

NUTRITIONAL ECOLOGY OF WATERBUCK: SEASONAL VARIATION IN FORAGE COMPOSITION AND QUALITY IN DINDER NATIONAL PARK

Mohamed Abdelrahim Elamin

Department of Wildlife, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, University of Bahri, Khartoum North, Sudan

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.18781363

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received: 18-12-2024

Accepted: 14-01-2025

Published Online: 19-01-2025

Copyright©2025

The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution **4.0 International License (CC BY-NC)** which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited

Citation: Elamin, M. (2025). Nutritional Ecology of Waterbuck: Seasonal Variation in Forage Composition and Quality in Dinder National Park. Global Multidisciplinary Journal of Geospatial, Remote Sensing and Earth Systems, 11(1), 9-20.

Abstract: This study was conducted in Dinder National Park (DNP), Sudan, during 2010 and 2011, to determine the botanical composition and nutrient content of the diet of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnus defassa*). Research was focused mainly around Abd el Ghani Maya (meadow). A micro-histological technique was employed to identify dietary plant species by comparing epidermal characteristics of plant samples and faecal droppings. Plant and faecal samples were collected, dried, ground, and analyzed. Additionally, nitrogen, phosphorus, and calcium concentrations in plant samples were measured. Twenty-one plant species were identified in waterbuck feces in 2010, and 19 in 2011. Grasses such as *Echinochloa* sp., *Cyperus* sp., *Kyllinga* sp., and *Sorghum arundinaceum* were dominant in the diet, alongside forbs including *Corchorus depressus*, *Ipomoea aquatica*, and *Polygonum* sp. Woody species consumed included *Acacia seiberiana*, *Balanites aegyptiaca*, *Ziziphus spina-christi*, and *Crateva adansonii*. During the early dry season, grasses and forbs comprised 80% of the diet, while trees and shrubs accounted for 20%. This pattern reversed in the late dry season, with grasses and forbs making up 40%, and woody vegetation 60%. Among the food plants, *Cyperus* sp. and *Acacia* species exhibited the highest nitrogen and phosphorus contents. The study concludes that waterbuck preference shifts seasonally: selecting a few succulent, nutrient-rich grasses in the early dry season, and diversifying to include more herbaceous and woody plants with relatively high nutrient content during the late dry season when grasses become scarce. These findings provide valuable insight into the feeding ecology and nutritional adaptation of waterbuck in a semi-arid environment.

Keywords: Waterbuck diet, botanical composition, nutrient content, micro-histological analysis

Corresponding author: Mohamed Abdelrahim Elamin

Department of Wildlife, Faculty of Natural Resources and Environmental Studies, University of Bahri, Khartoum North, Sudan

ISSN: 3070-2763 | Impact Factor: 3.07

Volume 11, Issue 1 | January-March, 2025

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

Plate 1. Waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnusdefassa*) grazing in Abdel Ghani Maya, Dinder

INTRODUCTION

Deutsh and Murray (2001) described waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnusdefassa*) as ‘the largest antelope of the Reduncini tribe, the height at shoulders on averages being 700 to 1,360 mm (Plate 1). Males (250 kg) are larger than females (170 kg). The hair is long, coarse, and usually with some shade of brown, ranging from yellow to nearly black, with white patches. The males have impressive backward-curving horns and females without horns. Ears are big and rounded. There are white patches just above the eyes, around the mouth, the nose and on the throat; the coat becomes progressively darker with age. Males tend to be darker and greyer than females. All waterbucks have a large, white patch on their rump (Estes 1991).

Plant cover and plant diversity, an important component of wildlife habitat, provides waterbuck, like other wildlife herbivores, with food and shelter. Knowledge of the plants eaten by the waterbuck (feeding habit or diet selection) is vital for the proper management of its habitat. In the African savanna ecosystems, the National Park, Sudan.

Mortality is either induced by food shortage or by a significant decrease in food quality can even exceed the deaths of wild animals caused by the various predators (Sinclair 1977).

The diet of herbivorous ungulates varies between seasons and nutrient levels vary among plant species with the seasonal advance: as the plants become mature and their nutrient contents decrease (Mowat et al. 1965; Georgiadis and McNaughton 1990). It is suggested that plant species with comparatively low nutrient levels in the wet season might become relatively of high quality and hence more attractive for herbivores later than in the dry season when other herbaceous plants dry out (Mowat et al. 1965; Prins and Beekman 1989; Georgiadis and McNaughton 1990; Ben-Shahar and Coe 1992). This explains why the diet botanical composition of ungulates varies between seasons in many studies (Prins and Beekman 1989; Ego et al. 2003; Macandza 2004;

ISSN: 3070-2763 | Impact Factor: 3.07

Volume 11, Issue 1 | January-March, 2025

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

Omphile et al. 2004). Literally, the quality and availability of food plants are constraints to the population dynamics of ungulates (Sinclair 1977; Boyce 1992; Mwangi and Western 1998).

Epidermal characteristics of plant species recovered in fragmentary conditions from faeces were widely applied for studying herbivorous diets (Dussi 1949). The main purpose of applying this technique to free-ranging wild animals is the identification of forage plants after being ingested by the animal. The identification of epidermal fragments in feces was proven to be successful, especially in areas where several animal species were feeding together on rich herbaceous flora such as that of East African plains (Stewart 1967). Waterbuck, as noticed by East (1984), feeds selectively but on less widely dispersed forage parts as compared to other ungulates. It moves from one habitat to the next habitat, searching for high quality food (Traill 2004).

Considerable research is being conducted on feeding preference and fluctuations in nutritive values of food plants of domestic livestock. However similar studies on wild herbivorous ungulates are scarce. In addition, most information on plant-herbivore interactions in savanna ecosystems was obtained in moist savannahs which may be different from semi-arid regions. The main objective of this study was to determine the botanical composition, and nitrogen, phosphorus and calcium contents as well as the seasonal shift in the diet consumed by the waterbuck in Dinder National Park during the dry season of 2010 and 2011.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study area

This study was conducted in the Dinder National Park (DNP), which is located in the Sennar State between latitudes 11 to 13° N and longitudes 34 to 36° E, adjacent to the Ethiopian border at a distance of 550 km south east of Khartoum. It is drained by two seasonal rivers, Dinder and Rahad, and the area covers 10,290 km². The climatic conditions of park in general, can be summarized as cool and dry in winter and wet and warm in summer. The wet season starts in May and ends in November, 8 Glo. J. Earth Environ. Sci.

During which the area receives an annual rain fall ranging 600 to 1000 mm, increasing southwards and peaking in August. The temperature range from as low as 20°C at the begging of the dry season in December to as high as 42°C in March and April, towards the end of the dry season. Rahad and Dinder Rivers start flooding in July, reaching their peak in September. The annual mean relative humidity varies between 35% and 45% but higher value of 79% was recorded during the peak of the rains in August (Kanno 2004). The dry season extends from December to April, with maximum temperature of 38°C in April, the winter is cool with mean average temperature of 30°C (Kanno 2004).

Data and sample collection

The samplings used follow the method of Hashim (1987, 1996). The faecal pellets of the waterbuck were counted in circular plots of 1 m radius, along randomly selected four lines transects that radiated from the center of the Maya and ended beyond its periphery to a distance of 1 km. Plots were spaced at 50 m intervals where faecal pellet-groups were collected for micro-histological analysis; each pellet group was kept separately in a paper bag and sun dried. In total, 1850 pellet samples, representing 10 to 50 pellets/groups were collected. There were no errors in identifying the faecal pelletgroups because their shapes and sizes were species specific. No distinction was made between the animal sexes, but juvenile' faeces were excluded from the analyses.

Plant species were counted in the plots along the same line transects used for the sampling of the faecal pelletgroups. Grasses, forbs and branches of tree species in the plots were clipped for chemical analysis. Each plant species was kept separately in a paper bag and dried in the sun. Ripe, fallen seed pods of the leguminous trees encountered during the sampling were also collected and kept for the laboratory analysis.

The micro-histological analysis was used to determine the dietary composition of the waterbuck (Stewart 1967). It is based on the fact that, fragments of epidermis and cuticles of plants ingested by animals remain intact as they pass through the digestive system and could be identified in the dung. Based on the shape and distribution of epidermal cell forms, the plant fragments found in the dung can be identified up to the species or genus level. Accordingly, reference slides were prepared from leaves of all grass, forbs and tree species available in the DNP as well as seed pods of the leguminous trees, according to Essas (1963).

Nutrient analysis

Nitrogen was determined by the Kjeldahl digestion

(Bradstreet 1965). Plant materials were ground and incubated at 420°C for one hour with 5 ml of concentrated sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) and a Kjeldahl tablet. The digested solution was then transferred into a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask and filled up with distilled water. This solution was neutralized and the total nitrogen concentrations were measured by means of the Flow Injection Analysis. Crude protein content was calculated as 6.25 times the nitrogen concentration, as proteins on average consist of 16% nitrogen (Robbins 1993). Phosphorus, ash and calcium were determined according to Van Soest (1975) and then Ca/P ratio of each sample was calculated.

Microsoft excel computer program was used to analyze the seasonal shift in the diet of waterbuck as well as nitrogen, phosphorus, and calcium concentrations.

RESULTS

Diet composition

The diets' botanical composition of waterbuck in the early and late dry seasons of 2010 and 2011 are shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Among the 21 plant species recovered in the early dry season (Table 1), 49.3% were grasses, 22.4% forbs and 28.3% trees. Seven grass species dominated the diet composition; their proportions range from 9.0 to 5.9%. These plants, in the order of their high proportion, were *Echinochloa* sp., *Cyprus* sp., *Kylinga* sp., *Sorghum arundinaceum* and *Pennisetum* sp. The proportion of forbs (22.4%) did not differ very much as they range from 2.3 to 4.8%. The woody vegetation, however, comprised 28.3% of the diet. *Setaria* sp. and *Setaria verticillata* (grasses), and the three tree species (*Balanites aegyptiaca*, *Ziziphus-spina-christi* and *Cratogeomys adonsonii*) were not recovered.

Plant species indicating recovered high diet proportion (7.3 to 5.1%) late in the dry season comprised 40.8% trees (*Acacia nilotica*, *Acacia seiberiana*, *Acacia seyal*, *Acacia fistula* and *Cratogeomys adonsonii*), and 27.6% grasses (*Setaria verticillata* and *Setaria* sp.) and 15% forbs (*Asterantha longifolia*, *Polygonum* sp. and *Hibiscus pando niformis*). Although they were avoided in the early dry season, *Setaria verticillata*, *Balanites aegyptiaca* and *Ziziphus-spina-christi* were consumed late in the dry season.

In 2016, 19 plant species were recovered during the early dry season (Table 2). Among these, 10 species had high proportions (11.4 to 5.3%) in the diet. These constituted 70% grasses (*Cyprus gigantia*, *Echinochloa* sp., *Kylinga* sp., *Sorghum arundinaceum*, *Cyprus imbricatus*, *Hyparrhenia rufa* and *Pennisetum* sp.); 20% forbs (*Ipomoea equatica* and *Asparagus* sp.), and 10% trees (*Acacia seiberiana*). In the late dry season proportion of the 22 plant species that were selected ranged from 8.5 to 1.4%. The species with relatively high proportions (8.5% to 5.0%) were 58% trees (*Acacia*

Table 1. Diet botanical composition of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnusdefassa*) in the early and late dry season of 2010 at Dinder National Park

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

Plant species	Percentage	
	Early dry season	Late dry season
Grasses		
Cyprus gigantia	8.3	5.2
Cyprus imbricatus	7.4	4.1
Kylingasp.	6.1	4.4
Echinochloasp.	9.0	2.1
Echinochloasp.	8.0	4.8
Pennisetumsp.	4.6	1.9
Setariasp.	0	2.3
Setariaverticillata	0	6.0
arundinaceum	5.9	3.3
Total	49.3	34.1
Forbs		
Asparagus sp.	4.8	1.3
Hibiscus pandoniformis	3.3	5.3
Ipomoea equatica	2.7	1.4
Corchorusdepressus	3.2	2.2
Helolropiumsupinum	3.1	2.6
Polygonumsp.	3.0	5.1
Astercanthalongifolia	2.3	7.2
Total	22.4	25.1
Woody trees		
Acacia seibriana	5.9	7.2
Acacia polycantha	3.6	4.6
Acacia fistula	3.6	6.6
Acacia nilotica	5.3	7.3
Acacia seyal	2.4	7.0
Combretumhartmannianum	1.7	3.5
Leptadiniasp.	1.8	3.7
Balanitesaegyptiaca	0	0.2
Ziziphus-spina- Christi	0	0.2
Crativaadonsonii	0	0.3
Unidentified items	4	0.2
Total	28.3	40.8

nilotica, Acacia seiberiana, Acacia seyal, Combretum hartmannianum, Ziziphus-spina-christi and Acacia fistula); 21% grasses (Sorghum arundinaceum, Echinochloasp., Cyprus gigantia and Setarias p.), and 21% forbs (Ipomoea equatica and Asparagus sp). Among the grass species, only Setaria sp. was not recovered in the early dry season. Kyliga sp., Hyparherina rufa and penneasetum sp. were not recovered in the late dry season although their proportion in the diet early in the dry season was 91.6%. Obviously, the diet of waterbuck shifted from herbs (grasses and forbs) in the early dry season to trees and shrubs in the late dry season (Figure 1).

Nutrient content

Crude protein, phosphorous and calcium concentrations in the early and late dry season of 2011 at Dinder National Park Sudan.

Table 2. Diet botanical composition of waterbuck (Kobus ellipsiprymnusdefassa),

Plant species	Percentage	
	Early dry season	Late dry season
Grasses		
Cyprus gigantia	11.4	6.3
Cyprus imbricatus	6.8	2.3
Kylingasp	8.7	0
Hyparrheniarufa	5.6	0
Pennisetumsp.	5.3	0
Echinochloasp.	9.1	6.4
Setariasp.	0.0	5.5
Sorghum arundinaceum	7.2	7.1
Total	54.1	27.6
Forbs		
Ipomoea equatica	5.9	2.0
Hibiscus pandoniformis	0.7	2.0
Asparagus sp	6.9	0
Heliotropiumsupinum	4.0	3.2
Astercanthalongifolia	3.9	5.0
Polygonumsp	3.6	3.3
Total	25.0	15
Woody trees		
Acacia sieberiana	6.7	8.2

Acacia polycantha	2.2	4.4
Acacia fistula	0	5.2
Acacia nilotica	4.3	8.5
Acacia seyal	2.7	6.5
Combretumhartmannianum	0	5.6
Leptadiniasp	1.4	5.9
Balanitesaegyptiaca	0	1.7
Ziziphu-sspina-christi	0	5.4
Crativaadonsonii	0	2.4
Acacia melifera	0	1.4
Entadasudanica	3.1	1.7
Unidentified items	0.5	0
Total	20.9	56.9

Varied between seasons. In the early dry season, the crude protein concentration in trees/shrubs and grasses was slightly more than that in forbs. The same trend was indicated in the late dry season, but the concentration in trees/shrubs was almost equal to that of the early dry season (Figure 2). Concerning the plants recovered from waterbuck faecal in the early dry season, the phosphorous concentration was relatively high in trees/shrubs compared to grasses and forbs, the grasses indicated slightly more concentration than forbs. The same trend was followed in the late dry season, but the phosphorous concentration was higher in the recovered plants in this season compared with their counterparts in the early dry season (Figure 3).

The calcium concentration indicated quite a different trend, being equal in grasses and forbs in the early dry season, but it was slightly higher in trees/shrubs. However, in the late dry season, however, the calcium Concentration was higher in grasses compared to that of forbs, and in tress/shrubs, even exceeded the concentration in the early dry season (Figure 4).




Figure 2. Crude protein concentration in taxarecovered from waterbuck(*Kobus Ellipsiprymnusdefassa*)faecal material with seasonal advance in Dinder National Park, National Park, Sudan.

DISCUSSION

Waterbuck has consistently selected almost similar food items in early and late dry seasons of both 2010 and 2011 years. In the early dry season the diet is mostly composed of grasses, followed by forbs and trees/shrubs. In the late dry season, the trees/shrubs dominate the diet, followed by grasses and forbs. Similar findings were previously reported by Muya (1993).




Figure 1. Average seasonal shift in proportion of dietary plant of waterbuck (*Kobus ellipsiprymnusdefassa*) in the early and late dry season of 2010 and 2011 at Dinder





Figure 3. Phosphorus contents of taxa recovered from waterbuck faecal material with seasonal advance in Dinder National Park, Sudan.



Calcium

Figure 4. Calcium concentration in taxa recovered from waterbuck faecal material with seasonal advance in Dinder National Park, Sudan.

However there is a discrepancy concerning availability of were not consumed in the early dry season in the first plants that are not recovered: *Setaria* sp., *Balanites* year but were consumed in the late dry season. In the

ISSN: 3070-2763 | Impact Factor: 3.07

Volume 11, Issue 1 | January-March, 2025

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

aegyptiaca, Ziziphus-spina-christi and Crativa adonsonii second year Setaria sp. Was not consumed again but Kyliga sp., Hyparherina rufa and pennessetum sp. were eaten in the early dry season. These have not appeared in the diet in the late dry season. Apparently, Seraria sp. is unpalatable. Taking the first year as an example, taxa refused in the early dry season might be consumed in the late dry season as they were absent in the diet. It is likely that Kyliga sp., Hyparherina rufa and pennessetum sp. were over consumed early in the dry season so that they were no longer available late in the dry season. Elhassan (2011) investigated that 2011 was a drought year and food was not probably adequately available in the area.

The crude protein, phosphorous and calcium concentrations are consistently higher in trees/shrubs compared to grasses and forbs, and the concentrations of these nutrients vary slightly between grasses and forbs. Apparently, the consumed herbs indicate more nutrient concentrations than the refused. The phosphorous concentration is more in the consumed herbs than those that were refused by the waterbuck. The waterbuck shifts its herb-dominated diet in the early dry season to tree/shrub-dominated diet in the late dry season so as to obtain these much needed nutrients at such critical time of the year. Taxa that were selected in both seasons have relatively high nutrient concentrations. Sinclair (1977) found that buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) and wildebeest (*Connochaete testaurinus*) in Serengeti National Park in Tanzania experienced severe food shortage and sharp reduction in protein and phosphorous concentration in the dry season. Previous investigators, Sinclair (1977) and Ego et al. (2003) reported that the diet of waterbuck was made up of plant species with more proportions of nutrient concentrations compared to the plant species that were not eaten.

In conclusion, waterbuck prefers few succulent, nutritious grasses in early dry season and shifts to diverse herbaceous and woody vegetation with relatively high nutrient contents when the grasses dry up in the late dry season.

REFERENCES

- Ben-Shahar, R., & Coe, M. J. (1992). The relationships between soil factors, grass nutrients and the foraging behavior of Wildebeest and Zebra. *Oecologia* 90, 422-428.
- Boyce, M. S. (1992). Wolf recovery for Yellowstone National Park: a simulation model. In: *Wildlife 2001*. D. R. McCullough and R. A. Barrett (Eds). Elsevier Science Publishers, London. Bradstreet, R.B. (1965). The Kjeldahl Method for Organic Nitrogen. Academic Press, New York.
- Deutsch, J., & Murray, M. (2001). Grazing antelopes. *The encyclopedia of mammals*. Macdonald, New York.
- Dussi, J. L. (1949). Methods for the determination of food habits by plant microtechniques and histology and their application to cottontail rabbit food habits. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 13, 295-298.
- Dunham, k. M. (1994). The effect of drought on the large mammal populations of Zambezi riverine. woodlands. *Journal of Zoology (London)*, 234, 489-526.
- Elhassan, E. F. (2011). Seasonal Abundance and Diversity of Waterbirds at Eleven Mayas in Dinder National Park, Sudan. M.Sc. thesis, Sudan Academy of Science. Khartoum, Sudan.

ISSN: 3070-2763 | Impact Factor: 3.07

Volume 11, Issue 1 | January-March, 2025

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

- East, R. (1984). Rainfall, soil nutrient status and biomass of large African savanna mammals. *African Journal of Ecology*, 22, 245-270.
- Ego, W. K., Mbuvi, D. M., & Kibet, P. F. K. (2003). Dietary composition of wildebeest (*Connochaetestauroinus*) kongoni (*Alcephalusbuselaphus*) and cattle (*Bosindicus*) grazing on a common ranch in south-central Kenya. *African Journal of Ecology*, 41, 83-92.
- Essas, J. A. (1963). *Micro-botanical Techniques*. Aloe University press. 3rd edition
- Estes R. D. (1991). *The Behavior Guide to African Mammals, including Hoofed Mammals, Carnivores and Primate*. The University of California Press. Davis, California
- Georgiadis, N. J., & McNaughton, S. J. (1990). Elemental and fibre contents of savanna grasses: variation with grazing, soil type, season and species. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 27(2), 623-634.
- Hashim, I. M. (1987). Relationship between biomass of forage used and masses of faecal pellets of wild animals in meadows of the Dinder National Park. *African Journal of Ecology*, 25, 217-223.
- Hashim, I. M. (1996). Faecal pellets and biomass of some wild herbivores in Dinder National Park, Sudan. *African Journal of Ecology*, 34, 66-69.
- Kanno, I. O. (2004). *Application of Remote Sensing in Monitoring Ecological Changes in Dinder National Park*, PhD Thesis, University of Juba, Khartoum, Sudan.
- Macandza, V. A. (2004). Forage selection by African buffalo in the late dry season in two landscapes. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research*, 34(2), 113-121.
- Mowat, D. N., Fulkerson, R. S., Tossell, W. E., & Winch, J. E. (1965). The in vitro digestibility and protein content of leaf and stem proportions of forages. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 45, 321-331.
- Muya, S. M. (1993). *Nairobi National Park Black Rhino Food Plant*. Rhino Conservation Programme, wildlife service, Nairobi. Unpublished Technical Report.
- Mwangi, E. M., & Western, D. (1998). Fluctuations in food supply in an insularise and heavily grazed savannah ecosystem in Kenya. *African Journal Ecology*, 36, 207-212.
- Omphile, U. J., Aganga, A. A., Tshireletso, K., & Nkele, R. (2004). Foraging strategies of sheep and goats under semiintensive management in Botswana. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 34 120-122.
- Prins, H. H. T., & Beekman, H. (1989). A balanced diet as a goal for grazing: the food of the Manyara buffalo. *African Journal of Ecology*, 27, 241-259.

ISSN: 3070-2763 | Impact Factor: 3.07

Volume 11, Issue 1 | January-March, 2025

Emails: editorial@owenpublishing.org | editorial@owenpublishing.org

- Robbins, S. P. (1993). Functional interaction of large herbivores on grasslands. (Ed. French, N. R.), Colorado State University, Fort Collins. Colorado.
- Sinclair, A. R. E. (1977). The resource limitation of trophic levels in tropical grassland Ecosystems. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 44(2), 497-520.
- Stewart, D. R. M. (1967). Analysis of plant epidermis in feces: a technique for studying the food preferences of grazing herbivores. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 4(1), 83-111.
- Trall, L.W. (2004). Seasonal utilization of habitat by large grazing herbivores in semi-arid Zimbabwe. *South African Journal of Wildlife Research* 34(1), 13-24.
- Van Soest, P. J. (1975). Allometry and Ecology of Feeding Behavior and Digestive Capacity in Herbivores: A Review. *Zoo Biology*, 15, 455-479.