

Identification of culturable bacteria associated with the rhizosphere of *Lablab purpureus* growing in Namibia

Faith Fransisca Kavishe (✉ faith.kavishe@gmail.com)

Namibia University of Science and Technology

Jean Damascène Uzabakiriho

University of Namibia

Jeya Kennedy

Namibia University of Science and Technology

Percy Chimwamurombe

Namibia University of Science and Technology

Research Article

Keywords: Rhizosphere bacteria, Rhizosphere, *Lablab purpureus*, *Dolichos lablab*, 16s rRNA, Namibia

Posted Date: January 1st, 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3821617/v1>

License:  This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[Read Full License](#)

Additional Declarations: No competing interests reported.

Abstract

Dolichos lablab (*Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet)) is a multipurpose drought tolerant protein-rich legume crop native to Africa and grown in warm temperate to tropical climates for its edible seeds and manure. *Lablab purpureus* holds significant benefits to subsistence farmers and offers a great promise for sustainable crop productivity, especially in marginalised areas. Its uses range from human consumption as a vegetable to improving soil fertility, and as forage. Notwithstanding *Lablab purpureus* crucial potential functions in Namibia, there is currently limited information regarding the plant's rhizosphere bacteria. The study aimed at identifying *Lablab purpureus*' natural rhizosphere bacteria. Isolation of rhizosphere bacteria involved the use of general media (Luria Bertani agar and tryptic soy agar); selective media such as Rhizobium and Yeast Extract Mannitol (YEM) Congo red from soil sample extracts. Eighty-five strains of bacteria were isolated and were subsequently identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. The results showed that they belonged to the following genera, *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Acidovorax*, *Agrobacterium*, *Psychrobacter*, *Priestia*, *Planococcus*, *Bhargavaea*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Caulobacter*, *Peribacillus*, *Niallia*, *Athrobacter*, *Sphingobium*, *Enterobacter*, *Sphingobacterium*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Flavobacterium*, *Microbacterium*, *Metabacillus*, *Neobacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* which are reported to have growth promoting substances. The study highlighted the potential use of these plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for inocula production or biofertilisers for enhancing growth and nutrient content of beans and other crops under field conditions. The study was the first report of *Lablab purpureus*'s rhizosphere associated bacteria in Namibia.

1. Introduction

Dolichos lablab (*Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet) also known as Dolichos bean, Indian bean or Hyacinth bean is a perennial herb from the family *Fabaceae* endowed with high protein content and nutritional value (Raghu et al., 2018). This drought-tolerant under-utilised crop has a global social recognition that stretches from human food (vegetable and pulse) to soil fertility improvement to high-quality animal fodder (Kumar, 2017; Minde et al., 2020; Shivachi et al., 2012). This crop is indigenous to India and Africa and is mostly grown by small-scale farmers in the semi-arid, dry poor soils of Africa, Southeast Asia and America (Kumar, 2017; Maass et al., 2010; Minde et al., 2020; Ngure et al., 2021). Agricultural methods involving inappropriate use of chemical pesticides and fertilisers which are usually costly, not readily available and have caused environmental problems need to be addressed. Alternative ways of sustainably meeting agricultural demands involve using rhizobacteria or other microbial inoculants for plant growth and development (Majeed et al., 2015).

According to Pervin et al. (2017) plants have the unusual ability to form symbioses with soil bacteria. The exchange of resources between roots and the soil environment takes place in the rhizosphere, which is a biologically active interface around plant roots (Genitsaris et al., 2020). Sugars, carbohydrates, and secondary metabolites released by plant roots shape the microbial community structures and have an impact on rhizosphere zone activities (Wu et al., 2018). Different plant species have different amounts

and qualities of these root exudates, and they can select particular microbial communities, including fungi and bacteria that have different roles in the soil (Berendensen et al., 2012).

Rhizobacteria directly promote plant growth by controlling levels of plant hormones or by helping in procurement of essential minerals, phosphorus, and nitrogen; or indirectly as biocontrol agents that decrease the repressive effects that different pathogens have on plant growth (Ahemad & Kibret, 2014). They improve plant yield and growth when applied to seeds or crops and are usually mediated by root exudates such as siderophores, enzymes, sugars, and amino acids (Chaiharn et al., 2008; Majeed et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2018). Rhizosphere bacteria are of great importance because they promote plant productivity by regulating nutrient mineralization, acting as environmental buffers, permitting decomposition, and by enhancing water relations (Basu et al., 2021).

Identifying the rhizosphere bacteria growing in association with *Lablab purpureus* will shed some light on whether they have any role in plant growth promotion. It could elucidate how they adapt to the Namibian environment and can be useful in other agricultural practices. Moreover, information on the bacteria that are promoting its growth have the potential to increase other crops' drought stress tolerance and thus be beneficial agricultural bioresources. The aim of this study was to isolate and identify the bacteria associated with *Lablab purpureus* rhizosphere.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Sample collection and process

Lablab purpureus root samples with bulk rhizosphere soil were collected from 2 varieties in Windhoek (Whk1 and Whk2) at Olympia suburb (Coordinates: -22.5652880, 17.1103930) and were placed in sterile individually labelled zip lock bags. These were then transported on ice to the laboratory for further analysis. Seeds from 5 bean accessions (IC-0623096, IC-0623072, HA 4, IC-0623005 and IC-0623043) were planted in pots containing soil from Bagani Research Centre and were taken to the lab for processing after they had shown the first 3 leaves.

The two (2) Windhoek varieties were purposefully sampled, whereas the other 5 varieties grown in the pots were randomly chosen from the other 24 varieties.

The plants in the pots were uprooted and shaken to get rid of the bulk soil (Fig. 1). For the 2 Windhoek varieties, samples were aseptically removed from the zip lock bags and shaken off to get rid of bulk soil. Using a sterile blade, gloves and weighing boat, 0.3 grams of rhizosphere roots from each variety were weighed.

2.2 Isolation of Bacteria

By carefully placing 0.3 g of rhizosphere roots from each sample in 25 ml of sterile Phosphate buffered Saline (PBS) in a 50 ml falcon tube, rhizosphere bacteria were isolated from soil. The tube was then

vortexed, and the suspension serially diluted to 10^{-10} . Thereafter, each dilution (100 µl) was spread on Luria Bertani (LB) agar, Tryptic soy agar (TSA), Rhizobium media agar and Yeast extract mannitol (YEM) Congo Red agar plates substituted with the fungicide nystatin (100 µg/ml) and growth was observed until bacterial colonies appeared. Selected colonies were subcultured on the appropriate media until pure colonies were obtained (Fig. 2).

2.3 Catalase Test

Using a sterile inoculation loop, a little bit of bacteria was spread onto a dry microscope slide. A drop of 3% hydrogen peroxide was applied to the bacteria using a pipette. The presence or absence of bubbles immediately gave an indication whether that bacterium is catalase negative or catalase positive (Kandjimi et al., 2015).

2.4 DNA Extraction

The pure cultures of the strains were each inoculated in 100ml of fresh LB or tryptic soy broth and incubated for 48 hours to increase the mass of cells (Zahid et al., 2015). The DNA from each isolate (strains 1–39) were extracted from the broth using the protocol from the Zymo bacteria DNA isolation Kit. The other strains that were not extracted from the kit, were used directly in colony PCR.

2.5 Conventional PCR of bacterial genomic DNA

The primers were purchased in lyophilized form from Inqaba Biotech (South Africa) and reconstituted in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. From a stock solution of 100 µM, a working solution (10 µM) was made for each primer. They were kept until use at -20°C.

Primers for 16S rRNA gene were 27F (5' - AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5' - CGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT-3') with amplicon length of approximately 1500bp as described by (Zahid et al., 2015).

The amplification conditions: Qualitative PCR was carried out following DNA extraction from the samples. A 25 µl overall reaction made up of 12.5 µl of VWR Red Taq DNA Polymerase (2x MM) with buffer (2mM MgCl₂) from Life Sciences, 3 µl of template DNA from the samples, 7.5 µl of nuclease-free water, and 1 µl of both reverse and forward primer were used. Additionally, a negative control was included, consisting of all the components but with 3 µl of nuclease-free water in place of the template DNA. This was carried out for all PCR processes. Conventional PCR was performed in the molecular biology laboratory at UNAM on a MULTIGENE OPTIMAX Cyclor from Labnet International Inc. The conditions used were denaturation at 95°C for 4 minutes, final denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute; annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds; extension at 72°C for 1 minute; final extension at 72°C for 1 minute and final hold at 4°C.

The PCR products were examined using 2% gel electrophoresis. Using agarose gel with a 1kb ladder and 4 µl of PCR products loaded onto it and the gel had been stained with Ethidium bromide. An image of the

agarose gel was captured using a digital camera after it had been run for 45 minutes at 120V in a 1X TBE buffer.

The PCR bands that were visualised were those of about 1500bp in size and those amplicons were then sent to Inqaba Biotech for sequencing.

2.6 Colony PCR

Bacterial strains whose DNA was not extracted using the kit were used directly in colony PCR as described by (Pesce et al., 2019) using the same 16S primer sequences. A single pure colony was aseptically picked and added to an Eppendorf tube that had 80 µl of sterile distilled water. It was then vortexed for 15 minutes and thereafter incubated at 96°C for 10 minutes. It was let to cool at 4°C for ten minutes. PCR was performed using the supernatant.

For a 25 µl colony PCR reaction, 11.5 µl supernatant from the tubes was added to a PCR tube together with 12.5 µl of the master mix and 1 µl each of reverse and forward primers. The conditions used in the thermocycler were denaturation at 95°C for 4 minutes, final denaturation at 95°C for 1 minute; annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds; extension at 72°C for 1 minute; final extension at 72°C for 1 minute and final hold at 4°C.

The PCR products were examined using 2% gel electrophoresis. The agarose gel had a 1kb ladder and 4ul of PCR products loaded onto it and the gel had been stained with Ethidium bromide. An image of the agarose gel was captured using a digital camera after it had been run for 45 minutes at 120V in a 1X TBE buffer.

The PCR bands that were visualised were those of about 1500bp in size and those amplicons were then sent to Inqaba Biotech for sequencing (Fig. 3).

2.7 Isolate Identification and Phylogenetic analysis

The sequences were cleaned and edited using BioEdit software version 7.2.5 (Alzohairy, 2011) and Chromas software version 2.6.6 (<http://www.technelysium.com.au/chromas.html>). The Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) program was used to align and analyse the DNA sequences to determine the closest matches (Table 1). The MEGA version 11.0.13 was used to create the phylogenetic tree and inferred with neighbour joining in 1000 replicates of bootstrap. The bootstrap consensus tree (Fig. 4) was obtained.

3. Results

A total of 85 bacterial strains were isolated from *Lablab purpureus* rhizosphere. 16S rRNA gene sequencing was used to identify the isolated bacteria.

3.1 Catalase reaction

Most isolates were found to be catalase positive except strains: 6, 13, 72E, 72I and 72H representing 5.9% of all isolates.

3.2 Molecular Identification of bacteria strains

From the *Lablab purpureus* rhizosphere soil samples, a total of 85 bacterial strains were isolated and identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Table 1). The sequences were also submitted to GenBank where accession numbers were assigned to them. Among the identified genera were *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Acidovorax*, *Agrobacterium*, *Psychrobacter*, *Priestia*, *Planococcus*, *Bhargavaea*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Caulobacter*, *Peribacillus*, *Niallia*, *Athrobacter*, *Sphingobium* and *Pseudomonas*.

Table 1
Molecular identification of isolated bacterial strains based on 16S rDNA sequences

Bacteria strain code	Homology to the reference strains	% Percent Identity	Accession numbers from GenBank
1	<i>Exiguobacterium aurantiacum</i>	99.44	OR921826
2	<i>Stutzerimonas kunmingensis</i>	99.13	OR921827
4	<i>Peribacillus simplex</i>	99.72	OR921828
5	<i>Bacillus wiedmannii</i>	99.59	OR921829
5C	<i>Peribacillus acanthi</i>	98.77	OR921830
5D	<i>Acidovorax delafieldii</i>	99.14	OR921831
5E	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	99.71	OR921832
5F	<i>Priestia aryabhattai</i>	100.00	OR921833
6	<i>Microbacterium testaceum</i>	99.87	OR921834
7	<i>Priestia aryabhattai</i>	99.86	OR921835
8	<i>Planococcus ruber</i>	99.27	OR921836
9	<i>Bacillus wiedmannii</i>	100.00	OR921837
10	<i>Streptomyces caviscabies</i>	98.61	OR921838
11	<i>Rhizobium rosettiiformans</i>	99.60	OR921839
12	<i>Bacillus albus</i>	100.00	OR921840
13	<i>Priestia megaterium</i>	99.86	OR921841
14	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921842
15	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	98.70	OR921843
16	<i>Exiguobacterium mexicanum</i>	99.87	OR921844
16B	<i>Exiguobacterium mexicanum</i>	100.00	OR921845
17	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	99.34	OR921846
18	<i>Agrobacterium fabrum</i>	97.98	OR921847
19	<i>Pseudomonas japonica</i>	99.76	OR921848
20	<i>Agrobacterium pusense</i>	99.62	OR921849
21	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	99.88	OR921850

Bacteria strain code	Homology to the reference strains	% Percent Identity	Accession numbers from GenBank
22	<i>Bhargavaea cecembensis</i>	95.77	OR921851
23	<i>Bacillus fungorum</i>	99.76	OR921852
24	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	99.77	OR921853
25	<i>Priestia flexa</i>	96.68	OR921854
26	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	99.76	OR921855
27	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	99.65	OR921856
28	<i>Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921857
29	<i>Bacillus licheniformis</i>	98.20	OR921858
30	<i>Peribacillus frigoritolerans</i>	99.88	OR921859
31	<i>Stutzerimonas chloritidismutans</i>	98.92	OR921860
32	<i>Niallia taxi</i>	99.65	OR921861
33	<i>Planococcus plakortidis</i>	95.59	OR921862
34	<i>Caulobacteraceae bacterium</i>	100.00	OR921863
35	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	99.77	OR921864
36	<i>Bacillus wiedmannii</i>	99.87	OR921865
37	<i>Arthrobacter sedimenti</i>	93.60	OR921866
38	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	100.00	OR921867
39	<i>Priestia megaterium</i>	99.34	OR921868
72B	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921869
72C	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	99.17	OR921870
72E	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921871
72F	<i>Pseudomonas knackmussii</i>	100.00	OR921872
72H	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921873
72I	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	99.74	OR921875
72RA	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	99.86	OR921876
72RB	<i>Sphingobium mellinum</i>	98.20	OR921877
72RC	<i>Priestia megaterium</i>	98.27	OR921878

Bacteria strain code	Homology to the reference strains	% Percent Identity	Accession numbers from GenBank
72RD	<i>Priestia flexa</i>	83.53	OR921879
96B	<i>Bacillus wiedmannii</i>	99.29	OR921880
96D	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	100.00	OR921881
96L	<i>Bacillus toyonensis</i>	99.43	OR921882
96M	<i>Neobacillus niacini</i>	99.29	OR921883
96N	<i>Neobacillus niacini</i>	98.43	OR921884
96S	<i>Agrobacterium pusense</i>	98.97	OR921885
96U	<i>Streptomyces gardneri</i>	99.72	OR921886
96V	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	99.86	OR921887
96X	<i>Flavobacterium panacis</i>	84.81	OR921888
96Z	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	99.71	OR921889
FB1	<i>Exiguobacterium mexicanum</i>	99.31	OR921890
HA2	<i>Priestia megaterium</i>	99.18	OR921891
HA8	<i>Flavobacterium anhuiense</i>	99.25	OR921892
HA11	<i>Rhizobium herbae</i>	98.64	OR921893
HAC	<i>Enterobacter cloacaes</i>	99.87	OR921894
HAD	<i>Psychrobacter nivimaris</i>	99.42	OR921895
HAE	<i>Psychrobacter namhaensis</i>	96.73	OR921896
HAF	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i>	99.43	OR921897
HAG	<i>Stutzerimonas stutzeri</i>	99.30	OR921898
HAH	<i>Sinorhizobium meliloti</i>	100.00	OR921899
PG1	<i>Sphingobacterium zeae</i>	89.38	OR921900
PG4	<i>Pseudomonas koreensis</i>	98.35	OR921901
PG10	<i>Pseudomonas koreensis</i>	99.86	OR921902
PG14	<i>Pseudomonas koreensis</i>	99.86	OR921903
PG15	<i>Stenotrophomonas lacuserhii</i>	99.61	OR921904
PG18	<i>Metabacillus niabensis</i>	100.00	OR921905

Bacteria strain code	Homology to the reference strains	% Percent Identity	Accession numbers from GenBank
PG19	<i>Pseudomonas poae</i>	98.87	OR921906
PP1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	100.00	OR921907
PP2	<i>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</i>	99.86	OR921908
PPA	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>	100.00	OR921909
PPB	<i>Pseudomonas canavaninivorans</i>	99.55	OR921910
PPF	<i>Priestia aryabhatai</i>	100.00	OR921911

3.3 Phylogenetic analysis

Clade one (purple colour) in Fig. 4 consists of 17 sequences representing 20% of the rhizobacteria isolated. This clade consisted of six different genera, five of which belong to the *Gamma proteobacteria*. *Stutzerimonas* had a percentage similarity of 98–99% to the closest strain from the NCBI database and was supported by strong bootstrap values > 95%, *Pseudomonas* (percentage similarity of 98–99%) with a bootstrap of 98%, *Psychrobacter* (percentage similarity 96–99%) with a bootstrap of 100%, *Stenotrophomonas* (99% similarity) supported by a 100% bootstrap value and *Enterobacter* (99% similarity) also with a bootstrap of 100%. This suggests that they belong to those genera. One sequence in this clade was not in a cluster and belongs to the class *Betaproteobacteria*. It has a 99% percentage similarity to the genus *Acidovorax* from NCBI Database but a weak bootstrap value that suggests lower confidence of it being grouped into that genus.

Three sequences resulting from clade 2 (orange) are all from the phylum *Bacteroidetes*. The sequences are representing only 3.5% of all the rhizobacteria isolated. Two belong to the genus *Flavobacterium* (percentage similarity 84–99%) and supported by a bootstrap of 100%. The other sequence had an 89% percentage similarity to *Sphingobacterium* from the NCBI database and a bootstrap of 100%. Due to their low sequence similarity, the sequences in this clade are most likely from new genera.

Clade 3 (green) consisted of 18 sequences accounting for 21.1% of the sequences isolated. They all belong to the class *Alpha proteobacteria*. The genus *Agrobacterium* (percentage similarity 97–100%) and bootstrap of 97% formed the majority (66.7%) and polytomic section in this clade. Two sequences with percentage similarity of 99% and bootstrap of 98% clustered with significant support to indicate that they belong to the genus *Rhizobium*. *Sinorhizobium* (100% similarity) clustered with *Rhizobium* with a moderate bootstrap of 66%. The genus *Sphingobium* (98% similarity) with a bootstrap of 96% and the genus *Caulobacter* (100% similarity) supported by a strong bootstrap also belonged to this clade.

Clade 4 (red) accounted for only 4.7% of rhizosphere bacteria isolated from *Lablab purpureus*. The sequences were of three genera that belong to *Actinomycetes*. The genus *Microbacterium* (99%

similarity), *Athrobacter* (93% similarity), *Streptomyces* (percentage similarity 98–99%) with respective bootstrap values of 93%, 93% and 100% to support that these sequences are indeed related to those genera.

The 43 sequences derived from clade 5 (brown) represented 50.6% of all isolated sequences. This deeply branched clades' sequences were all from the phylum *Baciollota* and from 9 genera. The genera *Bacillus* (percentage similarity 98–100%) with bootstrap values ranging from 51–99%, *Exiguobacterium* (percentage similarity 99–100%) with bootstrap range of 82–100%, *Planococcus* (percentage similarity 95–99%) with 100% bootstrap, *Bhargavaea* (percentage similarity of 95%) with 75% bootstrap and *Peribacillus* (percentage similarity 98–99%) with 100% bootstrap are all well supported to suggest they belong to those genera. The genera *Niallia* (99% similarity), *Metabacillus* (100% similarity), *Neobacillus* (98 to 99% similarity) and *Priestia* (percentage similarity 83–100%) had moderate bootstrap values of 50%, 61%, 50% and 50–100% respectively to support their groupings.

4. Discussion

The catalase activity found in 94.1% of the isolated bacteria has evolutionary and advantageous implications for the *Lablab purpureus* bacteria association. Catalase-producing bacteria are extremely resilient to physical, chemical, and environmental stress (Kandjimi et al., 2015). *Lablab purpureus* thrives in challenging drought conditions with high daytime temperatures above 37°C, acidic soils, and low nutrient soils.

In this study, culturable bacterial analysis of *Lablab purpureus* rhizosphere revealed a considerable bacteria diversity. Using colony morphology and 16S rRNA gene sequencing, 85 strains were identified. The identified genera were *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Acidovorax*, *Agrobacterium*, *Psychrobacter*, *Priestia*, *Planococcus*, *Bhargavaea*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Caulobacter*, *Peribacillus*, *Niallia*, *Athrobacter*, *Sphingobium*, *Enterobacter*, *Sphingobacterium*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Flavobacterium*, *Microbacterium*, *Neobacillus*, *Metabacillus*, and *Pseudomonas*. Bacteria in these genera have been found in literature to have PGP traits and can thus be useful in establishment of biofertilisers.

In the study done by Di Benedetto et al., (2019) *Pseudomonas* produces siderophores and ammonium at different levels (high, moderate, or weak). *Pseudomonas* species produces auxins, and profoundly increases crop production when grown in artificially dry environments, directly influencing the promotion of plant growth under drought stress (Felestrino et al., 2017). Bacteria that are under an iron stress produce and secrete siderophores to bind iron (Di Benedetto et al., 2019). The same authors' *Bacillus* isolates produced a lot of ammonium and showed a high level of nitrification. Their findings supported the ability of *Bacillus* and related genera to promote plant growth.

Bacteria genera associated with potassium metabolism are *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas* and *Rhizobium* and they achieved this by making potassium more available for plant use (Alawiye & Babalola, 2019). The same authors reported that rhizobia are involved in nitrogen fixation and nitrogen metabolism. Their

findings also showed that *Streptomyces* species alleviated metal contamination stress in plants by producing siderophores.

Priestia megaterium was described to increase plant disease resistance and exhibits promise as a future biocontrol agent (Li et al., 2022). Since they were able to demonstrate that this bacterium produces ACC deaminase, has nitrogen-fixing capabilities, secretes Indole Acetic Acid (IAA), and can solubilize both potassium and phosphate, the same authors claimed that it is a bacteria that promotes plant growth.

In the study by Suharjono and Yuliatin (2022) *Bacillus wiedmannii* was found to release IAA hormone, had nitrogen-fixing properties as well as being able to solubilize phosphate in order to enhance plant growth. The same authors also reported that *Pseudomonas putida* quantified IAA and siderophores and enhanced phosphate solubilization.

The genera *Exiguobacterium*, and *Arthrobacter* are capable of synthesising siderophores, nitrogen fixation, and phosphate solubilization; *Arthrobacter* is also capable of synthesising the hormone IAA (Brambilla et al., 2022). These authors also report that bacteria belonging to the genera *Pseudomonas*, *Agrobacterium* and *Stenotrophomonas* through endophytic associations can give plants nitrogen. The ethylene-producing precursor 1-amino-cyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) is also used by these three bacteria genera, which reduces the production of plant hormones produced in response to stressful conditions (Brambilla et al., 2022). *Exiguobacterium* bacteria are thought to be able to tolerate salinity stress and regulate secondary metabolites in plants (Basu et al., 2021). Moreover, Shen et al. (2019) state that *Exiguobacterium* is also of importance in microplastic degradation in the environment.

The bacteria of the genus *Niallia* were found to be catalase positive and having optimum growth temperature of 30°C. In nutrient agar, it seemed to also be able to grow in temperature range of 5–40°C. *Peribacillus* bacteria produce siderophores, IAA, ACC deaminase, they are also phosphate solubilizing and are nitrogen fixing bacteria (Gaete et al., 2020).

Romanenko et al. (2009) described *Psychrobacter* as being catalase positive and have an ability to grow at high temperature of 37°C. Bacteria in this genus also have ACC deaminase activity, phosphate solubilizing activities and can produce indole and siderophores (Ramesh et al., 2014).

Bacteria in the genus *Acidovorax* promote shoot and root growth and are also beneficial by reducing insect growth such as in the suppression of pests like aphids (Zytynska et al., 2020). These bacteria also compete with pathogens, and they produce hormones and secondary metabolites which promote plant growth (Siani et al., 2021). According to Manorama et al. (2009) the genus *Bhargavaea* is catalase positive and has an ideal growth temperature of 37°C although the cells can also grow from 15–55°C.

Planococcus genus of bacteria has ACC deaminase, IAA production and is also phosphate solubilizing (Hakim et al., 2021). Boss et al. (2022) found *Sphingobium* to produce IAA and siderophores. The genus *Stutzerimonas* has bacteria with very useful biodegradation capabilities (Salvà-Serra et al., 2023).

Caulobacter bacteria produce cytokinin, auxins and have ACC deaminase activity all of which help improve plant growth (Luo et al., 2019).

According to Weyenberg and Yoshida (2015) phylogenetic trees can be used to organise various types of biological data and to make inferences about possible occurrences in an organism's evolutionary past. The consensus phylogenetic tree constructed (Fig. 4) shows how this study's isolates are related to the ones in the NCBI database.

Five clades were observed (Fig. 4) in this study with various clusters and tight sub-clusters with strong bootstrap support. Berta et al. (2015) state that branches with high bootstrap values such as those in the range of 70–100% indicate greater confidence in those groupings. Genera that had groupings with bootstrap values of 100% were *Exiguobacterium*, *Streptomyces*, *Peribacillus*, *Neobacillus* and *Flavobacterium*. Some of these bacteria have been isolated before. *Streptomyces* have been isolated from rhizospheres and bulk soils of plants (Essel et al., 2019). Santoyo et al. (2021) state that *Neobacillus* is a common inhabitant of the rhizosphere. *Exiguobacterium* has been found in root nodules of fenugreek and the rhizosphere of cowpea (Dastager et al., 2010; Rajendran et al., 2012). *Flavobacterium* was isolated from wild legume nodules (Cardoso et al., 2018). Furthermore, *Peribacillus* has been isolated from soybean roots and the rhizosphere of pepper (Manetsberger et al., 2023; Song et al., 2020). The clusters with bootstrap values between 70–100% were found to be of the genera *Agrobacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Sphingobacterium*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Planococcus*, *Bhargavaeae*, *Rhizobium*, *Caulobacter*, *Sphingobium*, *Psychrobacter*, *Enterobacter*, *Acidovorax*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Microbacterium*, *Athrobacter*, and *Priestia*. This suggests that these clusters have close phylogenetic relatedness to their nearest matches in NCBI database and that the groupings that were observed are likely to be accurate and reflect the true evolutionary relationship among the bacteria (Berta et al., 2015).

5. Conclusions

Analysis of *Lablab purpureus* rhizosphere revealed a great diversity of bacterial communities. The bacteria were found to belong to the genera *Bacillus*, *Streptomyces*, *Exiguobacterium*, *Stutzerimonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Acidovorax*, *Agrobacterium*, *Psychrobacter*, *Priestia*, *Planococcus*, *Bhargavaeae*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Caulobacter*, *Peribacillus*, *Sphingobacterium*, *Niallia*, *Athrobacter*, *Sphingobium*, *Enterobacter*, *Sinorhizobium*, *Flavobacterium*, *Microbacterium*, *Metabacillus*, *Neobacillus*, and *Pseudomonas*. These bacteria play a role in promoting plant growth and are very useful in the establishment of inoculums and biofertilisers to help improve plant growth and increase crop yields. This in turn will lead to the reduction in the use and over dependence of harmful chemical fertilisers on agricultural land. The bootstrap values seen in the phylogenetic trees of this study mostly fall within high values range. Indicating that the groupings that were observed are likely to be accurate and reflect the true evolutionary relationship among the bacteria. This study provides a foundation and a point of reference for potential future studies in understanding plant-microbe interactions in this drought tolerant legume, *Lablab purpureus*.

Declarations

Conflict of Interests

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding Information

This laboratory work was financed by the Institutional Research and Publication Committee (IRPC) of the Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST).

Author contributions

Jean Damascène Uzabakiriho: Lead the research design of the isolation and identification of rhizosphere bacteria. Discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Percy Chimwamurombe: Project conception, and article writing.

Jeya Kennedy: Lead the research design and implementation. Collaborated in sample collection and contributed to the discussion and interpretation of the results.

References

1. Ahemad, M., & Kibret, M. (2014). Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. *Journal of King Saud University Science*, 26(1), 1–20. doi:10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001
2. Alawiye, T. T., & Babalola, O. O. (2019). Bacterial diversity and community structure in typical plant rhizosphere. *Diversity*, 11(10). doi:10.3390/d11100179
3. Alzohairy, A. M. (2011). BioEdit: An important software for molecular biology. *Bulletin of Biosciences*, 2(1), 60-61.
4. Basu, A., Prasad, P., Das, S. N., Kalam, S., Sayyed, R. Z., Reddy, M. S., & Enshasy, H. E. (2021). Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) as Green Bioinoculants: Recent Developments, Constraints, and Prospects. *Sustainability*, 13(1140), 1–20.
5. Berendensen, R. L., Pieterse, M. J., & Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends in Plant Science*, 17(8), 478–486. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2012.04.001
6. Berta, A., Sumich, J. L., & Kovacs, K. M. (2015). Phylogeny, Taxonomy, and Classification. *Marine Mammals*, 17–34. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-397002-2.00002-8
7. Boss, B. L., Wanees, A. E., Zaslow, S. J., Normile, T. G., & Izquierdo, J. A. (2022). Comparative genomics of the plant-growth promoting bacterium *Sphingobium* sp. strain AEW4 isolated from the rhizosphere of the beachgrass *Ammophila breviligulata*. *BMC Genomics*, 23(1). doi:10.1186/s12864-022-08738-8

8. Brambilla, S., Stritzler, M., Soto, G., & Ayub, N. (2022). A synthesis of functional contributions of rhizobacteria to growth promotion in diverse crops. *Rhizosphere*, (24). doi:10.1016/j.rhisph.2022.100611
9. Chaiharn, M., Chunnaleuchanon, S., Kozo, A., & Lumyong, S. (2008). Screening of Rhizobacteria for their Plant growth promoting activities. *KMITL Science and Technology Journal*, 8(1), 18–23.
10. Cardoso, P., Alves, A., Silveira, P., Sá, C., Fidalgo, C., Freitas, R., & Figueira, E. (2018). Bacteria from nodules of wild legume species: Phylogenetic diversity, plant growth promotion abilities and osmotolerance. *Science of the Total Environment*, 645, 1094–1102. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.399
11. Dastager, S. G., Kumaran, D. C., & Pandey, A. (2010). Characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium *Exiguobacterium* NII-0906 for its growth promotion of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*). *Biologia*, 65(2), 197–203. doi:10.2478/s11756-010-0010-1
12. Di Benedetto, N. A., Campaniello, D., Bevilacqua, A., Cataldi, M. P., Sinigaglia, M., Flagella, Z., & Corbo, M. R. (2019). Isolation, screening, and characterization of plant-growth-promoting bacteria from durum wheat rhizosphere to improve N and P nutrient use efficiency. *Microorganisms*, 7(11), 1–18. doi:10.3390/microorganisms7110541
13. Essel, E., Xie, J., Deng, C., Peng, Z., Wang, J., Shen, J., Xie, J., Coulter, J. A., & Li, L. (2019). Bacterial and fungal diversity in rhizosphere and bulk soil under different long-term tillage and cereal/legume rotation. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 194. doi:10.1016/j.still.2019.104302
14. Gaete, A., Mandakovic, D., & González, M. (2020). Isolation and identification of soil bacteria from extreme environments of Chile and their plant beneficial characteristics. *Microorganisms*, 8(8), 1–13. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8081213
15. Genitsaris, S., Stefanidou, N., Leontidou, K., Matsi, T., Karamanoli, K., & Mellidou, I. (2020). Bacterial communities in the rhizosphere and phyllosphere of halophytes and drought-tolerant plants in mediterranean ecosystems. *Microorganisms*, 8(1708), 1–20. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8111708
16. Hakim, S., Naqqash, T., Nawaz, M. S., Laraib, I., Siddique, M. J., Zia, R., Mirza, M. S., & Imran, A. (2021). Rhizosphere Engineering with Plant Growth-Promoting Microorganisms for Agriculture and Ecological Sustainability. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 5. doi:10.3389/fsufs.2021.617157
17. Li, Q., Hou, Z., Zhou, D., Jia, M., Lu, S., & Yu, J. (2022). A plant growth-promoting bacteria *Priestiamegaterium* JR48 induces plant resistance to the crucifer black rot via a salicylic acid-dependent signaling pathway. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13. doi:10.3389/fpls.2022.1046181
18. Kandjimi, O. S., Uzabakiriho, J.-D., & Chimwamurombe, P. M. (2015). Isolation and characterization of culturable bacteria from bulk soil samples and the rhizosphere of arid- adapted *Tylosema esculentum* (Burchell). A. Schreiber (Marama bean) in Namibia. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 14(11), 944–952. doi:10.5897/AJB2014.14257
19. Kumar, A. (2017). Quantitative Analysis of some Germplasms of lablab Bean in Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 2(1), 40–45. doi:10.22161/ijeab/2.1.7

20. Luo, D., Langendries, S., Mendez, S. G., De Ryck, J., Liu, D., Beirinckx, S., Willems, A., Russinova, E., Debode, J., & Goormachtig, S. (2019). Plant growth promotion driven by a novel *Caulobacter* strain. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 32(9), 1162–1174. doi:10.1094/MPMI-12-18-0347-R
21. Maass, B. L., Knox, M. R., Venkatesha, S. C., Angessa, T. T., Ramme, S., & Pengelly, B. C. (2010). Lablab purpureus-A Crop Lost for Africa? *Tropical Plant Biology*, 3(3), 123–135. doi:10.1007/s12042-010-9046-1
22. Majeed, A., Kaleem Abbasi, M., Hameed, S., Imran, A., & Rahim, N. (2015). Isolation and characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria from wheat rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 1–10. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00198
23. Manetsberger, J., Caballero Gómez, N., Soria-Rodríguez, C., Benomar, N., & Abriouel, H. (2023). Simply Versatile: The Use of *Peribacillus simplex* in Sustainable Agriculture. *Microorganisms*, 11(10). doi:10.3390/microorganisms11102540
24. Manorama, R., Pindi, P. K., Reddy, G. S. N., & Shivaji, S. (2009). *Bhargavaea cecembensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from the Chagos-Laccadive ridge system in the Indian Ocean. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 59(10), 2618–2623. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.002691-0
25. Minde, J. J., Venkataramana, P. B., & Matemu, A. O. (2020). Dolichos Lablab-an underutilised crop with future potentials for food and nutrition security: a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*. doi:10.1080/10408398.2020.1775173
26. Ngure, D., Kinyua, M., & Kiplagat, O. (2021). Morphological and microsatellite characterization of improved *Lablab purpureus* genotypes. *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, 13(2), 23–34. doi:10.5897/JPBCS2020.0927
27. Omar, A. F., Abdelmageed, A. H. A., Al-Turki, A., Abdelhameid, N. M., Sayyed, R. Z., & Rehan, M. (2022). Exploring the Plant Growth-Promotion of Four *Streptomyces* Strains from Rhizosphere Soil to Enhance Cucumber Growth and Yield. *Plants*, 11(23). doi:10.3390/plants1123316
28. Pervin, S., Jannat, B., Sanjee, S. Al, & Farzana, T. (2017). Characterization of Rhizobia from Root Nodule and Rhizosphere of *Lablab purpureus* and *Vigna sinensis* in Bangladesh. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture Food Science and Technology*, 5(1), 14–17. doi:10.24925/turjaf.v5i1.14-17.743
29. Pesce, C., Kleiner, V. A., & Tisa, L. S. (2019). Simple colony PCR procedure for the filamentous actinobacteria *Frankia*. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology*, 112(1), 109–114. doi:10.1007/s10482-018-1155-0
30. Raghu, B. R., Samuel, D. K., N, M., & S, A. T. (2018). Dolichos Bean: An underutilised and unexplored crop with immense potential. *International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research*, 5(12), 4338–4341.
31. Rajendran, G., Patel, M. H., & Joshi, S. J. (2012). Isolation and characterization of nodule-associated *Exiguobacterium* sp. from the root nodules of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) and their possible role in plant growth promotion. *International Journal of Microbiology*. doi:10.1155/2012/693982


32. Ramesh, A., Sharma, S. K., Sharma, M. P., Yadav, N., & Joshi, O. P. (2014). Plant Growth-Promoting Traits in *Enterobacter cloacae* subsp. *dissolvens* MDSR9 Isolated from Soybean Rhizosphere and its Impact on Growth and Nutrition of Soybean and Wheat Upon Inoculation. *Agricultural Research*, 3(1), 53–66. doi:10.1007/s40003-014-0100-3
33. Romanenko, L. A., Tanaka, N., Frolova, G. M., & Mikhailov, V. V. (2009). *Psychrobacter fulvigenes* sp. nov., isolated from a marine crustacean from the Sea of Japan. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 59(6), 1480–1486. doi:10.1099/ijls.0.007195-0
34. Salvà-Serra, F., Pérez-Pantoja, D., Donoso, R. A., Jaén-Luchoro, D., Fernández-Juárez, V., Engström-Jakobsson, H., Moore, E. R. B., Lalucat, J., & Bennisar-Figueras, A. (2023). Comparative genomics of *Stutzerimonas balearica* (*Pseudomonas balearica*): diversity, habitats, and biodegradation of aromatic compounds. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2023.1159176
35. Santoyo, G., Alberto Urtis-Flores, C., Damián Loeza-Lara, P., del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M., & Glick, B. R. (2021). Rhizosphere Colonization Determinants by Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR). *Biology*, 10(475), 1–18. doi:10.3390/biology10060475
36. Shen, M., Zeng, G., Zhang, Y., Wen, X., Song, B., & Tang, W. (2019). Can biotechnology strategies effectively manage environmental (micro)plastics? *Science of the Total Environment*, 697. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134200
37. Shivachi, A., K, Kiplagat, O. K., & M, K. G. (2012). Microsatellite analysis of selected *Lablab purpureus* genotypes in Kenya. *Rwanda Journal*, 28, 39–52.
38. Siani, R., Stabl, G., Gutjahr, C., Schlöter, M., & Radl, V. (2021). *Acidovorax* pan-genome reveals specific functional traits for plant beneficial and pathogenic plant-associations. *Microbial Genomics*, 7(12). doi:10.1099/MGEN.0.000666
39. Song, J., Kim, S., An, J. H., Sang, M. K., & Weon, H. Y. (2020). Complete genome sequence of *Peribacillus butanolivorans* KJ40, a soil bacterium alleviating drought stress in plants. *Korean Journal of Microbiology*, 56(4), 407–409. doi:10.7845/kjm.2020.0103
40. Suharjono, & Yuliatin, E. (2022). Bacteria communities of coffee plant rhizosphere and their potency as plant growth promoting. *Biodiversitas*, 23(11), 5822–5834. doi:10.13057/biodiv/d231136
41. Weyenberg, G., & Yoshida, R. (2015). Reconstructing the Phylogeny: Computational Methods. *Elsevier*, 293-319. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-801213-0.00012-5
42. Wu, Z., Liu, Q., Li, Z., Cheng, W., Sun, J., Guo, Z., Li, Y., Zhou, J., Meng, D., Li, H., Lei, P., & Yin, H. (2018). Environmental factors shaping the diversity of bacterial communities that promote rice production. *BMC Microbiology*, 18(1), 1–11. doi:10.1186/s12866-018-1174-z
43. Zahid, M., Kaleem Abbasi, M., Hameed, S., & Rahim, N. (2015). Isolation and identification of indigenous plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from Himalayan region of Kashmir and their effect on improving growth and nutrient contents of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(207), 1–10. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00207
44. Zytynska, S. E., Eicher, M., Rothballer, M., & Weisser, W. W. (2020). Microbial-Mediated Plant Growth Promotion and Pest Suppression Varies Under Climate Change. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11.

Figures




Figure 1


Roots from *Lablab purpureus* after uprooting the plant and shaking off excess soil from roots




Yellow, small, round pure colonies on LB agar media




Round, concave, mucoid and cream pure colonies on TSA agar media




White, irregular spread out, filamentous rhizoid pure colonies on rhizobium agar.



Light cream, translucent mucoid, concave pure colonies on rhizobium agar.



Light pink, mucoid, elevated, shiny colonies on YEM Congo red agar



Pink, shiny, irregular pure colonies on YEM Congo red agar

Figure 2

Picture of some of the pure cultures of the rhizosphere bacteria isolated




Figure 3

Agarose gel of some of the 16s bacteria strains from colony PCR: L= 1kb DNA ladder and -VE= negative control

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- [StrainIDswithnewgenbankaccessionnumbers.xlsx](#)