

Seven new species of *Alternaria* (Pleosporales, Pleosporaceae) associated with Chinese fir, based on morphological and molecular evidence

Jiao He¹, De-Wei Li², Wen-Li Cui¹, Lin Huang¹

¹ Co-Innovation Center for Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210037, China

² The Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station Valley Laboratory, Windsor, CT 06095, USA

Corresponding author: Lin Huang (lhuang@njfu.edu.cn)

Abstract

Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*) is a special fast-growing commercial tree species in China and has significant ecological and economic value. However, it experienced damage from leaf blight caused by pathogenic fungi of the genus *Alternaria*. To determine the diversity of *Alternaria* species associated with leaf blight of Chinese fir in China, infected leaves were collected from five major cultivation provinces (Fujian, Henan, Hunan, Jiangsu and Shandong provinces). A total of 48 fungal strains of *Alternaria* were obtained. Comparison of morphology and phylogenetic analyses, based on nine loci (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2) of the representative isolates as well as the pairwise homoplasy index tests, revealed that the fungal strains belonged to seven undescribed taxa of *Alternaria*, which are described here and named as *Alternaria cunninghamiicola* **sp. nov.**, *A. dongshanqiaoensis* **sp. nov.**, *A. hunanensis* **sp. nov.**, *A. kunyuensis* **sp. nov.**, *A. longqiaoensis* **sp. nov.**, *A. shandongensis* **sp. nov.** and *A. xinyangensis* **sp. nov.** In order to prove Koch's postulates, pathogenicity tests on detached Chinese fir leaves revealed significant pathogenicity amongst these species, of which *A. hunanensis* is the most pathogenic to Chinese fir. This study represents the first report of *A. cunninghamiicola*, *A. dongshanqiaoensis*, *A. hunanensis*, *A. kunyuensis*, *A. longqiaoensis*, *A. shandongensis* and *A. xinyangensis* causing leaf blight on Chinese fir. Knowledge obtained in this study enhanced our understanding of *Alternaria* species causing leaf blight on Chinese fir and was crucial for the disease management and the further studies in the future.

Key words: *Alternaria*, *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, diversity, leaf blight, new species, pathogenicity



Academic editor: Ajay Kumar Gautam

Received: 7 November 2023

Accepted: 2 December 2023

Published: 5 January 2024

Citation: He J, Li D-W, Cui W-L, Huang L (2024) Seven new species of *Alternaria* (Pleosporales, Pleosporaceae) associated with Chinese fir, based on morphological and molecular evidence. MycoKeys 101: 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.101.115370>

Copyright: © Jiao He et al.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC0 Public Domain Dedication.

Introduction

Alternaria is a genus (Pleosporaceae, Pleosporales, Ascomycota) (Seifert et al. 2011), which originally was described in 1816 by Nees (1816), typified with *A. tenuis* Nees. Since then, more than 900 epithets and varieties/f. spp. have been published in *Alternaria* (MycoBank 2023). At present, there are over 360 species (Wijayawardene et al. 2020). *Alternaria* is a ubiquitous fungal genus that includes saprobic, endophytic and pathogenic species (Li et al. 2023).

For example, *Alternaria* species have been recorded as endophytes in grasses, angiosperms, rice and other herbaceous plants and shrubs (Fisher and Petrini 1992; Schulz et al. 1993; Rosa et al. 2009; Polizzotto et al. 2012) and have been also isolated from soil (Hong and Pryor 2004). Many *Alternaria* species are saprobes on a variety of plant tissues in different habitats (Thomma 2003; Liu et al. 2015b; Wanasinghe et al. 2018). Some *Alternaria* species, such as *A. alternata*, produce host-specific toxins (Hyde et al. 2018). Several taxa are also important postharvest pathogens, for example, *A. alternata* and *A. solani* (El-Goorani and Sommer 1981; Reddy et al. 2000), or airborne fungal allergens/pathogens-causing upper respiratory tract infections and asthma in humans (Mitakakis et al. 2001; Woudenberg et al. 2015; Hyde et al. 2018). Due to the significant negative health effects of *Alternaria* on humans and their surroundings, a correct and rapid identification of *Alternaria* species would be of great significance to researchers, plant pathologists, medical mycologists, other biological professionals and the public alike (Woudenberg et al. 2013).

The taxonomy of *Alternaria* species especially small-spored species within the *alternata* species group are particularly challenging because few morphological characters are able to clearly differentiate taxa and these characters are strongly influenced by the environment. Morphological characteristics, such as colour, size, shape of conidia and sporulation patterns have been used for the identification and classification of *Alternaria* species (Simmons 1992). Wiltshire (1945) divided *Alternaria* into three major sections, Brevicatenatae, Longicatenatae and Noncatenatae, based on conidial catenation. However, this division is unreliable as some of these characters overlap amongst species and vary depending on the cultural conditions, such as temperature and substrate (Simmons and Roberts 1993). Simmons (1992, 1995) arranged several species groups within *Alternaria* based on the morphological similarity amongst species. Some other genera, such as *Stemphylium* (Wallroth, 1833) and *Ulocladium* (Preuss, 1852) also produce phaeodictyospores and are morphologically similar to *Alternaria*, and this has further led to taxonomic complications (Bigelow 2003). Simmons (2007) revised *Alternaria* taxonomy, based on morphology and 275 species were recognised. At the same time, Simmons (2007) proposed three new genera *Alternariaster*, *Chalastospora* and *Teretispora* for some species that were previously described in *Alternaria*.

However, molecular phylogeny has revealed polyphyletic taxa within *Alternaria* and *Alternaria* species clades, which do not always correlate with morphological species-groups (Inderbitzin et al. 2006; Runa et al. 2009; Lawrence et al. 2012). Pryor and Gilbertson (2000) elucidated relationships amongst *Alternaria*, *Stemphylium* and *Ulocladium* based on ITS and SSU sequence data and revealed that *Stemphylium* species were phylogenetically distinct from *Alternaria* and *Ulocladium* species. Most *Alternaria* and *Ulocladium* clustered together in a large *Alternaria/Ulocladium* clade (Pryor and Gilbertson 2000). Chou and Wu (2002) confirmed that filament-beaked *Alternaria* species constitute a monophyletic group distinct from the other members in this genus and hypothesised that this group is evolutionarily distinct, based on phylogenies of ITS sequence. Two new species groups, *A. panax* and *A. gypsophilae* were introduced by Lawrence et al. (2013) with phylogenetic evidence and they accepted eight well supported asexual species-sections within *Alternaria*, while

the taxa with known sexual morphs, the *A. infectoria* species-groups, were not given the similar rank. Woudenberg et al. (2013) delineated taxa within *Alternaria* and allied genera, based on SSU, LSU, ITS, GAPDH, RPB2 and TEF1 sequence data. The generic circumscription of *Alternaria* was emended and 24 internal clades in the *Alternaria* complex were treated as sections, together with six monotypic lineages (Woudenberg et al. 2013; Gannibal et al. 2022). Woudenberg et al. (2013) also demoted the genera *Allewia*, *Brachycladium*, *Chalastospora*, *Chmelia*, *Crivellia*, *Embellisia*, *Lewia*, *Nimbya*, *Sinomyces*, *Teretispora*, *Ulocladium*, *Undifilum* and *Ybotromyces* to synonymy with *Alternaria*. Therefore, the use of DNA sequence data is very important in resolving *Alternaria* taxonomy.

The DNA-based classification of the genus *Alternaria* has, so far, relied on over ten gene/region loci, including the nuclear small subunit (SSU) rRNA, large subunit (LSU) rRNA, internal transcribed spacer (ITS), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), RNA polymerase II 2nd largest subunit (RPB2), translation elongation factor 1- α (TEF1), *Alternaria* major allergen (Alt a1), endopolygalacturonase (endoPG), anonymous gene region (OPA10-2), calmodulin (CAL) and eukaryotic orthologous group (KOG) (Lawrence et al. 2013; Woudenberg et al. 2013; Woudenberg et al. 2015; Ghafri et al. 2019; Jayawardena et al. 2019a, 2019b). Several studies have shown that multilocus phylogenetic identification can classify or segregate *Alternaria* species. For instance, Li et al. (2023) used sequences of ITS, LSU, TEF1, RPB2, GAPDH and Alt a1 loci and described 18 new species in sect. *Alternaria*, sect. *Infectoriae*, sect. *Porri* and sect. *Radicina*. Aung et al. (2020) reported the first case of small-spored *A. alternata* associated with Koerle pear (*Pyrus* $\times$ *sinkiangensis* T.T. Yu) in Korea, based on a multigene phylogeny of GAPDH, RPB2 and Alt a1 genes. Chen et al. (2018) used the multilocus phylogenetic analyses of ITS, GAPDH and β -tubulin genes/region to characterise *A. alternata*, a causal agent of black spots of tea plant (*Camellia sinensis* (L.) Kuntze), in the Chongqing city of China. Kgatele et al. (2018) recently showed that the multi-locus phylogeny of Alt a1, RPB2, GAPDH, TEF1 and ITS genes/region successfully identified *A. alternata* causing leaf blight on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in South Africa. Lawrence et al. (2015) provided a comprehensive taxonomic treatment of *Alternaria* with multi-locus phylogeny and accepted 27 sections in *Alternaria*, but later revised it to 28 accepted sections (Ghafri et al. 2019; Gannibal et al. 2022; Li et al. 2023). Recently, Ghafri et al. (2019) and Gannibal et al. (2022) introduced two new sections (i.e. sects. *Helianthiinficiens* and *Omanenses*) of *Alternaria* and thus, 29 sections were accepted at present (Ghafri et al. 2019; Gannibal et al. 2022; Li et al. 2023).

Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia lanceolata* (Lamb.) Hook.) is an important fast-growing timber species in China and its afforestation area and timber volume rank first amongst forest plantations; it plays an important role in forest carbon sequestration, increasing farmers' income and rural revitalisation (Yan 2020). Average timber volume is estimated at 500–800 m³/ha and in China, Chinese fir contributes 40% of the total commercial timber production (Zheng et al. 2016). However, Chinese fir is often damaged by many diseases and insects (Lan et al. 2015). Previous studies reported that *Alternaria* sp., *Bartalinia cunninghamiicola* Tak. Kobay. & J.Z. Zhao, *Bipolaris oryzae* (Breda de Haan) Shoemaker, *Bi. Setariae* Shoemaker, *Colletotrichum cangyuanense* Z.F. Yu,

C. fruticola Prihast., L. Cai & K.D. Hyde, *C. gloeosporioides* (Penz.) Penz. & Sacc., *C. karsti* You L. Yang, Zuo Y. Liu, K.D. Hyde & L. Cai, *C. siamense* Prihast., L. Cai & K.D. Hyde, *Curvularia spicifera* (Bainier) Boedijn, *Cur. muehlenbeckiae* Madrid, K.C. Cunha, Gené, Guarro & Crous, *Ceratocystis collisensis* F.F. Liu, M.J. Wingf. & S.F. Chen, *Diaporthe anhuiensis* H. Zhou & C.L. Hou, *Dia. citrichinensis* F. Huang, K.D. Hyde & Hong Y. Li, *Discosia pini* Heald, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. *pini* (R. Hartig) W.C. Snyder & H.N. Hansen, *Fusarium* sp., *Lophodermium uncinatum* Darker, *Nigrospora sphaerica* (Sacc.) E.W. Mason and *Rhizoctonia solani* J.G. Kühn have been identified as pathogens on Chinese fir (Anonymous 1976; Kobayashi and Zhao 1987; Wang et al. 1995; Chen 2002; Lan et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2015a; Xu and Liu 2017; Huang et al. 2018; Tian et al. 2019; Zhou and Hou 2019; Cui et al. 2020a, b; He et al. 2022). However, there is a lack of comprehensive study on *Alternaria* causing leaf blight disease on Chinese fir including diversity, occurrence and pathogenicity of the pathogens.

Surveys of fungal diseases on foliage of Chinese fir in its main cultivation regions in China were conducted from 2016 to 2020, 48 isolates of *Alternaria* spp. were collected and examined. The main aims of the present study were to determine the *Alternaria* spp. associated with leaf blight disease on Chinese fir using a polyphasic approach of fungal morphology and phylogenetic analyses, based on multi-locus sequences of ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2.

Materials and methods

Isolation of the potential fungal pathogen

A total of 48 isolates of *Alternaria* spp. were isolated from leaf blight samples of Chinese fir, which were collected in five provinces (Fujian, Henan, Hunan, Jiangsu and Shandong) in China (Suppl. material: table S1). Small pieces (2 × 3 mm) were cut from the margins of infected tissues and surface sterilised in 75% alcohol for 30 s, then in 1% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) for 90 s, followed by three rinses with sterile water (Huang et al. 2016), then blotted dry with sterilised filter paper, placed on 2% potato dextrose agar (PDA) Petri plates with 100 mg/l ampicillin and then cultured for 3 days at 25 °C in the dark. Fungal isolates were purified with the monosporic isolation method described by Li et al. (2007). Single-spore isolates were maintained on PDA plates. The obtained isolates were stored in the Forest Pathology Laboratory of Nanjing Forestry University. Holotype specimens of new species from this study were deposited at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC), Chinese Academy of Forestry, Beijing, China.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and sequencing

Genomic DNA of 48 isolates was extracted using a modified CTAB method (Damm et al. 2008). The fungal plugs of each isolate were grown on the PDA plates for 5 days and then collected in a 2 ml tube. Then, 500 µl of chloroform and 500 µl of hexadecyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction buffer (0.2 M Tris, 1.4 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 0.2 g/l CTAB) were added into the tubes, which were placed in a shaker at 25 °C at 200 rpm for 2 h. The mixture was

centrifuged at $15,800 \times g$ for 5 min. Three hundred μL of the supernatant was transferred into a new tube and 600 μL of 100% ethanol was added. The suspension was centrifuged at $15,800 \times g$ for 5 min. Then, 600 μL of 70% ethanol was added into the precipitate. The suspension was centrifuged at $15,800 \times g$ for 5 min and the supernatant was discarded. The DNA pellet was dried and resuspended in 30 μL ddH₂O.

Whole or partial region/genes of nine loci were amplified. ITS and SSU were amplified with primers ITS1/ITS4 and NS1/NS4 (White et al. 1990), LSU with primers LROR/LR5 (Crous et al. 2009a), GAPDH with primers gpd1/gpd2 (Beebe et al. 1999), RPB2 with primers RPB2-5f2/fRPB2-7cr (Liu et al. 1999; Sung et al. 2007), TEF1 with primers 983F/2218R (Sung et al. 2007), Alt a1 with primers Alt-for/Alt-rev (Hong et al. 2005), endoPG and OPA10-2 with primers PG3/PG2b and OPA10-2L/OPA10-2R (Andrew et al. 2009). The information on primer pairs used are listed in Suppl. material: table S2.

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was conducted as described by Woudenberg et al. (2015). PCR was performed in a 30 μL reaction volume containing 2 μL of genomic DNA (ca. 200 ng/ μL), 15 μL of 2 $\times$ Taq Plus Master Mix (Dye Plus) (Vazyme P212-01), 1 μL of 10 μM forward primer, 1 μL of 10 μM reverse primer and 11 μL of ddH₂O. The PCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation step of 4 min at 94 °C followed by 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C and 30 s at 72 °C for ITS, GAPDH and endoPG, 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 62 °C and 45 s at 72 °C for OPA10-2 and Alt a1, and 35 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 59 °C and 60 s at 72 °C for RPB2, TEF1, LSU and SSU, and a final elongation step of 10 min at 72 °C. All DNA sequencing was performed at Shanghai Sangon Biotechnology Company (Nanjing, China). Sequences generated in this study were deposited in GenBank (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analyses

The sequences generated in this study were compared against nucleotide sequences in GenBank using BLAST to determine closely-related taxa. Alignments of different loci, including the sequences obtained from this study and the ones downloaded from GenBank, were initially performed with the MAFFT v.7 online server (<https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/>) (Katoh and Standley 2013) and then manually adjusted in MEGA v. 10 (Kumar et al. 2018). The post-alignment sequences of multiple loci were concatenated in PhyloSuite software (Zhang et al. 2020). Maximum-Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) were run in PhyloSuite software using IQ-TREE ver. 1.6.8 (Nguyen et al. 2015) and MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al. 2012), respectively. ModelFinder was used to carry out statistical selection of best-fit models of nucleotide substitution using the corrected Akaike information criterion (AIC) (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017). For ML analyses, the default parameters were used, and bootstrap support (BS) was carried out using the rapid bootstrapping algorithm with the automatic halt option. Bayesian analyses included two parallel runs of 2,000,000 generations, with the stop rule option and a sampling frequency set to each 1,000 generations. The 50% majority rule consensus trees and posterior probability (PP) values were calculated after discarding the first 25% of the samples as burn-in. Phylogenetic trees were visualised in FigTree v. 1.4.2 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>) (Rambaut 2014).

Table 1. Isolates used in this study and their GenBank accession numbers.

Species name and strain number ^{1,2}		Locality, host / substrate	GenBank accession numbers ³									
			SSU	LSU	ITS	GAPDH	TEF1	RPB2	Alta1	endoPG	OPA10-2	
<i>Alternaria alternantherae</i> (Outgroup)	CBS 124392; HSAUP2798	China, <i>Solanum melongena</i>	KC584251	KC584506	KC584179	KC584096	KC584633	KC584374	KP123846	–	–	
	CBS 118808; E.G.S. 50.116 ^h	USA, <i>Alstroemeria</i> sp.	KP124917	KP124447	KP124296	KP124153	KP125071	KP124764	KP123845	KP123993	KP124601	
	CBS 130254	India, human sputum	KP125007	KP124537	KP124383	KP124235	KP125161	KP124853	KP123931	KP124087	KP124696	
	CBS 130255	India, human sputum	KP125008	KP124538	KP124384	KP124236	KP125162	KP124854	KP123932	KP124088	KP124697	
<i>A. angustiovoidea</i>	CBS 130258	India, human sputum	KP125009	KP124539	KP124385	KP124237	KP125163	KP124855	KP123933	KP124089	KP124698	
	CBS 195.86; E.G.S. 36.172; DAOM 185214 ^T	Canada, <i>Euphorbia esula</i>	KP124939	KP124469	KP124317	KP124173	KP125093	KP124785	JQ646398	KP124017	KP124624	
	CBS 102605; E.G.S. 39.128 ^T	USA, <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	KC584509	KC584253	AF347033	AY278810	KC584636	KC584377	AY563303	AY295028	KP124712	
	CBS 124281	Denmark, <i>Triticum</i> sp.	KP125037	KP124567	KP124414	KP124265	KP125192	KP124883	KP123961	KP124118	KP124728	
<i>A. astragali</i>	CBS 124282	Denmark, <i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	KP125038	KP124568	KP124415	KP124266	KP125193	KP124884	KP123962	KP124119	KP124729	
	CPC 25266	Austria, <i>Pyrus</i> sp.	KP125041	KP124571	KP124418	KP124269	KP125196	KP124887	KP123965	KP124122	KP124732	
	CBS 127672; E.G.S. 52.122 ^T	USA, <i>Astragalus bisulcatus</i>	KP125006	KP124536	KP124382	KP124234	KP125160	KP124852	KP123930	KP124086	KP124695	
	CBS 118810; E.G.S. 49.159; IMI 385709 ^T	Kenya, <i>Beta vulgaris</i> var. <i>cicla</i>	KP125042	KP124572	KP124419	KP124270	KP125197	KP124888	KP123966	KP124123	KP124733	
<i>A. brassicinae</i>	CBS 118811; E.G.S. 35.158 ^T	USA, <i>Brassica oleracea</i>	KP124978	KP124508	KP124356	KP124210	KP125132	KP124824	KP123904	KP124057	KP124667	
	CBS 121455; E.G.S. 50.078 ^T	China, <i>Broussonetia papyrifera</i>	KP124992	KP124522	KP124368	KP124220	KP125146	KP124838	KP123916	KP124072	KP124681	
	CBS 108.27	Unknown, <i>Gomphrena globosa</i>	KC584601	KC584343	KC584236	KC584162	KC584727	KC584468	KP123850	KP123997	KP124605	
	CBS 107.38; E.G.S. 06.185 ^T	India, <i>Cuminum cyminum</i>	KP125043	KP124573	KP124420	JQ646305	KP125198	KP124889	KP123967	KP124124	KP124734	
<i>A. caudata</i>	CBS 130264	India, human sputum	KP125048	KP124578	KP124425	KP124275	KP125203	KP124894	KP123972	KP124129	KP124739	
	CBS 121544; E.G.S. 38.022 ^R	USA, <i>Cucumis sativus</i>	KP124995	KP124525	KP124371	KP124223	KP125149	KP124841	KP123919	KP124075	KP124684	
	CBS 107.27; ATCC 24463; QM 1736 ^{ET}	USA, <i>Citrus limonium</i>	KP124921	KP124451	KP124300	KP124157	KP125075	KP124768	KP123849	KP123996	KP124604	
	CBS 612.72; DSM 62012 ^{ET}	Germany, <i>Senecio cineraria</i>	KP124930	KP124460	KP124308	KP124165	KP125084	KP124777	KP123861	KP124008	KP124615	
<i>A. citrinulariae</i>	CBS 102596; E.G.S. 45.090 ^T	USA, <i>Citrus jambhiri</i>	KP124950	KP124480	KP124328	KP124183	KP125104	KP124796	KP123877	KP124030	KP124637	
	CBS 102598; E.G.S. 46.141 ^T	USA, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124951	KP124481	KP124329	KP124184	KP125105	KP124797	KP123878	KP124031	KP124638	
	CBS 119543; E.G.S. 12.160 ^T	USA, <i>Citrus paradisi</i>	KP124985	KP124515	KP124363	KP124215	KP125139	KP124831	KP123911	KP124065	KP124674	
	DSQ3-2	China, <i>Cunninghamia lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229504	OR229647	OR229442	OR252424	OR233910	OR252520	OR252376	OR252472	OR233862	
<i>A. cunninghamiicola</i>	DSQ3-2-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229505	OR229648	OR229443	OR252425	OR233911	OR252521	OR252377	OR252473	OR233863	
	DSQ3-2-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229506	OR229649	OR229444	OR252426	OR233912	OR252522	OR252378	OR252474	OR233864	
	DSQ3-2-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229507	OR229650	OR229445	OR252427	OR233913	OR252523	OR252379	OR252475	OR233865	
	DSQ3-2-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229508	OR229651	OR229446	OR252428	OR233914	OR252524	OR252380	OR252476	OR233866	
<i>A. daucifolii</i>	CBS 118812; E.G.S. 37.050 ^T	USA, <i>Daucus carota</i>	KC584525	KC584269	KC584193	KC584112	KC584652	KC584393	KP123905	KP124058	KP124668	

Species name and strain number ^{1,2}		Locality, host / substrate	GenBank accession numbers ³									
			SSU	LSU	ITS	GAPDH	TEF1	RPB2	Alta1	endoPG	OPA10-2	
<i>A. destruens</i>	CBS 121454; E.G.S. 46.069 ^T	USA, <i>Cuscuta gronovii</i>	KP124991	KP124521	–	AY278812	KP125145	KP124837	JQ646402	KP124071	KP124680	
<i>A. dongshanqiaensis</i>	DSQ2-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229495	OR229638	OR229433	OR252415	OR233901	OR252511	OR252367	OR252463	OR233853	
	DSQ2-2-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229496	OR229639	OR229434	OR252416	OR233902	OR252512	OR252368	OR252464	OR233854	
	DSQ2-2-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229497	OR229640	OR229435	OR252417	OR233903	OR252513	OR252369	OR252465	OR233855	
	DSQ2-2-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229498	OR229641	OR229436	OR252418	OR233904	OR252514	OR252370	OR252466	OR233856	
	HN43-6-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229499	OR229642	OR229437	OR252419	OR233905	OR252515	OR252371	OR252467	OR233857	
	HN43-6-1-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229500	OR229643	OR229438	OR252420	OR233906	OR252516	OR252372	OR252468	OR233858	
	HN43-6-1-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229501	OR229644	OR229439	OR252421	OR233907	OR252517	OR252373	OR252469	OR233859	
	HN43-6-1-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229502	OR229645	OR229440	OR252422	OR233908	OR252518	OR252374	OR252470	OR233860	
	HN43-6-1-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229503	OR229646	OR229441	OR252423	OR233909	OR252519	OR252375	OR252471	OR233861	
<i>A. dumosa</i>	CBS 102604; E.G.S. 45.007 ^T	Israel, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124956	KP124486	KP124334	AY562410	KP125110	KP124802	AY563305	KP124035	KP124643	
<i>A. eichhorniae</i>	CBS 489.92; ATCC 22255; ATCC 46777; IMI 121518 ^T	India, <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	KP125049	KP124579	KC146356	KP124276	KP125204	KP124895	KP123973	KP124130	KP124740	
<i>A. gaisen</i>	CBS 632.93; E.G.S. 90.512 ^R	Japan, <i>Pyrus pyrifolia</i>	KC584531	KC584275	KC584197	KC584116	KC584658	KC584399	KP123974	AY295033	KP124742	
	CBS 118488; E.G.S. 90.391 ^R	Japan, <i>Pyrus pyrifolia</i>	KP125051	KP124581	KP124427	KP124278	KP125206	KP124897	KP123975	KP124132	KP124743	
	CPC 25268	Portugal, unknown	KP125052	KP124582	KP124428	KP124279	KP125207	KP124898	KP123976	KP124133	KP124744	
<i>A. godetiae</i>	CBS 117.44; E.G.S. 06.190; VKM F-1870 ^T	Denmark, <i>Godetia</i> sp.	KP124925	KP124455	KP124303	KP124160	KP125079	KP124772	KP123854	KP124001	KP124609	
<i>A. gossypina</i>	CBS 104.32 ^T	Zimbabwe, <i>Gossypium</i> sp.	KP125054	KP124584	KP124430	JQ646312	KP125209	KP124900	JQ646395	KP124135	KP124746	
<i>A. grisea</i>	CBS 107.36 ^T	Indonesia, soil	KP125055	KP124585	KP124431	JQ646310	KP125210	KP124901	JQ646393	KP124136	KP124747	
<i>A. herbiphorbicola</i>	CBS 119408; E.G.S. 40.140 ^T	USA, <i>Euphorbia esula</i>	KP124984	KP124514	KP124362	JQ646326	KP125138	KP124830	JQ646410	KP124064	KP124673	
<i>A. hunanensis</i>	HN43-10-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229486	OR229629	OR229424	OR252406	OR233892	OR252502	OR252358	OR252454	OR233844	
	HN43-10-2-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229487	OR229630	OR229425	OR252407	OR233893	OR252503	OR252359	OR252455	OR233845	
	HN43-10-2-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229488	OR229631	OR229426	OR252408	OR233894	OR252504	OR252360	OR252456	OR233846	
	HN43-10-2-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229489	OR229632	OR229427	OR252409	OR233895	OR252505	OR252361	OR252457	OR233847	
	HN43-10-2-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229490	OR229633	OR229428	OR252410	OR233896	OR252506	OR252362	OR252458	OR233848	
<i>A. interrupta</i>	CBS 102603; E.G.S. 45.011 ^T	Israel, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124955	KP124485	KP124333	KP124188	KP125109	KP124801	KP123882	KP124034	KP124642	
<i>A. iridiaustralis</i>	CBS 118486; E.G.S. 43.014 ^T	Australia, <i>Iris</i> sp.	KP125059	KP124589	KP124435	KP124284	KP125214	KP124905	KP123981	KP124140	KP124751	
	CBS 118487; E.G.S. 44.147 ^R	Australia, <i>Iris</i> sp.	KP125060	KP124590	KP124436	KP124285	KP125215	KP124906	KP123982	KP124141	KP124752	
<i>A. jacinthicola</i>	CBS 133751; MUCL 53159 ^T	Mali, <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i>	KP125062	KP124592	KP124438	KP124287	KP125217	KP124908	KP123984	KP124143	KP124754	
	CPC 25267	Unknown, <i>Cucumis melo</i> var. <i>inodorus</i>	KP125063	KP124593	KP124439	KP124288	KP125218	KP124909	KP123985	KP124144	KP124755	
<i>A. kikuchiana</i>	CBS 107.53; DSM 3187; IFO 5778 ^{HT}	Japan, <i>Pyrus pyrifolia</i>	KP124927	KP124457	KP124305	KP124162	KP125081	KP124774	KP123858	KP124005	KP124613	
<i>A. koreana</i>	SPL2-1	Korea, <i>Atractylodes ovata</i>	–	–	LC621613	LC621647	LC621715	LC621681	LC631831	LC631844	LC631857	

Species name and strain number ^{1,2}		Locality, host / substrate	GenBank accession numbers ³									
			SSU	LSU	ITS	GAPDH	TEF1	RPB2	Alta1	endoPG	OPA10-2	
<i>A. koreana</i>	SPL2-4	Korea, <i>Atractylodes ovata</i>	–	–	LC621615	LC621649	LC621717	LC621683	LC631832	LC631845	LC631858	
<i>A. kunyensis</i>	XXG21	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229515	OR229658	OR229453	OR252435	OR233921	OR252531	OR252387	OR252483	OR233873	
	XXG22	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229516	OR229659	OR229454	OR252436	OR233922	OR252532	OR252388	OR252484	OR233874	
	XXG26-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229517	OR229660	OR229455	OR252437	OR233923	OR252533	OR252389	OR252485	OR233875	
	XXG31	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229518	OR229661	OR229456	OR252438	OR233924	OR252534	OR252390	OR252486	OR233876	
	XXG30	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229519	OR229662	OR229457	OR252439	OR233925	OR252535	OR252391	OR252487	OR233877	
<i>A. longjiaoensis</i>	XXG12-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229520	OR229663	OR229458	OR252440	OR233926	OR252536	OR252392	OR252488	OR233878	
	CBS 106.34; E.G.S. 06.198; DSM 62019; MUCL 10030 [†]	Unknown, <i>Linum usitatissimum</i>	KP124924	KP124454	Y17071	JQ646308	KP125078	KP124771	KP123853	KP124000	KP124608	
	CBS 102595; E.G.S. 45.100 [†]	USA, <i>Citrus jambhiri</i>	KC584540	KC584284	FJ266476	AY562411	KC584666	KC584408	AY563306	KP124029	KP124636	
	CBS 113.35	Unknown, <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	KP125064	KP124594	KP124440	KP124289	KP125219	KP124910	KP123986	KP124145	KP124756	
	CBS 917.96	USA, <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	KP125066	KP124596	KP124442	KP124291	–	KP124912	KP123988	KP124148	KP124759	
<i>A. mali</i>	HN43-14	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229491	OR229634	OR229429	OR252411	OR233897	OR252507	OR252363	OR252459	OR233849	
	HN43-14-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229492	OR229635	OR229430	OR252412	OR233898	OR252508	OR252364	OR252460	OR233850	
	HN43-14-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229493	OR229636	OR229431	OR252413	OR233899	OR252509	OR252365	OR252461	OR233851	
	HN43-14-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229494	OR229637	OR229432	OR252414	OR233900	OR252510	OR252366	OR252462	OR233852	
	CBS 106.24; E.G.S. 38.029; ATCC 13963 [†]	USA, <i>Malus sylvestris</i>	KP124919	KP124449	KP124298	KP124155	KP125073	KP124766	KP123847	AY295020	JQ800620	
<i>A. malvae</i>	CBS 447.86	Marocco, <i>Malva</i> sp.	KP124940	KP124470	KP124318	JQ646314	KP125094	KP124786	JQ646397	KP124018	KP124625	
<i>A. palandui</i>	CBS 121336; E.G.S. 37.005; ATCC 11680 [†]	USA, <i>Allium</i> sp.	KP124987	KP124517	KJ862254	KJ862255	KP125141	KP124833	KJ862259	KP124067	KP124676	
<i>A. pellucida</i>	CBS 479.90; E.G.S. 29.028 [†]	Japan, <i>Citrus unshiu</i>	KP124941	KP124471	KP124319	KP124174	KP125095	KP124787	KP123870	KP124019	KP124626	
<i>A. perangusta</i>	CBS 102602; E.G.S. 44.160 [†]	Turkey, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124954	KP124484	KP124332	KP124187	KP125108	KP124800	KP123881	AY295023	KP124641	
<i>A. platycodonis</i>	CBS 121348; E.G.S. 50.070 [†]	China, <i>Platycodon grandiflorus</i>	KP124990	KP124520	KP124367	KP124219	KP125144	KP124836	KP123915	KP124070	KP124679	
<i>A. postmessia</i>	CBS 119399; E.G.S. 39.189 [†]	USA, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124983	KP124513	KP124361	JQ646328	KP125137	KP124829	KP123910	KP124063	KP124672	
<i>A. pulvinifungicola</i>	CBS 194.86; E.G.S. 04.090; QM 1347 [†]	USA, <i>Quercus</i> sp.	KP124938	KP124468	KP124316	KP124172	KP125092	KP124784	KP123869	KP124016	KP124623	
<i>A. rhadina</i>	CBS 595.93 [†]	Japan, <i>Pyrus pyrifolia</i>	KP124942	KP124472	KP124320	KP124175	KP125096	KP124788	JQ646399	KP124020	KP124627	
<i>A. sanguisorbae</i>	CBS 121456; E.G.S. 50.080; HSAUP 9600197 [†]	China, <i>Sanguisorba officinalis</i>	KP124993	KP124523	KP124369	KP124221	KP125147	KP124839	KP123917	KP124073	KP124682	
<i>A. seleniphila</i>	CBS 127671; E.G.S. 52.121 [†]	USA, <i>Stanleya pinnata</i>	KP125005	KP124535	KP124381	KP124233	KP125159	KP124851	KP123929	KP124085	KP124694	
<i>A. septorioides</i>	CBS 175.80	Italy, unknown	KP124935	KP124465	KP124313	JQ646324	KP125089	KP124781	KP123866	KP124013	KP124620	
<i>A. shandongensis</i>	SDHG12	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229509	OR229652	OR229447	OR252429	OR233915	OR252525	OR252381	OR252477	OR233867	
	SDHG12-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229510	OR229653	OR229448	OR252430	OR233916	OR252526	OR252382	OR252478	OR233868	
	SDHG12-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229511	OR229654	OR229449	OR252431	OR233917	OR252527	OR252383	OR252479	OR233869	

Species name and strain number ^{1,2}		Locality, host / substrate	GenBank accession numbers ³								
			SSU	LSU	ITS	GAPDH	TEF1	RPB2	Alt1	endoPG	OPA10-2
<i>A. shandongensis</i>	SDHG12-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229512	OR229655	OR229450	OR252432	OR233918	OR252528	OR252384	OR252480	OR233870
	SDHG12-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229513	OR229656	OR229451	OR252433	OR233919	OR252529	OR252385	OR252481	OR233871
	LY15	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229514	OR229657	OR229452	OR252434	OR233920	OR252530	OR252386	OR252482	OR233872
<i>A. soliaegyptiaca</i>	CBS 103.33; E.G.S. 35.182; IHM 3319 ^T	Egypt, soil	KP124923	KP124453	KP124302	KP124159	KP125077	KP124770	KP123852	KP123999	KP124607
<i>A. tenuis</i>	CBS 126910	USA, soil	KP125003	KP124533	KP124379	KP124231	KP125157	KP124849	KP123927	KP124083	KP124692
<i>A. tenuissima</i>	CBS 620.83; ATCC 15052 ^{ET}	USA, <i>Nicotiana tabacum</i>	KP124937	KP124467	KP124315	KP124171	KP125091	KP124783	KP123868	KP124015	KP124622
<i>A. tomato</i>	CBS 103.30	Unknown, <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	KP125069	KP124599	KP124445	KP124294	KP125224	KP124915	KP123991	KP124151	KP124762
	CBS 114.35	Unknown, <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	KP125070	KP124600	KP124446	KP124295	KP125225	KP124916	KP123992	KP124152	KP124763
<i>A. tomaticola</i>	CBS 118814; E.G.S. 44.048 ^T	USA, <i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>	KP124979	KP124509	KP124357	KP124211	KP125133	KP124825	KP123906	KP124059	KP124669
<i>A. toxicogenica</i>	CBS 102600; E.G.S. 39.181; ATCC 38963 ^T	USA, <i>Citrus reticulata</i>	KP124953	KP124483	KP124331	KP124186	KP125107	KP124799	KP123880	KP124033	KP124640
<i>A. turkisafrica</i>	CBS 102599; E.G.S. 44.166 ^T	Turkey, <i>Minneola tangelo</i>	KP124952	KP124482	KP124330	KP124185	KP125106	KP124798	KP123879	KP124032	KP124639
<i>A. vaccinii</i>	CBS 118818; E.G.S. 31.032 ^T	USA, <i>Vaccinium</i> sp.	KP124981	KP124511	KP124359	KP124213	KP125135	KP124827	KP123908	KP124061	KP124671
<i>A. xinyangensis</i>	ZLS1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229521	OR229664	OR229459	OR252441	OR233927	OR252537	OR252393	OR252489	OR233879
	ZLS1-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229522	OR229665	OR229460	OR252442	OR233928	OR252538	OR252394	OR252490	OR233880
	ZLS1-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229523	OR229666	OR229461	OR252443	OR233929	OR252539	OR252395	OR252491	OR233881
	ZLS1-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229524	OR229667	OR229462	OR252444	OR233930	OR252540	OR252396	OR252492	OR233882
	ZLS1-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229525	OR229668	OR229463	OR252445	OR233931	OR252541	OR252397	OR252493	OR233883
	XYXY06	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229526	OR229669	OR229464	OR252446	OR233932	OR252542	OR252398	OR252494	OR233884
	XYXY8-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229527	OR229670	OR229465	OR252447	OR233933	OR252543	OR252399	OR252495	OR233885
	XYXY16	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229528	OR229671	OR229466	OR252448	OR233934	OR252544	OR252400	OR252496	OR233886
	XYXY15	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229529	OR229672	OR229467	OR252449	OR233935	OR252545	OR252401	OR252497	OR233887
	XYXY15-1	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229530	OR229673	OR229468	OR252450	OR233936	OR252546	OR252402	OR252498	OR233888
	XYXY15-2	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229531	OR229674	OR229469	OR252451	OR233937	OR252547	OR252403	OR252499	OR233889
	XYXY15-3	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229532	OR229675	OR229470	OR252452	OR233938	OR252548	OR252404	OR252500	OR233890
	XYXY15-4	China, <i>Cu. lanceolata</i> leaf	OR229533	OR229676	OR229471	OR252453	OR233939	OR252549	OR252405	OR252501	OR233891
		China, <i>Pyrus bretschneideri</i>	KP124996	KP124526	KP124372	KP124224	KP125150	KP124842	KP123920	KP124076	KP124685
<i>A. yali-inficiens</i>	CBS 121547; E.G.S. 50.048 ^T	China, <i>Pyrus bretschneideri</i>									
1 ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA; CBS: Culture collection of the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands; CPC: Personal collection of P.W. Crous, Utrecht, The Netherlands; DAOM: Canadian Collection of Fungal Cultures, Ottawa, Canada; DSM: German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Leibniz Institute, Braunschweig, Germany; E.G.S.: Personal collection of Dr. E.G. Simmons; HKUCC: The University of Hong Kong Culture Collection, Hong Kong, China; HSAUP: Department of Plant Pathology, Shandong Agricultural University, China; IFO: Institute for Fermentation Culture Collection, Osaka, Japan; IHM: Biomedical Fungi and Yeast Collection of the Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms (BCCM), Brussels, Belgium; IMI: Culture collection of CAB International, Egham UK; LCP: Laboratory of Cryptogamy, National Museum of Natural History, Paris, France; MAFF: MAFF Genebank Project, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Tsukuba, Japan; MUCL: (Agro)Industrial Fungi and Yeast Collection of the Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms (BCCM), Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; QM: Quarter Master Culture Collection, Amherst, MA, USA; VKM: All-Russian Collection of Microorganisms, Moscow, Russia. T: ex-type isolate; ET: ex-epitype isolate; HT: ex-holotype isolate; R: representative isolate. 3 Bold accession numbers are generated in other studies; np: no product.											

1 ATCC: American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA, USA; CBS: Culture collection of the Westerdijk Fungal Biodiversity Institute, Utrecht, The Netherlands; CPC: Personal collection of P.W. Crous, Utrecht, The Netherlands; DAOM: Canadian Collection of Fungal Cultures, Ottawa, Canada; DSM: German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany; E.G.S.: Personal collection of Dr. E.G. Simmons; HKUCC: The University of Hong Kong Culture Collection, Hong Kong, China; HSAUP: Department of Plant Pathology, Shandong Agricultural University, China; IFO: Institute for Fermentation Culture Collection, Osaka, Japan; IHEM: Biomedical Fungi and Yeast Collection of the Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms (BCCM), Brussels, Belgium; IMI: Culture collection of CABI Europe UK Centre, Egham UK; LCP: Laboratory of Cryptogamy, National Museum of Natural History, Paris, France; MAFF: MAFF Genebank Project, Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Tsukuba, Japan; MUCL: (Agro)Industrial Fungi and Yeast Collection of the Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms (BCCM), Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium; QM: Quarter Master Culture Collection, Amherst, MA, USA; VKM: All-Russian Collection of Microorganisms, Moscow, Russia.
T: ex-type isolate; ET: ex-epitype isolate; HT: ex-holotype isolate; R: representative isolate.
3 Bold accession numbers are generated in other studies; np: no product.

Phylogenetically-related, but ambiguous species were analysed using the genealogical concordance phylogenetic species recognition (GCPSR) model by performing a pairwise homoplasy index (PHI) test as described by Quaadvlieg et al. (2014). The PHI test was performed in SplitsTree4 (Huson 1998; Huson and Bryant 2006) in order to determine the recombination level within phylogenetically closely-related species using a concatenated multi-locus dataset (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2). If the pairwise-homoplasy index results were below a 0.05 threshold ($\Phi_w < 0.05$), it indicates significant recombination present in the dataset. The relationship amongst the closely-related species was visualised by constructing splits graphs.

Morphological study

One representative isolate was randomly selected from each *Alternaria* species for morphological research according to the method of Simmons (2007). Mycelial plugs (5 mm) of purified cultures were transferred from the growing edge of 5-d-old cultures to the centre of 7-mm-diameter potato carrot agar (PCA) plates (Crous et al. 2009b) in triplicate at 25 °C. Colony diameters were measured from 3 to 6 days to calculate mycelial growth rates (mm/d). Colony colour, size and density were also recorded. The morphology and size of conidial chains were studied and recorded using a Zeiss stereo microscope (SteRo Discovery v.20). The shape, colour and size of conidiophores and conidia were observed using a ZEISS Axio Imager A2m microscope (ZEISS, Germany) with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. At least 30 measurements per structure were performed using Carl Zeiss Axio Vision software to determine their sizes, unless no or fewer individual structures were produced.

Pathogenicity tests

Seven representative isolates (ZLS1, DSQ2-2, SDHG12, XXG21, HN43-10-2, HN43-14 and DSQ3-2) of *Alternaria* species were selected for the pathogenicity test on detached leaves of Chinese fir collected from 1-year-old Chinese fir plants on the campus of Nanjing Forestry University, Jiangsu, China.

For in-vitro inoculation, detached leaves were surface-sterilised with 75% ethanol, washed three times with sterile water and air-dried on sterile filter paper. A 10 µl aliquot of conidial suspension (1.0×10^6 conidia/ml) was transferred to a sterile plastic tube (20 × 6 mm), in which a leaf was placed so that the base of the leaf was immersed in the conidial suspension. The control was treated with the same amount of double-distilled water. Leaves in the tubes were then placed in plastic trays (40 × 25 cm), covered with a piece of plastic wrap to maintain relative humidity at 99% and incubated at 25 °C in the dark for 5 days. Each treatment had twelve replicates and the experiment was conducted three times. Symptom development on each detached leaf was evaluated by determining the means of lesion lengths at 5 days post-inoculation (dpi). The data were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS v. 18 software. LSD's range test was used to determine significant differences

amongst or between different treatments. Origin v. 8.0 software was used to draw histograms (Li et al. 2020). Pathogens were re-isolated from the resulting lesions and identified as described above.

Results

Phylogenetic analyses

A total of 48 *Alternaria* isolates from Chinese fir were subjected to multi-locus phylogenetic analyses for *Alternaria* spp. with concatenated sequences of ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2. The data matrix contained a total of 5460 characters with gaps (Alt a1: 1–453, GAPDH: 454–952, ITS: 953–1462, LSU: 1463–2349, OPA10-2: 2350–3013, endoPG: 3014–3414, RPB2: 3415–4170, SSU: 4171–5167, TEF1: 5168–5460). *Alternaria alternantherae* Holcomb & Antonop. CBS 124392 was used as the out-group. The Maximum-likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) phylogenetic analyses showed that 48 isolates clustered into seven clades distantly from any known species (Fig. 1). Of these, 13 isolates clustered distantly from any known species with high support (ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/1) and closely related to *A. dongshanqiaoensis* sp. nov. (this study, DSQ2-2), *A. citri* (Penz.) Mussat (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), *A. cinerariae* Hori & Enjoji (ex-type, CBS 612.72) and *A. kikuchiana* S. Tanaka (ex-type, CBS 107.53), are herein described as a new taxon, namely *A. xinyangensis* sp. nov. (Fig. 1). The results showed that nine isolates clustered in a distinct clade with high support (ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/1), which was distinct from all other known species and closely related to *A. xinyangensis* sp. nov. (this study, ZLS1), *A. citri* (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), *A. cinerariae* (ex-type, CBS 612.72) and *A. kikuchiana* (ex-type, CBS 107.53), namely *A. dongshanqiaoensis* sp. nov. (Fig. 1). When applying the GCPSR concept to these isolates, the concatenated sequence dataset of nine-loci (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2) was subjected to the PHI test and the result showed that no significant recombination was detected amongst these isolates/taxa ($\Phi_w = 0.1647$) (Fig. 2A). It was a solid support for the proposition that these isolates belonged to six distinct taxa.

The ML/BI phylogenetic analyses also showed that *A. shandongensis* (six isolates, ML-BS/BI-PP = 98/1), *A. kunyuensis* (six isolates, ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/1), *A. hunanensis* (five isolates, ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/1) and *A. longqiaoensis* (four isolates, ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/1) clustered in four distinct clades, which were distinct from all other known species and closely related to *A. vaccinii* E.G. Simmons (ex-type, CBS 118818), *A. platycodonis* Z.Y. Zhang & H. Zhang (ex-type, CBS 121348), *A. rhadina* E.G. Simmons (ex-type, CBS 595.93), *A. citriarbusti* E.G. Simmons (ex-type, CBS 102598) and *A. tomaticola* E.G. Simmons & Chellemi (ex-type, CBS 118814) (Fig. 1). When applying the GCPSR concept to these isolates, the concatenated sequence dataset of nine-loci (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2) was subjected to the PHI test and showed that no significant recombination was detected amongst these isolates/taxa ($\Phi_w = 0.3502$) (Fig. 2B). It was a solid support for the proposition that these isolates belonged to nine distinct taxa.




Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships of 116 isolates of the *Alternaria* species complex with related taxa with concatenated sequences of the SSU, LSU, ITS, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2 loci using Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum-likelihood (ML) methods. Bootstrap support values from ML $\geq 70\%$ and BI posterior values ≥ 0.9 are shown at nodes (ML/BI). *Alternaria alternantherae* CBS 124392 was the outgroup. * and red font indicates strains of this study. ^T indicates the ex-type strains, ^{ET} indicates the ex-epitype strains, ^{HT} indicates the ex-holotype strains.

Phylogenetic analyses also showed that the five isolates (DSQ3-2, DSQ3-2-1, DSQ3-2-2, DSQ3-2-3 and DSQ3-2-4) clustered in a distinct clade with high support (ML-BS/BI-PP = 100/0.99), which was distinct from all other known




Figure 1. Continued.

species and a sister clade to the clades of *A. broussonetiae* T.Y. Zhang, W.Q. Chen & M.X. Gao (ex-type, CBS 121455), *A. yali-inficiens* R.G. Roberts (ex-type, CBS 121547), *A. seleniophila* Wangeline & E.G. Simmons (ex-type, CBS 127671) and *A. lini* P.K. Dey (ex-type, CBS 106.34), namely *A. cunninghamiicola* sp. nov. (Fig. 1). When applying the GCPSR concept to these isolates, the concatenated sequence dataset of nine-loci (ITS, SSU, LSU, GAPDH, RPB2, TEF1, Alt a1, endoPG and OPA10-2) was subjected to the PHI test, and the result showed that no significant recombination was detected amongst these isolates/taxa ($\Phi_w = 0.2087$) (Fig. 2C). It was a solid support for the proposition that these isolates belonged to five distinct taxa.




Figure 2. Splitgraphs showing the results of the pairwise homoplasly index (PHI) test of newly described taxa and closely-related species using both LogDet transformation and splits decomposition **A** the PHI of *Alternaria xinyangensis* sp. nov. and *A. dongshanqiaoensis* sp. nov. with their phylogenetically related isolates or species **B** the PHI of *A. shandongensis* sp. nov., *A. kunyuensis* sp. nov., *A. hunanensis* sp. nov. and *A. longqiaoensis* sp. nov. with their phylogenetically related isolates or species **C** the PHI of *A. cunninghamiicola* sp. nov. with their phylogenetically-related isolates or species. PHI test value (Φ_w) < 0.05 indicate significant recombination within a dataset. * indicates strains of this study. † indicates the ex-type strains, ET indicates the ex-epitype strains, HT indicates the ex-holotype strains.

Taxonomy

Based on morphology and multi-locus sequence data, a total of 48 obtained isolates from Chinese fir were assigned to seven species of *Alternaria*, which represented seven undescribed taxa and were described below.

Alternaria cunninghamiicola Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.

Index Fungorum: IF901036

Fig. 3

Holotype. CHINA, Jiangsu Province, Nanjing City, Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, 31°51'11"N, 118°46'12"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59358). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (DSQ3-2) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. The specific epithet refers to the genus of the host plant (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*).

Host/distribution. From *C. lanceolata* in Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, pale white to grey hyphae. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, solitary, subcylindrical, branched or unbranched, straight or geniculate, thin-walled, 2–10 septate, $(18.3\text{--})25.3\text{--}68.4(-93.8) \times (3.0\text{--})3.3\text{--}4.2(-4.8) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $46.9 \pm 21.6 \times 3.7 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 32$), arising mostly at right angles from undifferentiated hyphae, with conspicuous scars after conidia have seceded. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, light brown, smooth, $(5.2\text{--})7.3\text{--}14.0(-18.1) \times (2.5\text{--})3.0\text{--}4.2(-5.0) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $10.7 \pm 3.3 \times 3.6 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 45$), mono- or polytretic, with conspicuous scars at the loci of sporulating after conidia have seceded. Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 3–5 conidia with rarely lateral branches or occasionally a sole secondary conidium. Conidia pale brown to brown, shape varied, ovoid or ellipsoid, pyriform or obclavate, usually smooth; conidial bodies $(12.2\text{--})18.1\text{--}35.4(-51.6) \times (7.5\text{--})10.4\text{--}15.5(-18.7) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $26.6 \pm 8.6 \times 12.9 \pm 2.6 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 53$), with 1–5 transverse and 0–2 longitudinal septate. Secondary conidia directly (but rarely) produced by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus or (commonly) by means of a short apical or lateral secondary conidiophore with 1–2 cells in length. Secondary conidiophores (false beaks) with one or a few conidiogenous loci, $(4.5\text{--})5.2\text{--}22.5(-32.7) \times (2.7\text{--})3.2\text{--}4.2(-4.7) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $13.8 \pm 8.7 \times 3.7 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 31$). Beakless conidia mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at $9.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ mm/d}$; aerial hypha cottony, white to pale grey; reverse centre dark green to black; sporulation sparse; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Jiangsu Province, Nanjing City, Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, $31^{\circ}51'11''\text{N}$, $118^{\circ}46'12''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, DSQ3-2-1, DSQ3-2-2, DSQ3-2-3, DSQ3-2-4.

Notes. The isolates of *A. cunninghamiicola* were phylogenetically close to *A. broussonetiae* (ex-type, CBS 121455), *A. yali-inficiens* (ex-type, CBS 121547), *A. seleniiphila* (ex-type, CBS 127671) and *A. lini* (ex-type, CBS 106.34) (Fig. 2). Between *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates and *A. broussonetiae* (ex-type, CBS 121455), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS and 1/664 in OPA10-2. Between *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates and *A. yali-inficiens* (ex-type, CBS 121547), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 1/401 in endoPG. Between *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates and *A. seleniiphila* (ex-type, CBS 127671), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 1/401 in endoPG and 6/757 in RPB2. Between *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates and *A. lini* (ex-type, CBS 106.34), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 4/510 in ITS, 1/887 in LSU, 1/664 in OPA10-2 and 6/757 in RPB2. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.2087$) (Fig. 2C). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other related species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, conidia in chains




Figure 3. *Alternaria cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B** sporulation patterns **C, D** conidiophores and conidiogenous cell **E, F** conidium. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B**); 10 µm (**C–F**).

of the *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates were less than those of *A. broussonetiae* CBS 121455 (ex-type) (3–5 vs. 8–15 conidia) (Zhang et al. 1999) and *A. yali-inficiens* CBS 121547 (ex-type) (3–5 vs. 8–18 conidia) (Roberts 2005). Conidiophores of the *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates were shorter than those of *A. seleniiphila* CBS 127671 (ex-type) (25.3–68.4 × 3.3–4.2 µm vs. 80–250 × 4–5 µm) (Wangeline and

Reeves 2007). Conidia of the *A. cunninghamiicola* isolates were shorter and wider than those of *A. lini* CBS 106.34 (ex-type) ($18.1\text{--}35.4 \times 10.4\text{--}15.5\ \mu\text{m}$ vs. $42\text{--}60 \times 3\text{--}7\ \mu\text{m}$) (Dey 1933). Thus, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence support this fungus being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria dongshanqiaoensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901037

Fig. 4

Holotype. CHINA, Jiangsu Province, Nanjing City, Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, $31^{\circ}51'11''\text{N}$, $118^{\circ}46'12''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59353). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (DSQ2-2) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. from *C. lanceolata* in Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, white to pale brown hyphae. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, solitary and relatively short, pale brown, smooth, 1–3 septate, $(8.1\text{--})16.4\text{--}60.2(\text{--}100.5) \times (2.4\text{--})3.2\text{--}4.6(\text{--}5.6)\ \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $38.3 \pm 21.9 \times 3.9 \pm 0.7\ \mu\text{m}$, $n = 30$), arising mostly at right angles from undifferentiated hyphae. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, light brown, smooth, $(3.8\text{--})5.2\text{--}13.7(\text{--}20.2) \times (2.8\text{--})3.5\text{--}4.6(\text{--}5.2)\ \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $9.4 \pm 4.2 \times 4.0 \pm 0.5\ \mu\text{m}$, $n = 36$), mono- or di-tretic, with conspicuous scars at the loci of sporulating after conidia have seceded. Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 5–9 conidia; rarely with lateral branches or occasionally a sole secondary conidium. Conidial bodies brown to dark brown, ellipsoid to obclavate, smooth to verruculose, $(16.4\text{--})21.1\text{--}32.9(\text{--}40.1) \times (10.2\text{--})11.4\text{--}16.8(\text{--}22.2)\ \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $27.0 \pm 5.9 \times 14.1 \pm 2.7\ \mu\text{m}$, $n = 48$), with 1–4 (mostly 3) transverse and 1–4 longitudinal septate. Secondary conidia commonly produced by means of a short apical or lateral secondary conidiophore, but rarely by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus. Secondary conidiophores (false beaks) at the apical end and median of conidium, short, mostly single-celled, $(1.4\text{--})2.2\text{--}9.4(\text{--}20.0) \times (1.9\text{--})2.8\text{--}4.0(\text{--}5.2)\ \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $5.8 \pm 3.6 \times 3.4 \pm 0.6\ \mu\text{m}$, $n = 33$). Beakless conidia mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at $25\ ^{\circ}\text{C}$ in the dark growing at $7.8 \pm 0.2\ \text{mm/d}$; aerial hyphae cottony, greyish-green, with grey margins; reverse centre black, with white margins.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Jiangsu Province, Nanjing City, Dongshanqiao Forest Farm, $31^{\circ}51'11''\text{N}$, $118^{\circ}46'12''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, DSQ2-2-1, DSQ2-2-2, DSQ2-2-3, DSQ2-2-4; Hunan Province, Yiyang City, Longqiao Town, $28^{\circ}27'24''\text{N}$, $112^{\circ}29'7''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *C. lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, HN43-6-1, HN43-6-1-1, HN43-6-1-2, HN43-6-1-3, HN43-6-1-4.

Table 2. Distinguishing characteristics of the new species and similar known species of *Alternaria* spp. under growth conditions^a.

Species	Conidiophores (µm) ^b	Conidiogenous cells (µm) ^c	Chain	Size (µm) ^d	Conidia Transverse septa	Longitudinal or oblique septa	Beak or secondary conidiophores (false beaks) (µm) ^e	Reference
<i>Alternaria broussonetiae</i> (ex-type, CBS 121455)	np	np	8–15 conidia	25–38 × 9–12	5–6	0–1	beakless secondary conidiophore single hyaline cell 3–4 × 3–5 a well-differentiated up to ca 25–50 × 3–4	Zhang et al. (1999)
<i>A. cinerariae</i> (ex-epitype, CBS 612.72)	25–196 × 6–11	np	2–5(–9) conidia	18–295 × 8–63	1–14	up to 10	80–159 × 5–9	(Nishikawa and Nakashima 2020)
<i>A. citri</i> (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27)	np	np	3–6 conidia	10–22 × 8–15 (in early stages) 25–40 × 15–25 (Mature)	(3–)4–6	one or more	np	(Pierce 1902)
<i>A. citriarabusti</i> (ex-type, CBS 102598)	200 × 5	np	5–8 conidia	30–60 × 8–12	6–11	0–1	beakless secondary conidiophores single cell 3–5 × 4 elongate but not filiform extension up to 25–35 × 2–3	(Simmons 1999)
<i>A. cunninghamiicola</i> (DSQ3-2)	25.3–68.4 × 3.3–4.2	7.3–14.0 × 3.0–4.1	3–5 conidia	18.1–35.4 × 10.4–15.5	1–6	0–5	beakless secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 5.2–22.5 × 3.2–4.2	this study
<i>A. dongshanqiaensis</i> (DSQ2-2)	16.4–60.2 × 3.2–4.6	5.2–13.7 × 3.5–4.6	5–9 conidia	21.1–32.9 × 11.4–16.8	1–4	1–4	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 2.2–9.4 × 2.8–4.0	this study
<i>A. hunanensis</i> (HN43-10-2)	18.4–41.8 × 3.7–4.7	4.6–9.5 × 3.0–4.5	3–7 conidia; one secondary chain of 1–2 conidia.	16.7–28.8 × 8.2–12.6	1–4	0–2	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 2.9–21.7 × 2.8–4.3	this study
<i>A. kikuchiana</i> (ex-holotype, CBS 107.53)	np	np	6–9 conidia	10–70 × 6–22	1–3	1–10	np	(Nishikawa and Nakashima 2019)
<i>A. kunyensis</i> (XXG21)	21.4–53.5 × 3.3–4.0	5.2–11.1 × 3.2–4.2	3–8 conidia; one secondary chain of 2–4 conidia.	20.5–29.8 × 9.4–13.5	1–5	0–3	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 2.9–20.0 × 2.8–3.9	this study
<i>A. lini</i> (ex-type, CBS 106.34)	26–80 × 3–7	np	np	42–60 × 3–7	2–7	1–4	beakless	(Dey 1933)
<i>A. longqiaensis</i>	19.6–51.0 × 3.3–4.2	4.3–9.6 × 2.9–4.5	4–8 conidia; 1 to 3 secondary chains of 3–4	16.0–28.2 × 7.0–12.6	1–5	0–2	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 3.3–11.6 × 2.9–3.9	this study
<i>A. platycodonis</i> (ex-type, CBS 121348)	np	np	8–10 conidia	25–45 × 8–12	4–7	0	beaklesssecondary conidiophore single hyaline cell 3–4 × 3–5 well-differentiated up to 20 × 3–4	(Zhang 2003)
<i>A. rhadina</i> (ex-type, CBS 595.93)	60–110 × 3–4	np	9–15 conidia 35–45 × 8–9 (narrow ovoid)	4–7	1	20–45 (tapered beak)		
<i>A. seleniphila</i> (ex-type, CBS 127671)	80–250 × 4–5	np	3–6 conidia	20–40 × 8–12	1–7	0–1	beakless secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 3–30 × 3	(Wangelin and Reeves 2007)

Species	Conidiophores (µm) ^b	Conidiogenous cells (µm) ^c	Chain	Size (µm) ^d	Conidia Transverse septa	Longitudinal or oblique septa	Beak or secondary conidiophores (false beaks) (µm) ^e	Reference
<i>A. shandonensis</i> (SDHG12)	23.6–51.1 × 3.4–4.3	4.8–9.6 × 3.2–4.3	9–13 conidia	20.1–31.2 × 9.3–14.1	2–7	0–3	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 2.7–10.3 × 2.3–3.1	this study
<i>A. tenuissima</i> (ex- epitype, CBS 620.83)	np	np	6–10 conidia	32–45 × 11–13 (only transverse septa) 32–45 × 14–18 (ovoid muriformly septate)	np	np	narrow-taper beak is near 64(–72)	(Wiltshire 1933)
<i>A. tomaticola</i> (ex- epitype, CBS 118814)	50–80 × 3–5	np	10–15 conidia	30–40 × 9–12 (larger conidia) 12–25 × 7–13 (smaller conidia)	6–7 (larger) 1–4 (smaller)	1–2 (larger) 0–1 (smaller)	beakless secondary conidiophores 15–50	(Simmons 2007)
<i>A. vaccinii</i> (ex-epitype, CBS 118818)	100–200 × 3–4	np	8–10 conidia	15–50 × 7–9	1–8	np	beakless secondary conidiophores 65–150 × 3–4	(Simmons 2007)
<i>A. xinyangensis</i> (ZLS1)	15.3–54.9 × 3.7–4.8	5.3–9.6 × 3.3–4.9	2–7 conidia	19.9–31.8 × 8.6–12.9	1–6	1–5	beakless, secondary conidiophores (false beaks) 5.3–16.0 × 2.8–4.1	this study
<i>A. yali-inficiens</i> (ex- type, CBS 121547)	80–120 × 4–5	np	8–18 conidia	20–30 × 10–12	3–4	1–2	np	(Roberts 2005)

a New species in this study are printed in bold.
b c d e Dimensions of conidiophores, Conidiogenous cells, conidia, and beaks (µm, mean ± SD for length × width).
np: no product.




Figure 4. *Alternaria dongshanqiaoensis* (DSQ2-2) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B, C** sporulation patterns **D** conidiophore and conidiogenous cell **E** conidia. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B, C**); 10 µm (**D, E**).

Notes. The isolates of *A. dongshanqiaoensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. citri* (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), *A. cinerariae* (ex-epitype, CBS 612.72), *A. kikuchiana* (ex-holotype, CBS 107.53) and *A. tenuissima* (Kunze) Wiltshire (ex-epitype, CBS 620.83) (Fig. 2). Between *A. dongshanqiaoensis* isolates and *A. citri* (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS, 2/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2 and 2/996 in SSU. Between *A. dongshanqiaoensis* isolates and *A. cinerariae* (ex-epitype, CBS 612.72), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS, 2/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2 and 2/996 in SSU. Between *A. dongshanqiaoensis* isolates and *A. kikuchiana* (ex-type, CBS 107.53), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS, 8/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 2/757 in RPB2 and 2/996 in SSU. Between *A. dongshanqiaoensis* isolates and *A. tenuissima* (ex-epitype, CBS 620.83), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 6/510

in ITS, 8/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2 and 6/996 in SSU. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. dongshanjiaoensis* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.1647$) (Fig. 2A). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other related species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, conidia in chains of the *A. dongshanjiaoensis* isolates were more than those of *A. citri* CBS 107.27 (ex-epitype) (5–9 conidia vs. 3–6 conidia) (Pierce 1902). Conidia of the *A. dongshanjiaoensis* isolates were significantly different from those of *A. cinerariae* CBS 612.72 (ex-epitype) ($21.1\text{--}32.9 \times 11.4\text{--}16.8 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $18\text{--}295 \times 8\text{--}63 \mu\text{m}$) (Nishikawa and Nakashima 2020). Longitudinal septa of conidia of the *A. dongshanjiaoensis* isolates were less than those of *A. kikuchiana* CBS 107.53 (ex-holotype) (1–4 vs. 1–10 longitudinal or oblique septa) (Nishikawa and Nakashima 2019). Conidia of the *A. dongshanjiaoensis* isolates were different from those of *A. tenuissima* CBS 620.83 (ex-epitype) (beakless vs. with a narrow-taper beak) (Wiltshire 1933). In conclusion, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence support this fungus as being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria hunanensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901038

Fig. 5

Holotype. CHINA, Hunan Province, Yiyang City, Longqiao Town, $28^{\circ}27'24''\text{N}$, $112^{\circ}29'7''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59356). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (HN43-10-2) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Longqiao Town, Yiyang City, Hunan Province where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. From *C. lanceolata* in Longqiao Town, Yiyang City, Hunan Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA medium, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, white to light brown hyphae. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, solitary, subcylindrical, branched or unbranched, straight or geniculate, $(12.7\text{--})18.4\text{--}41.8(-65.0) \times (2.5\text{--})3.3\text{--}4.7(-5.2) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $30.1 \pm 11.7 \times 4.0 \pm 0.7 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 45$). Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 3–7 conidia; each chain usually has a secondary chain of 1–2 conidia. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, light brown, smooth, $(2.9\text{--})4.6\text{--}9.5(-13.6) \times (1.8\text{--})3.0\text{--}4.5(-6.3) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $7.0 \pm 2.5 \times 3.8 \pm 0.8 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 46$), mono- or polytretic. Newly developed conidia subhyaline or pale greyish, ellipsoidal or subacute, thin-walled, with few or no protuberance. Mature conidia pale brown to brown, ovoid or ellipsoid to long-ellipsoid, pyriform, usually smooth. Conidial bodies $(10.0\text{--})16.7\text{--}28.8(-39.3) \times (5.9\text{--})8.2\text{--}12.6(-14.8) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $22.7 \pm 6.0 \times 10.4 \pm 2.2 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 49$), with 1–4 transverse and 0–2 longitudinal septa. Secondary conidia commonly produced by means of a short apical or lateral secondary conidiophore, but rarely by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus. Secondary conidiophores (false beaks) at the apical end and median of conidium,




Figure 5. *Alternaria hunanensis* (HN43-10-2) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B, C** sporulation patterns **D, E** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells **F** conidia. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B, C**); 10 µm (**D–F**).

short, mostly single-celled, $(2.8\text{--})2.9\text{--}21.7(-41.7) \times (2.5\text{--})2.8\text{--}4.3(-6.2)$ µm, (mean $\pm$ SD = $12.3 \pm 9.4 \times 3.5 \pm 0.7$ µm, $n = 37$). Conidial beakless mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at 7.8 ± 0.1 mm/d; aerial hypha cottony, pale gray to greyish-green,

with white to pale grey margins; reverse centre brownish to dark green with pale grey margins; sporulation sparse; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Hunan Province, Yiyang City, Longqiao Town, 28°27'24"N, 112°29'7"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, HN43-10-2-1, HN43-10-2-2, HN43-10-2-3, HN43-10-2-4.

Notes. The isolates of *A. hunanensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. longqiaoensis* (this study, HN43-14), *A. vaccinii* (ex-type, CBS 118818), *A. platycodonis* (ex-type, CBS 121348), *A. rhadina* E.G. Simmons (ex-type, CBS 595.93), *A. citriarbasti* (ex-type, CBS 102598) and *A. tomaticola* (ex-type, CBS 118814) (Fig. 2). Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14, there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 2/401 in endoPG, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. vaccinii* (ex-type, CBS 118818), there were 4/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 3/401 in endoPG. Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. platycodonis* (ex-type, CBS 121348), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 2/401 in endoPG. Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. rhadina* (ex-type, CBS 595.93), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 2/401 in endoPG. Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. citriarbasti* (ex-type, CBS 102598), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 2/401 in endoPG. Between *A. hunanensis* isolates and *A. tomaticola* (ex-type, CBS 118814), there were 3/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS and 2/401 in endoPG. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. hunanensis* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.3502$) (Fig. 2B). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other morphologically related species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, sporulation patterns of the *A. hunanensis* isolates were different from those of *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14 (one secondary chain of 1–2 conidia vs. 1–3 further branching chains (secondary, tertiary and quaternary chains) of 3–4 conidia). Conidia in chains of the *A. hunanensis* isolates were less than those of *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type) (3–7 vs. 8–10 conidia) (Simmons 2007), *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type) (3–7 vs. 8–10 conidia) (Zhang 2003), *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type) (3–7 vs. 9–15 conidia) (Simmons 1993) and *A. tomaticola* CBS 118814 (ex-type) (3–7 vs. 10–15 conidia) (Simmons 2007). Transverse septa of conidia of the *A. hunanensis* isolates were less than those of *A. citriarbasti* CBS 102598 (ex-type) (1–4 vs. 6–11 transverse septa) (Simmons 1999). Thus, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence supports this fungus as being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria kunyuensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901039

Fig. 6

Holotype. CHINA, Shandong Province, Yantai City, Kunyu Mountain, 37°15'22"N, 121°46'05"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59355). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection




Figure 6. *Alternaria kunyuensis* (XXG21) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B, C** sporulation patterns **D** conidiophores bear conidiogenous cells **E** secondary conidiophores, conidiogenous cells and conidia **F** conidium. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B**); 10 µm (**C–F**).

Center (CFCC). Ex-type (XXG21) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Kunyu Mountain, Yantai City, Shandong Province where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. From *C. lanceolata* in Kunyu Mountain, Yantai City, Shandong Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA medium, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, colourless to pale brown hyphae. Conidiophores short to long, straight or geniculate, simple or branched, pale brown, 1–5 septate, with one or several apical conidiogenous loci, $(17.0\text{--}21.4\text{--}53.5\text{--}79.2) \times (3.0\text{--}3.3\text{--}4.0\text{--}4.6) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $37.4 \pm 16.0 \times 3.6 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 33$). Each

conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 3–8 conidia; each chain usually has one secondary chain of 2–4 conidia. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, light brown, smooth, $(3.6\text{--})5.2\text{--}11.1(-14.7) \times (2.5\text{--})3.2\text{--}4.2(-4.7) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $8.1 \pm 2.9 \times 3.7 \pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 37$), mono- or polytetric. Conidia ovoid to ellipsoid, pyriform, pale brown to brown, usually smooth; conidial bodies $(16.1\text{--})20.5\text{--}29.8(-36.3) \times (7.7\text{--})9.4\text{--}13.5(-15.8) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $25.1 \pm 4.6 \times 11.5 \pm 2.0 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 43$), 1–5 transverse and 0–3 longitudinal septate, slightly constricted at the median. Some septa darkened. Secondary conidia commonly produced via a short apical or lateral secondary conidiophore, but rarely by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus. Secondary conidiophores (false beaks) at the apical end and median of conidium, short or long, multicellular or single cell, $(2.9\text{--})2.9\text{--}20.0(-37.3) \times (2.3\text{--})2.8\text{--}3.9(-4.6) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $11.5 \pm 8.5 \times 3.3 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 33$). Conidial beakless mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at 7.5 ± 0.2 mm/d; aerial hypha sparse, olive green to dark green; reverse centre grey; sporulation abundant; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Shandong Province, Yantai City, Kunyu Mountain, $37^{\circ}15'22''\text{N}$, $121^{\circ}46'05''\text{E}$, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, XXG12-2, XXG22, XXG26-2, XXG30, XXG31.

Notes. The isolates of *A. kunyuensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. hunanensis* (this study, HN43-10-2), *A. longqiaoensis* (this study, HN43-14), *A. vaccinii* (ex-type, CBS 118818), *A. platycodonis* (ex-type, CBS 121348), *A. rhadina* (ex-type, CBS 595.93), *A. citriarbusti* (ex-type, CBS 102598) and *A. tomaticola* (ex-type, CBS 118814) (Fig. 2). Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. hunanensis* HN43-10-2, there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 1/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 5/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14, there were 3/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 6/757 in RPB2, 19/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type), there were 5/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 4/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and *A. tomaticola* CBS 118814 (ex-type), there were 4/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 3/401 in endoPG, 4/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. kunyuensis* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.3502$) (Fig. 2B). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other related species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, sporulation patterns of the *A. kunyuensis* isolates were different from those of *A. hunanensis* HN43-10-2 (one secondary

chain of 2–4 conidia vs. one secondary chain of 1–2 conidia.) and *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14 (one secondary chain of 2–4 conidia vs. 1–3 branching chains of 3–4 conidia). Conidia in chains of the *A. kunyuensis* isolates were less than those of *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type) (3–8 conidia vs. 8–10 conidia) (Simmons 2007), *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type) (3–8 conidia vs. 8–10 conidia) (Zhang 2003) *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type) (3–8 conidia vs. 9–15 conidia) (Simmons 1993) and *A. tomaticola* CBS 118814 (ex-type) (3–8 conidia vs. 10–15 conidia) (Simmons 2007). Transverse septa of conidia of the *A. kunyuensis* isolates were less than those of *A. citriarabusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type) (1–5 transverse septa vs. 6–11 transverse septa) (Simmons 1999). Thus, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence supports this fungus being as a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria longqiaoensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901040

Fig. 7

Holotype. CHINA, Hunan Province, Yiyang City, Longqiao Town, 28°27'24"N, 112°29'7"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59357). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (HN43-14) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Longqiao Town, Yiyang City, Hunan Province where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. from *C. lanceolata* in Longqiao Town, Yiyang City, Hunan Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA medium, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, pale brown to brown hyphae. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, solitary, subcylindrical, unbranched or barely branched, straight or geniculate, 2–4 septa, (4.7–) 19.6–51.0 (–66.3) × (2.9–) 3.3–4.2 (–4.8) μm, (mean ± SD = 35.3 ± 15.7 × 3.8 ± 0.5 μm, n = 39). Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 4–8 conidia; each chain usually has 1–3 secondary chains of 3–4 conidia. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, light brown, smooth, (2.8–) 4.3–9.6 (–17.4) × (2.3–) 2.9–4.5 (–5.8) μm, (mean ± SD = 7.0 ± 2.7 × 3.7 ± 0.8 μm, n = 45), mono- or polytetric. Conidia pale brown to brown, ovoid or ellipsoid to long-ellipsoid, pyriform, smooth or verruculose. Conidial bodies (11.0–) 16.0–28.2 (–40.2) × (6.1–) 7.0–12.6 (–20.8) μm, (mean ± SD = 22.1 ± 6.1 × 9.8 ± 2.8 μm, n = 48), with 1–5 transverse and 0–2 longitudinal septate. Secondary conidia commonly produced via a short lateral secondary conidiophore, but rarely by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus. Apically or laterally formed secondary conidiophores (false beaks) with one or several conidiogenous loci, short, mostly single-celled, (3.5–) 3.3–11.6 (–19.7) × (2.8–) 2.9–3.9 (–4.8) μm, (mean ± SD = 7.5 ± 4.2 × 3.4 ± 0.5 μm, n = 33). Conidial beakless mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at 8.3 ± 0.4 mm/d; aerial hypha cottony, dark green to black, with pale




Figure 7. *Alternaria longqiaoensis* (HN43-14) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B, C** sporulation patterns **D, E** conidiophore and conidiogenous cells **F** conidium. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B, C**); 10 µm (**D–F**).

green margins; reverse centre black with pale grey margins; sporulation abundant; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Hunan Province, Yiyang City, Longqiao Town, 28°27'24"N, 112°29'7"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, HN43-14-1, HN43-14-2, HN43-14-3.

Notes. The isolates of *A. longqiaoensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. vaccinii* (ex-type, CBS 118818), *A. platycodonis* (ex-type, CBS 121348), *A. rhadina* (ex-type, CBS 595.93), *A. citriarabusti* (ex-type, CBS 102598) and *A. tomatocola*

(ex-type, CBS 118814) (Fig. 2). Between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type), there were 4/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 4/510 in ITS, 1/401 in endoPG, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and ex-type of *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348, there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 4/510 in ITS, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 4/510 in ITS, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and *A. tomatocola* CBS 118814 (ex-type), there were 3/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/510 in ITS, 2/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. longqiaoensis* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.3502$) (Fig. 2B). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other morphologically-related species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, conidia in chains of the *A. longqiaoensis* isolates were less than those of *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type) (4–8 conidia vs. 8–10 conidia) (Simmons 2007), *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type) (4–8 conidia vs. 8–10 conidia) (Zhang 2003) *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type) (4–8 conidia vs. 9–15 conidia) (Simmons 1993) and *A. tomatocola* CBS 118814 (ex-type) (4–8 conidia vs. 10–15 conidia) (Simmons 2007). Transverse septa of conidia of the *A. longqiaoensis* isolates were less than those of *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type) (1–5 vs. 6–11 transverse septa) (Simmons 1999). Thus, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence supports this fungus as being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria shandongensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901041

Fig. 8

Holotype. CHINA, Shandong Province, Yantai City, Penglai District, Hougou village, 37°27'32"N, 120°46'48"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59354). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (SDHG12) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Shandong Province where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. From *C. lanceolata* in Hougou village, Penglai District, Yantai City, Shandong Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA medium, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, pale brown hyphae. Conidiophores solitary, emerging from aerial or creeping hyphae, straight or geniculate, simple or branched, with one or several apical conidiogenous loci, 1–5 septate, variable in length, $(16.8\text{--}23.6\text{--}51.1(-68.8) \times (3.0\text{--}3.4\text{--}4.3(-5.0) \mu\text{m})$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $37.3 \pm 13.8 \times 3.8 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 35$). Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 9–13 conidia; each primary chain usually has 1–3 lateral branches (secondary chains) of 1–2 conidia. Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical,




Figure 8. *Alternaria shandongensis* (SDHG12) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B–D** sporulation patterns **E, F** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells **G** conidia. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B, C**); 10 µm (**D–G**).

cylindrical, light brown, smooth, $(3.9\text{--})4.8\text{--}9.6(-17.3) \times (2.5\text{--})3.2\text{--}4.3(-4.8) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $7.2 \pm 2.4 \times 3.7 \pm 0.6 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 46$), mono- or polytetric. Conidial bodies ovoid to ellipsoid, brown to dark brown, $(14.8\text{--})20.1\text{--}31.2(-51.5) \times (7.5\text{--})9.3\text{--}14.1(-17.0) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $25.6 \pm 5.6 \times 11.7 \pm 2.4 \mu\text{m}$, $n = 66$), with 2–7 transverse and 0–3 longitudinal septa, mostly smooth to occasionally roughened. Secondary conidia commonly produced via a short lateral secondary conidiophore. Secondary conidiophores (false beaks) at the apical end and median of conidium, short, mostly single-celled, $(2.9\text{--})2.7\text{--}10.3(-23.5) \mu\text{m} \times (2.0\text{--})2.3\text{--}3.1(-3.7) \mu\text{m}$, (mean $\pm$ SD = $6.5 \pm 3.9 \mu\text{m} \times 2.7 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{m}$,

n = 34). Conidial beakless mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydo-spores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at 7.6 ± 0.7 mm/d; aerial hypha sparse, dark green to black; reverse centre grey, sporulation abundant; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Shandong Province, Yantai City, Penglai District, Hougou village, 37°27'32"N, 120°46'48"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, SDHG12-1, SDHG12-2, SDHG12-3, SDHG12-4; CHINA, Fujian Province, Longyan City, Lianfeng Town, 25°09'27"N, 117°01'50"E, isolated from leaf spots of *C. lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, LY15.

Notes. The isolates of *A. shandongensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. kunyuensis* (this study, XXG21), *A. hunanensis* (this study, HN43-10-2), *A. longqiaoensis* (this study, HN43-14), *A. vaccinii* (ex-type, CBS 118818), *A. platycodonis* (ex-type, CBS 121348), *A. rhadina* (ex-type, CBS 595.93), *A. citriarbusti* (ex-type, CBS 102598) and *A. tomaticola* (ex-type, CBS 118814) (Fig. 2). Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. kunyuensis* XXG21, there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 1/664 in OPA10-2, 5/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. hunanensis* HN43-10-2, there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 1/510 in ITS, 5/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14, there were 3/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 2/510 in ITS, 3/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2 and 18/996 in SSU. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type), there were 5/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 4/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 3/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 4/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 3/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type), there were 2/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 3/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. Between *A. shandongensis* isolates and *A. tomaticola* CBS 118814 (ex-type), there were 4/453 differences in Alt a1, 2/499 in GAPDH, 3/510 in ITS, 3/401 in endoPG and 1/757 in RPB2. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. shandongensis* isolates and its related species ($\Phi_w = 0.3502$) (Fig. 2B). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and their related species of *Alternaria* are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, conidia in chains of the *A. shandongensis* isolates were more than those of *A. kunyuensis* XXG21 (9–13 conidia vs. 6–8 conidia), *A. hunanensis* HN43-10-2 (9–13 conidia vs. 3–7 conidia), *A. longqiaoensis* HN43-14 (9–13 conidia vs. 4–8 conidia), *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type) (9–13 conidia vs. 5–8 conidia) (Simmons 1999) and *A. platycodonis* CBS 121348 (ex-type) (9–13 conidia vs. 8–10 conidia) (Zhang 2003). Conidiophores of the *A. shandongensis* isolates were significantly shorter than those of *A. vaccinii* CBS 118818 (ex-type) ($23.6\text{--}51.1 \times 3.4\text{--}4.3 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $100\text{--}200 \times 3\text{--}4 \mu\text{m}$) (Simmons 2007), *A. rhadina* CBS 595.93 (ex-type) ($23.6\text{--}51.1 \times 3.4\text{--}4.3 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $60\text{--}110 \times 3\text{--}4 \mu\text{m}$) (Simmons 1993), *A. citriarbusti* CBS 102598 (ex-type) ($23.6\text{--}51.1 \times 3.4\text{--}4.3 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $200 \times 5 \mu\text{m}$) (Simmons 1999) and *A. tomaticola* CBS 118814 (ex-type) ($23.6\text{--}51.1 \times$

3.4–4.3 μm vs. 50–80 $\times$ 3–5 μm) (Simmons 2007). In conclusion, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence supports this fungus as being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

***Alternaria xinyangensis* Lin Huang, Jiao He & D.W. Li, sp. nov.**

Index Fungorum: IF901042

Fig. 9

Holotype. CHINA, Henan Province, Xinyang City, Zhenlei Mountain, 32°04'51"N, 114°07'23"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, (holotype: CFCC 59352). Holotype specimen is a living specimen being maintained via lyophilisation at the China Forestry Culture Collection Center (CFCC). Ex-type (ZLS1) is maintained at the Forest Pathology Laboratory, Nanjing Forestry University.

Etymology. Epithet is after Xinyang City where the type specimen was collected.

Host/distribution. From *C. lanceolata* in Zhenlei Mountain, Xinyang City, Henan Province, China.

Description. Mycelium superficial on the PCA, composed of septate, branched, smooth, thin-walled, white to light brown hyphae. Conidiophores macronematous, mononematous, produced laterally or terminally on the hyphae, cylindrical, erect or ascending, simple or branched, geniculate, pale brown to dark brown, smooth, 1–7 septate, (9.4–)15.3–54.9(–80.4) $\times$ (2.9–)3.7–4.8(–5.2) μm , (mean $\pm$ SD = 35.1 $\pm$ 19.8 $\times$ 4.2 $\pm$ 0.6 μm , n = 40). Conidiogenous cells apical or subapical, cylindrical, brown, smooth, (3.9–)5.3–9.6(–12.9) $\times$ (2.4–)3.3–4.9(–5.5) μm , (mean $\pm$ SD = 7.5 $\pm$ 2.2 $\times$ 4.1 $\pm$ 0.8 μm , n = 39), mono- or polytretic, with conspicuous scars after conidia have seceded. Each conidiogenous locus bears a primary chain of 2–7 conidia; each primary chain usually has 1–3 branching chains of 1–3 conidia. Newly-developed conidia subhyaline or pale greyish, ellipsoidal or subacute, thin-walled, 1–3 septate, with few or no protuberance. Mature conidia brown to dark chocolate–brown, spheroidal or ellipsoid to long-ellipsoid, with 1–6 transverse septa and 1–5 longitudinal or oblique septa, (13.8–)19.9–31.8(–37.6) $\times$ (6.9–)8.6–12.9(–17.5) μm , (mean $\pm$ SD = 25.9 $\pm$ 6.0 $\times$ 10.7 $\pm$ 2.1 μm , n = 37) in size. Secondary conidia commonly produced by means of a short apical or lateral secondary conidiophore, but rarely by conidia through an inconspicuous apical conidiogenous locus. In addition, false beaks (secondary conidiophores), unbranched, short, blunted, pale brown, (3.0–)5.3–16.0(–24.4) $\times$ (2.4–)2.8–4.1(–5.1) μm , (mean $\pm$ SD = 10.6 $\pm$ 5.4 $\times$ 3.4 $\pm$ 0.7 μm , n = 31). Conidial beakless mostly with a conical cell at the apex. Chlamydospores not observed.

Culture characteristics. Colonies on PCA incubated at 25 °C in the dark growing at 7.2 mm/d; aerial hyphae cottony, olive green, with white margins; reverse centre black to greyish; sporulation abundant; diffusible pigment absent.

Additional materials examined. CHINA, Henan Province, Xinyang City, Zhenlei Mountain, 32°04'51"N, 114°07'23"E, isolated from leaf spots of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, ZLS1-1, ZLS1-2, ZLS1-3, ZLS1-4; CHINA, Henan Province, Xinyang City, Xinyang University, 32°08'20"N, 114°02'06"E, isolated from leaf spots of *C. lanceolata*, May 2017, Wen-Li Cui, XYXY06, XYXY8-2, XYXY15, XYXY15-1, XYXY15-2, XYXY15-3, XYXY15-4, XYXY16.




Figure 9. *Alternaria xinyangensis* (ZLS1) **A** colony on PCA after 6 days at 25 °C in the dark **B, C** sporulation patterns **D** conidiophores and conidiogenous cells **E** conidium. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B, C**); 10 µm (**D, E**).

Notes. The isolates of *A. xinyangensis* were phylogenetically close to *A. dongshanqiaoensis* (in this study, DSQ2-2), *A. citri* (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), *A. cinerariae* (ex-epitype, CBS 612.72) and *A. kikuchiana* (ex-type, CBS 107.53) (Fig. 1). Between *A. xinyangensis* isolates and *A. dongshanqiaoensis* DSQ2-2, there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 1/510 in ITS, 8/664 in OPA10-2, 1/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. xinyangensis* isolates and *A. citri* (ex-epitype, CBS 107.27), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 8/664 in OPA10-2, 1/401 in endoPG, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. xinyangensis* isolates and *A. cinerariae* (ex-epitype, CBS 612.72), there were 1/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 8/664 in OPA10-2, 1/401 in endoPG, 1/996 in SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. Between *A. xinyangensis* isolates and *A. kikuchiana* (ex-type, CBS 107.53), there were 3/453 differences in Alt a1, 3/510 in ITS, 2/401 in endoPG, 1/757 in RPB2, 1/996 in

SSU and 3/293 in TEF1. The PHI analysis showed that there was no significant recombination between *A. xinyangensis* isolates and their related species ($\Phi_w = 0.1647$) (Fig. 2A). Distinguishing characteristics of this new species and other similar species of *Alternaria* spp. are shown in Table 2. Morphologically, conidial number in chains of the *A. xinyangensis* isolates were less than those of *A. dongshanqiaoensis* DSQ2-2 (2–7 conidia vs. 5–9 conidia). Conidia of the *A. xinyangensis* isolates were smaller than those of *A. citri* CBS 107.27 (ex-epitype) ($19.9\text{--}31.8 \times 8.6\text{--}12.9 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $25\text{--}40 \times 15\text{--}25 \mu\text{m}$) (Pierce 1902). Secondary conidiophores of the *A. xinyangensis* isolates were significantly shorter than those of *A. cinerariae* CBS 612.72 (ex-epitype) ($5.3\text{--}16.0 \times 2.8\text{--}4.1 \mu\text{m}$ vs. $80\text{--}159 \times 5\text{--}9 \mu\text{m}$) (Nishikawa and Nakashima 2020). Conidia in chains of the *A. xinyangensis* isolates were less than those of *A. kikuchiana* CBS 107.53 (ex-type) (2–7 conidia vs. 6–9 conidia) (Nishikawa and Nakashima 2019). In conclusion, the phylogenetic and morphological evidence supports this fungus as being a new species within the *Alternaria alternata* species complex.

Pathogenicity assays

Pathogenicity was tested on detached Chinese fir leaves *in vitro* following Koch's postulates for *A. xinyangensis* (ZLS1), *A. kunyuensis* (XXG21), *A. cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2), *A. dongshanqiaoensis* (DSQ2-2), *A. longqiaoensis* (HN43-14), *A. shandongensis* (SDHG12) and *A. hunanensis* (HN43-10-2). At five days' post-inoculation, all the tested isolates caused leaf necrosis, with dark brown lesions. The control group remained symptom-less (Fig. 10A). After statistical analysis, these strains showed different levels of virulence. The virulence of




Figure 10. Symptoms on detached Chinese fir leaves **A** inoculated with isolates: *A. xinyangensis* (ZLS1), *A. kunyuensis* (XXG21), *A. cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2), *A. dongshanqiaoensis* (DSQ2-2), *A. longqiaoensis* (HN43-14), *A. shandongensis* (SDHG12) and *A. hunanensis* (HN43-10-2) **B** lesion length on detached Chinese fir leaves inoculated with *A. xinyangensis* (ZLS1), *A. kunyuensis* (XXG21), *A. cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2), *A. dongshanqiaoensis* (DSQ2-2), *A. longqiaoensis* (HN43-14), *A. shandongensis* (SDHG12) and *A. hunanensis* (HN43-10-2). Error bars represent standard error and different letters indicate significant difference, based on LSD's range test at $P < 0.05$ ($n = 12$). Scale bar: 10 mm (**A**).

A. hunanensis (HN43-10-2) was the strongest in all the *Alternaria* species studied, and its pathogenicity was significantly higher than those of *A. xinyangensis* (ZLS1), *A. kunyuensis* (XXG21) and *A. cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2) ($P < 0.05$), respectively, while there was no significant difference in pathogenicity amongst *A. xinyangensis* (ZLS1), *A. dongshanqiaoensis* (DSQ2-2), *A. shandongensis* (SDHG12), *A. kunyuensis* (XXG21), *A. longqiaoensis* (HN43-14) and *A. cunninghamiicola* (DSQ3-2) ($P \geq 0.05$) (Fig. 10B).

The inoculated fungal isolates were re-isolated from the diseased spots on the inoculated leaves, but no fungus was isolated from the control leaves. Therefore, Koch's postulates were satisfied and these isolates ZLS1, XXG21, DSQ3-2, DSQ2-2, HN43-14, SDHG12 and HN43-10-2 were determined to be the pathogens of leaf blight on *C. lanceolata*.

Discussion

This study represents the first reports of leaf blight disease of Chinese fir in China caused by *Alternaria* spp. Phylogenetic analyses of the combined polylocus data set and morphological study showed that the 48 isolates obtained in this study grouped within Section *Alternaria*. It is surprising that the diversity of *Alternaria* species was so abundant in Chinese fir. It includes seven new species: *Alternaria cunninghamiicola* sp. nov., *A. dongshanqiaoensis* sp. nov., *A. hunanensis* sp. nov., *A. kunyuensis* sp. nov., *A. longqiaoensis* sp. nov., *A. shandongensis* sp. nov. and *A. xinyangensis* sp. nov. The detached leaves of Chinese fir were selected for pathogenicity tests that confirmed the potential virulence. To our knowledge, it is the first comprehensive study on *Alternaria* species causing leaf blight disease on Chinese fir including diversity and pathogenicity of the pathogens.

Morphology was not the main means of identification, as *Alternaria* isolates could differ morphologically due to the different cultivating conditions and the overlap in the spore sizes of some species (Rahimloo and Ghosta 2015). Armitage et al. (2015) reported that the morphological characteristics used to delineate species in *Alternaria* sect. *Alternata* are phenotypically similar and may vary amongst many morpho-species. These characteristics may be deceptive in the identification of these small-spored *Alternaria* species and would require stringent identification via phylogenetic studies (Kgatle et al. 2018). In this study, the single-locus phylogenies showed unclear resolution because of the limited number of informative sites per locus. For example, the SSU distinguishes *A. longqiaoensis* effectively with other species, but there is little resolution to distinguish between other species. The TEF1 gene could be informative for *A. xinyangensis*, *A. shandongensis*, and *A. kunyuensis* but not for *A. cunninghamiicola*, *A. dongshanqiaoensis*, *A. longqiaoensis* and *A. hunanensis*. In addition, it is also noted that the ITS region is a good phylogenetic marker, which could be informative for these isolates in this study, while LSU gene for distinguishing these isolates has a little effect. Perhaps these loci evolve at various rates and have different effective ways of evolution at several phylogenetic scales. For instance, Lawrence et al. (2013) reported that TEF1 and RPB2 are slow-evolving genes used to resolve early divergences in *Alternaria*, while Alt a1 is fast-evolving and can be used to infer evolutionary relationships at lower phylogenetic scales (Aung et al. 2020). Combined analyses of all nine loci are, thus, the major approach to identify *Alternaria* species.

A previous multi-locus phylogenetic study Woudenberg et al. (2013) established the taxonomic conclusions of morpho-species known under *A. alternata* based on the multi-locus phylogenetic analysis. Subsequently, Woudenberg et al. (2015) used the same analysis to determine the discrete lineages of *Alternaria* spp. in section *Alternaria*, which showed a 97–98% genomic similarity, concluding that species, such as *A. angustiovoide*, *A. citri*, *A. lini*, *A. mali* (CBS 106.24), *A. malvae* and *A. tenuissima* (CBS 918.96) did not make discrete groupings, but all are synonymous with *A. alternata* sensu stricto. Although Woudenberg et al. (2015) assigned 35 morpho-species as synonyms of *Alternaria alternata*, their affinities are still unclear due to inconsistencies, lack of morphological details and a comparison of single nucleotide polymorphisms. However, further studies, based on combined multi-locus phylogeny, showed that recent *A. alternata* species may not constitute a monophyletic group in DNA sequence-based phylogenies (Li et al. 2023). Morphological characters and phylogenetic analyses of the nine loci showed all 48 *Alternaria* isolates clustered in the Sect. *Alternata* in the phylogenetic tree and divide into seven distinct clusters in the current study. We compared these strains, based on morphology and phylogeny. Interestingly, our phylogenetic analyses show that the morpho-species of *A. alternata* can be separated into different clades and our novel taxa from Chinese fir are both morphologically and phylogenetically distinct from the *A. alternata* complex and other species in *Alternaria* sect. *Alternaria*. Herein, based on these most recent classifications, these isolates from Chinese fir in this study are, thus, identified as the *A. alternata* complex including *A. cunninghamiicola*, *A. dongshanqiaoensis*, *A. hunanensis*, *A. kunyuensis*, *A. longqiaoensis*, *A. shandongensis* and *A. xinyangensis*.

The results of pathogenicity tests indicate that the seven new *Alternaria* species were pathogenic to Chinese fir. *Alternaria hunanensis* exhibited the strongest virulence in the *Alternaria* species from the present study, and *A. xinyangensis*, *A. kunyuensis* and *A. cunninghamiicola* with weaker virulence especially in shoots of Chinese fir. Nevertheless, compared with our previous study, *Alternaria* species showing weaker virulence than those of *Colletotrichum* spp. (He et al. 2022) and *Fusarium* spp. (unpublished) and the results may explain why most of *Alternaria* species are facultative parasites and their pathogenicities are not too strong. *Alternaria* spp. may prefer to be saprobes or secondary pathogens growing in senescent, near-dead or dead plant tissues. The diseases caused by these pathogens often attack senescent and diseased leaves before crop maturity or when the growth of the hosts is poor. In addition, according to previous studies, some *Alternaria* taxa carry out facultative parasitism life cycles mainly depending on the following three aspects: damaging the cell walls of their hosts by mechanical penetration and the degrading enzymes, producing mycotoxins that target the cytoplasmic membrane, mitochondria, chloroplast and influencing the activity of enzymes related metabolisms, and mediating pathogenicity through signal transduction (Thomma 2003; Kang et al. 2013). At present, there are few studies on the pathogenic mechanism of *Alternaria* species, without revealing the specific process of host infection. Therefore, the thorough study of its pathogenic mechanism is the basis and key to solving the damage from *Alternaria*.

Until now, over 360 species of *Alternaria* are reported as plant pathogens and saprobes, resulting in the decline of forest quality and fruit decay during

storage and resulting in huge economic losses (Wijayawardene et al. 2020; Li et al. 2023). For example, *A. citri* caused orange brown spot disease (Peever et al. 2004); *A. yali-inficiens* caused black spots of Japanese pear (Roberts 2005); *A. alternata*, *A. longipes* (Ellis & Everh.) E.W. Mason and *A. yali-inficiens* caused tobacco brown spots (Wang et al. 2018); *A. malicola* caused fruit spot on apple in China (Dang et al. 2018); *A. yunnanensis* Z.Y. Cai, X.Y. Liu, Y.X. Liu & Y.P. Shi caused foliage spots of rubber tree in China (Cai et al. 2019); *A. koreana* O. Hassan, B.B.N.D. Romain, J.S. Kim & T. Chang caused leaf spots of ovate-leaf *Atractylodes* in South Korea (Romain et al. 2022) and *A. capsicicola* Nasehi, Kadir & Abed-Asht. [nom. inval., Art. F.5.1 (Shenzhen)] caused leaf spots of pepper in Malaysia (Nasehi et al. 2014). Surprisingly, *A. alternata* had been considered as a saprobic fungus and to be nonpathogenic on Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. *pekinensis* group) (Liu and Ke 1992; Zhang et al. 1998). However, *A. alternata* had been confirmed to be pathogenic on Chinese cabbage (Shi et al. 2021). In addition, many recent studies reported various diseases caused by *Alternaria* species. For example, Xiang et al. (2023) reported the black spots caused by *A. alternata* on persimmon fruit in China. Yan et al. (2023) identified *A. tenuissima* causing leaf spots on *Lonicera caerulea* L. in Heilongjiang Province, China. Zhou et al. (2023) characterised *A. alstroemeriae* E.G. Simmons & C.F. Hill, a causal agent of grey spots on tobacco in China. Dantes et al. (2022) discovered *A. cinerariae* causing leaf blight on *Farfugium japonicum* (L.) Kitam. in South Carolina, USA. To our knowledge, however, so far, there is no detailed record that *Alternaria* spp. have been identified as pathogens on Chinese fir, except *Alternaria* sp. reported by Anonymous (1976).

In summary, our study provides the first systematic and polyphasic study from morphological, molecular and pathogenicity aspects to study *Alternaria* spp. associated with Chinese fir and reports seven novel species, *A. cunninghamiicola*, *A. dongshanqiaoensis*, *A. hunanensis*, *A. kunyuensis*, *A. longqiaoensis*, *A. shandongensis* and *A. xinyangensis* causing leaf blight on Chinese fir. However, more studies are necessary on these new taxa in order to elucidate their host range, specificity, mechanism of infection, and global distribution, as well as their potential impact on the Chinese fir industry.

Additional information

Conflict of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Ethical statement

No ethical statement was reported.

Funding

This research was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of China (31870631), the National Key R & D Program of China (2017YFD0600102), Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province (KYCX23_1225), Qing Lan Project and Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

Author contributions

LH designed research. WLC collected samples. JH and WLC isolated cultures and performed DNA isolation and PCR amplification. JH conducted the pathogenicity test and morphological analysis, and wrote the original draft. DWL and LH reviewed and edited the draft. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author ORCIDs

Jiao He  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4146-2223>

De-Wei Li  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2788-7938>

Wen-Li Cui  <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7515-7672>

Lin Huang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7536-0914>

Data availability

All of the data that support the findings of this study are available in the main text or Supplementary Information.

References

- Andrew M, Peever TL, Pryor BM (2009) An expanded multilocus phylogeny does not resolve morphological species within the small-spored *Alternaria* species complex. *Mycologia* 101(1): 95–109. <https://doi.org/10.3852/08-135>
- Anonymous (1976) Preliminary study on anthracnose and red blight of *Cunninghamia lanceolata* 杉木炭疽病和赤枯病初步研究. *Hunan Forestry Science and Technology* 湖南林业科技 06: 9–11.
- Armitage A, Barbara D, Harrison R, Lane C, Sreenivasaprasad S, Woodhall J, Clarkson J (2015) Discrete lineages within *Alternaria alternata* species group: Identification using new highly variable loci and support from morphological characters. *Fungal Biology* 119(11): 994–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.funbio.2015.06.012>
- Aung SLL, Liu HF, Pei DF, Lu BB, Oo MM, Deng JX (2020) Morphology and molecular characterization of a fungus from the *Alternaria alternata* species complex causing black spots on *Pyrus sinkiangensis* (Koerle pear). *Mycobiology* 48(3): 233–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2020.1745476>
- Berbee ML, Pirseyedi M, Hubbard S (1999) *Cochliobolus* phylogenetics and the origin of known, highly virulent pathogens, inferred from ITS and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase gene sequences. *Mycologia* 91(6): 964–977. <https://doi.org/10.1080/000275514.1999.12061106>
- Bigelow PDM (2003) Molecular characterization of *Embellisia* and *Nimbya* species and their relationship to *Alternaria*, *Ulocladium* and *Stemphylium*. *Mycologia* 95(6): 1141–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15572536.2004.11833024>
- Cai ZY, Liu YX, Shi YP, Dai LM, Li LL, Mu HJ, Lv ML, Liu XY (2019) *Alternaria yunnanensis* sp. nov., a new *Alternaria* species causing foliage spot of rubber tree in China. *Mycobiology* 47(1): 66–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12298093.2019.1575584>
- Chen MM (2002) Forest Fungi Phytogeography: Forest Fungi Phytogeography of China, North America, and Siberia and International Quarantine of Tree Pathogens. Pacific Mushroom Research and Education Center, Sacramento, 469 pp.
- Chen YJ, Meng Q, Zeng L, Tong HR (2018) Phylogenetic and morphological characteristics of *Alternaria alternata* causing leaf spot disease on *Camellia sinensis* in China. *Australasian Plant Pathology* 47(3): 335–342. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13313-018-0561-0>

- Chou HH, Wu WS (2002) Phylogenetic analysis of internal transcribed spacer regions of the genus *Alternaria*, and the significance of filament-beaked conidia. *Mycological Research* 106(2): 164–169. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756201005317>
- Crous PW, Schoch CL, Hyde KD, Wood AR, Gueidan C, de Hoog GS, Groenewald JZ (2009a) Phylogenetic lineages in the *Capnodiales*. *Studies in Mycology* 64: 17–47. <https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2009.64.02>
- Crous PW, Verkley GJM, Groenewald JZ (2009b) Fungal biodiversity. CBS laboratory manual series no. 1. CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht.
- Cui WL, Bian JY, Li DW, Wang JW, Huang L (2020a) First report of leaf blight on Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*) caused by *Bipolaris setariae* in China. *Plant Disease* 104(9): 2523–2523. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-19-2685-PDN>
- Cui WL, Lu XQ, Bian JY, Qi XL, Li DW, Huang L (2020b) *Curvularia spicifera* and *Curvularia muehlenbeckiae* causing leaf blight on *Cunninghamia lanceolata*. *Plant Pathology* 69(6): 1139–1147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13198>
- Damm U, Mostert L, Crous PW, Fourie PH (2008) Novel *Phaeoacremonium* species associated with necrotic wood of *Prunus* trees. *Persoonia* 20(1): 87–102. <https://doi.org/10.3767/003158508X324227>
- Dang JL, Gleason ML, Li LN, Wang C, Niu CK, Zhang R, Sun GY (2018) *Alternaria malicola* sp. nov., a new pathogen causing fruit spot on apple in China. *Plant Disease* 102(7): 1273–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-17-1027-RE>
- Dantes W, Colburn C, Williamson MR, Yang X (2022) First report of *Alternaria cinerariae* causing leaf blight on *Farfugium japonicum* in South Carolina, USA. *Plant Disease* 107(6): e1953. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-22-2221-PDN>
- Dey PK (1933) An *Alternaria* blight of the linseed plant. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 3: 881–896.
- El-Goorani MA, Sommer NF (1981) Effects of modified atmospheres on postharvest pathogens of fruits and vegetables. *Horticultural Reviews* 3: 412–461. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118060766.ch10>
- Fisher PJ, Petrini O (1992) Fungal saprobes and pathogens as endophytes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *The New Phytologist* 120(1): 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1992.tb01066.x>
- Gannibal PB, Orina AS, Gasich EL (2022) A new section for *Alternaria helianthiinficiens* found on sunflower and new asteraceous hosts in Russia. *Mycological Progress* 21(2): 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-022-01780-6>
- Ghafri AA, Maharachchikumbura SSN, Hyde KD, Al-Saady NA, Al-Sadi AM (2019) A new section and a new species of *Alternaria* encountered from Oman. *Phytotaxa* 405(6): 279–289. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.405.6.1>
- He J, Li DW, Zhu YN, Si YZ, Huang JH, Zhu LH, Ye JR, Huang L (2022) Diversity and pathogenicity of *Colletotrichum* species causing anthracnose on *Cunninghamia lanceolata*. *Plant Pathology* 71(8): 1757–1773. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppa.13611>
- Hong SG, Pryor BM (2004) Development of selective media for the isolation and enumeration of *Alternaria* species from soil and plant debris. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 50(7): 461–468. <https://doi.org/10.1139/w04-036>
- Hong SG, Cramer RA, Lawrence CB, Pryor BM (2005) Alt a 1 allergen homologs from *Alternaria* and related taxa: Analysis of phylogenetic content and secondary structure. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 42(2): 119–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2004.10.009>
- Huang L, Li QC, Zhang Y, Li DW, Ye JR (2016) *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* sensu stricto is a pathogen of leaf anthracnose on evergreen spindle tree (*Euonymus japonicus*). *Plant Disease* 100(4): 672–678. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-15-0740-RE>

- Huang L, Zhu YN, Yang JY, Li DW, Li Y, Bian LM, Ye JR (2018) Shoot blight on Chinese fir (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*) is caused by *Bipolaris oryzae*. *Plant Disease* 102(3): 500–506. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-07-17-1032-RE>
- Huson DH (1998) SplitsTree: Analyzing and visualizing evolutionary data. *Bioinformatics* 14(1): 68–73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.1.68>
- Huson DH, Bryant D (2006) Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23(2): 254–267. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msj030>
- Hyde KD, Norphanphoun C, Chen J, Dissanayake AJ, Doilom M, Hongsanan S, Jayawardena RS, Jeewon R, Perera RH, Thongbai B, Wanasinghe DN, Wisitrassameewong K, Tibpromma S, Stadler M (2018) Thailand's amazing diversity: Up to 96% of fungi in northern Thailand may be novel. *Fungal Diversity* 93(1): 215–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0415-7>
- Inderbitzin P, Shoemaker RA, O'Neill NR, Turgeon BG, Berbee ML (2006) Systematics and mating systems of two fungal pathogens of opium poppy: The heterothallic *Crivellia papaveracea* with a *Brachycladium penicillatum* asexual state and a homothallic species with a *Brachycladium papaveris* asexual state. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 84(8): 1304–1326. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b06-067>
- Jayawardena RS, Hyde KD, Jeewon R, Ghobad-Nejhad M, Wanasinghe DN, Liu N, Phillips AJL, Oliveira-Filho JRC, da Silva GA, Gibertoni TB, Abeywikrama P, Carris LM, Chethana KWT, Dissanayake AJ, Hongsanan S, Jayasiri SC, McTaggart AR, Perera RH, Phutthacharoen K, Savchenko KG, Shivas RG, Thongklang N, Dong W, Wei D, Wijayawardena NN, Kang J-C (2019a) One stop shop II: taxonomic update with molecular phylogeny for important phytopathogenic genera: 26–50 (2019). *Fungal Diversity* 94: 41–129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-019-00418-5>
- Jayawardena RS, Hyde KD, McKenzie EHC, Jeewon R, Phillips AJL, Perera RH, de Silva NI, Maharachchikumburua SSN, Samarakoon MC, Ekanayake AH, Tennakoon DS, Dissanayake AJ, Norphanphoun C, Lin C, Manawasinghe IS, Tian Q, Brahmanage R, Chomnunti P, Hongsanan S, Jayasiri SC, Halleen F, Bhunjun CS, Karunarathna A, Wang Y (2019b) One stop shop III: taxonomic update with molecular phylogeny for important phytopathogenic genera: 51–75 (2019). *Fungal Diversity* 98: 77–160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-019-00433-6>
- Kalyanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017) ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14(6): 587–589. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285>
- Kang ZT, Jiang LM, Luo YY, Liu CJ, Li XR (2013) Research progress on the pathogenic mechanism of plant pathogenic *Alternaria* fungi 植物病原链格孢属真菌的致病机制研究进展. *Life Science 生命科学* 25: 908–914. <https://doi.org/10.13376/j.cbls/2013.09.009>
- Katoh K, Standley D (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Kgatle MG, Truter M, Ramusi TM, Flett B, Aveling TAS (2018) *Alternaria alternata*, the causal agent of leaf blight of sunflower in South Africa. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 151(3): 677–688. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10658-017-1402-7>
- Kobayashi T, Zhao JZ (1987) Two fungi associated with needle blight of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*. *Nippon Kingakkai Kaiho* 28: 289–294.
- Kumar S, Glen S, Michael L, Christina K, Koichiro T (2018) MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35(6): 1547–1549. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy096>

- Lan X, Dong LJ, Huang KY, Chen DX, Li DW, Mo LY (2015) Main species and prevention research on diseases and pests of *Cunninghamia lanceolata*. *Guangxi Forestry Science* 44: 162–167. <https://doi.org/10.19692/j.cnki.gfs.2015.02.014>
- Lawrence DP, Park MS, Pryor BM (2012) *Nimbya* and *Embellisia* revisited, with nov. comb for *Alternaria celosiae* and *A. perpunctulata*. *Mycological Progress* 11(3): 799–815. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-011-0793-7>
- Lawrence DP, Gannibal PB, Peever TL, Pryor BM (2013) The sections of *Alternaria*: Formalizing species-group concepts. *Mycologia* 105(3): 530–546. <https://doi.org/10.3852/12-249>
- Lawrence DP, Rotondo F, Gannibal PB (2015) Biodiversity and taxonomy of the pleomorphic genus *Alternaria*. *Mycological Progress* 15(1): 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-015-1144-x>
- Li MF, He J, Ding L, Kang J, Zhang Q, Zheng Q (2007) Single spore strains without producing fruit body isolated from *Cordyceps militaris* and their RAPD analysis. *South-west China Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 20: 547–550. <https://doi.org/10.16213/j.cnki.scjas.2007.03.050>
- Li YM, Wang YJ, Jiang GY (2020) Application of origin software in data extraction and analysis in physical chemistry experiments: taking the combustion heat measurement of naphthalene as an example. *Education Teaching Forum* 50: 375–377.
- Li J, Jiang HB, Jeewon R, Hongsanan S, Bhat DJ, Tang SM, Mortimer PE, Xu JC, Camporesi E, Bulgakov TS, Zhao GJ, Suwannarach N, Phookamsak R (2023) *Alternaria*: Update on species limits, evolution, multi-locus phylogeny, and classification. *Studies in Fungi* 8(1): 1–61. <https://doi.org/10.48130/SIF-2023-0001>
- Liu HR, Ke GL (1992) The component and the perennial change of population of black spot pathogen in Cruciferae vegetable crops 十字花科蔬菜黑斑病原种群组成及季节变化. *Acta Agriculturae Boreali-occidentalis Sinica* 西北农业学报 1: 6–10.
- Liu YJ, Whelen S, Hall BD (1999) Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 16(12): 1799–1808. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092>
- Liu F, Mbenoun M, Barnes I, Roux J, Wingfield MJ, Li G, Li J, Chen S (2015a) New *Ceratocystis* species from *Eucalyptus* and *Cunninghamia* in South China. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 107(6): 1451–1473. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0441-3>
- Liu JK, Hyde KD, Jones EBG, Ariyawansa HA, Bhat DJ, Boonmee S, Maharachchikumbura SSN, McKenzie EHC, Phookamsak R, Phukhamsakda C, Shenoy BD, Abdel-Wahab MA, Buyck B, Chen J, Chethana KWT, Singtripop C, Dai DQ, Dai YC, Daranagama DA, Dissanayake AJ, Doilom M, D'souza MJ, Fan XL, Goonasekara ID, Hirayama K, Hongsanan S, Jayasiri SC, Jayawardena RS, Karunarathna SC, Li WJ, Mapook A, Norphanphoun C, Pang KL, Perera RH, Peršoh D, Pinruan U, Senanayake IC, Somrithipol S, Suetrong S, Tanaka K, Thambugala KM, Tian Q, Tibpromma S, Udayanga D, Wijayawardene NN, Wanasinghe D, Wisitrassameewong K, Zeng XY, Abdel-Aziz FA, Adamčík S, Bahkali AH, Boonyuen N, Bulgakov T, Callac P, Chomnunti P, Greiner K, Hashimoto A, Hofstetter V, Kang JC, Lewis D, Li XH, Liu XZ, Liu ZY, Matsumura M, Mortimer PE, Rambold G, Randrianjohany E, Sato G, Sri-Indrasutdhi V, Tian CM, Verbeke A, von Brackel W, Wang Y, Wen TC, Xu JC, Yan JY, Zhao RL, Camporesi E (2015b) Fungal diversity notes 1–110: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal species. *Fungal Diversity* 72(1): 1–197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0324-y>
- Mitakakis TZ, Clift A, McGee PA (2001) The effect of local cropping activities and weather on the airborne concentration of allergenic *Alternaria* spores in rural Australia. *Grana* 40(4–5): 230–239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/001731301317223268>

- MycoBank (2023) MycoBank. <https://www.mycobank.org>
- Nasehi A, Kadir JB, Abed Ashtiani F, Nasr-Esfahani M, Wong MY, Rambe SK, Ghadirian H, Mahmodi F, Golkhandan E (2014) *Alternaria capsicicola* sp. nov., a new species causing leaf spot of pepper (*Capsicum annuum*) in Malaysia. *Mycological Progress* 13: 1041–1048. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-014-0991-1>
- Nees VE (1816) *Das System der Pilze und Schwämme*. Wurzburg, Germany, 329 pp.
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32(1): 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Nishikawa J, Nakashima C (2019) Morphological and molecular characterization of the strawberry black leaf spot pathogen referred to as the strawberry pathotype of *Alternaria alternata*. *Mycoscience* 60(1): 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.myc.2018.05.003>
- Nishikawa J, Nakashima C (2020) Japanese species of *Alternaria* and their species boundaries based on host range. *Fungal Systematics and Evolution* 5(1): 197–281. <https://doi.org/10.3114/fuse.2020.05.13>
- Peever TL, Su G, Carpenter-Boggs L, Timmer LW (2004) Molecular systematics of citrus-associated *Alternaria* species. *Mycologia* 96(1): 119–134. <https://doi.org/10.1080/015572536.2005.11833002>
- Pierce NB (1902) Black rot of oranges. *Botanical Gazette* (Chicago, Ill.) 33(3): 234–235. <https://doi.org/10.1086/328217>
- Polizzotto R, Andersen B, Martini M, Grisan S, Assante G, Musetti R (2012) A polyphasic approach for the characterization of endophytic *Alternaria* strains isolated from grapevines. *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 88(1): 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2011.11.009>
- Preuss CGT (1852) Uebersicht untersuchter Pilze, besonders aus der Umgegend von Hoyerswerda. *Linnaea* 25: 723–742.
- Pryor BM, Gilbertson RL (2000) Molecular phylogenetic relationships amongst *Alternaria* species and related fungi based upon analysis of nuclear ITS and mt SSU rDNA sequences. *Mycological Research* 104(11): 1312–1321. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0953756200003002>
- Quaedvlieg W, Binder M, Groenewald JZ, Summerell BA, Carnegie AJ, Burgess TI, Crous PW (2014) Introducing the consolidated species concept to resolve species in the Teratosphaeriaceae. *Persoonia* 33(1): 1–40. <https://doi.org/10.3767/003158514X681981>
- Rahimloo T, Ghosta Y (2015) The occurrence of *Alternaria* species on cabbage in Iran. *Zemdirbyste* 102(3): 343–350. <https://doi.org/10.13080/z-a.2015.102.044>
- Rambaut A (2014) FigTree v 1.4.2. Institute of evolutionary biology, University of Edinburgh. <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>
- Reddy MVB, Angers P, Castaigne F, Arul J (2000) Chitosan effects on blackmold rot and pathogenic factors produced by *Alternaria alternata* in postharvest tomatoes. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 125(6): 742–747. <https://doi.org/10.21273/JASHS.125.6.742>
- Roberts RG (2005) *Alternaria yaliinficiens* sp. nov. on Ya Li pear fruit: From interception to identification. *Plant Disease* 89(2): 134–145. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PD-89-0134>
- Romain BBND, Hassan O, Kim JS, Chang T (2022) *Alternaria koreana* sp. nov., a new pathogen isolated from leaf spot of ovate-leaf *Atractylodes* in South Korea. *Molecular Biology Reports* 49(1): 413–420. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06887-9>
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic

- inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61(3): 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>
- Rosa LH, Vaz ABM, Caligiorno RB, Campolina S, Rosa CA (2009) Endophytic fungi associated with the Antarctic grass *Deschampsia antarctica* Desv. (Poaceae). *Polar Biology* 32(2): 161–167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-008-0515-z>
- Runa F, Park MS, Pryor BM (2009) *Ulocladium* systematics revisited: Phylogeny and taxonomic status. *Mycological Progress* 8(1): 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11557-008-0576-y>
- Schulz B, Wanke U, Draeger S, Aust HJ (1993) Endophytes from herbaceous plants and shrubs: Effectiveness of surface sterilization methods. *Mycological Research* 97(12): 1447–1450. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562\(09\)80215-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0953-7562(09)80215-3)
- Seifert KA, Morgan-Jones G, Gams W, Kendrick B (2011) The genera of Hyphomycetes. CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Shi X, Zeng K, Wang X, Liang Z, Wu X (2021) Characterization of *Alternaria* species causing leaf spot on Chinese cabbage in Shanxi province of China. *Journal of Plant Pathology* 103(1): 283–293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42161-020-00740-x>
- Simmons EG (1992) *Alternaria* taxonomy: current status, viewpoint, challenge. In: Chelkowski J, Visconti A (Eds) *Alternaria* biology, plant diseases and metabolites. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 35 pp.
- Simmons EG (1993) *Alternaria* themes and variations (63–72). *Mycotaxon* 48: 91–107.
- Simmons EG (1995) *Alternaria* themes and variations (112–144). *Mycotaxon* 55: 55–163.
- Simmons EG (1999) *Alternaria* themes and variations (226–235): Classification of citrus pathogens. *Mycotaxon* 70: 263–323. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0507.1999.00531.x>
- Simmons EG (2007) *Alternaria: An Identification Manual*. CBS Biodiversity Series, 775 pp.
- Simmons EG, Roberts RG (1993) *Alternaria* themes and variations (73). *Mycotaxon* 48: 109–140.
- Sung GH, Sung JM, Hywel Jones NL, Spatafora JW (2007) A multi-gene phylogeny of Clavicipitaceae (Ascomycota, Fungi): Identification of localized incongruence using a combinational bootstrap approach. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 44(3): 1204–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.03.011>
- Thomma BP (2003) *Alternaria* spp.: From general saprophyte to specific parasite. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 4(4): 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1364-3703.2003.00173.x>
- Tian LY, Lian T, Ke SK, Qin CS, Xu JJ, Zhao DY, Qiu HL, Yang H, Jin XF, Li NL (2019) Fungal diseases of Chinese fir in northern Guangdong. 粤北地区杉木真菌性病害种类. *Forestry and Environmental Sciences* 35: 90–96.
- Wallroth CFW (1833) *Flora Cryptogamica Germaniae Sectio 2*. Germany, J.L. Schrag, Nurnberg.
- Wanasinghe DN, Phukhamsakda C, Hyde KD, Jeewon R, Lee HB, Gareth Jones EB, Tibpromma S, Tennakoon DS, Dissanayake AJ, Jayasiri SC, Gafforov Y, Camporesi E, Bulgakov TS, Ekanayake AH, Perera RH, Samarakoon MC, Goonasekara ID, Mapook A, Li W-J, Senanayake IC, Li J, Norphanphoun C, Doilom M, Bahkali AH, Xu J, Mortimer PE, Tibell L, Tibell S, Karunarathna SC (2018) Fungal diversity notes 709–839: Taxonomic and phylogenetic contributions to fungal taxa with an emphasis on fungi on Rosaceae. *Fungal Diversity* 89(1): 1–236. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-018-0395-7>
- Wang J, Cen B, Jiang Z, Peng S, Tong Z, Li G (1995) Identification of the pathogen which causes Chinese fir shoot blight. *Journal of South China Agricultural University* 4: 47–49.

- Wang P, Ou Y, Zhang Q, Sun W, Zhu H, Qi N, Chen Y (2018) Research advance in tobacco brown spot 烟草赤星病研究进展. *Anhui Agricultural Science 安徽农业科学* 46: 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.13989/j.cnki.0517-6611.2018.21.008>
- Wangelin AL, Reeves FB (2007) Two new *Alternaria* species from selenium-rich habitats in the Rocky Mountain Front Range. *Mycotaxon* 99: 83–89.
- White TJ, Bruns S, Lee S, Taylor J, Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis MA, Gelfand DH, Sninsky JJ, White TJ (Eds) *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*, 315–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-372180-8.50042-1>
- Wijayawardene NN, Hyde KD, Al-Ani LKT, Tedersoo L, Haelewaters D, Rajeshkumar KC, Zhao R-L, Aptroot A, Leontyev DV, Saxena RK, Tokarev YS, Dai D-Q, Letcher PM, Stephenson SL, Ertz D, Lumbsch HT, Kukwa M, Issi IV, Madrid H, Phillips AJL, Selbmann L, Pfliegler WP, Horváth E, Bensch K, Kirk PM, Kolaříková K, Raja HA, Radek R, Papp V, Dima VS, Ma J, Malosso E, Takamatsu S, Rambold G, Gannibal PB, Triebel D, Gautam AK, Avasthi S, Suetrong S, Timdal E, Fryar SC, Delgado G, Réblová M, Doilom M, Dolatbadi S, Pawłowska J, Humber RA, Kodsueb R, Sánchez-Castro I, Goto BT, Silva DKA (2020) Outline of Fungi and fungus-like taxa. *Mycosphere: Journal of Fungal Biology* 11(1): 1060–1456. <https://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/11/1/8>
- Wiltshire SP (1933) The foundation species of *Alternaria* and *Macrosporium*. *Transactions of the British Mycological Society* 18(2): 135–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536\(33\)80003-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0007-1536(33)80003-9)
- Wiltshire SP (1945) Danish species of *Alternaria* and *Stemphylium* taxonomy, parasitism, economical significance. *The Quarterly Review of Biology* 160: 313–314. <https://doi.org/10.1038/160313b0>
- Woudenberg JH, Groenewald JZ, Binder M, Crous PW (2013) *Alternaria* redefined. *Studies in Mycology* 75: 171–212. <https://doi.org/10.3114/sim0015>
- Woudenberg JH, van der Merwe NA, Jurjević Ž, Groenewald JZ, Crous PW (2015) Diversity and movement of indoor *Alternaria alternata* across the mainland USA. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* 81: 62–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2015.05.003>
- Xiang M, Wang Y, Wang D, Fu Y, Zeng J, Ouyang D, Chen J, Chen M (2023) First report of black spot disease caused by *Alternaria alternata* on persimmon fruit in China. *Plant Disease* 107(8): e2525. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-02-23-0234-PDN>
- Xu YM, Liu YJ (2017) First report of *Nigrospora sphaerica* causing leaf blight on *Cunninghamia lanceolata* in China. *Plant Disease* 101(2): 389. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-16-1229-PDN>
- Yan H (2020) Potential analysis of launching forest management carbon sequestration projects by the management of Chinese Fir forest. 杉木林分经营碳汇项目发展的潜力分析. *Journal of Wuyi University 武夷学院学报* 39: 14–17. <https://doi.org/10.14155/j.cnki.35-1293/g4.2020.09.004>
- Yan H, Mi Y, Man Z, Zang H, Guo L, Huo J, Li Y, Chen Z, Zhang B, Sang M, Li C, Cheng Y (2023) First report of leaf spot disease caused by *Alternaria tenuissima* on *Lonicera caerulea* L. in Heilongjiang Province, China. *Plant Disease* 107(10): e3298. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-04-23-0794-PDN>
- Zhang TY (2003) *Alternaria*. *Flora Fungorum Sinicorum*.
- Zhang TY, Zhang JZ, Chen WQ, Ma XL, Gao MX (1999) Taxonomic studies of *Alternaria* from China. VII. New taxa on Fagaceae, Magnoliaceae, Meliaceae, and Moraceae. *Mycotaxon* 72: 433–442. <https://doi.org/10.13346/j.mycosystema.1999.02.002>

- Zhang S, Cui C, Xu L (1998) Study on the population composition and pathogenic types of black spot in Chinese cabbage in Heilongjiang province 黑龙江省大白菜黑斑病菌种群组成与致病型分化的研究. Southeast University Press 东南大学出版社, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China 中国江苏南京, 556–559.
- Zhang D, Gao FL, Jakovli I, Zou H, Wang GT (2020) PhyloSuite: An integrated and scalable desktop platform for streamlined molecular sequence data management and evolutionary phylogenetics studies. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 20(1): 348–355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13096>
- Zheng W, Chen J, Hao Z, Shi J (2016) Comparative analysis of the chloroplast genomic information of *Cunninghamia lanceolata* (Lamb.) Hook with sibling species from the genera *Cryptomeria* D. Don, *Taiwania* Hayata, and *Calocedrus* Kurz. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 17(7): e1084. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms17071084>
- Zhou H, Hou CL (2019) Three new species of *Diaporthe* from China based on morphological characters and DNA sequence data analyses. *Phytotaxa* 422(2): 157–174. <https://doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.422.2.3>
- Zhou ZC, Tang X, Hu S, Zhu W, Wu X, Sang WJ, Peng L, Ding H (2023) First report of grey spot on tobacco caused by *Alternaria alstroemeriae* in China. *Plant Disease* 107(8): e2546. <https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-11-22-2705-PDN>

Supplementary material 1

Supplementary information

Authors: Jiao He, De-Wei Li, Wen-Li Cui, Lin Huang

Data type: docx

Explanation note: **table S1.** Fungal cultures isolated from Chinese fir in this study. **table S2.** Primers used for PCR amplification and DNA sequences.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.101.115370.suppl1>