyta). J Phycol. 2002;38(4):767-74.
9. Peck KM, Lauring AS. Complexities of viral mutation rates. J Virol. 2018;92(14):8.
10. Waller RF, Cleves PA, Rubio-Brotons M, Woods A, Bender SJ, Edgcomb V, et al. Strength in numbers: collaborative science for new experimental model systems. PLoS Biol. 2018;16(7):10.
11. Mazur P. Freezing of living cells - mechanisms and implications. Am J Physiol. 1984;247(3):C125-C42.
12. Mazur P, Leibo SP, Chu EHY. A two-factor hypothesis of freezing injury - evidence from chineses-hamster tissue-culture cells. Exp Cell Res. 1972;71(2):345-55.
13. Nagasaki K, Yamaguchi M. Cryopreservation of a virus (HaV) infecting a harmful bloom causing microalga, Heterosigma akashiwo (Raphidophyceae). Fish Sci. 1999;65(2):319-20.
14. Kim J, Kim CH, Youn SH, Choi TJ. Isolation and physiological characterization of a novel algicidal virus infecting the marine diatom *Skeletonema costatum*. Plant Pathol J. 2015;31(2):186-91.
15. Kim J, Yoon SH, Choi TJ. Isolation and physiological characterization of a novel virus infecting *Stephanopyxis palmeriana* (*Bacillariophyta*). Algae. 2015;30(2):81-7.
16. Kim J, Kim CH, Takano Y, Jang IK, Kim SW, Choi TJ. Isolation and physiological characterization of a new algicidal virus infecting the harmful dinoflagellate *Heterocapsa pygmaea*. Plant Pathol J. 2012;28(4):433-8.
17. Jeanniard A, Dunigan DD, Gurnon JR, Agarkova IV, Kang M, Vitek J, et al. Towards defining the chloroviruses: a genomic journey through a genus of large DNA viruses. BMC Genomics. 2013;14.
18. Colson P, De Lamballerie X, Yutin N, Asgari S, Bigot Y, Bideshi DK, et al. "Megavirales", a proposed new order for eukaryotic nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses. Arch Virol. 2013;158(12):2517-21.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

19. Long AM, Short SM. Seasonal determinations of algal virus decay rates reveal overwintering in a temperate freshwater pond. ISME J. 2016;10(7):1602-12.
20. Bubeck JA, Pfitzner AJP. Isolation and characterization of a new type of chlorovirus that infects an endosymblotic *Chlorella* strain of the heliozoon *Acanthocystis turfacea*. J Gen Virol. 2005;86:2871-7.
21. Dunigan DD, Cerny RL, Bauman AT, Roach JC, Lane LC, Agarkova IV, et al. Paramecium bursaria chlorella virus 1 proteome reveals novel architectural and regulatory features of a giant virus. J Virol. 2012;86(16):8821-34.
22. Yanai-Balser GM, Duncan GA, Eudy JD, Wang D, Li X, Agarkova IV, et al. Microarray analysis of paramecium bursaria chlorella birus 1 transcription. J Virol. 2010;84(1):532-42.
23. Blanc G, Mozar M, Agarkova IV, Gurnon JR, Yanai-Balser G, Rowe JM, et al. Deep RNA sequencing reveals hidden features and dynamics of early gene transcription in paramecium bursaria chlorella virus 1. PloS One. 2014;9(3):10.
24. Hubalek Z. Protectants used in the cryopreservation of microorganisms. Cryobiology. 2003;46(3):205-29.
25. Benson EE. Cryopreservation of phytodiversity: A critical appraisal of theory practice. Crit Rev Plant Sci. 2008;27(3):141-219.
26. Day JG, Watanabe MM, Morris GJ, Fleck RA, McLellan MR. Long-term viability of preserved eukaryotic algae. J Appl Phycol. 1997;9(2):121-7.
27. Taylor R, Fletcher RL. Cryopreservation of eukaryotic algae - a review of methodologies. J Appl Phycol. 1998;10(5):481-501.
28. Rhodes L, Smith J, Tervit R, Roberts R, Adamson J, Adams S, et al. Cryopreservation of economically valuable marine micro-algae in the classes *Bacillariophyceae, Chlorophyceae, Cyanophyceae, Dinophyceae, Haptophyceae, Prasinophyceae, and Rhodophyceae*. Cryobiology. 2006;52(1):152-6.
29. Nakanishi K, Deuchi K, Kuwano K. Cryopreservation of four valuable strains of microalgae, including viability and characteristics during 15 years of cryostorage. J Appl Phycol. 2012;24(6):1381-5.
30. Dunigan DD, Agarkova I. Formulation of MBBM (modified Bold's Basal medium). protocolsio. 2016: doi 10.17504/protocols.io.etwbepe.
31. Van Etten JL, Burbank DE, Kuczmarski D, Meints RH. Virus-infection of culturable *Chlorella*-like algae and development of a plaque assay. Science. 1983;219(4587):994-6.
32. Dunigan DD, Agarkova I. PBCV-1 virus plaque assay. protocolsio. 2016: doi 10.17504/protocols.io.estbeen.
33. Coy SR, Alsante A, Wilhelm SW. Long term cryopreservation of chloroviruses by infection of *Chlorella*. protocolsio. 2018: doi 10.17504/protocols.io.wa2fage.
34. Dunigan DD, Fitzgerald LA, Van Etten JL. Phycodnaviruses: a peek at genetic diversity. Virus Res. 2006;117(1):119-32.
35. Convention. USP. U.S. Pharmacopeia National Formulary 2018: UPS41-NF36: Nielsen bookata; 2018.
36. Sanjuan R, Nebot MR, Chirico N, Mansky LM, Belshaw R. Viral nutation rates. J Virol. 2010;84(19):9733-48.
37. Filee J, Pouget N, Chandler M. Phylogenetic evidence for extensive lateral acquisition of cellular genes by nucleocytoplasmic large DNA viruses. BMC Evol Biol. 2008;8(320).
38. Filee J, Siguier P, Chandler M. I am what I eat and I eat what I am: acquisition of bacterial genes by giant viruses. Trends Genet. 2007;23(1):10-5.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/528786; this version posted January 23, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

356 39. Cordova A, Deserno M, Gelbart WM, Ben-Shaul A. Osmotic shock and the strength of
357 viral capsids. Biophys J. 2003;85(1):70-4.
358 40. Roos WH, Ivanovska IL, Evilevitch A, Wuite GJL. Viral capsids: mechanical
359 characteristics, genome packaging and delivery mechanisms. Cell Mol Life Sci.
360 2007;64(12):1484-97.
361 41. Wulfmeyer T, Polzer C, Hiepler G, Hamacher K, Shoeman R, Dunigan DD, et al. Structural
362 organization of DNA in *Chlorella* viruses. PLoS One. 2012;7(2).
363 42. Claverie JM, Abergel C. Virus Taxonomy Ninth Report of the International Committee
364 on Taxonomy of Viruses: Elsevier Inc.; 2012. p. 223-8.
365 43. Wallis C, Melnick JL. Stabilization of enveloped viruses by dimethyl sulfoxide. J Virol.
366 1968;2(9):953-4.
367 44. Noble RT, Fuhrman JA. Use of SYBR Green I for rapid epifluorescence counts of marine
368 viruses and bacteria. Aquat Microb Ecol. 1998;14(2):113-8.
369 45. Brussaard CPD, Marie D, Bratbak G. Flow cytometric detection of viruses. J Virol
370 Methods. 2000;85(1-2):175-82.
371 46. Brussaard CPD. Optimization of procedures for counting viruses by flow cytometry. Appl
372 Environ Microbiol. 2004;70(3):1506-13.
373 47. Milrot E, Mutsafi Y, Fridmann-Sirkis Y, Shimoni E, Rechav K, Gurnon JR, et al. Virus-
374 host interactions: insights from the replication cycle of the large Paramecium bursaria chlorella
375 virus. Cell Microbiol. 2016;18(1):3-16.
376 48. Agarkova I, Dunigan D, Gurnon J, Greiner T, Barres J, Thiel G, et al. Chlorovirus-mediated
377 membrane depolarization of chlorella alters secondary active transport of solutes. J Virol.
378 2008;82(24):12181-90.
379 49. Amaral R, Pereira JC, Pais A, Santos LMA. Is axenicity crucial to cryopreserve
380 rnicroalgae? Cryobiology. 2013;67(3):312-20.
381 50. Wilhelm SW, Coy SR, Gann ER, Moniruzzaman M, Stough JMA. Standing on the
382 shoulders of giant viruses: five lessons learned about large viruses infecting small eukaryotes and
383 the opportunities they create. PLoS Pathog. 2016;12(8).
384 51. Kerepesi C, Grolmusz V. The "giant virus finder" discovers an abundance of giant viruses
385 in the Antarctic dry valleys. Arch Virol. 2017;162(6):1671-6.
386 52. Legendre M, Bartoli J, Shmakova L, Jeudy S, Labadie K, Adrait A, et al. Thirty-thousand-
387 year-old distant relative of giant icosahedral DNA viruses with a pandoravirus morphology. Proc
388 Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(11):4274-9.
389 53. Legendre M, Lartigue A, Bertaux L, Jeudy S, Bartoli J, Lescot M, et al. In-depth study of
390 *Mollivirus sibericum*, a new 30,000-y-old giant virus infecting *Acanthamoeba*. Proc Natl Acad Sci
391 USA. 2015;112(38):E5327-E35.
392 54. Wilhelm SW, Bird JT, Bonifer KS, Calfee BC, Chen T, Coy SR, et al. A student's guide to
393 giant viruses infecting small eukaryotes: from *Acanthamoeba* to *Zooxanthellae*. Viruses.
394 2017;9(3).
395 55. Wilson WH, Gilg IC, Moniruzzaman M, Field EK, Koren S, LeCleir GR, et al. Genomic
396 exploration of individual giant ocean viruses. ISME J. 2017;11(8):1736-45.
397




Figure 1




Figure 3



100
10
1
Viability (%)
Lysate (4C)
1
10
30
60
120
180
240
300
360
Cryopreservation (minutes PI)

Figure 2